# ETSI TS 102 797-2 V1.1.1 (2012-08)



Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Communications Access for Land Mobiles (CALM); Test specifications for ITS station management (ISO 24102); Part 2: Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP)

Reference DTS/ITS-0020011

Keywords CALM, ITS, testing, TSS&TP

#### **ETSI**

#### 650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

#### Important notice

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from: http://www.etsi.org

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services: http://portal.etsi.org/chaircor/ETSI\_support.asp

#### **Copyright Notification**

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

> © European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2012. All rights reserved.

**DECT**<sup>TM</sup>, **PLUGTESTS**<sup>TM</sup>, **UMTS**<sup>TM</sup> and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**<sup>TM</sup> and **LTE**<sup>TM</sup> are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

## Contents

Intelle	ectual Property Rights	4
Forew	vord	4
1	Scope	5
2	References	5
2.1	Normative references	5
2.2	Informative references	5
3	Definitions and abbreviations	6
3.1	Definitions	
3.2	Abbreviations	
4	Test suite structure	
4.1	Test architecture	6
5	TP basics	7
5.1	TP definition conventions	
5.2	TP identifier naming conventions	
5.3	Rules for behaviour description	
5.4	Sources of TP definitions	
5.5	TP proforma	
5.6	PICS mnemonics	9
6	TPs for local station management protocols	9
7	TPs for remote station management protocols	9
8	TPs for IICP	10
8.1	Management	
8.1.1	Valid behaviour tests	10
8.1.2	Invalid behaviour tests	12
8.2	Communications	
8.2.1	Valid behaviour tests	
8.2.2	Invalid behaviour tests	21
9	TPs for FSAP	23
9.1	Service provider	23
9.1.1	Combined ITS-S host and ITS-S router	23
9.1.1.1		
9.1.1.2		
9.1.2	ITS-S host only	
9.1.2.1 9.1.2.2		
9.1.2.2	2 Invalid behaviour tests ITS-S router only	
9.1.3		
9.1.3.2		
9.2	Service user	
9.2.1	Combined ITS-S host and ITS-S router	
9.2.1.1		
9.2.1.2	2 Invalid behaviour tests	35
9.2.2	ITS-S host only	
9.2.2.1		
9.2.2.2		
9.2.3	ITS-S router only	
9.2.3.1		
9.2.3.2		
Histor	ry	38

## Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (http://ipr.etsi.org).

4

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

### Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Intelligent Transport System (ITS).

The present document is part 2 of a multi-part deliverable covering "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes" (TSS&TP) specifications for ITS station management protocols as identified below:

Part 1: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) specification";

#### Part 2: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP)";

Part 3: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial PIXIT proforma".

#### 1 Scope

The present document provides the test suite structure and test purpose specification for the ISO protocols specified in ISO/DIS 24102 [1], [2] in compliance with the relevant requirements, and in accordance with the relevant guidance given in EG 202 798 [i.1].

5

## 2 References

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the reference document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at <a href="http://docbox.etsi.org/Reference">http://docbox.etsi.org/Reference</a>.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

#### 2.1 Normative references

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

- [1] ISO/DIS 24102-4: "Intelligent transport systems -- Communications access for land mobiles (CALM) -- ITS station management -- Part 4: Station-internal management communications".
- NOTE: Available at <u>http://www.iso.org/iso/iso\_catalogue/catalogue\_tc/catalogue\_detail.htm?csnumber=61565</u>.
- [2] ISO/DIS 24102-5: "Intelligent transport systems -- Communications access for land mobiles (CALM) -- ITS station management -- Part 5: Fast service advertisement protocol (FSAP)".
- NOTE: Available at http://www.iso.org/iso/iso catalogue/catalogue tc/catalogue detail.htm?csnumber=61566.
- [3] ETSI TS 102 797-1: "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Communications Access for Land Mobiles (CALM); Test specifications for ITS station management (ISO 24102); Part 1: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) specification".

#### 2.2 Informative references

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

- [i.1] ETSI EG 202 798: "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Testing; Framework for conformance and interoperability testing".
- [i.2] ISO/DIS 24102-1: "Intelligent transport systems -- Communications access for land mobiles (CALM) -- ITS station management -- Part 1: Local management".
- [i.3] ISO/DIS 24102-3: "Intelligent transport systems -- Communications access for land mobiles (CALM) -- ITS station management -- Part 3: Service access points".

## 3 Definitions and abbreviations

### 3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in [1], [2], [i.1] and [i.3] apply.

6

### 3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in [1], [2], [i.1] and [i.3] apply.

## 4 Test suite structure

#### 4.1 Test architecture

In general, the conformance test system architecture as illustrated in the ITS testing framework [i.1] applies. Such SUTs which support the "Inter-ITS-SCU-Communications Protocol" (IICP) [1] may benefit from the conformance test system architecture illustrated in figure 1, where the access to the IUT from top, i.e. in general via the "Upper tester application", is performed via remote access to the management SAPs applying IICP.



Figure 1: Conformance test system architecture for SUTs compliant with [1]

Testing a protocol which resides inside the ITS management entity does not follow strictly the illustrations given in the ITS testing framework [i.1]. Nevertheless the principles outlined there apply also. The essential difference is, that the access from the "upper tester application" and form the "ITS lower layers" to the IUT is via the management SAPs.

In general, the upper tester application [i.1] allows to access anyone of the three management SAPs of the IUT, i.e. MI-SAP, MN-SAP and MF-SAP. The ITS test system uses the remote management commands specified in [1].

Note that for testing of FSAP and IICP, the ITS lower layers connect to the IUT via MF-SAP only.

