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Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI).

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the ETSI Drafting Rules (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Introduction

Trusted lists, as specified by ETSI TS 119 612 [1], enable in practice any interested party to determine whether a trust service is or was operating in compliance with relevant requirements, currently or at a given time in the past (e.g. at the time the service was provided, or at the time at which a transaction reliant on that service took place).

ETSI TS 119 612 [1] provides specifications supporting the establishment and management of trusted lists in two contexts, namely the European Union (EU) legislative context as set by Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 [i.1] and the context of countries outside the European Union and the EEA countries, or of international organizations willing to issue trusted lists in accordance with ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

The actual specifications for EU Member States (EUMS) national trusted lists are provided in Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2] laying down technical specifications and formats relating to trusted lists pursuant to Article 22(5) of Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 [i.1]. Those specifications and formats build upon ETSI TS 119 612 [1].
EUMS trusted lists have a legal constitutive value. It is the single formal source to verify that a claimed qualified trust service is indeed granted a qualified status by the competent EUMS body.

The rules for using and interpreting EUMS national trusted lists are provided in CID (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2]. The present document specifies procedures allowing for implementing those rules when validating EU qualified trust service outputs against such EUMS trusted lists (e.g. validating qualified certificates, EU qualified time stamps, evidences created by qualified electronic registered delivery services, EU electronic signatures or seals on EU qualified validation reports on EU qualified electronic signatures or seals).
1 Scope

The present document specifies procedures for using and interpreting EUMS national trusted lists when validating EU qualified trust service outputs against them (e.g. validating EU qualified certificates, EU qualified time stamps, evidences created by qualified electronic registered delivery services, EU electronic signatures or seals on EU qualified validation reports on EU qualified electronic signatures or seals).

2 References

2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at https://docbox.etsi.org/Reference.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

[1] ETSI TS 119 612 (V2.1.1): "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Trusted Lists".


2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.


3 Definition of terms, symbols, abbreviations and notations

3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the terms given in ETSI TR 119 001 [i.4] and the following apply:

pivot LOTL: specific instance of a LOTL that announces changes in the LOTL signing certificates and/or LOTL location

NOTE: For further explanations on the concept of pivot LOTL refer to annex A.

tuple: group of multiple elements or groups of multiple elements

3.2 Symbols

Void.

3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in ETSI TR 119 001 [i.4] and the following apply:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUMS</td>
<td>European Union Member State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOTL</td>
<td>List Of Trusted Lists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOTLSO</td>
<td>LOTL Scheme Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJEU</td>
<td>Official Journal of the European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTS</td>
<td>Qualified Trust Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>Trusted List</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Notations

The requirements in the present document are identified as follows:

<3 letters identifying the section title or type of requirement>-<the clause number>-<2-digit number (incremental)>

The management of the requirement identifiers for subsequent editions of the present document is as follows:

- When a requirement is inserted at the end of a clause, the 2-digits number above is incremented to the next available digit.
• When a requirement is inserted between two existing requirements, capital letters appended to the previous requirement identifier are used to distinguish new requirements.

• The requirement identifier for deleted requirements is kept and completed with "Void".

• The requirement identifier for modified requirement is kept void and the modified requirement is identified by capital letter(s) appended to the initial requirement number.

## 4 Procedures for using and interpreting European Union Member States national trusted lists

### 4.0 General provisions

The present document presents procedures for using and interpreting European Union Member States national trusted lists in the form of algorithms, which provide a conformant behaviour when implemented by a conformant application.

**GPR-4.0-01:** Alternative implementations may be used provided that they shall produce the same output and main status indication when given the same set of input information.

**GPR-4.0-02:** The following parameters shall be preconfigured as follows for use in all the procedures specified in the remaining clauses of the present document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description - Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OJEU-Loc</td>
<td>URI value referencing the latest publication of the Official Journal of the European</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Union (OJEU) related to data on EUMS TL as they are notified under Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJEU-LOTL-Loc</td>
<td>URI value representing the location where the current instance of the XML file of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOTL is available, as specified in the OJEU publication available from OJEU-Loc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJEU-LOTL-Certs-Set</td>
<td>The full set of certificates used for ensuring authenticity and integrity of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOTL as provided in the OJEU publication available from OJEU-Loc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE 1:** At the time of publication of the present document:

• the URI value described in the OJEU-Loc row of the above table was:
  
  https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.C_.2019.276.01.0001.01.ENG [i.3].

• This URI value is expected to be the first URI value not ending by ".xml" in the sequence of URI values present in the 'Scheme information URI' field of the LOTL (see clause 5.3.7 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]).

• the URI value described in the OJEU-LOTL-Loc row of the above table was:
  

**NOTE 2:** Such initial configurations are likely to be performed outside the software application implementing the procedures specified in the present document and come from configuration files or other appropriate source. Once configured, and as per the content of OJ C 233 276 [i.3] and the explanation material available from that publication, those three variables can be updated in a machine processable way as further explained and specified in clause 4.1.

**GPR-4.0-03:** In the procedures specified in the present document, whenever the validation of an https connection fails, implementations should refrain from stopping the processing for that reason but should add an appropriate warning to the corresponding procedure sub-status information.
4.1 Authenticating the EC compiled list of trusted lists

4.1.1 Description

EUMS Trusted Lists (TLs) have a legal constitutive value. It is the single formal source to verify that a claimed EU qualified trust service provider and the claimed EU qualified trust service it provides are indeed granted an EU qualified status by the competent EUMS body.

Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 [i.1] mandates EUMS to set up their national TL, at least under an XML machine processable format, compliant to the specifications established by CID (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2] building upon ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

EUMS have the obligation to electronically sign or seal the XML version of their national TL by means of a digital signature compliant with the specifications of CID (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2] relying on clause 5.7.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]. To verify such a digital signature, relying parties need to be able to access the applicable public key.

In order to allow access to the TLs of all Member States in an easy manner, the European Commission (EC) publishes a central list, called the List Of Trusted Lists (LOTL), with links to the locations where the TLs are published as notified by Member States. The public key certificate(s) corresponding to the private key(s) entitled to be used to sign EUMS TLs and hence to be used by relying parties to validate those TL signatures are notified by the EUMSs to the EC and published in the LOTL as well. The LOTL is available in a format suitable for automated processing (XML).

The authenticity and integrity of the machine processable version of the LOTL is ensured through a digital signature supported by a certificate which can be authenticated through a publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

At the time of publication of the present document, pursuant to a publication in the OJEU C276 [i.3], the LOTL can be accessed from the following location: https://ec.europa.eu/tools/lotl/eu-lotl.xml.

OJEU C276 [i.3] additionally identifies and authenticates the LOTL Scheme Operator (LOTLSO) public key certificate(s) corresponding to the private key(s) entitled to be used to sign the LOTL and hence the public keys to be used by relying parties to validate the LOTL signature.

Both the location of the LOTL and the LOTLSO certificates can be updated through a new publication in the OJEU or through the mechanism of pivot LOTL in accordance with OJEU C276 [i.3]. The LOTLSO certificates and the location of the LOTL XML file are contained in the LOTL itself, as part of the first tuple of the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field of the LOTL as specified in clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1] and whose 'Scheme territory' corresponds to the "EU" country code. This enables relying parties to detect in a machine processable way a change in the LOTLSO certificates and/or in its location. Any such future change will be reflected in the publication of a new instance of a pivot LOTL which will include a new location URL and/or a modified set of digital certificates for relying parties to use when authenticating the LOTL. The change of the location of the LOTL will always lead to a new publication of the OJEU to authenticate such a new location. A change of the LOTL location is made effective in a pivot LOTL while the previous location is maintained and the current instance of the LOTL is kept available at that location until the next publication in the OJEU and for a further transition period.

Starting at the date of issuance of the pivot LOTL in which new LOTLSO certificates and/or new location is first published, the new URL and/or a modified set of digital certificates can be used by relying parties to locate and authenticate the LOTL in replacement of the formerly issued information. It is however always possible for the European Commission to publish a new publication in the OJEU, for instance as a temporary response to an emergency situation requiring the immediate replacement of all the digital certificates of the LOTL.

Each instance of the LOTL will include, as the first part of the information regarding the underlying scheme ('Scheme information URI' element as specified in clause 5.3.7 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]), in their chronological order showing the most recent element first, the list of:

- when applicable, one or more URLs where the last archived previous instance(s) of the pivot LOTL containing a new location and/or a modified set of digital certificates of the LOTL is(are) published, back until and followed by;
- the URL of the latest relevant publication in the OJEU resetting the initial location and the initial set of digital certificates for relying parties to use when authenticating the LOTL.
In case of a transition between two publications in the OJEU, the above list can be piled on top of the list of pivot LOTLs, when applicable and the URL of the previous relevant publication in the OJEU resetting the initial location and the initial set of digital certificates for relying parties to use when authenticating the LOTL.

Each pivot LOTL is digitally signed by means of a private key for which the corresponding digital certificate is part of the set of LOTLSO certificates included in the previous pivot LOTL or included in the latest OJEU publication when the pivot LOTL is the first from that OJEU publication.

The current instance of the LOTL includes the exact same set of LOTLSO certificates included in the previous pivot LOTL and is digitally signed by means of a private key corresponding to one of these LOTLSO certificates.

The procedure specified in clause 4.1 allows to obtain the authenticated XML version of the current instance of the LOTL.

NOTE: Further information about the concept of pivot LOTL and on the procedure related to the change of location of the LOTL can be found in OJEU C276 [i.3] and in particular in the referenced webpage https://ec.europa.eu/tools/lotl/pivot-lotl-explanation.html.

4.1.2 Inputs

The parameters OJEU-Loc, OJEU-LOTL-Loc and OJEU-LOTL-Certs-Set shall either initially be configured as specified in GPR-4.0-02 or updated through the regular processing specified in clause 4.1.4.

4.1.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authenticated-LOTL</td>
<td>The authenticated XML version of the current instance of the LOTL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOTL-Status</td>
<td>The status indication of the process of authenticating the current instance of the LOTL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOTL-Sub-Status</td>
<td>A list of indications supplementing LOTL-Status indication of the process of authenticating the current instance of the LOTL.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OUT-4.1.3-01: All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.

4.1.4 Processing

NOTE 1: The processing basically checks the chain of trust between the LOTL XML file obtained from OJEU-LOTL-Loc input and the OJEU-LOTL-Certs-Set input. It checks in particular that:

- the public key certificate corresponding to the private key having signed the LOTL XML file is part of the set of certificates included in the LOTL itself as part of the pointer to itself;
- when no pivot LOTL is present, the public key certificate corresponding to the private key having signed the LOTL XML file is part of the set of certificates included in the OJEU-LOTL-Certs-Set.
- when pivot LOTL is/are present:
  - each pivot LOTL is signed by means of a private key for which the corresponding digital certificate:
    - is part of the set of certificates included in the previous pivot LOTL or included in the latest OJEU publication when the pivot LOTL is the first from that OJEU publication; and
    - is part of the set of certificates included in the pivot LOTL itself as part of the pointer to itself;
  - the current instance of the LOTL includes the exact same set of LOTLSO certificates included in the previous pivot LOTL and is signed by means of a private key corresponding to one of these LOTLSO certificates.

