

ETSI TS 102 778-2 V1.2.1 (2009-07)

Technical Specification

Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); PDF Advanced Electronic Signature Profiles; Part 2: PAdES Basic - Profile based on ISO 32000-1



Reference

DTS/ESI-000072-2

Keywords

e-commerce, electronic signature, security

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org>

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:

http://portal.etsi.org/chaicor/ETSI_support.asp

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission.
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2009.
All rights reserved.

DECT[™], **PLUGTESTS**[™], **UMTS**[™], **TIPHON**[™], the TIPHON logo and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.

3GPP[™] is a Trade Mark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

LTE[™] is a Trade Mark of ETSI currently being registered

for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

GSM[®] and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights	4
Foreword.....	4
Introduction	4
1 Scope	6
2 References	6
2.1 Normative references	6
2.2 Informative References	7
3 Definitions and Abbreviations.....	7
3.1 Definitions	7
3.2 Abbreviations	8
4 Description of Profile for CMS Signatures in PDF.....	8
4.1 Introduction	8
4.2 Features	8
4.3 Time Stamping	8
4.4 Revocation Checking	9
4.5 Seed Values and Signature Policies	9
5 Requirements of Profile for CMS Signatures in PDF	9
5.1 Requirements on PDF Signatures.....	9
5.2 Requirements on PDF Conforming signature handlers	10
5.3 Requirements on Signature Validation.....	10
5.4 Requirements on Time Stamping	10
5.5 Requirements on Revocation Checking	11
5.6 Requirements on Seed Values	11
History	12

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<http://webapp.etsi.org/IPR/home.asp>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI).

The present document is part 2 of a multi-part deliverable covering PDF Advanced Electronic Signature Profiles. Full details of the entire series can be found in part 1 [3].

Introduction

Electronic documents are a major part of a modern companies business. Trust in this way of doing business is essential for the success and continued development of electronic business. It is, therefore, important that companies using electronic documents have suitable security controls and mechanisms in place to protect their documents and to ensure trust and confidence with their business practices. In this respect the electronic signature is an important security component that can be used to protect information and provide trust in electronic business.

The present document is intended to cover electronic signatures for electronic documents. This includes evidence as to its validity even if the signer or verifying party later attempts to deny (i.e. repudiates; see ISO/IEC 10181-4 [i.1]) the validity of the signature.

Thus, the present document can be used for any document encoded in a portable document format (PDF) produced by an individual and a company, and exchanged between companies, between an individual and a governmental body, etc. The present document is independent of any environment; it can be applied to any environment, e.g. smart cards, GSM SIM cards, special programs for electronic signatures, etc.

The European Directive on a community framework for Electronic Signatures defines an electronic signature as: "Data in electronic form which is attached to or logically associated with other electronic data and which serves as a method of authentication".

The formats defined in the present document, are able to support advanced electronic signatures as defined in the Directive.

ISO 32000-1 [1] specifies a digital form for representing documents called the Portable Document Format (PDF) that enables users to exchange and view electronic documents easily and reliably, independent of the environment in which they were created or the environment in which they are viewed or printed.

ISO 32000-1 identifies the ways in which an electronic signature may be used to authenticate the identity of a user and the accuracy of the document's content (see [1], clause 12.8). These signatures are based on the same structure as CMS [4].

Clause 12.8 of ISO 32000-1 identifies the ways in which a digital signature may be used to authenticate the identity of a user and the accuracy of the document's content. These digital signatures are based on the same CMS [i.3] technology and techniques as TS 101 733 [i.2] (CAAdES), without the extensions defined in CAAdES for the purposes of long term validation but with the capability to carry revocation information (e.g. OCSP) as a signed attribute of the signature.

Therefore the following provisions represent a general consensus of the use of these standards and hence provide a reliable basis for maximizing interoperability. Nevertheless, in particular business areas and niches there may be specific needs and/or regulations that may require variations to these profiles.

1 Scope

The present document profiles the use of PDF signatures, as described in ISO 32000-1 and based on CMS [i.3], for its use in any application areas where PDF is the appropriate technology for exchange of digital documents including interactive forms.

This profile does not repeat the base requirements of the referenced standards, but instead aims to maximize interoperability of CMS-based electronic signatures in various business areas. Clause 4 provides a general informative description of the profile, while clause 5 specifies the normative conformance requirements of this profile.

2 References

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific.

- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- Non-specific reference may be made only to a complete document or a part thereof and only in the following cases:
 - if it is accepted that it will be possible to use all future changes of the referenced document for the purposes of the referring document;
 - for informative references.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at <http://docbox.etsi.org/Reference>.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

2.1 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of the present document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For non-specific references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

- [1] ISO 32000-1 (2008): "Document management - Portable document format - Part 1: PDF 1.7".

NOTE: Available at http://www.adobe.com/devnet/acrobat/pdfs/PDF32000_2008.pdf.