## 5 TP basics

### 5.1 TP definition conventions

The TP definition is built according to the guidelines provided in the ITS testing framework [i.1], applying a formalized language with pre-defined keywords for the behaviour description.

### 5.2 TP identifier naming conventions

The identifier of the TP is built according to tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 as recommended in the ITS testing framework [i.1].

Table 1: TP naming convention for station-internal management protocols

TP/ <root>/<gr>/<x>/<nn></nn></x></gr></root>		
<root> = root</root>	INTM	Other management protocols
<gr> = group</gr>		
· ·		
<sgr> = sub- group</sgr>		
<x> = type of testing</x>	BV	Valid Behaviour tests
	BI	Invalid Syntax or Behaviour Tests
<nn> = sequential number</nn>		01 to 99

NOTE 1: The present document does not contain TPs related to table 1, which will be provided in a future version.

Table 2: TP naming convention for remote station management protocols

TP/ <root>/<gr>/<x>/<nn></nn></x></gr></root>		
<root> = root</root>	EXTM	Other management protocols
<gr> = group</gr>		
<sgr> = sub- group</sgr>		
<syl> = sub- gloup</syl>		
<x> = type of testing</x>	BV	Valid Behaviour tests
	BI	Invalid Syntax or Behaviour Tests
<nn> = sequential number</nn>		01 to 99

NOTE 2: The present document does not contain TPs related to table 2, which will be provided in a future version.

#### Table 3: TP naming convention for Inter-ITS-SCU communication protocol (IICP)

TP/ <root>/<gr>/<nn></nn></gr></root>		
<root> = root</root>	IICP	Inter-ITS-SCU communication Protocol
<gr> = group</gr>	MGM	Management
	COM	Communication
<x> = type of testing</x>	BV	Valid Behaviour tests
	BI	Invalid Syntax or Behaviour Tests
<nn> = sequential number</nn>		01 to 99

TPs for the IICP are specified in clause 8.

TP/ <root>/<gr>/<x>/<nn></nn></x></gr></root>		
<root> = root</root>	FSAP	Fast Service Advertisement Protocol
<gr> = group</gr>	SP	Service provider
	SU	Service user
<sgr> = sub-group</sgr>	HR	Combined ITS-S host and ITS-S router
	HO	ITS-S host only
	RO	ITS-S router only
<x> = type of testing</x>	BV	Valid Behaviour tests
	BI	Invalid Syntax or Behaviour Tests
<nn> = sequential number</nn>		01 to 99

Table 4: TP naming convention for FSAP

TPs for FSAP are specified in clause 9.

### 5.3 Rules for behaviour description

The description of the TP is built according to the guidelines provided in the ITS testing framework [i.1].

## 5.4 Sources of TP definitions

All TPs are specified according to [1],[2].

### 5.5 TP proforma

[i.1] proposes a TP proforma which is used in the present document. The fields of this proforma as used in the present document are explained in table 5.

TP Header		
TP ID	The TP ID is a unique identifier according to the TP naming conventions in tables 1, 2, 3 and 4.	
Test objective	Short description of test purpose objective according to the requirements from the base standard.	
Reference	The reference indicates the clauses of the reference standard specifications in which the conformance requirement is expressed.	
PICS selection	Reference to the PICS statement involved for selection of the TP. Contains a Boolean expression. May contain PICS acronyms specified in table. This section is only used in case an optional or conditional behaviour needs to be selected. Mandatory behaviour is not identified here.	
	TP Behaviour	
Initial conditions (optional)	The initial conditions define in which initial state the IUT has to be to apply the actual TP. In the corresponding "Test Case" (TC), when the execution of the initial condition does not succeed, it leads to the assignment of an Inconclusive verdict.	
Expected behaviour (TP body)	Definition of the events, which are parts of the TP objective, and the IUT are expected to perform in order to conform to the base specification. In the corresponding TC," Pass" or "Fail" verdicts can be assigned there.	

Table 5: TP	proforma field description	

### 5.6 PICS mnemonics

The PICS mnemonics presented in table 6 are used in the TP proforma.

Table 6: PICS mnemonics for FSAP

Mnemonic	PICS item
PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP	[3] D.1/1
PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU	[3] D.1/2
PICS_SIP_W_CTX	[3] D.3/1
PICS_SIP_N_CTX	[3] D.3/2
PICS_ROLE_RONLY	[3] D.5/1
PICS_ROLE_HONLY	[3] D.5/2
PICS_ROLE_RH	[3] D.5/3
PICS_ITS_S_INW	[3] D.6/1

#### Table 7: PICS mnemonics for IICP

Mnemonic	PICS item
PICS_ROLE_HONLY	[3] C.1/1
PICS_ROLE_RONLY	[3] C.1/2
PICS_ROLE_RH	[3] C.1/3
PICS_IICP_MGM	[3] C.8/1

### 6 TPs for local station management protocols

NOTE: The present document does not contain TPs related to local management of an ITS station specified in [i.2], as such TPs are not covered by the scope of ETSI STF 422, which developed the present document. These TPs will be defined in a future version.

### 7 TPs for remote station management protocols

NOTE: The present document does not contain TPs related to remote management of an ITS station. These TPs will be defined in the future.