The signatures of the LOTL and of each pivot LOTL are valid.
Additional consistency checks are performed:

NOTE 2: As per OJEU C276 [i.3] and in particular the referenced webpage https://ec.europa.eu/tools/lotl/pivot-lotl-explanation.html, in case of changing the LOTL location.

   a) The first element value that will change is the 'TSLLocation' value string containing the URI of the machine processable format of the LOTL in the pointer to the LOTL in the LOTL itself. When this is the case, the OJEU-LOTL-Loc parameter (see clause 4.0) can be updated with that new location.

   b) Subsequently, when the first encountered element of the LOTL 'Scheme information URI' whose value is not ending by ".xml" is not the value of the configured OJEU-Loc parameter (see clause 4.0), then this parameter can be updated with that new value. This reflects the latest publication of the OJEU related to data on EUMS TL as they are notified under Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2].

   c) The parameter OJEU-LOTL-Certs-Set (see clause 4.0) can be updated either in an automated way from the set of certificates available in the pointer to the LOTL from the LOTL instance that first includes the new value of the latest publication of the OJEU referred in point b) above, or "manually" directly from that publication.

PRO-4.1.4-01: The processing shall set LOTL to the current instance of the LOTL XML file obtained from OJEU-LOTL-Loc.

PRO-4.1.4-02: The processing shall set LOTL-Signer-Cert to the ds:X509Certificate value of the ds:KeyInfo of the ds:Signature of LOTL.

PRO-4.1.4-03: If the processing parses the 'Scheme information URI' element of LOTL as specified in clause 5.3.7 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1] without finding a URI value matching the OJEU-Loc value, then:

   a) the processing shall set Authenticated-LOTL to void;

   b) the processing shall set LOTL-Status to the value "LOTL_VERIFICATION_FAILED";

   c) the processing shall set LOTL-Sub-Status to the value "OJEU_LOCATION_INPUT_NOT_MATCHING_OJEU_LOCATION_IN_LOTL"; and

   d) the processing shall stop the process.

NOTE 3: It is likely that the configuration specified in GPR-4.0-02 is not taking into consideration the latest publication of the OJEU related to data on EUMS TL as they are notified under Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2].

PRO-4.1.4-04: The processing shall set 'n' to the number of successive URIs having an https scheme, an authority, and a non-empty path ending with the character string ".xml" until the URI value matching the OJEU-Loc value, as those URIs are listed in the 'Scheme information URI' element of LOTL as specified in clause 5.3.7 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

PRO-4.1.4-05: If OJEU-LOTL-Loc is not matching the TSLLocation string available in the tuple from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field of LOTL (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) whose 'Scheme territory' qualifier has the value "EU" and if LOTL does not match the XML file obtained from that TSLLocation string, then:

   a) the processing shall set Authenticated-LOTL to void;

   b) the processing shall set LOTL-Status to the value "LOTL_VERIFICATION_FAILED";

   c) the processing shall set LOTL-Sub-Status to the value "LOTL_FILE_CONFLICT"; and

   d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.1.4-06: If OJEU-LOTL-Loc is matching the TSLLocation string available in the tuple from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field of LOTL (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) whose 'Scheme territory' qualifier has the value "EU" and if LOTL does not match the XML file obtained from that TSLLocation string, then:

   a) the processing shall set LOTL to the XML file obtained from that TSLLocation string; and

   b) the processing shall go to PRO-4.1.4-02.
NOTE 4: This case corresponds to the publication of a new LOTL instance from the time of the initialization of LOTL.

PRO-4.1.4-07: The processing shall validate $\text{ds:Signature}$ of LOTL considering LOTL-Signer-Cert as a directly trusted certificate, i.e. as a trust anchor.

NOTE 5: This corresponds to the basic signature validation process of ETSI EN 319 102-1 [i.8].

PRO-4.1.4-08: If the signature validation performed in PRO-4.1.4-07 failed or was indeterminate, then:

a) the processing shall set Authenticated-LOTL to void;

b) the processing shall set LOTL-Status to the value "LOTL_VERIFICATION_FAILED";

c) the processing shall set LOTL-Sub-Status to the values provided by the validation procedure together with the additional value "LOTL_SIGNATURE_VERIFICATION_FAILED"; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.1.4-09: The processing shall set the following variables to the following values:

a) LOTLSO-Cert to LOTL-Signer-Cert; and

b) LOTLSO-Certs-Set to the full set of certificates available in the tuple from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of LOTL, whose 'Scheme territory' qualifier has the value "EU".

PRO-4.1.4-10: If $n$ is equal to 0, then:

a) If LOTLSO-Cert is not part of LOTLSO-Certs-Set then:
   1) the processing shall set Authenticated-LOTL to void;
   2) the processing shall set LOTL-Status to the value "LOTL_VERIFICATION_FAILED";
   3) the processing shall set LOTL-Sub-Status to the value "LOTL_SIGNER_CERT_NOT_AUTHENTICATED_BY_LOTL"; and
   4) the processing shall stop the process.

b) The processing shall go to PRO-4.1.4-12.

PRO-4.1.4-11: For all $i$ in $[1..n]$

a) The processing shall set Pivot to the instance of the XML file obtained from the $i^{th}$ successive URI having an https scheme, an authority, and a non-empty path ending with the character string ".xml" until the URI value matching the OJEU-Loc value in the "Scheme information URI" (see clause 5.3.7 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) field of LOTL, considering the first such URI as number 1.

b) The processing shall set LOTLSO-Certs-Set to the full set of certificates as they are available in the tuple from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of Pivot, whose 'Scheme territory' qualifier has the value "EU".

c) If LOTLSO-Cert is not part of LOTLSO-Certs-Set then:
   1) the processing shall set Authenticated-LOTL to void;
   2) the processing shall set LOTL-Status to the value "LOTL_VERIFICATION_FAILED";
   3) the processing shall set LOTL-Sub-Status to the value "PIVOT_i-1_SIGNER_CERT_NOT_AUTHENTICATED_BY_PIVOT_i"; and
   4) the processing shall stop the process.

d) The processing shall set LOTLSO-Cert to the ds:X509Certificate value of the ds:KeyInfo of the ds:Signature of Pivot.
e) The processing shall validate ds:Signature of Pivot considering \text{LOTLSO-Cert} as a directly trusted certificate, i.e. as a trust anchor.

NOTE 6: This corresponds to the basic signature validation process of ETSI EN 319 102-1 [i.8].

f) If the signature validation performed in the previous point failed or was indeterminate then:
   1) the processing shall set \text{Authenticated-LOTL} to void;
   2) the processing shall set \text{LOTL-Status} to the value "LOTL\_VERIFICATION\_FAILED";
   3) the processing shall set \text{LOTL-Sub-Status} to the values provided by the validation procedure together with the additional value "PIVOT_i\_SIGNATURE\_VERIFICATION\_FAILED"; and
   4) the processing shall stop the process.

g) If \text{LOTLSO-Cert} is not part of \text{LOTLSO-Certs-Set} then:
   1) the processing shall set \text{Authenticated-LOTL} to void;
   2) the processing shall set \text{LOTL-Status} to the value "LOTL\_VERIFICATION\_FAILED";
   3) the processing shall set \text{LOTL-Sub-Status} to the value "PIVOT_i\_SIGNER\_CERT\_NOT\_AUTHENTICATED\_BY\_PIVOT_i"; and
   4) the processing shall stop the process.

\textbf{PRO-4.1.4-12:} If \text{LOTLSO-Cert} is not part of \text{LOTL-OJEUCerts-Set} then:
   a) the processing shall set \text{Authenticated-LOTL} to void;
   b) the processing shall set \text{LOTL-Status} to the value "LOTL\_VERIFICATION\_FAILED";
   c) the processing shall set \text{LOTL-Sub-Status} to the value "PIVOT_n\_LOTLSO\_SIGNER\_CERT\_NOT\_AUTHENTICATED\_BY\_OJEU"; and
   d) the processing shall stop the process.

\textbf{PRO-4.1.4-13:} If the 'Next update' date of \text{LOTL} (see clause 5.3.15 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) has passed then:
   a) the processing shall set \text{Authenticated-LOTL} to void;
   b) the processing shall set \text{LOTL-Status} to the value "LOTL\_VERIFICATION\_FAILED";
   c) the processing shall set \text{LOTL-Sub-Status} the value "LOTL\_NEXTUPDATE\_PASSED"; and
   d) the processing shall stop the process.

\textbf{PRO-4.1.4-14:} The processing shall set \text{Authenticated-LOTL} to \text{LOTL}.

\textbf{PRO-4.1.4-15:} The processing shall set \text{LOTL-Status} to the value "LOTL\_VERIFICATION\_PASSED".

\textbf{PRO-4.1.4-16:} If \text{OJEU-LOTL-Loc} is not matching the TSLLocation string available in the tuple from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field of \text{Authenticated-LOTL} (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) whose 'Scheme territory' qualifier has the value "EU", then the processing shall set \text{OJEU-LOTL-Loc} to the TSLLocation string available in the tuple from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field of \text{LOTL} (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) whose 'Scheme territory' qualifier has the value "EU".

\textbf{PRO-4.1.4-17:} If \text{OJEU-Loc} value is not matching the first URI value having an https scheme, an authority, and a non-empty path not ending with the character string ".xml" in the 'Scheme information URI' (see clause 5.3.7 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) field of \text{Authenticated-LOTL}, then:
   a) the processing shall set \text{OJEU-Loc} to that first URI value; and
b) the processing shall set OJEU-LOTL-Certs-Set:
   1) either to the full set of certificates available in the tuple, whose 'Scheme territory' qualifier has the value "EU", from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of the pivot LOTL whose location URI is immediately following that first URI value when having an https scheme, an authority, and a non-empty path not ending with the character string "\.xml"; or
   2) to the full set of certificates used for ensuring authenticity and integrity of the LOTL as provided in the OJEU publication available from that first URI value.

4.2 Authenticating an EUMS trusted list

4.2.1 Description

The procedure specified in clause 4.2 allows to obtain the authenticated XML version of the national TL of a given EUMS.

4.2.2 Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Country code of the EUMS for which the trusted list is to be authenticated and whose value is in accordance with the ISO 3166-1 [2] Alpha 2 country code, set in capital letters.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authenticated-EUTL</td>
<td>The authenticated XML version of the requested TL of EUMS CC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUTL-Status</td>
<td>The status indication of the process of authenticating the requested TL of EUMS CC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUTL-Sub-Status</td>
<td>A list of indications supplementing EUTL-Status indication of the process of authenticating the requested TL of EUMS CC.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OUT-4.2.3-01: All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.

4.2.4 Processing

PRO-4.2.4-01: The processing shall run the process for authenticating the LOTL as described in clause 4.1 of the present document.

PRO-4.2.4-02: If the output Authenticated-LOTL is void as a result of the execution of the process referred in PRO-4.2.4-01, then:
   a) the processing shall set EUTL-Status to the value "TL_VERIFICATION_FAILED";
   b) the processing shall set EUTL-Sub-Status to the values provided by set of values from LOTL-Status and LOTL-Sub-Status; and
   c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.2.4-03: The processing shall set EUTL-Loc to the URI provided in the tuple from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field of Authenticated-LOTL (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) for which the 'Scheme territory' qualifier has value CC and for which the 'MIME type' is XML.

PRO-4.2.4-04: The processing shall set EUTL to the XML file retrieved from EUTL-Loc.

