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Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI).

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the ETSI Drafting Rules (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Introduction

The assessment of the suitability of cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites used in the context of digital signatures, seals, or timestamps, as well as related certificates, is essential during their respective lifecycles. Starting from the creation of a signature at a given time and date when only certain algorithms meet the requirements regarding e.g. the collision resistance, and every time when validating the signature until the data is no longer needed or submitted to a preservation system one needs to assess the suitability of all algorithms involved and their respective validity periods.

Catalogues, such as ETSI TS 119 312 [i.2] or the agreed cryptographic mechanisms from SOG-IS [i.3], when given only in human-readable form, need to be translated into a machine-readable one by each provider of signature-related services individually to implement reliable digital-only processes.

The present document derives a generic schema based on IETF RFC 5698 [1] and initially specifies two different formats thereof. It aims at supporting crypto agility, at increasing the (cross-border) interoperability as well as at supporting backwards compatibility with regards to systems already in place when defining this schema such as long-term preservation systems.
1 Scope

The present document provides a generic schema for machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues based on IETF RFC 5698 [1] and specifies different formats such as XML [i.4] and JSON [i.5].

The present document is limited to a descriptive schema for algorithms and all parameters involved. No assessment of the suitability of any cryptographic algorithm, or cipher suite is given in the present document.

The present document focuses on signature-related algorithms, and cipher suites, and puts an emphasis on enabling a refined suitability description of the algorithms with respect to its status of recommendation as well as the specific context of usage an algorithm is assessed for.

2 References

2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at https://docbox.etsi.org/Reference.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long-term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.


2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long-term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

[i.1] ETSI TR 119 001: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); The framework for standardization of signatures; Definitions and abbreviations".

[i.2] ETSI TS 119 312: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Cryptographic Suites".


NOTE: Available at https://www.w3.org/XML/.


NOTE: Available at RFC 8259: The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format (rfc-editor.org).
3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations

3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the terms given in ETSI TR 119 001 [i.1], IETF RFC 5698 [1] and the following apply:

AdES (digital) signature: digital signature that is either a CAdES signature (CMS), or a PAdES signature (PDF), or a XAdES signature (XML), or a JAdES signature (JSON)

3.2 Symbols

Void.

3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in ETSI TS 119 001 [i.1] and the following apply:

- CMS: Cryptographic Message Syntax
- DSA: Digital Signature Algorithm
- DSSC: Data Structure for the Security Suitability of Cryptographic Algorithms
- JSON: JavaScript Object Notation
- OCSP: Online Certificate Status Protocol
- OID: Object IDentifier
- PKCS: Public-Key Cryptography Standard
- QSCD: Qualified Signature Creation Device
- QTSP: Qualified Trust Service Provider
- RSA: RSA cryptosystem, named after Rivest, Shamir, Adleman
- SHA: Secure Hash Algorithm
- SOG-IS: Senior Officials Group Information Systems Security
- URI: Uniform Resource Identifier
- XML: eXtensible Markup Language

4 Overall structure of algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues

4.1 Overview

The present document is based on IETF RFC 5698 [1].

A machine-readable catalogue may contain only a subset of the defined algorithms.
Clause 4.2 describes the semantic of the different elements of the machine-readable algorithm catalogue independent of the specific format used.

Annexes A and B specify the format-specific syntax for XML [i.4], [i.8] and JSON [i.5], [i.7] instances of this schema, respectively.

All the semantic of elements shall remain as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1] where not stated otherwise.

4.2 Elements of a machine-readable algorithm catalogue

4.2.1 Security-suitability-policy

The security-suitability-policy element shall be the root element of the machine-readable catalogue.

The semantic of the security-suitability-policy element shall be as defined IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.1.

4.2.2 Policy-name

The semantic of the policy-name element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.2.

4.2.3 Publisher

The semantic of the publisher element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.3.

4.2.4 Policy-issue-date

The semantic of the policy-issue-date element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.4.

4.2.5 NextUpdate

The semantic of the next-update element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.5.

4.2.6 Usage

The semantic of the usage element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.6.

4.2.7 Algorithm

The semantic of the algorithm element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.7.

4.2.8 Algorithm-identifier

The semantic of the algorithm-identifier element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.8.

4.2.9 Evaluation

4.2.9.1 General description

The semantic of the evaluation element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.9.

The evaluation element may contain a more-details element.

The more-details element may contain zero, one or more algorithm-usage elements as defined in clause 4.2.9.2 to allow parameter- and context-specific evaluations of cryptographic mechanisms.

The more-details element may contain a recommendation element as defined in clause 4.2.9.3 to distinguish between recommended and legacy mechanisms, as defined in ETSI TS 119 312 [i.2], clause 3.1.
4.2.9.2 Algorithm-usage

The algorithm-usage element shall contain a URI which allows to further distinguish the validity period of an algorithm based on the usage.

EXAMPLE 1: It allows to differentiate between signature creation and signature validation use cases.

NOTE 1: The amount of data of certificates is comparably small to arbitrary documents, hence when applying a hash algorithm to certificates they are less affected by lowered collision-resistance of the hash algorithm, which in turn could allow for a prolonged suitability period in this specific use case.