## 8 TPs for IICP

## 8.1 Management

### 8.1.1 Valid behaviour tests

TP ld	IICP/MGM/BV/01	
Test objective	Generation of ITS-SCUalive message after power on - no other ITS-SCU in the SUT	
Reference	9.1, 9.2	
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having no ki	nowledge about other ITS-SCUs in the SUT	
}	-	
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT starting		
}		
then {		
the IUT generate	es an ITS-SCUalive (new) message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID=65535 and with SourceITS-	
SCU-ID equal to the own ITS-SCU ID, indicating its IST-SCUtype, and forwards this with MF-COMMAND		
IICrequestTX to the IICA		
}		
}		

TP ld	IICP/MGM/BV/02		
Test objective	Reception of ITS-SCUalive (new) message with no address conflict		
Reference	9.1, 9.2		
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM		
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having its ow	ITS-SCU-ID allocated		
}			
Expected behaviour			
evaluate whether {			
when {			
the IUT having received an ITS-SCUalive (new) message without address conflict			
}			
then {			
the IUT shall acknowledge this with ErrorStatus = 0 using MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX			
}			
}			

TP ld	IICP/MGM/BV/03	
Test objective	Reception of ITS-SCUalive (new) message with address conflict	
Reference	9.1, 9.2	
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its own	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
	ceived an ITS-SCUalive (new) message with address conflict, i.e. from an ITS-SCU having the	
same ITS-SCU-ID		
}		
then {		
the IUT shall acknowledge this with ErrorStatus = 2 using MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX for transmission to all		
ITS-SCUs		
}		
}		

TP ld	IICP/MGM/BV/04		
Test objective	Reception of ITS-SCUalive (alive) message with no address conflict		
Reference	9.1, 9.3		
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM		
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having its ow	vn ITS-SCU-ID allocated		
}			
	Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {			
when {			
the IUT having re	the IUT having received an ITS-SCUalive (alive) message without address conflict		
}	}		
then {			
the IUT does not show any visible reaction			
}			
}			

TP Id	IICP/MGM/BV/05	
Test objective	Periodic transmission of ITS-SCUalive (alive) message	
Reference	9.1, 9.3	
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	/n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having tra	ansmitted an ITS-SCUalive (alive) message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID=65535 and with	
SourceITS-SCU-	ID equal to its own ITS-SCU ID, which does not result in an address conflict	
}		
then {		
the IUT transmits	the IUT transmits the next ITS-SCUalive (alive) message after the time span given in parameter Talive.	
}		
}		

TP Id	IICP/MGM/BV/06		
Test objective	Transmission of ITS-SCUalive (delete) message		
Reference	9.1, 9.4		
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM		
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated		
}			
	Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {			
when {			
the IUT wants to shut down and stop operation			
}	}		
then {			
the IUT transmits an ITS-SCUalive (delete) message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID=65535 and with SourceITS-			
SCU-ID equal to its own ITS-SCU ID using MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX			
}			
}			

## 8.1.2 Invalid behaviour tests

TP Id	IICP/MGM/BI/01		
Test objective	Reception of ITS-SCUalive (alive) message with address conflict		
Reference	9.1, 9.3		
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM		
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having its ow	/n ITS-SCU-ID allocated		
}			
	Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {			
when {			
5	the IUT having received an ITS-SCUalive (alive) message with address conflict , i.e. from an ITS-SCU having the same ITS-SCU-ID		
}			
then {			
the IUT shall acknowledge this with ErrorStatus = 2 using MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX for transmission to all ITS-SCUs,			
the IUT shall delete its own ITS-SCU-ID and shall register newly by sending an ITS-SCU (new) message indicating a new ITS-SCU-ID with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA for transmission to all ITS-SCUs			
}			

TP Id	IICP/MGM/BI/02	
Test objective	Reception of ITS-SCUalive message with unknown AliveMessage	
Reference	9	
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having own I	TS-SCU-ID	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having re	ceived an ITS-SCUalive message with unknown AliveMessage	
}	}	
then {	then {	
the IUT acknowledges the message with ErrorStatus 3		
}		
}		

TP Id	IICP/MGM/BI/03	
Test objective	Reception of ITS-SCUalive message with unknown ITS-SCU type	
Reference	9	
PICS Selection	PICS_IICP_MGM	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having received an ITS-SCUalive message with unknown ITS-SCU type		
}	}	
then {		
the IUT acknowledges the message with ErrorStatus 4		
}	}	
}		

## 8.2 Communications

#### 8.2.1 Valid behaviour tests

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/01
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request VCI-info to all types of ITS-SCUs
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.3
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re-	ceived the request to send an VCI-info request message to all ITS-SCUs
}	
then {	
the IUT generates an VCI-info request message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID=65535 and with SourceITS-SCU-ID equal to its own ITS-SCU-ID, and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA for transmission to all ITS-SCUs	
}	

TP ld	IICP/COM/BV/02	
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request VCI-info to ITS-SCUs with ITS-S router role	
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.3	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when {		
	ceived the request to send an VCI-info request message to all ITS-SCUs	
}		
then {		
•	an VCL info request measure with DestinationITS SCLUD_2 and with SourceITS SCLUD	
the IUT generates an VCI-info request message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID=2 and with SourceITS-SCU-ID equal to its own ITS-SCU-ID, and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA for transmission to		
all ITS-SCUs		
}		
}		

P		
TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/03	
Test objective	Transmission of VCI-info response message	
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1	
PICS Selection	PICS_ROLE_RH OR PICS_ROLE_RONLY	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU ID, and having at least one CI to connect to another ITS-S	
}		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having re	ceived an VCI-info request message	
}		
then {		
the IUT prepares the VCI-info response message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="private address from the ITS-		
SCU which generated the request" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID equal to its own ITS-SCU-ID, and forwards this		
with MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX to the IICA		
}		
}		