PRO-4.2.4-05: The processing shall set EUTL-Certs-Set to the set of certificates provided in the tuple from the 'Pointers to other TSLs' field of Authenticated-LOTL (see clause 5.3.13 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) for which the 'Scheme territory' qualifier has value CC and for which the 'MIME type' is XML.
PRO-4.2.4-06: The processing shall set EUTL-Signer-Cert to the ds:X509Certificate value of the ds:KeyInfo of the ds:Signature of EUTL.

PRO-4.2.4-07: If EUTL-Signer-Cert is not part of EUTL-Certs-Set, then:

a) the processing shall set Authenticated-EUTL to void;

b) the processing shall set EUTL-Status to the value "EUTL_VERIFICATION_FAILED";

c) the processing shall set EUTL-Sub-Status to the value "EUTLSO_SIGNER_CERT_NOT_AUTHENTICATED_BY_LOTL"; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.2.4-08: The processing shall validate ds:Signature of EUTL considering EUTL-Signer-Cert as a directly trusted certificate, i.e. as a trust anchor.

PRO-4.2.4-09: If the signature validation performed in PRO-4.2.4-08 failed, then:

a) the processing shall set Authenticated-EUTL to void;

b) the processing shall set EUTL-Status to the value "EUTL_VERIFICATION_FAILED";

c) the processing shall set EUTL-Sub-Status to the set of values provided by the validation procedure together with the value "EUTL_SIGNATURE_VERIFICATION_FAILED"; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.2.4-10: If the 'Next update' date of EUTL (see clause 5.3.15 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) has passed, then the processing shall add to EUTL-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_EUTL_NEXTUPDATE_PASSED".

PRO-4.2.4-11: The processing shall set Authenticated-EUTL to EUTL.

PRO-4.2.4-12: The processing shall set EUTL-Status to the value "EUTL_VERIFICATION_PASSED".

### 4.3 Obtaining listed services matching a certificate

#### 4.3.1 Description

The procedure specified in clause 4.3 allows to obtain, from the trusted list of a specific country, matching listed services, associated service information for a certificate for a specific date and time, for a specific service type identifier.

NOTE: The difference between a QTS type and a Service type identifier as specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1] may reside in the sub-definition of that identifier service type into sub-services defined through 'additionalServiceInformation' extensions (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]).

#### 4.3.2 Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT</td>
<td>X.509 certificate for which the information is to be obtained (e.g. a ds:X509Certificate value of a ds:KeyInfo of the ds:Signature)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLS-Sti</td>
<td>One of the Service type identifier URI values specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-time</td>
<td>Date and time indication as specified in clause 5.1.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.3.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SI-Results</td>
<td>A set of groups of elements, each group made of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) SI-full defined as an XML section corresponding to a 'Service information' element as specified in clause 5.5 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1];</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) SI-at-Date-time defined as an XML section corresponding either to the Date-time related 'Service (current) information' element as specified in clause 5.5 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1] with the exception of clause 5.5.10 or to the Date-time related 'Service history instance' element as specified in clause 5.6 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1];</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) TSP-Name defined as a 'TSP name' element as defined in clause 5.4.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1];</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) TSP-Trade-Name defined as a 'TSP trade name' element as defined in clause 5.4.2 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI-Status</td>
<td>The status indication of the process consisting in obtaining for a certificate, for a specific type of 'Service type identifier' URI value specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1] and for a specific date and time, a matching listed service and its associated service information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI-Sub-Status</td>
<td>A list of indications supplementing SI-Status indication of the process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OUT-4.3.3-01:** All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.

### 4.3.4 Processing

**PRO-4.3.4-01:** If CC represents an EUMS and/or is set to "GB" or "UK":

a) then the processing shall run the process for authenticating the EUMS trusted list from CC as described in clause 4.2 of the present document, passing CC as input to the process;

b) else:

   1) the processing shall set SI-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

   2) the processing shall set SI-Sub-Status to the value "Provided_country_code_not_representing_an_EU_MS"; and

   3) the processing shall stop the process.

**PRO-4.3.4-02:** If the output Authenticated-EUTL is void as a result of the execution of the process referred in PRO-4.3.4-01, then:

a) the processing shall set SI-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set SI-Sub-Status to the values provided by set of values from EUTL-Status and EUTL-Sub-Status; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

**PRO-4.3.4-03:** For each 'Service information' entry (see clause 5.5 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) within Authenticated-EUTL, the processing shall check whether:

i) the 'Service type identifier' (see clause 5.5.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) matches TLS-Sti; and

ii) either a certification path (see IETF RFC 5280 [4]) to CERT is found which, when using the 'Service digital identity' public key and associated subject name of the entry as a trust anchor, validates successfully according to section 6.1 of IETF RFC 5280 [4], with input (b) of section 6.1.1 set to Date-time and inputs (c) and (e) to (i) set to the corresponding default values of the data type PathConstraints specified in Common PKI v2.0 part 5 [5] Table 1; or the 'Service digital identity' public key and associated subject name are identical to the public key and subject name in CERT;

**NOTE 1:** In function of the TLS-Sti value, the length of the certification path can be "0", "1" or longer.
NOTE 2: Name chaining is performed as part of the certificate path validation specified in clause 6 of IETF RFC 5280 [4]. If no valid name chains are found from the subject distinguished name of one of the X.509 certificates present within the above 'Service digital identity' to the issuer distinguished name present within CERT, then no valid certificate path will be built.

NOTE 3: Although multiple X.509 certificates can be present within the above 'Service digital identity', they are necessarily representing the same public key and have identical subject names in a trusted list compliant to ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

and, when both checks succeed, shall add a tuple to SI-Results with:

a) SI-full set to the entire such 'Service information' entry (see clause 5.5 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]); and

b) SI-at-Date-time set to:
   - either the entire such 'Service information' entry excepted, if any, the 'Service history' element (see clause 5.5.10 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) when Date-time is greater than or equal to 'Current status starting date and time' of that entry (see clause 5.5.5 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]);
   - or the first 'Service history instance' (see clause 5.6 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of that entry for which the 'Previous status starting date and time' (see clause 5.6.5 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) is less than or equal to Date-time.

c) TSP-Name set to the 'TSP name' element (see clause 5.4.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) associated to that 'Service information' entry; and

d) TSP-Trade-Name set to the 'TSP trade name' element (see clause 5.4.2 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) associated to that 'Service information' entry.

PRO-4.3.4-03A: For each tuple in SI-Results, the processing shall check that the 'Service history instance' elements in the corresponding SI-full element are correctly ordered:

a) when this is not the case, the processing shall stop and report the error;

b) when 'Service history instance' elements have the exact same 'Previous status starting date and time' value, the processing shall stop and report the error.

PRO-4.3.4-04: The processing shall set SI-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED".

PRO-4.3.4-05: The processing shall add to SI-Sub-Status the indication value "WARNING_T1_DUPLICATION" when two or more of the SI-Results tuples include an SI-at-Date-time XML section for which:

a) an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) includes the value http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures; and

b) values included in the respective 'Service current status' and/or 'Service previous status' fields (see respectively clauses 5.5.4 and 5.6.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) are identical.

PRO-4.3.4-06: The processing shall add to SI-Sub-Status the indication value "ERROR_T1_DUPLICATION" when two or more of the SI-Results tuples include an SI-at-Date-time XML section for which:

a) an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) includes the value http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures; and

b) values included in the respective 'Service current status' and/or 'Service previous status' fields (see respectively clauses 5.5.4 and 5.6.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) are not identical.

PRO-4.3.4-07: The processing shall add to SI-Sub-Status the indication value "WARNING_T2_DUPLICATION" when two or more of the SI-Results tuples include an SI-at-Date-time XML section for which:

a) an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) includes the value http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSeals; and
b) values included in the respective 'Service current status' and/or 'Service previous status' fields (see respectively clauses 5.5.4 and 5.6.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) are identical.

**PRO-4.3.4-08:** The processing shall add to SI-Sub-Status the indication value "ERROR_T2_DUPLICATION" when two or more of the SI-Results tuples include an SI-at-Date-time XML section for which:

a) an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) includes the value http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSeals; and

b) values included in the respective 'Service current status' and/or 'Service previous status' fields (see respectively clauses 5.5.4 and 5.6.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) are not identical.

**PRO-4.3.4-09:** The processing shall add to SI-Sub-Status the indication value "WARNING_T3_DUPLICATION" when two or more of the SI-Results tuples include an SI-at-Date-time XML section for which:

a) an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) includes the value http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForWebSiteAuthentication; and

b) values included in the respective 'Service current status' and/or 'Service previous status' fields (see respectively clauses 5.5.4 and 5.6.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) are not identical.

**PRO-4.3.4-10:** The processing shall add to SI-Sub-Status the indication value "ERROR_T3_DUPLICATION" when two or more of the SI-Results tuples include an SI-at-Date-time XML section for which:

a) an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) includes the value http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForWebSiteAuthentication; and

b) values included in the respective 'Service current status' and/or 'Service previous status' fields (see respectively clauses 5.5.4 and 5.6.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) are not identical.

**PRO-4.3.4-11:** When two or more of the SI-Results tuples include different TSP-Name values, then:

a) the processing shall add to SI-Sub-Status the indication value "ERROR_TSP_CONFLICT"; and

b) the processing shall set SI-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED".

### 4.4 EU qualified certificate determination

#### 4.4.1 Description

The procedure specified in clause 4.4 allows determining whether a certificate is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list to have been an EU qualified certificate at a specific date and time and for which type.

#### 4.4.2 Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description of inputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT</td>
<td>X.509 certificate for which the information is to be obtained (e.g. a <code>ds:X509Certificate</code> value of a <code>ds:KeyInfo</code> of the <code>ds:Signature</code>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-time</td>
<td>Date and time indication as specified in clause 5.1.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.4.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QC-Results</td>
<td>A set of indications of the EU qualified status of CERT through one or more of the following values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) &quot;Not_Qualified&quot; to indicate that CERT is not an EU qualified certificate according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) &quot;Not_Qualified_For_eSig&quot; to indicate that CERT is not an EU qualified certificate for electronic signatures according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) &quot;Not_Qualified_For_eSeal&quot; to indicate that CERT is not an EU qualified certificate for electronic seals according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) &quot;Not_QWAC&quot; to indicate that CERT is not an EU qualified certificate for website authentication according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e) &quot;QC_For_eSig&quot; to indicate that CERT is an EU qualified certificate for electronic signatures according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f) &quot;QC_For_eSeal&quot; to indicate that CERT is an EU qualified certificate for electronic seals according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g) &quot;QWAC&quot; to indicate that CERT is an EU qualified certificate for web site authentication according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h) &quot;INDET_QC_For_eSig&quot; to indicate that the EUMS trusted list from CC cannot be used to confirm that CERT is a qualified certificate for electronic signatures at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i) &quot;INDET_QC_For_eSeal&quot; to indicate that the EUMS trusted list from CC cannot be used to confirm that CERT is a qualified certificate for electronic seals at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>j) &quot;INDET_QWAC&quot; to indicate that the EUMS trusted list from CC cannot be used to confirm that CERT is a qualified certificate for website authentication at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k) &quot;INDETERMINATE&quot;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l) Void.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**QC-Status**

The status indication of the process.