- [2] IETF RFC 2315: "PKCS #7: Cryptographic Message Syntax Version 1.5".
- [3] ITU-T Recommendation X.509 / ISO/IEC 9594-8: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory: Public-key and attribute certificate frameworks".
- [4] IETF RFC 3280: "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile".
- [5] IETF RFC 2560: "X.509 Internet Public Key Infrastructure Online Certificate Status Protocol - OCSP".
- [6] IETF RFC 3161: "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Time-Stamp Protocol (TSP)".
- [7] ETSI TS 102 176-1: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Algorithms and Parameters for Secure Electronic Signatures; Part 1: Hash functions and asymmetric algorithms".
- [8] ISO 19005-1:2005, Document management - Electronic document file format for long-term preservation -- Part 1: Use of PDF 1.4 (PDF/A-1).

2.2 Informative References

The following referenced documents are not essential to the use of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

- [i.1] ISO/IEC 10181-4: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Security frameworks for open systems: Non-repudiation framework".
- [i.2] ETSI TS 101 733 (V1.7.4): "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); CMS Advanced Electronic Signatures (CAAdES)".
- [i.3] IETF RFC 3852: "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)".
- [i.4] IETF RFC 3281: "An Internet Attribute Certificate Profile for Authorization".

3 Definitions and Abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 32000-1 [1] and the following apply:

certification signature: signature that is used in conjunction with Modification Detection Permissions (MDP) as defined by ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.2.2

conforming signature handler: software application, or part of a software application, that knows how to perform digital signature operations (e.g. signing and/or verifying) in conformance with ISO 32000-1 [1] and the requirements of the appropriate profile

PDF serial signature: specific signature workflow where the second (and subsequent) signers of a PDF not only sign the document but also the signature of the previous signer and any modification that may also have taken place (e.g. form fill-in)

PDF signature: DER-encoded PKCS#7 binary data object containing a digital signature and other information necessary to verify the digital signature such as the signer's certificate along with any supplied revocation information

seed value dictionary: PDF data structure, of type dictionary, as described in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.7.4.5, table 234, that contains information that constrains the properties of a signature that is applied to a specific Signature field

signature dictionary: PDF data structure, of type dictionary, as described in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.1, table 252 that contains all the of information about the Digital Signature

The present document makes use of certain keywords to signify requirements. Below follows their definitions:

may: means that a course of action is permissible within this profile

shall: means that the definition is an absolute requirement of this profile

NOTE: It has to strictly be followed in order to conform to the present document.

should: means that among several possibilities one is recommended, in this profile, as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required

NOTE: Implementers may know valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore this recommendation, but the full implications must be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

CAdES CMS Advanced Electronic Signatures

NOTE: As per TS 101 733 [i.2].

CMS	Cryptographic Message Syntax
CRL	Certificate Revocation List
OCSP	Online Certificate Status Protocol
PDF	Portable Document Format

4 Description of Profile for CMS Signatures in PDF

4.1 Introduction

This profile specifies a PDF signature as specified in ISO 32000-1:2008 [1] that enables greater interoperability for PDF Signatures by providing additional restrictions beyond those of ISO 32000-1 [1].

4.2 Features

- Signature encoded in CMS as defined by PKCS #7 1.5 (see RFC 2315 [2]).
- Supports serial signatures.
- Optionally includes signature time-stamp.
- Optionally includes revocation information.
- Signature protects integrity of the document and authenticates the signatory.
- Signature can optionally include the "reasons" for the signature.
- Signature can optionally include a description of the location of signing.
- Signature can optionally include contact info of the signatory.

A "legal content attestation" can be used to indicate to the relying party the PDF capabilities which may affect the signed document (e.g. JavaScript).

4.3 Time Stamping

When a digital signature is applied to a document, a conforming signature handler may choose to stamp it with the signer's local machine time, and that is what may appear in the signature appearance. Because a user can set that time forward or back on their computer, that time is usually not trusted. Therefore a timestamp from a trusted timestamp server should instead be applied on the digital signature as soon as possible after the signature is created so the timestamp reflects the time at which the document was signed. A conforming signature handler that is signing a document should be sure that no other user actions take place between the creation of the signature and obtaining the timestamp. Timestamps fulfil a critical need in the validation process: if a conforming signature handler validates and timestamps the signature using a trusted timestamp server then the signer cannot later claim that it was signed by someone else, that the document was altered after they signed it, or that it was signed at another time.

The process for timestamping a digital signature is described in RFC 3161 [6]. If a conforming signature handler chooses to embed a timestamp into the PDF Signature, then it shall be embedded as described in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.3.3.1.

4.4 Revocation Checking

A conforming signature handler should embed the revocation information with the signature to save time when the signature is verified by the recipient. In addition, the inclusion of the revocation information protects against some threats relating to use of previously revoked certificates which affect the non-repudiation properties of the signature. If the revocation information is to be included in the PDF Signature, then it should be captured and validated before completing the creation of the PDF Signature.

NOTE ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.3.3.2 describes the `adbe-revocationInfoArchival` attribute that should be used, as a signed attribute, to include this information into the PDF Signature.