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/04	
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request VCI-update to all types of ITS-SCUs	
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.3	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its own	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT wants to p	provide an update of its own VCI information to all ITS-SCUs	
}		
then {		
the IUT generates	the IUT generates an VCI-update message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID=65535 and with SourceITS-SCU-ID	
equal to its own ITS-SCU-ID, and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA for transmission to		
all ITS-SCUs	•	
}		
}		

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/05	
Test objective	Reception of VCI-update request message	
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU ID	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having received an VCI-update request message		
}		
then {		
the IUT does not show any visible reaction		
}	}	
}		

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/06		
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request MF-rcmd to a specific ITS-SCU		
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.5		
PICS Selection			
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having its ov	vn ITS-SCU-ID "own" allocated and knows the private ITS-SCU-ID "other" of another ITS-SCU		
}			
Expected behaviour			
evaluate whether {	evaluate whether {		
when {			
the ITS-S manage	the ITS-S management requests remote access to the MF-SAP in another ITS-SCU		
}			
then {			
the IUT generates an MF-rcmd request message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-			
ID "own", and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA			
}			
h '			

	1
TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/07
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request MN-rcmd to a specific ITS-SCU
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.5
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU-ID "own" allocated and knows the private ITS-SCU-ID "other" of another ITS-SCU
}	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the ITS-S manage	ement requests remote access to the MN-SAP in another ITS-SCU
}	-
then {	
the IUT generates an MN-rcmd message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID "own",	
and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA	
}	
}	

TP ld	IICP/COM/BV/08
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request MI-rcmd to a specific ITS-SCU
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.5
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having its own	n ITS-SCU-ID "own" allocated and knows the private ITS-SCU-ID "other" of another ITS-SCU
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the ITS-S manage	ement requests remote access to the MI-SAP in another ITS-SCU
}	
then {	
the IUT generates an MI-rcmd message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID "own",	
and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA	
J	
1	

TP Id		
	IICP/COM/BV/09	
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request MI-rget to a specific ITS-SCU	
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.5	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU-ID "own" allocated and knows the private ITS-SCU-ID "other" of another ITS-SCU	
}	·	
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the ITS-S manage	ement requests remote access to the MI-SAP in another ITS-SCU in order to get the value of an	
I-Parameter		
}		
then {		
the IUT generates an MI-rget message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID "own",		
and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA		
}		
3		
L I		

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/10
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request MI-rset to a specific ITS-SCU
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.5
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with { the IUT having its ow }	vn ITS-SCU-ID "own" allocated and knows the private ITS-SCU-ID "other" of another ITS-SCU
,	Expected behaviour
I-Parameter } then { the IUT generate	ement requests remote access to the MI-SAP in another ITS-SCU in order to set the value of an s an MI-rset message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID "own", with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/11
Test objective	Transmission of MF-rcmd response message
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1, 8.2.2
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU ID "own" allocated
}	
	Expected behaviour
a response } then { the IUT generates	ceived an MF-rcmd request message from an ITS-SCU with ITS-SCU-ID="other" which requires an MF-rcmd response message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS- ad forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX to the IICA

TP ld	IICP/COM/BV/12
Test objective	Transmission of MN-rcmd response message
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1, 8.2.2
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having its ow	/n ITS-SCU ID "own"
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re	eceived an MN-rcmd request message from an ITS-SCU with ITS-SCU-ID="other" which requires
a response	
}	
then {	
the IUT generate	s an MN-rcmd response message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-
SCU-ID "own", a	nd forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX to the IICA
}	

TP ld	IICP/COM/BV/13
Test objective	Transmission of MI-rcmd response message
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1, 8.2.2
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with { the IUT having its ov }	wn ITS-SCU ID "own"
,	Expected behaviour
a response } then { the IUT generate	eceived an MI-rcmd request message from an ITS-SCU with ITS-SCU-ID="other" which requires as an MI-rcmd response message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU- rwards this with MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX to the IICA

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/14
Test objective	Transmission of MI-rget response message
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1, 8.2.2
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with { the IUT having its own }	n ITS-SCU ID "own"
,	Expected behaviour
Expected behaviour evaluate whether { when { the IUT having received an MI-rget request message from an ITS-SCU with ITS-SCU-ID="other" which constitutes an authorized get request } then { the IUT generates an MI-rget response message providing the requested value with DestinationITS-SCU- ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID "own", and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX to the IICA }	

TDII	
TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/15
Test objective	Transmission of MI-rset response message
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1, 8.2.2
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU ID "own"
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having rea	ceived an MI-rset request message from an ITS-SCU with ITS-SCU-ID="other" which constitutes
an unautionzed s	ier ieduesi
} thon (	
then {	an MI rest response measure with DestinationITS SCILID, "other" and with SourceITS SCIL
the IUT generates an MI-rset response message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID="other" and sourc	
	ng the proper error code, and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX to the IICA
<u>}</u>	
}	