**QC-Sub-Status**

A list of indications supplementing QC-Status indication.

**CHECK_1_SET-OF_QE**

An intermediate result as defined in the process below.

**CHECK_2_SET-OF_QE**

An intermediate result as defined in the process below.

**CHECK_3_SET-OF_QE**

An intermediate result as defined in the process below.

---

OUT-4.4.3-01: All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.

### 4.4.4 Processing

**PRO-4.4.4-01:** The processing shall set CC to the country code value of the countryName attribute of the issuer field of the certificate provided in CERT, in capital letters in accordance with the ISO 3166-1 [2] Alpha 2 country code, with, when applicable:

a) the country code value "GB” being converted to “UK”;

b) the country code value "GR” being converted to "EL".

**PRO-4.4.4-02:** The processing shall set TLS-Sti to the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/Svctype/CA/QC" as specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

**PRO-4.4.4-03:** The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.3 of the present document, passing the following inputs to the process:

a) CERT;

b) TLS-Sti;

c) Date-time;

d) CC.
PRO-4.4.4-04: If the output SI-Status of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03 has the value "PROCESS_FAILED", then:

a) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set QC-Sub-Status to the values provided by set of values from SI-Status and SI-Sub-Status; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.4.4-05: If the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03 is void, then:

a) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

b) the processing shall set QC-Sub-Status to the value "No_confirmation_found_in_EUMSTL_CC";

c) the processing shall set QC-Results to the value "Not_Qualified"; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.4.4-06: When an organizationName attribute is present in the issuerName field of the certificate provided in CERT, and performing matching verification between two names, naming attributes or distinguished names as specified in clause 7.1 of IETF RFC 5280 [4]:

a) then if the organizationName attribute of the issuerName field of the certificate provided in CERT is not matching one of the values of TSP-Name or TSP-Trade-Name of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03, then:

1) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

2) the processing shall set QC-Results to the value "INDETERMINATE";

3) the processing shall set QC-Sub-Status to the value "ERROR_TSP_NAME_INCONSISTENCY_BETWEEN_CERT_AND_TL"; and

4) the processing shall stop the process.

b) else the processing shall decide the strategy to verify that the legal or natural person having issued CERT is the person identified by one of the values of TSP-Name or TSP-Trade-Name of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03 and in case of failure set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED", QC-Results to the value "INDETERMINATE", QC-Sub-Status to the value "ERROR_TSP_NAME_INCONSISTENCY_BETWEEN_CERT_AND_TL" and shall stop the process.

EXAMPLE 1: The strategy referred above can include:

i) verification of the matching of the commonName attribute of the issuerName field of the certificate provided in CERT with one of the values of TSP-Name or TSP-Trade-Name of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03; or

ii) verification of the matching of the distinguished name of the issuerName field of the certificate provided in CERT with the distinguished name of the Sdi of the SI-full of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03.

PRO-4.4.4-07: When Date-time is before 2016-06-30T22:00:00Z, or when the date and time value of the NotBeforeDate field of CERT is a value before 2016-06-30T22:00:00Z, the processing shall go to PRO-4.4.4-33.

PRO-4.4.4-08: The processing shall set the working variable CHECK_1 to void.

NOTE 1: CHECK_1 is a variable defined as an indication whose possible values are the values present in Table 1.

PRO-4.4.4-09: If the output SI-Sub-Status of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03 includes the value "ERROR_T1_DUPLICATION", then the processing shall set CHECK_1 to the value "INDET_QC_For_eSig" and shall go to PRO-4.4.4-16.
NOTE 2: The above check could also catch the "WARNING_T1_DUPLICATION" case and treat it the same way i.e. stopping the process and raising the warning. However, considering the trusted lists as legally constitutive information regarding the qualified status of a trust service and hence of one of its output (e.g. certificate, time stamp token, signed evidence), in the case the status information is consistent, the relying party can decide, despite the fact that such a construction of the trusted list is in conflict with CID (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2], to go further and still consider the information in the trusted list, provided no further inconsistency is discovered in the rest of the processing (e.g. conflicting service information qualifications extensions).

PRO-4.4.4-10: If none of the SI-at-Date-time element(s) of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) includes an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures" or if the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field of (any of) the SI-at-Date-time element(s) of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures" has the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/withdrawn", then the processing shall set CHECK_1 to the value "Not_Qualified_For_eSig" and shall go to PRO-4.4.4-16.

PRO-4.4.4-10A: If the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field of (any of) the SI-at-Date-time element(s) of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures" has a value different from either "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/granted" or "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/withdrawn", then:

a) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "ERROR_Service_Status_Non-compliance_with_TS119612";

b) the processing shall set CHECK_1 to the value "INDET_QC_For_eSig"; and

c) the processing shall go to PRO-4.4.4-16.

PRO-4.4.4-11: The processing shall set CHECK_1_SET-OF_QE to the set of all 'QualificationElement' from all 'Qualifications' extensions (see clause 5.5.9.2 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) whose 'CriteriaList' element identifies CERT from all SI-at-Date-time elements of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures".

PRO-4.4.4-12: The processing shall proceed as follows:

a) it shall identify, if any, the set of all applicable qualifiers as per the content of the 'Qualifier' descendant elements (see clause 5.5.9.2.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of all 'QualificationElement' elements of CHECK_1_SET-OF_QE;

In case one of the following qualifier or combinations is found, it shall set CHECK_1 to the value "INDET_QC_For_eSig", it shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_T1_TL_Inconsistency_in_applying_qualifiers", and it shall go to PRO-4.4.4-16:

1) 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal");
2) 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA");
4) 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig") and 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal");
5) 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig") and 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA");
6) 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal") and 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA"); or
7) QCForLegalPerson ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForLegalPerson").

PRO-4.4.4-13: The processing shall get the information about the presence and content of the id-etsi-qcs-QcCompliance and id-etsi-qcs-QcType statements (see ETSI EN 319 412-5 [3], hereafter respectively QcCompliance and QcType) in CERT.

PRO-4.4.4-14: If it results from PRO-4.4.4-13 that CERT includes more than one QcType identifier in its QcType statement when present, then the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_CERT_Inconsistency_in_QcType_qualifiers Non-compliance_with_EN319412-5".

PRO-4.4.4-15: Using the applicable qualifiers identified in PRO-4.4.4-12.(a):

a) the processing shall check whether the following qualifiers are present among them: 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig"), 'NotQualified' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/NotQualified") and 'QCStatement' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCStatement");

b) the processing shall use the information obtained in PRO-4.4.4-13 to select the appropriate row of Table 1, and shall use the information obtained in above point PRO-4.4.4-15.(a) to select the appropriate column of Table 1;

NOTE 3: Information in row1/column0 means, and corresponds to the case where, the QcCompliance statement is present in CERT without any QcType statement being present, or the QcCompliance statement is present in CERT together with QcType 1 statement. The meaning of the other rows of column0 can be deduced accordingly.

Information in row0/column1 means, and corresponds to the case where, none of the three qualifiers 'NotQualified', 'QCStatement', 'QCForESig' in the 'Qualifications' extensions (denoted Sie:Q in Table 1) applies to CERT (this also includes the case where no Sie:Q extension is present). Information in row0/column5 means, and corresponds to the case where, both qualifiers 'QCStatement' and 'QCForESig' in the 'Qualifications' extensions (denoted Sie:Q in Table 1) apply to CERT. The meaning of the other columns of row0 can be deduced accordingly.

c) the processing shall set CHECK_1 to the value found in the selected cell of Table 1;

d) if row8/column3 of Table 1 was selected in step (b) above, then the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_T1_Not_Enough_Info_on_QC_Type".

EXAMPLE 2: If QcCompliance extension is present in CERT together with the QcType 3 and the qualifier 'QCForESig' applies to CERT as per the applicable qualifiers, then the value set to CHECK_1 is "QC_For_eSig" (see row3/column4).

d) if row8/column3 of Table 1 was selected in step (b) above, then the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_T1_Not_Enough_Info_on_QC_Type".
**Table 1: QC-For-eSig determination**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIE or SI-eSig = For-eSignatures</th>
<th>None of SIE: QC-Not Qualified for eSig</th>
<th>SIE: QC-Not Qualified for eSig</th>
<th>SIE: QC-Not Qualified for eSig</th>
<th>SIE: QC-For-eSig &amp; QC-For-eSig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Compliance or</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance or QcCompliance + QcType 1</td>
<td>“QC-For-eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“QC-For-eSig”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance + QcType 2</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“QC-For-eSig”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance + QcType 3</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“QC-For-eSig”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance + QcType 1 &amp; QcType 2</td>
<td>“INDET QC-For-eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“INDET QC-For-eSig”</td>
<td>“QC-For-eSig”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance + QcType 1 &amp; QcType 3</td>
<td>“INDET QC-For-eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“INDET QC-For-eSig”</td>
<td>“QC-For-eSig”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance + QcType 2 &amp; QcType 3</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“QC-For-eSig”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance + QcType 1 &amp; QcType 2 &amp; QcType 3</td>
<td>“INDET QC-For-eSig”</td>
<td>“Not Qualified for eSig”</td>
<td>“INDET QC-For-eSig”</td>
<td>“QC-For-eSig”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRO-4.4.4-16:** The processing shall set the working variable CHECK_2 to void.

**NOTE 4:** CHECK_2 is a variable defined as an indication whose possible values are the values present in Table 2.

**PRO-4.4.4-17:** If the output SI-Sub-Status of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03 includes the value "ERROR_T2_DUPLICATION", then the processing shall set CHECK_2 to the value "INDET_QC_For_eSeal" and shall go to PRO-4.4.4-24.
NOTE 5: The above check could also catch the "WARNING_T2_DUPLICATION" case and treat it the same way i.e. stopping the process and raising the warning. However, considering the trusted lists as legally constitutive information regarding the qualified status of a trust service and hence of one of its output (e.g. certificate, time stamp token, signed evidence), in the case the status information is consistent, the relying party can decide, despite the fact that such a construction of the trusted list is in conflict with CID (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2], to go further and still consider the information in the trusted list, provided no further inconsistency is discovered in the rest of the processing (e.g. conflicting service information qualifications extensions).

PRO-4.4.4-18: If none of the SI-at-Date-time element(s) of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) includes an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSeals" or if the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field of (any of) the SI-at-Date-time elements of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/withdrawn", then the processing shall set CHECK_2 to the value "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal" and shall go to PRO-4.4.4-24.

PRO-4.4.4-18A: If the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field of (any of) the SI-at-Date-time element(s) of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) includes an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSeals" a value different from either "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/granted" or "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/withdrawn", then:

a) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "ERROR_Service_Status_ Non-compliance_with_TS119612";

b) the processing shall set CHECK_1 to the value "INDET_QC_For_eSeal"; and

c) the processing shall go to PRO-4.4.4-24.

PRO-4.4.4-19: The processing shall set CHECK_2_SET-OF_QE to the set of all 'QualificationElement' from all 'Qualifications' extensions (see clause 5.5.9.2 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) whose 'CriteriaList' element identifies CERT from all SI-at-Date-time elements of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSeals".