Omitting all usage types shall be equivalent to no restriction at all.

When the validity element is provided in the corresponding evaluation element containing an algorithm-usage element, all use cases hierarchical below the one given are included according to the hierarchy diagram (figure 1).

![Algorithm usage type hierarchy](image)

Figu re 1: Algorithm usage type hierarchy

EXAMPLE 2: Sign data will include all other types, i.e. it is equivalent to no restriction when no further restriction is provided for the use cases lying below.

NOTE 2: The hierarchy tree inherently includes ambiguity, i.e. there is more than one path from root (sign data) to tree leaves of validation types.

The following algorithm-usage URIs are defined:

- http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for any signed data.
- http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/sign_certificates shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for signing certificates.
- http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/sign_ocsp shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for signing OCSP responses.
- http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/sign_timestamps shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for signing timestamps.
- http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/validate_data shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for the validation of any signed data.
• http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/validate_data/validate_certificates shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for the validation of certificates.

• http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/validate_data/validate_ocsp shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for the validation of OCSP responses.

• http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/validate_data/validate_timestamps shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for the validation of timestamps.

NOTE 3: The present document defines URIs which are not used as pointers to a specific location but are used as unique identifiers.

4.2.9.3 Recommendation

The recommendation element shall be used to indicate that a mechanism and its parameters are either Recommended (R) or Legacy (L), as defined in ETSI TS 119 312 [i.2], clause 3.1.

Omitting this element shall be equivalent to a recommended mechanism when the end date is absent or lies in the future.

4.2.10 Parameter

The semantic of the parameter element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.10.

4.2.11 Validity

The semantic of the validity element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.11.

4.2.12 Information

The semantic of the information element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.12.

4.2.13 Signature

The signature element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.13.

5 Machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues

Machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues may be defined in different formats.

Two formats are specified in the annexes A (XML [i.4]) and B (JSON [i.5]). Additional formats may be defined in a future version of the present document.

Machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues should be published in all defined formats, i.e. in XML and JSON format until further additions.

6 Definition of Parameters

For interoperability reasons the recommendation of parameters names for RSA and DSA of IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 5 should be followed.
7 Processing

The processing shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 6.

8 Security Considerations

The security considerations shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 7.
Annex A (normative):
XML Policy Schema

A.1 SecuritySuitabilityPolicy

The SecuritySuitabilityPolicy element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.1.

The id attribute shall be included when the catalogue is signed.

A.2 PolicyName

The PolicyName element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.2.

A.3 Publisher

The Publisher element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.3.

A.4 Policy-issue-date

The Policy-issue-date element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.4.

A.5 NextUpdate

The NextUpdate element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.5.

A.6 Usage

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the SecuritySuitabilityPolicy element (see clause A.1).

A.7 Algorithm

The Algorithm element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.7.

A.8 AlgorithmIdentifier

The AlgorithmIdentifier element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.8.
A.9 Evaluation

A.9.1 MoreDetails

NOTE: Instead of the any element of the Evaluation element of IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.9 the MoreDetails element of ExtensionType is used for XML instances of the present schema.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema elementFormDefault="qualified" targetNamespace="http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#"
xmlns="http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#" xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="MoreDetails" type="ExtensionType"/>
  <xs:complexType mixed="true" name="ExtensionType">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="AlgorithmUsage" type="xs:anyURI" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xs:element name="Recommendation" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1">
        <xs:simpleType>
          <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
            <xs:enumeration value="R"/>
            <xs:enumeration value="L"/>
          </xs:restriction>
        </xs:simpleType>
      </xs:element>
      <xs:sequence maxOccurs="unbounded" minOccurs="0">
        <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

A.9.2 AlgorithmUsage

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the MoreDetails element (see clause A.9.1).

A.9.3 Recommendation

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the MoreDetails element (see clause A.9.1).

A.10 Parameter

The Parameter element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.10.

A.11 Validity

The Validity element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.11.

A.12 Information

The Information element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.12.
A.13 Signature

The *Signature* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.13.

XAdES [i.10] should be used to sign XML catalogue instances.

A.14 XML Schema file location for namespace http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#

The file available at https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19_322_algocat_schema/raw/v1.1.1/19322algocatxmlschema.xsd ("19322algocatxmlschema.xsd") contains the definitions of elements and types defined within the namespace whose URI value is http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#.
Annex B (normative):

JSON format

B.1 General information

NOTE: The JSON schema defined in [i.7] is used.

All suitability policy elements are entries of the array anyOf as indicated in clause B.2

The object definitions contains all type definitions of the suitability policy elements as indicated in clause B.2.