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/16
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request MF-rreq to a specific ITS-SCU
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.5
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with { the IUT having its ow }	n ITS-SCU-ID "own" allocated and knows the private ITS-SCU-ID "other" of another ITS-SCU
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether { when { ITS-S manageme	nt entity is used by ITS-S facilities layer to request access to a remote management
	s an MF-rreq message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID "own", with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/17
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request MN-rreq to a specific ITS-SCU
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.5
PICS Selection	
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having its ow	vn ITS-SCU-ID "own" allocated and knows the private ITS-SCU-ID "other" of another ITS-SCU
1	Expected behaviour
management } then { the IUT generate	ent entity is used by ITS-S networking&transport layer to request access to a remote s an MN-rreq message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID "own", s with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/18	
Test objective	Transmission of IIC-Request MI-rreq to a specific ITS-SCU	
Reference	8.2.1, B.2.5	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	m ITS-SCU-ID "own" allocated and knows the private ITS-SCU-ID "other" of another ITS-SCU	
}	•	
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when {		
ITS-S manageme	ent entity is used by ITS-S access layer to request access to a remote management	
}		
then {		
the IUT generates an MI-rreq message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID "own",		
and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICrequestTX to the IICA		
and forwards this	WITH ME-COMMAND INCrequest 1X to the INCA	
}		

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/19	
Test objective	Transmission of MF-rreq response message	
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1, 8.2.2	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	/n ITS-SCU ID "own"	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having re	eceived an MF-rreq request message from an ITS-SCU with ITS-SCU-ID="other" which requires	
a response		
}		
then {		
the IUT generates an MF-rreq response message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-		
ID "own", and forwards this with MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX to the IICA		
}		
}		

TP ld	IICP/COM/BV/20	
Test objective	Transmission of MN-rreq response message	
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1, 8.2.2	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with { the IUT having its own ITS-SCU ID "own"		
,	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {     when {         the IUT having received an MN-rreq request message from an ITS-SCU with ITS-SCU-ID="other" which requires         a response     }     then {         the IUT generates an MN-rreq response message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" and with SourceITS-SCU-ID="other" and with		

TP Id	IICP/COM/BV/21		
Test objective	Transmission of MI-rreq response message		
Reference	B.2.3, 8.3.1, 8.2.2		
PICS Selection			
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU ID "own"		
}	}		
	Expected behaviour		
response } then { the IUT generates	s an MI-rreq response message with DestinationITS-SCU-ID="other" which requires a		
own , and ion } }	wards this with MF-COMMAND IICresponseTX to the IICA		

TP ld	IICP/COM/BV/22	
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Request message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID=1	
Reference	8.3.1	
PICS Selection	PICS_ROLE_RONLY	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	wn ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having r	eceived an ICC-Request message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID=1 (ITS-S host)	
}		
then {		
the IUT deletes t	the IUT deletes the received message	
}	-	
}		

TP ld	IICP/COM/BV/23	
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Request message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID=2	
Reference	8.3.1	
PICS Selection	PICS_ROLE_HONLY	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when { the IUT having rea	ceived an ICC-Request message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID=2 (ITS-S router)	
} then {		
the IUT deletes the received message		
}		

### 8.2.2 Invalid behaviour tests

TP Id	IICP/COM/BI/01		
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Request message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID (reserved)		
Reference	8.3.1		
PICS Selection			
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having its ow	the IUT having its own ITS-SCU-ID allocated		
}	)		
Expected behaviour			
evaluate whether {			
when {			
the IUT having red	ceived an ICC-Request message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID (reserved)		
}			
then {			
the IUT deletes the received message			
}	}		
}			

TP ld	IICP/COM/BI/02	
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Response message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID=1	
Reference	8.3.2	
PICS Selection	PICS_ROLE_RONLY	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	/n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having re	eceived an ICC-Response message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID=1 (ITS-S host)	
}		
then {		
the IUT deletes the received message		
}		
}		

TP ld	IICP/COM/BI/03
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Response message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID=2
Reference	8.3.2
PICS Selection	PICS_ROLE_HONLY
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having its ov	vn ITS-SCU-ID allocated
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re	eceived an ICC-Response message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID=2 (ITS-S router)
}	
then {	
the IUT deletes the received message	
}	
}	

TP ld	IICP/COM/BI/04	
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Response message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID (reserved)	
	8.3.2	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its own	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having red	ceived an ICC-Response message with invalid DestinationITS-SCU-ID (reserved)	
}		
then {		
the IUT deletes th	the IUT deletes the received message	
}		
}		

TP ld	IICP/COM/BI/05		
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Request message with odd "PDU-Counter" value		
Reference	8.3.1		
PICS Selection			
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having its own ITS-SCU-ID allocated			
}	}		
Expected behaviour			
evaluate whether {			
when {			
the IUT having received an IIC-Request message with odd "PDU-Counter" value			
}			
then {			
-	the IUT deletes the received message		
}	-		
}			

TP ld	IICP/COM/BI/06	
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Response message with even "PDU-Counter" value	
Reference	8.3.1	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its ow	n ITS-SCU-ID allocated	
}		
Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {     when {         the IUT having received an IIC-Response message with even "PDU-Counter" value     }     then {         the IUT deletes the received message     } }		

TP ld	IICP/COM/BI/07	
Test objective	Reception of an ICC-Response message with "PDU-Counter" not indicating a previous ICC-	
	Request message	
Reference	8.3.1	
PICS Selection		
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having its own ITS-SCU-ID allocated, the ITU not awaiting an IIC-Response message		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having received a privately addressed IIC-Response message with odd "PDU-Counter" value		
}		
then {		
the IUT deletes the received message		
}		
}		

## 9 TPs for FSAP

## 9.1 Service provider

### 9.1.1 Combined ITS-S host and ITS-S router

#### 9.1.1.1 Valid behaviour tests

TP Id	FSAP/SP/HR/BV/01
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with request
	of specific access technology
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND PICS_ROLE_RH
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not re	gistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT knowing the I	requested access technology
}	1
-	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	ceived a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application including a required access on-IP communications
}	
then {	
	lly sends out SAM via the requested access technology with the requested repetition rate, not
}	