PRO-4.4.4-20: The processing shall proceed as follows:

a) it shall identify, if any, the set of all applicable qualifiers as per the content of the 'Qualifier' descendant elements (see clause 5.5.9.2.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of all 'QualificationElement' elements of CHECK_2_SET-OF_QE;

b) in case one of the following qualifier or combinations is found, it shall set CHECK_2 to the value "INDET_QC_For_eSeal", it shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_T2_TL_Inconsistency_in_applying_qualifiers", and it shall go to PRO-4.4.4-24:

1) 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig");
2) 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA");
4) 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig") and 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal");
5) 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig") and 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA"); or
6) 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal") and 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA").
PRO-4.4.4-21: The processing shall get the information about the presence and content of the QcCompliance and QcType statements (see ETSI EN 319 412-5 [3]) in CERT.

PRO-4.4.4-22: If it results from PRO-4.4.4-21 that CERT includes more than one QcType identifier in its QcType statement when present, then the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_CERT_Inconsistency_in_QcType_qualifiers_Non-compliance_with_EN319412-5".

PRO-4.4.4-23: Using the applicable qualifiers identified in PRO-4.4.4-20.(a):

a) the processing shall check whether the following qualifiers are present among them: 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal"), 'NotQualified' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/NotQualified") and 'QCStatement' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCStatement");

b) the processing shall use the information obtained in PRO-4.4.4-21 to select the appropriate row of Table 2, and shall use the information obtained in above point PRO-4.4.4-23.(a) to select the appropriate column of Table 2;

NOTE 6: Information in row1/column0 means, and corresponds to the case where, the QcCompliance statement is present in CERT without any QcType statement being present, or the QcCompliance statement is present in CERT together with QcType 1 statement. The meaning of the other rows of column0 can be deduced accordingly.

Information in row0/column1 means, and corresponds to the case where, none of the three qualifiers 'NotQualified', 'QCStatement', 'QCForESeal' in the 'Qualifications' extensions (denoted Sie:Q in Table 2) applies to CERT (this also includes the case where no Sie:Q extension is present). Information in row0/column5 means, and corresponds to the case where, both qualifiers 'QCStatement' and 'QCForESeal' in the 'Qualifications' extensions apply to CERT. The meaning of the other columns of row0 can be deduced accordingly.

c) the processing shall set CHECK_2 to the value found in the selected cell of Table 2;

EXAMPLE 3: If QcCompliance extension is present in CERT together with the QcType 3 and the qualifier 'QCForESeal' applies to CERT as per the applicable qualifiers, then the value set to CHECK_2 is "QC_For_eSeal" (see row3/column4).

d) if row8/column3 of Table 2 was selected in step (b) above, then the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_T2_Not_Enough_Info_on_QC_Type".
### Table 2: QC-For-eSeal determination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>row</th>
<th>QCompliance or QCompliance + QcType 1</th>
<th>QCompliance + QcType 2</th>
<th>QCompliance + QcType 3</th>
<th>QCompliance + QcType 1 &amp; QcType 2 &amp; QcType 3</th>
<th>QCompliance + QcType 2 &amp; QcType 3</th>
<th>QCompliance + QcType 3 &amp; QcType 2 &amp; QcType 3</th>
<th>No QCompliance &amp; no QcType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>INDET QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>No QCompliance &amp; no QcType</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>No QCompliance + QcType 1</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>No QCompliance + QcType 2</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>No QCompliance + QcType 3</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>No QCompliance + QcType 1 &amp; QcType 2</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>No QCompliance + QcType 1 &amp; QcType 3</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>No QCompliance + QcType 2 &amp; QcType 3</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>No QCompliance + QcType 1 &amp; QcType 2 &amp; QcType 3</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>Not Qualified for eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
<td>QC_for_eSeal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRO-4.4.4-24:** The processing shall set the working variable `CHECK_3` to void.

**NOTE 7:** `CHECK_3` is a variable defined as an indication whose possible values are the values present in Table 3.

**PRO-4.4.4-25:** If the output `SI_Sub_Status` of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03 includes the value "ERROR_T3_DUPLICATION", then the processing shall set `CHECK_3` to the value "INDET_QWAC" and shall go to PRO-4.4.4-32.
NOTE 8: The above check could also catch the "WARNING_T3_DUPLICATION" case and treat it the same way i.e. stopping the process and raising the warning. However, considering the trusted lists as legally constitutive information regarding the qualified status of a trust service and hence of one of its output (e.g. certificate, time stamp token, signed evidence), in the case the status information is consistent, the relying party can decide, despite the fact that such a construction of the trusted list is in conflict with CID (EU) 2015/1505 [i.2], to go further and still consider the information in the trusted list, provided no further inconsistency is discovered in the rest of the processing (e.g. conflicting service information qualifications extensions).

PRO-4.4.4-26: If none of the SI-at-Date-time element(s) of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) includes an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForWebSiteAuthentication" or if the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field of (any of) the SI-at-Date-time elements of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SVCstatus/withdrawn", then the processing shall set CHECK_3 to the value "Not_QWAC" and shall go to PRO-4.4.4-32.

PRO-4.4.4-26A: If the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field of (any of) the SI-at-Date-time element(s) of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForWebSiteAuthentication" has the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/withdrawn", then:

a) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "ERROR_Service_Status_Non-compliance_with_TS119612";

b) the processing shall set CHECK_1 to the value "INDET_QWAC";

c) the processing shall go to PRO-4.4.4-32.

PRO-4.4.4-27: The processing shall set CHECK_3_SET-OF_QE to the set of all 'QualificationElement' from all 'Qualifications' extensions (see clause 5.5.9.2 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) whose 'CriteriaList' element identifies CERT from all SI-at-Date-time elements of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForWebSiteAuthentication".

PRO-4.4.4-28: The processing shall proceed as follows:

a) it shall identify, if any, the set of all applicable qualifiers as per the content of the 'Qualifier' descendant elements (see clause 5.5.9.2.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of all 'QualificationElement' elements of CHECK_3_SET-OF_QE;

b) in case one of the following qualifier or combinations is found, it shall set CHECK_3 to the value "INDET_QWAC", it shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_T3_TL_Inconsistency_in_applying_qualifiers", and it shall go to PRO-4.4.4-32:

1) 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig");
2) 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal");
4) 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig") and 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal");
5) 'QCForESig' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESig") and 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA"); or
6) 'QCForESeal' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal") and 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA").
PRO-4.4.4-29: The processing shall get the information about the presence and content of the QcCompliance and QcType statements (see ETSI EN 319 412-5 [3]) in CERT.

PRO-4.4.4-30: If it results from PRO-4.4.4-29 that CERT includes more than one QcType identifier in its QcType statement when present, then the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_CERT_Inconsistency_in_QcType_qualifiers_Non-compliance_with_EN319412-5".

PRO-4.4.4-31: Using the applicable qualifiers identified in PRO-4.4.4-28.(a):

a) the processing shall check whether the following qualifiers are present among them: 'QCForWSA' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA"), 'NotQualified' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/NotQualified") and 'QCStatement' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCStatement");

b) the processing shall use the information obtained in PRO-4.4.4-29 to select the appropriate row of Table 3, and it shall use the information obtained in above point PRO-4.4.4-31.(a) to select the appropriate column of Table 3;

NOTE 9: Information in row1/column0 means, and corresponds to the case where, the QcCompliance statement is present in CERT without any QcType statement being present, or the QcCompliance statement is present in CERT together with QcType 1 statement. The meaning of the other rows of column0 can be deduced accordingly.

Information in row0/column1 means, and corresponds to the case where, none of the three qualifiers 'NotQualified', 'QCStatement', 'QCForWSA' in the 'Qualifications' extensions (denoted Sie:Q in Table 3) applies to CERT (this also includes the case where no Sie:Q extension is present). Information in row0/column5 means, and corresponds to the case where, both qualifiers 'QCStatement' and 'QCForWSA' in the 'Qualifications' extensions apply to CERT. The meaning of the other columns of row0 can be deduced accordingly.

c) the processing shall set CHECK_3 to the value found in the selected cell of Table 3;

EXAMPLE 4: If QcCompliance extension is present in CERT together with the QcType 3 and the qualifier 'QCForWSA' applies to CERT as per the applicable qualifiers, then the value set to CHECK_3 is "QWAC" (see row3/column4).
### Table 3: QC-For-WebsiteAuthentication determination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>QC Compliance or QC Compliance + QC Type 1</th>
<th>QC Compliance + QC Type 2</th>
<th>QC Compliance + QC Type 3</th>
<th>QC Compliance + QC Type 1 &amp; QC Type 2</th>
<th>QC Compliance + QC Type 1 &amp; QC Type 3</th>
<th>QC Compliance + QC Type 2 &amp; QC Type 3</th>
<th>QC Compliance + QC Type 1 &amp; QC Type 2 &amp; QC Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Not_QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;IND QT QWAC&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 0</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Column 3</th>
<th>Column 4</th>
<th>Column 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SietoSI = For WebsiteAuthentication

#### d) if row8/column3 of Table 3 was selected in step (b) above, then the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_T3_Not_Enough_Info_on_QC_Type".

**PRO-4.4.4-32:** The processing shall compare two by two the values of CHECK_1, CHECK_2, and CHECK_3 on the basis of Table 4 as follows:

a) when the comparison results in an "error" indication:
   1) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
   2) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status appropriate values reflecting the problematic two by two combinations; and
   3) the processing shall stop the process.

b) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
c) the processing shall set QC-Results to the set of indications provided in CHECK_1, in CHECK_2, and in CHECK_3;

d) when the comparison results in one or more "warning" indications:

1) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED_WITH_WARNING";

2) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status appropriate values reflecting the problematic two by two combinations.

Table 4: QC status check

![Table 4: QC status check]

e) the processing shall go to PRO-4.4.4-34.

