

# Building a Quantum-Safe Communication Infrastructure

Giuseppe Bruno  
Bank of Italy, Economics and Statistics Directorate

## CONTEXT & CHALLENGE

### The Need for Encryption

Unencrypted messages over public networks can be intercepted and altered by any third party. **Symmetric encryption** transforms plaintext into ciphertext and can be made arbitrarily secure — but requires both endpoints to share the **same secret key** over an untrusted channel. This is the fundamental key distribution problem.

Core challenge: how do two parties securely agree on the same key without ever meeting?

- Symmetric encryption: same key must exist at both ends
- Classical key exchange (Diffie-Hellman, RSA) will be broken by quantum computers
- Encrypted traffic captured today will be decrypted when quantum computers mature
- Migration to quantum-safe solutions must begin now

### Key Distribution in a Quantum World

#### Quantum Key Distribution (QKD)

C. Bennett & G. Brassard — via Physics

Exploits quantum mechanics: any eavesdropping disturbs photon states and is immediately detectable. The security is guaranteed by the laws of nature, not computational hardness.

#### Symmetric Key Establishment (DSKE)

Claude Shannon — via Logistics & Entropy

Keys are distributed via multiple independent Security Hubs using secret-sharing protocols. No single hub holds the complete key. Quantum-immune because it relies solely on pre-distributed symmetric secrets.

#### Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC)

Oded Regev — via Mathematics (Lattices)

Security rests on the hardness of lattice problems (Ring-LWE) believed intractable even for quantum computers. NIST standardised ML-KEM, ML-DSA, and SLH-DSA in 2024. Software-only upgrade path.

### Market Readiness — Global Case Study

#### Case Study: a global quantum-safe network

A real-world deployment integrating QKD, DSKE, and PQC technologies simultaneously into a single network fabric, operated across multiple geographic sites with equipment from competing vendors.

- QKD, DSKE, and PQC integrated in existing infrastructure without forklift upgrades
- Vendors: Cisco, Fortinet, Juniper (encryptors); multiple KME providers
- All encryptor combinations successfully established quantum-safe tunnels
- The technology is commercially ready and deployable today

A multi-vendor, multi-technology quantum-safe network is fully operational and tested.

## TECHNOLOGY LANDSCAPE

### Post-Quantum Cryptography: R-LWE

Ring Learning With Errors (R-LWE) is the mathematical foundation of NIST-standardised ML-KEM (Kyber). Security rests on the hardness of solving noisy linear equations over polynomial rings — a problem believed hard even for quantum computers.

#### Key Generation

Key gen:  $a, s$  are random polynomials;  $p = a \cdot s + e$  ( $e$  = small noise vector)  
Public key is  $(a, p)$ ; private key is  $s$

#### Encryption

$C_1 = a \cdot e_1 + e_2$  (blinded basis)  
 $C_2 = p \cdot e_1 + e_3 + m \cdot [q/2]$  (message encoded)

#### Decryption

Compute:  $C_2 - C_1 \cdot s = m \cdot [q/2] + \text{small\_noise}$   
Round to recover  $m$  (noise cancels out)

### Distributed Symmetric Key Exchange

DSKE removes single points of trust. Alice and Bob each obtain a key share from multiple independent Security Hubs and combine them using a secret-sharing protocol. No single Hub ever possesses the complete key.

#### Security Hub 1

#### Security Hub 2

#### Security Hub 3

↓ Key Share  $A_1$  ↓ Key Share  $A_2$  ↓ Key Share  $A_3$

Final Key =  $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_3$

- No single Hub can reconstruct the final key alone
- Quantum-immune: relies only on pre-distributed symmetric secrets
- Vendor-neutral: different Hub suppliers can coexist in the same network
- Naturally supports zero-trust network architectures

### IKEv2 PPK Extension — RFC 8784

RFC 8784 defines the Post-Quantum Preshared Key (PPK) mechanism for IKEv2. A quantum-safe key (sourced from QKD, DSKE, or PQC) is injected into the standard IKEv2 handshake as an additional secret, protecting the session even if classical Diffie-Hellman is broken by a quantum adversary.

#### 1. IKE\_SA\_INIT → exchange algorithms & DH

#### 2. IKE\_AUTH → inject PPK (quantum-safe key)

#### 3. CREATE\_CHILD\_SA → establish IPsec tunnel

- Fully backward-compatible with classical IKEv2 / IPsec deployments
- Any quantum-safe key source (QKD, DSKE, PQC) can supply the PPK
- No changes required to existing network tunnel endpoints
- Common interface enabling multi-vendor interoperability in all tests

The single standardised interface enabling all encryptor + KME interoperability in this work.

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

### Encryptor Interoperability Tests

All tests use the IKEv2 RFC 8784 PPK extension. Each pair of encryptors from different vendors was tested for successful quantum-safe tunnel establishment and authenticated data transfer.

Encryptor A	Encryptor B	PPK Source	Result
Cisco	Cisco	DSKE	✓ Pass
Cisco	Fortinet	DSKE	✓ Pass
Cisco	Juniper	DSKE	✓ Pass
Fortinet	Fortinet	DSKE	✓ Pass
Fortinet	Juniper	DSKE	✓ Pass
Juniper	Juniper	DSKE	✓ Pass
Cisco	Cisco	QKD	✓ Pass
Cisco	Fortinet	QKD	✓ Pass
Cisco	Fortinet	PQC	✓ Pass

All tested encryptor pairs (same-vendor and cross-vendor) achieved quantum-safe communication.

### Key Management Entity (KME) Tests

Tests verified that encryptors from Cisco, Fortinet, and Juniper could successfully retrieve quantum-safe PPKs from heterogeneous KME providers. This demonstrates a truly open, multi-vendor quantum-safe ecosystem with no lock-in.

Encryptor	KME Provider	Key Type	Result
Cisco	Vendor KME-A	DSKE	✓ Pass
Fortinet	Vendor KME-A	DSKE	✓ Pass
Juniper	Vendor KME-A	DSKE	✓ Pass
Cisco	Vendor KME-B	QKD	✓ Pass
Fortinet	Vendor KME-B	QKD	✓ Pass
Juniper	Vendor KME-B	QKD	✓ Pass
Cisco	Vendor KME-C	PQC	✓ Pass
Fortinet	Vendor KME-C	PQC	✓ Pass

Any encryptor brand can retrieve keys from any KME brand — no proprietary dependencies.

### Key Findings & Conclusions

- QKD, DSKE, and PQC can all serve as RFC 8784 PPK sources interchangeably
- Cisco, Fortinet, and Juniper encryptors interoperate fully via RFC 8784
- Multiple KME vendors coexist seamlessly — no vendor lock-in required
- Crypto-agility allows algorithm swap without re-architecting the network
- NIST PQC standards (ML-KEM, ML-DSA) are final — migration planning should begin now
- The combined quantum-safe ecosystem is commercially available and ready today

Quantum-safe communication with full multi-vendor interoperability is achievable and commercially ready today.