TP ld	FSAP/SP/HR/BV/02
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with no
	request of specific access technology
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND PICS_ROLE_RH
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not	registered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT knowing a	suitable access technology
}	
<u>·</u>	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having	received a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application requiring non-IP s, not requiring a specific access technology
	s, not requiring a specific access technology
} then (	
then {	
	cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the
requested repe	tition rate, not inviting for a reply
}	
}	
Г	
TP ld	FSAP/SP/HR/BV/03

TP Id	FSAP/SP/HR/BV/03
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access
	technology, and without request to change communication channel for session phase
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND PICS_ROLE_RH
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not re	gistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT knowing a su	uitable access technology
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
	ceived a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application requiring non-IP
communications i	in the session phase, but not requiring a change of communication channel
}	
then {	
the IUT periodically sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the requested repetition rate, inviting for a reply	
}	
}	

TP ld	FSAP/SP/HR/BV/04
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access
•	technology, and with request to change communication channel for session phase
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND PICS_ROLE_RH
	Initial conditions
with {	
•	registered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT knowing a s	suitable access technology
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
	received a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application requiring non-IP s, and requiring change of communication channel in the session phase
l communicatione	, and requiring change of continuincation channel in the session phase
then {	
the IUT periodic	ally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the ition rate, inviting for a reply, requesting a change of channel
}	
]	

TP Id	FSAP/SP/HR/BV/05
Test objective	Finalization of SIP upon reception of CTX for non-IP session
Reference	8.2.6, 8.4
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND PICS_ROLE_RH AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX
	Initial conditions
with { the IUT sending out p }	periodically SAMs for one ITS-S application with non-IP based sessions
,	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
} then {	ceived a CTX with MF-REQUEST CTXrxNot as proper reply to a SAM
}	

#### 9.1.1.2 Invalid behaviour tests

TP Id	FSAP/SP/HR/BI/01
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with request
-	of specific but not available access technology
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND PICS_ROLE_RH
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not re	gistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT not knowing	the requested access technology,
the IUT knowing ano	ther access technology suited for FSAP
}	
-	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re distribution	ceived a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application for the purpose of message
}	
then {	
the IUT does not	send out periodically SAMs via the existent access technology
}	
}	

TP ld	FSAP/SP/HR/BI/02
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access
	technology, with now suitable access technology known, and without request to change
	communication channel for session phase
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND PICS_ROLE_RH
	Initial conditions
	registered any ITS-S application for service announcement, g a suitable access technology
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether { when { the IUT having r }	eceived a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application offering a session phase

, then {

the IUT does not send out periodically SAMs

}

## 9.1.2 ITS-S host only

#### 9.1.2.1 Valid behaviour tests

TP ld	FSAP/SP/HO/BV/01
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with request
-	of specific access technology available in an ITS-S router unit
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not re	egistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
	ut availability of the requested access technology in an ITS-S router
}	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re	eceived a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application including a required access
technology	
}	
then {	
•	this request to the proper ITS-S router ITS-SCU applying IICP
5	
}	

TP Id	FSAP/SP/HO/BV/02
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with no
_	request of specific access technology
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not re	gistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT knowing abo	ut availability of a suitable access technology in an ITS-S router
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re	ceived a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application
}	
then {	
the IUT forwards	this request to the proper ITS-S router ITS-SCU applying IICP
}	
}	

TP Id	FSAP/SP/HO/BV/03
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access
2	technology, and without request to change communication channel for session phase
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not re	gistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
	ut availability of a suitable access technology in an ITS-S router
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re	ceived a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application requiring non-IP
communications i	in the session phase, but no change of communication channel
}	
then {	
the IUT forwards	this request to the proper ITS-S router ITS-SCU applying IICP
}	
}	

TP ld	FSAP/SP/HO/BV/04
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access
•	technology, and with request to change communication channel for session phase
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not	registered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT knowing at	bout availability of a suitable access technology in an ITS-S router
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having	received a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application requiring non-IP
communication	s, and requiring change of communication channel in the session phase
}	
then {	
the IUT forward	Is this request to the proper ITS-S router ITS-SCU applying IICP
}	
, <i>'</i>	

TP Id	FSAP/SP/HO/BV/05
Test objective	Finalization of SIP upon reception of CTX for non-IP session
Reference	8.2.6, 8.4, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW)) AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT awaiting rec	eption of CTX messages
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re	eceived a CTX with MF-rreq(CTXrxNot) as proper reply to a SAM
}	
then {	
the IUT notifes th	he ITS-S application using MF-COMMAND GCctx.
}	
}	

#### 9.1.2.2 Invalid behaviour tests

TP ld	FSAP/SP/HO/BI/01
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with request
	of specific but not available access technology
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not r	registered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT not knowing	about existence of the requested access technology,
	out availability of a suitable access technology in an ITS-S router
n and rot knowing ab	survival ability of a survival access technology in an income
1	Even entrol helpsvisure
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having r	eceived a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application for the purpose of message
distribution	
1	
thon (	
then {	
the IUT dows no	t forward this request to the proper ITS-S router ITS-SCU applying IICP
}	
}	

TP Id	FSAP/SP/HO/BI/02
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access technology, with no suitable access technology being available, and without request to change communication channel for session phase
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))
	Initial conditions
with {	
	registered any ITS-S application for service announcement, g about availability of a suitable access technology

#### Expected behaviour

#### evaluate whether { when {

the IUT having received a GCregServer registration request for an ITS-S application offering a session phase