PRO-4.4.4-33: Proceed as follows:

a) the processing shall set CHECK_2 to the value "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal";

b) the processing shall set CHECK_3 to the value "Not_QWAC";

c) if there are two or more of the SI-Results tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an SI-at-Date-time XML section for which the values included in the 'Service previous status' fields (see clause 5.6.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) are identical, then the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the indication value "WARNING_TL-SERVICE-ENTRY-SDI_DUPLICATION";

d) if there are two or more of the SI-Results tuples that include an SI-at-Date-time XML section for which the values included in the 'Service previous status' fields (see clause 5.6.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) are not identical, then:

1) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the indication value "ERROR_TL-SERVICE-ENTRY-SDI_DUPLICATION_STATUS_CONFLICT";

2) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

3) the processing shall stop the process.

e) the processing shall set CHECK_1 to void;
the processing shall proceed as follow:

1) When `Date-time` is before 2016-06-30T22:00:00Z, the processing shall check if the 'Service previous status' field of (any of) the `SI-at-Date-time` element(s) of the `SI-Results` tuples has one of the values "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/supervisionceased", "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/supervisionrevoked", "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/accreditationceased", or "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/accreditationrevoked";

2) When `Date-time` is after 2016-06-30T22:00:00Z, the processing shall check if none of the `SI-at-Date-time` element(s) of the `SI-Results` tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) includes an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures" or if the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field of (any of) the `SI-at-Date-time` element(s) of the `SI-Results` tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03) that include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) having the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures" has the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/withdrawn";

3) if the check performed in point 1) or 2) above was successful, then:
   i) the processing shall set `CHECK_1` to the value "Not_Qualified_For_eSig";
   ii) the processing shall set `QC-Status` to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
   iii) the processing shall stop the process.

g) The processing shall set `CHECK_1_SET-OF_QE` to the set of all 'QualificationElement' from all 'Qualifications' extensions (see clause 5.5.9.2 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) whose 'CriteriaList' element identifies `CERT` from all `SI-at-Date-time` elements of the `SI-Results` tuples (from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-03).

h) The processing shall proceed as follows:

1) it shall identify the set of all applicable qualifiers as per the content of the 'Qualifier' descendant elements (see clause 5.5.9.2.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of all 'QualificationElement' elements of `CHECK_1_SET-OF_QE`;

2) in case the qualifier "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForESeal", the qualifier "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCForWSA", and/or combination of the qualifiers "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/NotQualified" and "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCStatement" is/are found, then:
   i) the processing shall set `CHECK_1` to the value "INDET_QC_For_eSig";
   ii) the processing shall add to `QC-Sub-Status` the value "ERROR_T1_TL_Inconsistency_in_applying_qualifiers";
   iii) the processing shall set `QC-Status` to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
   iv) the processing shall stop the process.

i) the processing shall get the information about the presence in `CERT` of the id-etsi-qcs-QcCompliance statement (see ETSI EN 319 412-5 [3]), the 0.4.0.1456.1.1 (QCP+) ETSI defined certificate policy OID, and/or the 0.4.0.1456.1.2 (QCP) ETSI defined certificate policy OID;

j) the processing shall use the applicable qualifiers identified in PRO-4.4.4-33.(h).a to select the appropriate column of Table 5, and shall use the information obtained in PRO-4.4.4-33.(i) to select the appropriate row of Table 5;
Table 5: QC-For-eSig determination under Directive 1999/93/EC [i.7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>row0</th>
<th>row1</th>
<th>row2</th>
<th>row3</th>
<th>row4</th>
<th>row5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QcCompliance</td>
<td>Policy Id QC P (qcp-public)</td>
<td>Policy Id QC P+ (qcp-public-with-ssc)</td>
<td>Any combination of QcCompliance, Policy Id QC P, Policy Id QC P+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 10: Information in row1/column0 means, and corresponds to the case where, the QcCompliance statement is present in CERT. The meaning of the other rows of column0 can be deduced accordingly. Information in row0/column1 means, and corresponds to the case where, none of the qualifiers 'NotQualified' or 'QCStatement' in the 'Qualifications' extensions (denoted Sie:Q in Table 5) applies to CERT. The meaning of the other columns of row0 can be deduced accordingly.

k) the processing shall set CHECK_1 to the value found in the selected cell of Table 5;
l) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
m) the processing shall set QC-Results to the set of indications provided in CHECK_1, in CHECK_2, and in CHECK_3.

PRO-4.4.4-34: The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.4 of the present document, until either PRO-4.4.4-32 step is completed or PRO-4.4.4-33 step is completed, passing the following inputs to the process:

a) CERT;
b) the date and time value of the NotBeforeDate field of CERT.

PRO-4.4.4-35: If the output QC-Status of the process run in PRO-4.4.4-34 has the value "PROCESS_FAILED", then:

a) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
b) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the values provided by set of values from QC-Status and QC-Sub-Status from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-34; and
c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.4.4-36: The processing shall compare the values of QC-Results obtained after the first run of process 4.4 (with Date-time from the input) and after the second run of process 4.4 (with the NotBeforeDate):

a) when the two results do not contain the exact same set of indications then:
   1) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
   2) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status appropriate values reflecting the problematic comparison(s); and
3) the processing shall stop the process.

NOTE 11: When QC-Results include either "QC_For_eSig", or "QC_For_eSeal" or "QWAC", then CERT can be considered, at Date-time, respectively as an EU qualified certificate for electronic signatures, an EU qualified certificate for electronic seals, or an EU qualified certificate for website authentication.

b) when the QC-Sub-Status returned by the process run in PRO-4.4.4-34 contains one or more "warning" indications:
   1) the processing shall set QC-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED_WITH_WARNING";
   2) the processing shall add to QC-Sub-Status the values provided by the set of values from QC-Status and QC-Sub-Status from the process run in PRO-4.4.4-34.

4.5 QSCD determination

4.5.1 Description

The procedure specified in clause 4.5 allows determining whether an EU qualified certificate is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list to have had its private key residing in a QSCD at a specific date and time.

NOTE: As the EUMS trusted list provides such information only for qualified certificates of a certain type, the process will first determine whether or not the certificate is a qualified certificate and for which type.

4.5.2 Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description of inputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT</td>
<td>X.509 certificate for which the information is to be obtained (e.g. a ds:X509Certificate value of a ds:Keyinfo of the ds:Signature)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-time</td>
<td>Date and time indication as specified in clause 5.1.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QSCD-Results</td>
<td>An indication on whether CERT had its private key residing in a QSCD in accordance with the trusted lists. through one of the following values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) &quot;QSCD_YES&quot; to indicate that CERT had its private key residing in a QSCD at Date-time according to the EUMS trusted lists;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) &quot;QSCD_NO&quot; to indicate that CERT did not have its private key residing in a QSCD at Date-time according to the EUMS trusted lists;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) &quot;QSCD_INDETERMINATE&quot; to indicate that the EUMS trusted lists cannot be used to confirm whether CERT had its private key residing in a QSCD at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) Void.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSCD-Status</td>
<td>The status indication of the process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSCD-Sub-Status</td>
<td>A list of indications supplementing QSCD-Status indication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OUT-4.5.3-01: All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.

4.5.4 Processing

PRO-4.5.4-01: The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.4 of the present document, passing the following inputs to the process:

a) CERT;

b) Date-time.
PRO-4.5.4-02: If the output QC-Status of the process run in PRO-4.5.4-01 has the value "PROCESS_FAILED", then:

a) the processing shall set QSCD-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set QSCD-Sub-Status to the values provided by set of values from QC-Status and QC-Sub-Status; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.5.4-03: When Date-time is strictly before 2016-06-30T22:00:00Z:

a) If QC_Results includes the value "QC_For_eSig", then considering CHECK_1_SET-OF_QE as part of the outputs of the process run in PRO-4.5.4-01:

1) the processing shall identify the set of all applicable qualifiers as per the content of the 'Qualifier' descendant elements (see clause 5.5.9.2.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of all 'QualificationElement' elements of CHECK_1_SET-OF_QE, and shall check whether the following qualifiers are present among them: 'QCWithSSCD' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCWithSSCD"), 'QCNoSSCD' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCNoSSCD"), and 'QCSSCDStatusAsInCert' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCSSCDStatusAsInCert");

2) in case one of the following combinations is found, the processing shall set QSCD-Results to the value "QSCD_INDETERMINATE", shall set QSCD-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED_WITH_WARNING", shall add to QSCD-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_Inconsistency_in_applying_qualifiers_for_SSCD_status", and shall stop the process:

i) 'QCWithSSCD' and 'QCNoSSCD';

ii) 'QCSSCDStatusAsInCert' and 'QCWithSSCD';

iii) 'QCSSCDStatusAsInCert' and 'QCNoSSCD'.

3) the processing shall identify the presence of the 0.4.0.1456.1.1 (QCP+) ETSI defined certificate policy OID in the CertificatePolicies extension and the presence of the QcSSCD statement in the QCStatements extension (see ETSI EN 319 412-5 [3]) in CERT;

4) the processing shall use the information obtained in above point PRO-4.5.4-03.(a).a. to select the appropriate column of Table 6, and shall use the information obtained in above point PRO-4.5.4-03.(a).c. to select the appropriate row of Table 6, and shall set QSCD-Results to the value found in the selected cell;

Table 6: QSCD status check (Directive regime)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERT</th>
<th>&quot;QCWithSSCD&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;QCSSCDStatusAsInCert&quot; or no indication</th>
<th>&quot;QCNoSSCD&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QcSSCD present and/or PolicyId QCP+ (qcp-public-with-sscd) present</td>
<td>QSCD_YES</td>
<td>QSCD_YES</td>
<td>QSCD_NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QcSSCD not present and PolicyId QCP+ (qcp-public-with-sscd) not present</td>
<td>QSCD_YES</td>
<td>QSCD_NO</td>
<td>QSCD_NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) the processing shall set QSCD-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED"; and

6) the processing shall stop the process.

b) the processing shall set QSCD-Results to the value "QSCD_INDETERMINATE";
c) the processing shall set QSCD-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED"; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

**PRO-4.5.4-04:** If QC_Results includes the value "QC_For_eSig" or "QC_For_eSeal", then considering respectively CHECK_1_SET_OF_QE or CHECK_2_SET_OF_QE as part of the outputs of the process run in PRO-4.5.4-01:

a) the processing shall identify the set of all applicable qualifiers as per the content of the 'Qualifier' descendant elements (see clause 5.5.9.2.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) of all 'QualificationElement' elements of the considered output variable, and shall check whether the following qualifiers are present among them: 'QCWithQSCD' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCWithQSCD"), 'QCNoQSCD' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCNoQSCD"), 'QCQSCDStatusAsInCert' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCQSCDStatusAsInCert"), and 'QCQSCDManagedOnBehalf' ("http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/QCQSCDManagedOnBehalf");

b) in case one of the applicable qualifiers is not known by the processing, then:

1) if the 'Qualifications' extension from which this qualifier was retrieved is marked "critical", the processing shall set QCSCD-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED", shall add to QCSCD-Sub-Status the value "ERROR_Unknown_critical_qualifiers_for_QSCD_status", and shall stop the process;

2) else the processing shall add to QCSCD-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_Unknown_qualifiers_for_QSCD_status";

NOTE: The set of qualifiers that are known by the processing is implementation dependent. In the context of EU qualified certificates, the set of qualifiers that can be used when the type of the service to which it applies is "CA/QC" is specified in clause 5.5.9.2.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

c) in case one of the following qualifier or combinations is found, the processing shall set QCSCD-Results to the value "QCSCD_INDETERMINATE", shall set QCSCD-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED_WITH_WARNING", shall set QCSCD-Sub-Status to the value "WARNING_Inconsistency_in_applying_qualifiers_for_QSCD_status", and shall stop the process:

1) 'QCWithSSCD' and absence of 'QCWithQSCD';

2) 'QCNoSSCD' and absence of 'QCNoQSCD';

3) 'QCWithQSCD' and 'QCNoQSCD';

4) 'QCQSCDManagedOnBehalf' and 'QCNoQSCD';

5) 'QCQSCDStatusAsInCert' and any one of the other qualifiers listed in PRO-4.5.4-04.(a).

d) the processing shall identify the presence of the QcSSCD statement in the QCStatements extension (see ETSI EN 319 412-5 [3]) in CERT;

e) the processing shall use the information obtained in above point PRO-4.5.4-04.(a) to select the appropriate column of Table 7, shall use the information obtained in above point PRO-4.5.4-04.(c) to select the appropriate row of Table 7, and shall set QCSCD-Results to the value found in the selected cell.