B.2 JSON schema

B.2.1 SecuritySuitabilityPolicy

"anyOf": [
  {
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "SecuritySuitabilityPolicy": {"$ref": 
        "#/definitions/SecuritySuitabilityPolicyType"
      }
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
],

"definitions": {
  "SecuritySuitabilityPolicyType": {
    "type": "object",
    "required": [
      "PolicyName",
      "Publisher",
      "PolicyIssueDate",
      "Algorithm"
    ],
    "properties": {
      "PolicyName": {"$ref": 
        "#/definitions/PolicyNameType"},
      "Publisher": {"$ref": 
        "#/definitions/PublisherType"},
      "PolicyIssueDate": {
        "type": "string",
        "format": "date-time"
      },
      "NextUpdate": {
        "type": "string",
        "format": "date-time"
      },
      "Usage": {"type": "string"},
      "Algorithm": {
        "type": "array",
        "items": {"$ref": 
          
        "#/definitions/AlgorithmType"},
        "minItems": 1
      },
      "Signature": {"$ref": 
        "#/definitions/SignatureType"},
      "version": {
        "type": "string",
        "default": "1"
      },
      "lang": {
        "type": "string",
        "default": "en"
      }
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}
B.2.2 PolicyName

{ 
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "PolicyName": {"$ref": "#/definitions/PolicyNameType"}
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}

"PolicyNameType": { 
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["Name"],
  "properties": { 
    "Name": ["type": "string"],
    "ObjectIdentifier": ["type": "string"],
    "URI": { 
      "type": "string",
      "format": "uri"
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}

B.2.3 Publisher

{ 
  "type": "object",
  "properties": { 
    "Publisher": {"$ref": "#/definitions/PublisherType"}
  }
}

"PublisherType": { 
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["Name"],
  "properties": { 
    "Name": ["type": "string"],
    "Address": ["type": "string"],
    "URI": { 
      "type": "string",
      "format": "uri"
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}

B.2.4 PolicyIssueDate

NOTE:  This element is defined as part of the SecuritySuitabilityPolicy element (see clause B.2.1).

B.2.5 NextUpdate

NOTE:  This element is defined as part of the SecuritySuitabilityPolicy element (see clause B.2.1).

B.2.6 Usage

NOTE:  This element is defined as part of the SecuritySuitabilityPolicy element (see clause B.2.1).

B.2.7 Algorithm

{ 
  "type": "object",
  "properties": { 
    "Algorithm": {"$ref": "#/definitions/AlgorithmType"}
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}
"AlgorithmType": {  
  "type": "object",  
  "required": [  
    "AlgorithmIdentifier",  
    "Evaluation"  
  ],  
  "properties": {  
    "AlgorithmIdentifier": {"$ref": "#/definitions/AlgorithmIdentifierType"},  
    "Evaluation": {  
      "type": "array",  
      "items": {"$ref": "#/definitions/EvaluationType"},  
      "minItems": 1  
    },  
    "Information": {"$ref": "#/definitions/InformationType"}  
  },  
  "additionalProperties": false  
}

**B.2.8 AlgorithmIdentifier**

{
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {  
    "AlgorithmIdentifier": {"$ref": "#/definitions/AlgorithmIdentifierType"}  
  },  
  "additionalProperties": false  
}

"AlgorithmIdentifierType": {  
  "type": "object",  
  "required": [  
    "Name",  
    "ObjectIdentifier"  
  ],  
  "properties": {  
    "Name": {"type": "string"},  
    "ObjectIdentifier": {  
      "type": "array",  
      "items": {"type": "string"},  
      "minItems": 1  
    },  
    "URI": {  
      "type": "array",  
      "items": {  
        "type": "string",  
        "format": "uri"  
      },  
      "minItems": 0  
    }  
  },  
  "additionalProperties": false  
}

**B.2.9 Evaluation**

**B.2.9.1 General description**

{
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {  
    "Evaluation": {"$ref": "#/definitions/EvaluationType"}  
  },  
  "additionalProperties": false  
}

"EvaluationType": {  
  "type": "object",  
  "required": ["Validity"],  
  "properties": {  
    "Parameter": {  
      "type": "array",  
      "items": {"$ref": "#/definitions/ParameterType"},  
      "minItems": 0  
    }  
  }
}
B.2.9.2 AlgorithmUsage

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the Evaluation element (see clause B.2.9.1).

B.2.9.3 Recommendation

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the Evaluation element (see clause B.2.9.1).

B.2.10 Parameter

```
{
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "Parameter": {
      "$ref": "#/definitions/ParameterType"
    },
    "additionalProperties": false
  }
}
```

B.2.11 Validity

```
{
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "Validity": {
      "$ref": "#/definitions/ValidityType"
    },
    "additionalProperties": false
  }
}
```

```json
"ValidityType": {
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "Start": {
      "type": "string",
      "format": "date"
    },
    "End": {
      "type": "string",
      "format": "date"
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}
```
B.2.12 Information

```
{
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "Information": {
      "$ref": "#/definitions/InformationType"
    }
  }
}
```

"InformationType": {
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["Text"],
  "properties": {
    "Text": {
      "type": "array",
      "items": {"type": "string"},
      "minItems": 1
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}

B.2.13 Signature

JAdES [i.9] should be used to sign JSON catalogue instances.

B.3 JSON Schema file location

The file available at https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19_322_algocat_schema/raw/v1.1.1/19322algocatjsonschema.json ("19322cryptalgojsonschema.json") contains the definitions of elements and types defined within the JSON schema associated to the present document.
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