} then {

}

the IUT dows not forward this request to the proper ITS-S router ITS-SCU applying Inter-ITS-SCU communications

### 9.1.3 ITS-S router only

#### 9.1.3.1 Valid behaviour tests

TP ld	FSAP/SP/RO/BV/01	
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with request	
	of specific access technology	
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5	
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND	
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having not r	egistered any ITS-S application for SAM transmission,	
the IUT knowing the	requested access technology	
}		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
	eceived an MF-rreq(GCregServer) registration request for an ITS-S application including a technology and non-IP communications	
} then {		
	the IUT periodically sends out SAM via the requested access technology with the requested repetition rate, not inviting for a reply	
}		
<u>/</u>		

	FSAP/SP/RO/BV/02
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with no
	request of specific access technology
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))
	Initial conditions
/ith {	
	registered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT knowing a	suitable access technology
	Expected behaviour
valuate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having	received an MF-rreq(GCregServer) registration request for an ITS-S application requiring non-IP
the IUT having communication	
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe	s
communication } then { the IUT periodic	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe } TP Id	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with th tition rate, not inviting for a reply
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe }	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with th tition rate, not inviting for a reply FSAP/SP/RO/BV/03 Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe } TP Id	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the tition rate, not inviting for a reply FSAP/SP/RO/BV/03 Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access technology, and without request to change communication channel for session phase
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe } TP Id Test objective	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the tition rate, not inviting for a reply           FSAP/SP/RO/BV/03           Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access technology, and without request to change communication channel for session phase           8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe } TP Id Test objective Reference	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the tition rate, not inviting for a reply FSAP/SP/RO/BV/03 Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access technology, and without request to change communication channel for session phase 8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5 PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
communication } then { the IUT periodic requested repe } TP Id Test objective Reference	s cally sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the tition rate, not inviting for a reply           FSAP/SP/RO/BV/03           Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access technology, and without request to change communication channel for session phase           8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5

the IUT knowing a suitable access technology

#### Expected behaviour

#### evaluate whether { when {

the IUT having received an MF-rreq(GCregServer) registration request for an ITS-S application requiring non-IP communications

}

then { the IUT periodically sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the requested repetition rate, inviting for a reply

}

TP Id	FSAP/SP/RO/BV/04	
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access	
_	technology, and with request to change communication channel for session phase	
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5	
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND	
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having not re	gistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,	
the IUT knowing a su	itable access technology	
}		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
	ceived an MF-rreq(GCregServer) registration request for an ITS-S application requiring non-IP	
communications,	and requiring change of communication channel in the session phase	
}		
then {	then {	
the IUT periodically sends out SAM via a suited access technology selected by the CI selection manager with the requested repetition rate, inviting for a reply, requesting a change of channel		
}		
}		
2		

TP ld	FSAP/SP/RO/BV/05
Test objective	Finalization of SIP upon reception of CTX for non-IP session
Reference	8.2.6, 8.4, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW)) AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX
	Initial conditions
the IUT sending ou	It periodically SAMs for one ITS-S application with non-IP based sessions
*	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having	received a CTX as proper reply to a SAM
}	
then {	
the IUT forward	Is the notification of CTX reception to the proper ITS-S host applying IICP
}	

#### 9.1.3.2 Invalid behaviour tests

TP ld	FSAP/SP/RO/BI/01
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application for message distribution only (no session phase) with request
-	of specific but not available access technology
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))
	Initial conditions
with {	
	egistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
the IUT not knowing	the requested access technology,
the IUT knowing and	other access technology suited for FSAP
}	
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having re message distribu	eceived an MF-rreq(GCregServer) registration request for an ITS-S application for the purpose of
)	
, then {	
	t send out periodically SAMs via the known access technology
}	
}	
<u> </u>	

TP ld	FSAP/SP/RO/BI/02
Test objective	Registration of ITS-S application with need for a session, with no request of specific access
	technology, with no suitable access technology being available, and without request to change
	communication channel for session phase
Reference	8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5, 8.5
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SP AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND
	Initial conditions
with {	
the IUT having not r	egistered any ITS-S application for service announcement,
0	a suitable access technology
1	Expected behaviour
ovoluoto whother (	
evaluate whether {	
when {	
the IUT having r	eceived an MF-rreq(GCregServer) registration request for an ITS-S application offering a session
phase	
}	
then {	
	t send out periodically SAMs
1	
, ,	
}	

## 9.2 Service user

### 9.2.1 Combined ITS-S host and ITS-S router

#### 9.2.1.1 Valid behaviour tests

TP ld	FSAP/SU/HR/BV/01	
Test objective	Reception of SAM for message distribution only (no session phase)	
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4	
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND PICS_ROLE_RH	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
the IUT having receiv	red GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application without a session	
}		
	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {		
when {		
the IUT having received a SAM containing the expected ITS-AID		
}		
then {		
the IUT forwards the related message to the ITS-S application using GCsam		
}	}	
}		

TP ld	FSAP/SU/HR/BV/02
Test objective	Reception of SAM with need for a session, without request to change communication channel
-	for session phase, with need to send CTX
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND PICS_ROLE_RH AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX
	Initial conditions
vith {	
the IUT having rece using CTX	eived GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application with session initialisation
	Expected behaviour
evaluate whether {	÷
when {	
the IUT having	received a SAM containing the expected ITS-AID
}	
then {	
the IUT forward a port number f	is the related message to the ITS-S application using GCsamctx, which is acknowledged providing or the session
the IUT sends t	he requested CTX containing the port number received in the acknowledgement
}	

TP ld	FSAP/SU/HR/BV/03	
Test objective	Reception of SAM with need for a session, with request to change communication channel for	
_	session phase, with need to send CTX	
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4	
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND PICS_ROLE_RH AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX	
	Initial conditions	
with {		
-	ved GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application with session initialisation	
using CTX		
}		
,	Expected behaviour	
evaluate whether {	•	
when {		
	eceived a SAM containing the expected ITS-AID	
l lie ie i namig i		
then {		
•	the related message to the ITS-S application using GCsamctx, which is acknowledged providing	
	a port number for the session the IUT sends the requested CTX in the new channel containing the port number received in the	
acknowledgement		
acknowledgeme		
3		
) NOTE: This requires	to have two shappeds available in the ITC lower layer trapsport	
INCIE. This requires	to have two channels available in the ITS lower layer transport.	