**Table 7: QSCD status check (Regulation regime)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERT QcSSCD</th>
<th>QCWithQSCD or QCQSCDManagedOnBehalf</th>
<th>QCQSCDStatusAsInCert or no indication</th>
<th>QCNoQSCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>present</td>
<td>QCSCD_YES</td>
<td>QCSCD_YES</td>
<td>QCSCD_NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not present</td>
<td>QCSCD_YES</td>
<td>QCSCD_NO</td>
<td>QCSCD_NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
f) the processing shall set QSCD-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED"; and

g) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.5.4-05: If QC_Results does not include the value "QC_For_eSig" nor the value "QC_For_eSeal" then:

a) the processing shall set QSCD-Results to the value "QSCD_INDETERMINATE";

b) the processing shall set QSCD-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED"; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

4.6 EU qualified time stamp determination

4.6.1 Description

The procedure specified in clause 4.6 allows determining whether a time stamp token is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list to have been an EU qualified time stamp at its generation time, provided that the timestamp is valid.

4.6.2 Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description of inputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TST</td>
<td>The time stamp token for which the information is to be obtained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-time</td>
<td>Date and time indication as specified in clause 5.1.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QTST-Results</td>
<td>An indication of the EU qualified status of TST through one of the following values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) &quot;Not_Qualified&quot; to indicate that TST is not an EU qualified time stamp according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) &quot;Qualified&quot; to indicate that TST is an EU qualified time stamp according to the EUMS trusted list from CC at Date-time;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) &quot;Indeterminate&quot; to indicate that the EUMS trusted list from CC cannot be used to confirm that TST is an EU qualified time stamp at Date-time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTST-Status</td>
<td>The status indication of the process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTST-Sub-Status</td>
<td>A list of indications supplementing QTST-Status indication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>The country code of the EUMS trusted list being used to obtain the above listed three other outputs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OUT-4.6.3-01: All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.

4.6.4 Processing

PRO-4.6.4-01: Void.

PRO-4.6.4-02: If Date-time is before "2016-06-30T22:00:00Z", then:

a) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

b) the processing shall set QTST-Results to the value "Not_Qualified"; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.6.4-03: The processing shall set CERT to the X.509 certificate supporting the validation of the digital signature on TST.
PRO-4.6.4-04: The processing shall set CC to the country code value of the countryName attribute of the subjectName field of the certificate provided in CERT, in capital letters in accordance with the ISO 3166-1 [2] Alpha 2 country code, with, when applicable:
   a) the country code value "GB" being converted to "UK";
   b) the country code value "GR" being converted to "EL".

PRO-4.6.4-05: The processing shall set TLS-Sti to the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/Svctype/TSA/QTST" as specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

PRO-4.6.4-06: The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.3 of the present document, passing the following inputs to the process:
   a) CERT;
   b) TLS-Sti;
   c) Date-time;
   d) CC.

PRO-4.6.4-07: If the output SI-Status of the process run in PRO-4.6.4-06 has the value "PROCESS_FAILED", then:
   a) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
   b) the processing shall set QTST-Results to the value "Indeterminate";
   c) the processing shall set QTST-Sub-Status to the set of values from SI-Status and SI-Sub-Status; and
   d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.6.4-08: If the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.6.4-06 is void, then:
   a) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
   b) the processing shall set QTST-Results to the value "Not_Qualified"; and
   c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.6.4-09: If the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.6.4-06 includes more than one tuple for which the SI-at-Date-time respective parts include different values for their respective 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field, then:
   a) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
   b) the processing shall set QTST-Results to the value "Indeterminate";
   c) the processing shall set QTST-Sub-Status to the value "ERROR_INCONSISTENCY_IN_TL_ON_TST_STATUS"; and
   d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.6.4-10: If the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.6.4-06 includes more than one tuple for which the SI-at-Date-time respective parts include different public key values for their 'Service digital identifier' field (see clause 5.5.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]), then the processing shall add to QTST-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_DUPLICATION_OF_SERVICE_INFORMATION_IN_TL REGARDING_TST".

PRO-4.6.4-11: If the organizationName attribute of the subjectName field of the certificate provided in CERT is not matching one of the values of TSP-Name or TSP-Trade-Name of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.6.4-06, then:
   a) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
b) the processing shall set QTST-Results to the value "Indeterminate";

c) the processing shall set QTST-Sub-Status to the value "ERROR_TSP_NAME_INCONSISTENCY_BETWEEN_CERT_AND_TL"; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.6.4-12: If the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field of the SI-at-Date-time part(s) of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.6.4-06 has the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/granted":

a) then:
   1) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
   2) the processing shall set QTST-Results to the value "Qualified".

b) else:
   1) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
   2) the processing shall set QTST-Results to the value "Not_Qualified".

PRO-4.6.4-13: The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.6 of the present document, until PRO-4.6.4-12 step is completed, passing the following inputs to the process:

a) TST;

b) the date and time value as specified in TST and expressed as specified in clause 5.1.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

EXAMPLE: This date and time indication can be genTime from TSTInfo as specified in IETF RFC 3161 [1.6].

PRO-4.4.4-14: If the output QTST-Status of the process run in PRO-4.6.4-13 has the value "PROCESS_FAILED", then:

a) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall add to QTST-Sub-Status the values provided by set of values from QTST-Status and QTST-Sub-Status from the process run in PRO-4.6.4-13; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.6.4-15: The processing shall compare the values of QTST-Results obtained after the first run of process 4.6 (with Date-time from the input) and after the second run of process 4.6 (with the date and time value as specified in TST):

a) when the two results do not contain the exact same indication then:
   1) the processing shall set QTST-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
   2) the processing shall add to QTST-Sub-Status appropriate values reflecting the problematic comparison(s); and
   3) the processing shall stop the process.
4.7 EU qualified validation service determination

4.7.1 Description

The procedure specified in clause 4.7 allows determining whether the signer identified in the certificate supporting the validation of the digital signature of a trust service output is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list to have been, at a specific date and time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified validation service for qualified electronic signatures and/or for the provision of a qualified validation service for qualified electronic seals.

NOTE: The verification whether the trust service output is indeed a signature validation report attesting the result of the validation process of an EU qualified electronic signature or of an EU qualified electronic seal is out of scope of the present procedure.

4.7.2 Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description of inputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT</td>
<td>X.509 certificate for which the information is to be obtained (e.g. a ds:X509Certificate value of a ds:KeyInfo of the ds:Signature from the corresponding trust service output)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-time</td>
<td>Date and time indication as specified in clause 5.1.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| QVSO-Results | A set of indications of the EU qualified status of the QTSP/QTS identified through the subjectName attribute of CERT, which contains one or more of the following values:  
  a) "Not_Qualified_For_eSig" to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to not have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified validation service for qualified electronic signatures;  
  b) "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal" to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to not have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified validation service for qualified electronic seals;  
  c) "Qualified_For_eSig" to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified validation service for qualified electronic signatures;  
  d) "Qualified_For_eSeal" to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified validation service for qualified electronic seals;  
  e) "Indeterminate" to indicate that the EUMS trusted list from CC cannot be used to confirm that the signer identified in the CERT has been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified validation service for qualified electronic signatures or for the provision of a qualified validation service for qualified electronic seals. |
| QVSO-Status | The status indication of the process.                                                                                                          |
| QVSO-Sub-Status | A list of indications supplementing QVSO-Status indication.                                                                                  |
| CC          | The country code of the EUMS trusted list being used to obtain the above listed three other outputs.                                           |

OUT-4.7.3-01: All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.
4.7.4 Processing

**PRO-4.7.4-01:** If `Date-time` is before "2016-06-30T22:00:00Z", then:

a) the processing shall set `QVSO-Status` to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

b) the processing shall set `QVSO-Results` to the set of values "Not_Qualified_For_eSig" and "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal"; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

**PRO-4.7.4-02:** The processing shall set `CC` to the country code value of the `countryName` attribute of the `subjectName` field of the certificate provided in `CERT`, in capital letters in accordance with the ISO 3166-1 [2] Alpha 2 country code, with, when applicable:

a) the country code value "GB" being converted to "UK";

b) the country code value "GR" being converted to "EL".

**PRO-4.7.4-03:** The processing shall set `TLS-Sti` to the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/Svctype/QESValidation/Q" as specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

**PRO-4.7.4-04:** The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.3 of the present document, passing the following inputs to the process:

a) `CERT`;

b) `TLS-Sti`;

c) `Date-time`;

d) `CC`.

**PRO-4.7.4-05:** If the output `SI-Status` of the process run in PRO-4.7.4-04 has the value "PROCESS_FAILED", then:

a) the processing shall set `QVSO-Status` to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set `QVSO-Sub-Status` to the set of values from `SI-Status` and `SI-Sub-Status`; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

**PRO-4.7.4-06:** If the output `SI-Results` of the process run in PRO-4.7.4-04 is void, then:

a) the processing shall set `QVSO-Status` to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

b) the processing shall set `QVSO-Results` to the value "Indeterminate";

c) the processing shall set `QVSO-Results` to the set of values "Not_Qualified_For_eSig" and "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal"; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

**PRO-4.7.4-07:** If the output `SI-Results` of the process run in PRO-4.7.4-04 includes more than one tuple for which the `SI-at-Date-time` respective parts include different values for their 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field, then:

a) the processing shall set `QVSO-Status` to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set `QVSO-Results` to the value "Indeterminate";

c) the processing shall set `QVSO-Sub-Status` to the value "ERROR_INCONSISTENCY_IN_TL_ON_VSO-CERT_STATUS"; and
d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.7.4-08: If the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.7.4-04 includes more than one tuple for which the SI-at-Date-time respective parts include different public key values for their 'Service digital identifier' field (see clause 5.5.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]), then the processing shall add to QVSO-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_DUPLICATION_OF_SERVICE_INFORMATION_IN_TL REGARDING_VSO-CERT".

PRO-4.7.4-09: If the organizationName attribute of the subjectName field of the certificate provided in CERT is not matching one of the values of TSP-Name or TSP-Trade-Name of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.7.4-04, then:

a) the processing shall set QVSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
b) the processing shall set QVSO-Results to the value "Indeterminate";
c) the processing shall set QVSO-Sub-Status to the value "ERROR_TSP_NAME_INCONSISTENCY_BETWEEN_CERT_AND_TL"; and
d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.7.4-10: If the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field(s) of the SI-at-Date-time part(s) of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.7.4-04 has (have) the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/granted":

a) then:

1) the processing shall set QVSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
2) if one of these SI-at-Date-time respective parts include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) including the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures":
   i) then the processing shall add to QVSO-Results the value "Qualified_For_eSig";
   ii) else the processing shall add to QVSO-Results the value "Not_Qualified_For_eSig";
3) if one of these SI-at-Date-time respective parts include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) including the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSeals":
   i) then the processing shall add to QVSO-Results the value "Qualified_For_eSeal";
   ii) else the processing shall add to QVSO-Results the value "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal"; and
4) the processing shall stop the process.

b) else:

1) the processing shall set QVSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
2) the processing shall set QVSO-Results to the set of values "Not_Qualified_For_eSig" and "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal"; and
3) the processing shall stop the process.
4.8 EU qualified preservation service determination

4.8.1 Description

The procedure specified in clause 4.8 allows determining whether the signer identified in the certificate supporting the validation of the digital signature of a trust service output is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list to have been, at a specific date and time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic signatures and/or for the provision of a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic seals.

NOTE: The present process is only applicable when the qualified trust service provider providing a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic signatures and/or for qualified electronic seals is making use of PKI technology (e.g. for digitally signing evidences, attestations, reports) and the related PKI certificate is published in the applicable EUMS trusted list.