When validating the PDF Signature, a conforming signature handler may ignore any embedded revocation information in favour of alternative storage or referenced data as per its own policies.

To check the revocation status, a conforming signature handler may use either (or both) of the following methods:

- Certificate Revocation List (CRL) [4] is one common method that public key infrastructures use. With CRL, the certificate is checked against a list of revoked certificates. In addition to the certificate issue date and the issuing entities, the list specifies revoked certificates as well as the reasons for revocation.
- Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) [5] defines a protocol for obtaining the revocation status of a given certificate from a server.

4.5 Seed Values and Signature Policies

When preparing a document or form to be signed in the future, the author of the form may add to the signature field some additional entries (ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.7.4.5, table 232) including one called a *seed value dictionary*.

A *seed value dictionary* (ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.7.4.5, table 234) contains information that conveys a set of rules (or policies) that the form's author wishes the conforming signature handler to enforce at the time the signature is applied. These wishes can be specified either as requirements or recommendations. These seed values perform a similar function as the signature policies specified in TS 101 733 [i.2].

Common uses for seed values are to specify digest methods, revocation information, timestamping authorities and certificate attributes. Seed values that would require a conforming signature handler to violate this profile shall not be used.

NOTE For example, use of a seed value that specifies the use of PKCS#1 instead of PKCS#7 would not be permitted by this profile.

Because the seed values are part of the PDF data structures, they are covered by the signatures.

5 Requirements of Profile for CMS Signatures in PDF

While ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8 clearly states the majority of the requirements necessary for conformance with this profile, this clause specifies additional requirements for conformance.

5.1 Requirements on PDF Signatures

- a) PDF Signatures shall be as specified in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.
- b) The signature information shall be embedded into the document itself and the `ByteRange` shall be the entire file, including the signature dictionary but excluding the PDF Signature itself.
- c) The PDF Signature (a DER-encoded PKCS#7 binary data object) shall be placed into the **Contents** entry of the signature dictionary.

- d) The PKCS#7 object shall conform to the PKCS#7 specification in RFC 2315 [2]. At minimum, it shall include the signer's X.509 signing certificate.

NOTE 1: Although ISO 32000-1 [1] also allows the value of the Contents entry of signature dictionary to be a DER-encoded PKCS#1 binary data object, that format is not supported by this profile.

- e) Timestamping and revocation information should be included in the PDF Signature. This revocation information and as much of the complete chain of certificates as is available shall be captured and validated before completing the creation of the PDF Signature. In addition, the revocation information shall be a signed attribute of the PDF Signature.
- f) If present, any revocation information shall be a signed attribute of the PDF Signature.
- g) Use of RFC 3281 [i.4] attribute certificates associated with the signer certificate is not recommended.

NOTE 2: ISO 32000-1 [1] allows the inclusion of one or more RFC 3281 [i.4] attribute certificates to be associated with the signer certificate. However, their use is not recommended as attribute certificates are not widely supported and hence use of this attribute will reduce interoperability.

- h) There shall only be a single signer (e.g. a single "SignerInfo" structure) in any PDF Signature.

5.2 Requirements on PDF Conforming signature handlers

- a) A PDF reader may substitute a different conforming signature handler, other than that specified in **Filter**, when verifying the signature, as long as it supports the specified **SubFilter** format.
- b) Only the two values for **SubFilter** listed in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.3.3.1 (i.e. **adbe.pkcs7.detached** and **adbe.pkcs7.sha1**) shall be used in order to comply with this profile.

NOTE 1: While the names of the SubFilters may imply specific algorithms, the actual list of supported algorithms that can be used can be found in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.3.3.2, table 257. Consult TS 102 176-1 [7] for guidance on algorithm choices.

NOTE 2: The use of SHA-1 is being phased out in some countries and hence the use of other hashing algorithms is recommended.

5.3 Requirements on Signature Validation

When the user opens a signed document and requests verification of the signature(s) present in the PDF, a reader shall invoke the appropriate conforming signature handler to perform the following steps to verify them.

- a) Verify that the document digest matches that in the signature as specified in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.1.
- b) Validate the path of certificates used to verify the binding between the subject distinguished name and subject public key as specified in RFC 3280 [4]. The validity checks shall be carried out at the time indicated either by time-stamp applied as per clause 4.3 or some other trusted indication of the signing time. The revocation status shall be checked as specified in clause 4.4.

5.4 Requirements on Time Stamping

- a) A timestamp from a trusted timestamp server should be applied to the digital signature immediately after the signature is created so the timestamp reflects the time at which the document was signed.
- b) If a conforming signature handler chooses to embed a timestamp into the PDF Signature, then it shall be embedded as described in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.3.3.1.

5.5 Requirements on Revocation Checking

- a) When validating the PDF Signature, a conforming signature handler may ignore any embedded revocation information in favour of alternative storage or referenced data as per its own policies.

5.6 Requirements on Seed Values

- a) Seed values that would require a conforming signature handler to violate this profile shall not be used.

History

Document history		
V1.1.1	April 2009	Publication as TS 102 778
V1.2.1	July 2009	Publication