TP ld	FSAP/SU/HR/BV/04		
Test objective	Reception of SAM of no interest (no match with a registered ITS-AID)		
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4		
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND PICS_ROLE_RH		
	Initial conditions		
with {			
the IUT having regis	tered ITS applications, but not the one offered in the SAM		
}			
	Expected behaviour		
evaluate whether {			
when {			
the IUT having re	the IUT having received a SAM containing an unwanted ITS-AID		
}			
then {			
the IUT discards	the IUT discards the SAM without further actions		
}			
}			

TP Id	FSAP/SU/HR/BV/05		
Test objective	Reception of SAM with need for a session, with request to change communication channel for		
	session phase, with the requested channel being unknown		
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4		
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND PICS_ROLE_RH		
Initial conditions			
with {			
the IUT having receiv	the IUT having received GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application		
}	}		
Expected behaviour			
evaluate whether {			
when {			
the IUT having re-	ceived a SAM containing the expected ITS-AID with a request to change to an unknown channel		
}			
then {			
the IUT discards the SAM without further actions			
}			
}			

#### 9.2.1.2 Invalid behaviour tests

None.

#### 9.2.2 ITS-S host only

#### 9.2.2.1 Valid behaviour tests

TP ld	FSAP/SU/HO/BV/01			
Test objective	Reception of SAM with need for a session with need to send CTX			
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4, 8.5			
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND			
	PICS_ITS_S_INW)) AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX Initial conditions			
with {	initial conditions			
the IUT having receiv using CTX	ved GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application with session initialisation			
Expected behaviour				
evaluate whether {				
when {				
•	eceived a SAM from the ITS-S router via IICP containing the expected ITS-AID			
}				
, then {				
the IUT notifies re	eception of the SAM to the ITS-S application, which is acknowledged with a port number. e requested CTX to the ITS-S router applying IICP containing the port number received in the nt			
}				

TP ld	FSAP/SU/HO/BV/02				
Test objective	Reception of SAM with need for a session with need to send CTX with need to change				
	communication channel				
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4, 8.5				
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND (PICS_ROLE_HONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND				
	PICS_ITS_S_INW)) AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX				
Initial conditions					
with {					
the IUT having received GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application with session initialisation using CTX					
Expected behaviour					

#### evaluate whether {

when { the IUT having received a SAM from the ITS-S router via IICP containing the expected ITS-AID

} then {

the IUT notifies reception of the SAM to the ITS-S application, which is acknowledged with a port number. the IUT sends the requested CTX to the ITS-S router applying IICP containing the port number received in the acknowledgement

}

#### 9.2.2.2 Invalid behaviour tests

None.

## 9.2.3 ITS-S router only

#### 9.2.3.1 Valid behaviour tests

TP Id	FSAP/SU/RO/BV/01			
Test objective	Reception of SAM for message distribution only (no session phase)			
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4, 8.5			
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND			
	PICS_ITS_S_INW))			
	Initial conditions			
with {				
the IUT having receiv	red GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application without a session			
}				
Expected behaviour				
evaluate whether {				
when {				
the IUT having re	ceived a SAM containing the expected ITS-AID			
}				
then {	then {			
the IUT forwards the related message to the ITS-S application using MF-rcmd(GCsam)				
}				
}				

TP Id	FSAP/SU/RO/BV/02			
Test objective	Reception of SAM with need for a session with need to send CTX			
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4, 8.5			
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND			
	PICS_ITS_S_INW)) AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX			
Initial conditions				
with { the IUT having receiv using CTX }	ed GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application with session initialisation			
Expected behaviour				
evaluate whether {				
when {				
the IUT having red	the IUT having received a SAM containing the expected ITS-AID			
}				
then {				
the IUT forwards the related message to the ITS-S application using GCsamctx via Inter-ITS-SCU communications				
}				

TP ld	FSAP/SU/RO/BV/03			
Test objective	Reception of SAM with need for a session with need to send CTX with need to change			
	communication channel			
Reference	8.3.1, 8.3.4, 8.5			
PICS Selection	PICS_FSAP_ROLE_SU AND (PICS_ROLE_RONLY OR (PICS_ROLE_RH AND			
	PICS_ITS_S_INW)) AND PICS_SIP_W_CTX			
Initial conditions				
with {				
the IUT having receiv using CTX	ved GCregClient, and having properly registered any ITS-S application with session initialisation			
,	Expected behaviour			
evaluate whether {				
when {				
the IUT having re	eceived a SAM containing the expected ITS-AID			
}				
then {				
the IUT forwards the related message to the ITS-S application using GCsamctx via Inter-ITS-SCU communications				
}				
}				

#### 9.2.3.2 Invalid behaviour tests

None.

## History

Document history				
V1.1.1	August 2012	Publication		