4.8.2 Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT</td>
<td>X.509 certificate for which the information is to be obtained (e.g. a ds:X509Certificate value of a ds:KeyInfo of the ds:Signature from the corresponding trust service output)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-time</td>
<td>Date and time indication as specified in clause 5.1.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.8.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QPSO-Results</td>
<td>A set of indications of the EU qualified status of the QTSP/QTS identified through the subjectName attribute of CERT, which contains one or more of the following values: a) &quot;Not_Qualified_For_eSig&quot; to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to not have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic signatures; b) &quot;Not_Qualified_For_eSeal&quot; to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to not have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic seals; c) &quot;Qualified_For_eSig&quot; to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic signatures; d) &quot;Qualified_For_eSeal&quot; to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic seals; e) &quot;Indeterminate&quot; to indicate that the EUMS trusted list from CC cannot be used to confirm that the signer identified in the CERT has been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic signatures or for the provision of a qualified preservation service for qualified electronic seals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPSO-Status</td>
<td>The status indication of the process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPSO-Sub-Status</td>
<td>A list of indications supplementing QPSO-Status indication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>The country code of the EUMS trusted list being used to obtain the above listed three other outputs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OUT-4.8.3-01: All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.
4.8.4 Processing

PRO-4.8.4-01: If Date-time is before "2016-06-30T22:00:00Z", then:

a) the processing shall set QPSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

b) the processing shall set QPSO-Results to the set of values "Not_Qualified_For_eSig" and "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal"; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.8.4-02: The processing shall set CC to the country code value of the countryName attribute of the subjectName field of the certificate provided in CERT, in capital letters in accordance with the ISO 3166-1 [2] Alpha 2 country code with, when applicable:

a) the country code value "GB" being converted to "UK";

b) the country code value "GR" being converted to "EL".

PRO-4.8.4-03: The processing shall set TLS-Sti to the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/Svctype/PSES/Q" as specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].

PRO-4.8.4-04: The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.3 of the present document, passing the following inputs to the process:

a) CERT;

b) TLS-Sti;

c) Date-time;

d) CC.

PRO-4.8.4-05: If the output SI-Status of the process run in PRO-4.8.4-04 has the value "PROCESS_FAILED", then:

a) the processing shall set QPSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set QPSO-Results to the value "Indeterminate";

c) the processing shall set QPSO-Sub-Status to the set of values from SI-Status and SI-Sub-Status; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.8.4-06: If the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.8.4-04 is void, then:

a) the processing shall set QPSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

b) the processing shall set QPSO-Results to the set of values "Not_Qualified_For_eSig" and "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal"; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.8.4-07: If the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.8.4-04 includes more than one tuple for which the SI-at-Date-time respective parts include different values for their 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field, then:

a) the processing shall set QPSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set QPSO-Results to the value "Indeterminate";

c) the processing shall set QPSO-Sub-Status to the value "ERROR_INCONSISTENCY_IN_TL_ON_PSO-CERT_STATUS"; and
d) the processing shall stop the process.

**PRO-4.8.4-08:** If the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.8.4-04 includes more than one tuple for which the SI-at-Date-time respective parts include different public key values for their 'Service digital identifier' field (see clause 5.5.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]), then the processing shall add to QPSO-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_DUPLICATION_OF_SERVICE_INFORMATION_IN_TL REGARDING_PSO-CERT".

**PRO-4.8.4-09:** If the organizationName attribute of the subjectName field of the certificate provided in CERT is not matching one of the values of TSP-Name or TSP-Trade-Name of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.7.4-04, then:

a) the processing shall set QPSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set QPSO-Results to the value "Indeterminate";

c) the processing shall set QPSO-Sub-Status to the value "ERROR_TSP_NAME_INCONSISTENCY_BETWEEN_CERT_AND_TL"; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

**PRO-4.8.4-10:** If the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field(s) of the SI-at-Date-time part(s) of the output SI-Results of the process run in PRO-4.8.4-04 has the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/Svcstatus/granted":

a) then:

1) the processing shall set QPSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

2) if one of these SI-at-Date-time respective parts include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) including the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSignatures":

i) then the processing shall add to QPSO-Results the value "Qualified_For_eSig";

ii) else the processing shall add to QPSO-Results the value "Not_Qualified_For_eSig";

3) if one of these SI-at-Date-time respective parts include an 'additionalServiceInformation' extension (see clause 5.5.9.4 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]) including the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/TrustedList/SvcInfoExt/ForeSeals":

i) then the processing shall add to QPSO-Results the value "Qualified_For_eSeal";

ii) else the processing shall add to QPSO-Results the value "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal"; and

4) the processing shall stop the process.

b) else:

1) the processing shall set QPSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

2) the processing shall set QPSO-Results to the set of values "Not_Qualified_For_eSig" and "Not_Qualified_For_eSeal"; and

3) the processing shall stop the process.
4.9 EU qualified electronic registered delivery service determination

4.9.1 Description

The procedure specified in clause 4.9 allows determining whether the signer identified in the certificate supporting the validation of the digital signature of a trust service output is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list to have been, at a specific date and time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified electronic registered delivery service.

NOTE: The verification whether the trust service output is indeed a qualified electronic registered delivery service evidence or statement is out of scope of the present procedure.

4.9.2 Inputs and parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT</td>
<td>X.509 certificate for which the information is to be obtained (e.g. a ds:X509Certificate value of a ds:KeyInfo of the ds:Signature from the corresponding trust service output)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-time</td>
<td>A date and time indication as specified in clause 5.1.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.9.3 Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QERDSO-Results</td>
<td>An indication of the EU qualified status of the QTSP/QTS identified through the subjectName attribute of CERT, which is one of the following values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) &quot;Not_Qualified&quot; to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to not have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified electronic registered delivery service;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) &quot;Qualified&quot; to indicate that the signer identified in the CERT is confirmed by the applicable EUMS trusted list from CC to have been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified electronic registered delivery service;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) &quot;Indeterminate&quot; to indicate that the EUMS trusted list from CC cannot be used to confirm that the signer identified in the CERT has been, at Date-time, an EU qualified trust service provider for the provision of a qualified electronic registered delivery service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QERDSO-Status</td>
<td>The status indication of the process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QERDSO-Sub-Status</td>
<td>A list of indications supplementing QERDSO-Status indication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>The country code of the EUMS trusted list being used to obtain the above listed three other outputs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OUT-4.9.3-01: All above listed output variables shall be initialized to void.

4.9.4 Processing

PRO-4.9.4-01: If Date-time is before "2016-06-30T22:00:00Z", then:

a) the processing shall set QERDSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

b) the processing shall set QERDSO-Results to the value "Not_Qualified"; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.
PRO-4.9.4-02: The processing shall set CC to the country code value of the \texttt{countryName} attribute of the \texttt{subjectName} field of the certificate provided in CERT, in capital letters in accordance with the ISO 3166-1 \cite{2} Alpha 2 country code, with, when applicable:

a) the country code value "GB" being converted to "UK";

b) the country code value "GR" being converted to "EL".

PRO-4.9.4-03: The processing shall set TLS-Sti-1 to the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/Svctype/EDS/Q" as specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 \cite{1}.

PRO-4.9.4-04: The processing shall set TLS-Sti-2 to the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/Svctype/EDS/REM/Q" as specified in clause 5.5.1.1 of ETSI TS 119 612 \cite{1}.

PRO-4.9.4-05: The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.3 of the present document, passing the following inputs to the process:

a) CERT;

b) TLS-Sti-1;

c) Date-time;

d) CC.

PRO-4.9.4-06: The processing shall run the process described in clause 4.3 of the present document, passing the following inputs to the process:

a) CERT;

b) TLS-Sti-2;

c) Date-time;

d) CC.

PRO-4.9.4-07: If both outputs SI-\texttt{Status} of the processes run in PRO-4.9.4-05 and in PRO-4.9.4-06 have the value "PROCESS_FAILED", then:

a) the processing shall set QERDSO-\texttt{Status} to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set QERDSO-\texttt{Results} to the value "Indeterminate";

c) the processing shall set QERDSO-\texttt{Sub-Status} to the set of values from SI-\texttt{Status} and SI-\texttt{Sub-Status} of both processes; and

d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.9.4-08: If both outputs SI-\texttt{Results} of the processes run in PRO-4.9.4-05 and in PRO-4.9.4-06 are void, then:

a) the processing shall set QERDSO-\texttt{Status} to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";

b) the processing shall set QERDSO-\texttt{Results} to the value "Not_Qualified"; and

c) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.9.4-09: If one of the outputs SI-\texttt{Results} of the processes run in PRO-4.9.4-05 and in PRO-4.9.4-06 includes more than one tuple for which the SI-at-Date-time respective parts include different values for their 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field, then:

a) the processing shall set QERDSO-\texttt{Status} to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";

b) the processing shall set QERDSO-\texttt{Results} to the value "Indeterminate";

c) the processing shall set QERDSO-\texttt{Sub-Status} to the value "ERROR_INCONSISTENCY_IN_TL_ON_ERDS_CERT_STATUS"; and
d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.9.4-10: If one of the outputs SI-Results of the processes run in PRO-4.9.4-05 and in PRO-4.9.4-06 includes more than one tuple for which the SI-at-Date-time respective parts include different public key values for their 'Service digital identifier' field (see clause 5.5.3 of ETSI TS 119 612 [1]), then the processing shall add to QERDSO-Sub-Status the value "WARNING_DUPLICATION_OF_SERVICE_INFORMATION_IN_TL REGARDING_ERDS_CERT".

PRO-4.9.4-11: When the SI-Results tuples from the processes run in PRO-4.9.4-05 and in PRO-4.9.4-06 include different TSP-Name values, then:

a) the processing shall add to QERDSO-Sub-Status the indication value "ERROR_TSP_CONFLICT";
b) the processing shall set QERDSO-Results to the value "Indeterminate";
c) the processing shall set QERDSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED"; and
d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.9.4-12: If the organizationName attribute of the subjectName field of the certificate provided in CERT is not matching one of the values of TSP-Name or TSP-Trade-Name of all not void tuples from all tuples from output SI-Results of the processes run in PRO-4.9.4-05 and in PRO-4.9.4-06, then:

a) the processing shall set QERDSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_FAILED";
b) the processing shall set QERDSO-Results to the value "Indeterminate";
c) the processing shall add to QERDSO-Sub-Status the value "ERROR_TSP_NAME_INCONSISTENCY_BETWEEN_ERDS_CERT_AND_TL"; and
d) the processing shall stop the process.

PRO-4.9.4-13: If the 'Service current status' or 'Service previous status' field(s) of the SI-at-Date-time part(s) of the output(s) SI-Results of any of the processes run in PRO-4.9.4-05 and in PRO-4.9.4-06 has (have) the value "http://uri.etsi.org/TrstSvc/ TrustedList/Svcstatus/granted":

a) then:
   1) the processing shall set QERDSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
   2) the processing shall set QERDSO-Results to the value "Qualified"; and
   3) the processing shall stop the process.

b) else:
   1) the processing shall set QERDSO-Status to the value "PROCESS_PASSED";
   2) the processing shall set QERDSO-Results to the value "Not_Qualified"; and
   3) the processing shall stop the process.
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