
ETSI TR 102 581 V1.1.1 (2007-05)

Technical Report

Speech Processing, Transmission and Quality Aspects (STQ); A Study on the Minimum Additional Required Attenuation on the Antenna Path of the Field Test Equipment



Reference DTR/STQ-00109m

Keywords 3G, antenna, GSM, network

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from: <u>http://www.etsi.org</u>

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.

Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2007.
All rights reserved.

DECTTM, **PLUGTESTS**TM and **UMTS**TM are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **TIPHON**TM and the **TIPHON logo** are Trade Marks currently being registered by ETSI for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**TM is a Trade Mark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

Contents

Intell	lectual Property Rights	4
	word	
1	Scope	
2	References	
3	Abbreviations	
4	Typical measurement scenario	5
5 5.1 5.2	Real life scenario versus measurement scenario Case 1 of the Measurement Scenario Case 2 of the Measurement Scenario	7
6	Estimation of additional required attenuation based on the measurement model	7
7	Generally recommended additional attenuation values	9
8	Conclusions	10
Anno	ex A: In Car Simulation Test	11
A.1	Problem definition	11
A.2	Test set up	11
A.3	Test description	11
A.4	Results	11
Histo	orv	

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (http://webapp.etsi.org/IPR/home.asp).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Speech Processing, Transmission and Quality Aspects (STQ).

1 Scope

The present document presents a theoretical model to be used for the estimation of the minimum required additional attenuation on the antenna path of the field test equipment in order for this to emulate the real life scenarios.

The model takes into consideration propagation within different environments, such as dense or spread urban areas, as well as in car and pedestrian scenarios. In addition, in order to provide the estimator, the model uses previously determined and known values for a set of parameters such as measurement and phone antenna gain, cable loss, car penetration, body loss.

2 References

For the purposes of this Technical Report (TR), the following references apply:

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

[1] ETSI TS 102 250-4: "Speech Processing, Transmission and Quality Aspects (STQ); QoS aspects for popular services in GSM and 3G networks; Part 4: Requirements for Quality of Service

measurement equipment".

3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

ME Measurement Equipment

PCS Personal Communication System

RF Radio Frequency

4 Typical measurement scenario

To ensure accurate network monitoring and testing, the field equipment must be set up to emulate real-life mobile phone utilization scenarios as closely as possible.

Generally, the equipment uses an external antenna mounted on the roof of the drive test vehicle. This external antenna is connected to the RF input of the equipment box, which then connects to the RF input of the phone that is found inside the equipment box (see figure 1).



Figure 1: Measurement equipment

This set-up is beneficial to the signal strength (the RF power) that the phone receives and generates more optimistic results than a normal subscriber would experience. Therefore, an additional attenuator, characterized by the appropriate attenuation value, is required to ensure that the measurement configuration reproduces as closely as possible the real-life scenario. It should be noted, however, that there are a set of RF and electrical components involved in the measurements that compensate for the measurement's imperfections to a certain extent.

This is why a proper measurement set up requires the evaluation of the impact of these components (such as measurement antenna gain, cable loss, phone antenna gain, car penetration, and body loss) in order to estimate the minimum required additional attenuation to be inserted on the measurement path.

5 Real life scenario versus measurement scenario

While the values of the RF and electrical characteristics are generally specified by the manufacturers of the measurement equipment's parts, the required additional attenuation value needs to be determined based on a measurement model designed to best emulate the real-life scenario. The model is based on the required equivalency between the real-life conditions and the measurement scenarios.

In a real-life scenario, which considers a subscriber using the phone inside the car, the power received by the phone's antenna is given by equation (1) below:

$$Pin,phone = Prf 1 + Atten(car) + Atten(human head) + Gain(antenna phone) [dB]$$
 (1)

where Prf1 is the RF power at the phone's location. The power is dependent on the path loss of the RF signal. The path loss depends on a set of environmental factors (such as the nature of the landscape, the type and the morphology of the measurement location, the geography of the location) described by the function F and the receiving antenna's height h (see equation (2) below):

$$PathLoss(h) = F(landscape, morphology, geography) + A(h) [dB]$$
(2)

The A(h) factor is also called the *correction factor* and an approximate value of this factor can be calculated using Hatta's equation for dense cities covered by micro cells with a small radius (about 1km) (see equation (3) below):

$$A (h) = (1,1 \times \lg (f) -0.7) \times h -1.56 \times \lg (f) + 0.8 [dB]$$
(3)

where f(MHz) represents the carrier frequency. For spread cities covered by macro cells with radius larger than 1 km, the A(h) does not depend on the frequency anymore (see equation (4) below):

$$A (h) = 3.2 \times (lg (11.75 \times h)^{2} - 4.97 [dB]$$
(4)

In the measurement's scenario, the received power by the phone comes through the external antenna mounted on the drive test vehicle's roof and is given by (equation (5) below):

where Prf2 represents the RF power at the external antenna location, and the measurement equipment is denoted ME. The term Atten(ME) denotes the attenuation inserted by the path between the RF input of the ME box to the RF input of the phone, and includes connectors and cable loss.

The measurement scenario emulates the real-life condition if the Pin,phone given in the both scenarios is the same. The term Atten(add atten) represents the attenuation that is required in the measurement chain in order to ensure that the measurement scenario emulates the real live scenario.

5.1 Case 1 of the Measurement Scenario

If the measurements are performed using a car, then the antenna height is considered to be 1,5 meters and the equality 6 (6) takes place:

$$Prf1 = Prf2$$
 (6)

The value of the required additional attenuation can be therefore calculated using equations (1) and (5) and the equality (6) (see equation (7) below):

5.2 Case 2 of the Measurement Scenario

If the measurements are performed using a van, mini truck, or bus, then the antenna is higher than 1,5 meters. Assuming that the environmental factors remain unchanged and using equation (2), the following equality (8), takes place.

$$Prf2 = Prf1 + PathLoss(h) - PathLoss(1,5 m) = Prf1 + (A(h) - A(1,5 m))$$
 (8)

The equation (8) is equivalent to a correction of the external antenna gain as it is described by equation (9), below:

Gain(external antenna)_corrected =
$$Gain(external antenna) + (A(h) - A(1,5 m))$$
 (9)

Using the equation of the external antenna gain (9), the value of the required additional attenuation (7) becomes equation (10), below:

Atten(add atten) =
$$-Gain(external antenna) - (A(h) - A(1,5 m)) - Atten(ext antenna cable) - Atten(ME) + Atten(car) + Atten(human head) + Gain(antenna phone) (10)$$

The equation (10) gives the estimated additional attenuation value that must be inserted in the measurement configuration chain in order to ensure the equivalency between the subscriber's and the measurement scenario. This allows the network's performance to be evaluated and monitored from the subscriber's point of view.

6 Estimation of additional required attenuation based on the measurement model

Specific values for the electrical and RF characteristics of the field test equipment's components are generally available from the component manufactures, as it is the case of external antenna gain, phone antenna gain, and cable loss. In the case of characteristics such as body loss and attenuation generated by the equipment itself (connectors and cable), the values are estimated by special designed tests and measurements. The electrical and RF characteristics exhibit specific dependencies on the frequency. Thus, only average values or a range of values can be provided. Table 1 presents the average values of the RF and electrical characteristics of the measurement equipment's components.

Table 1: RF and electrical characteristics of the measurement equipment

Atten(car) or car penetration	Atten(human head) or body loss	Gain (phone antenna)	Gain (external antenna) + Atten(ext antenna cable)	Atten(ME including cables, connectors)
5 dB NOTE: Usual average value across different types of cars.	3 dB NOTE: Average value known from published results of different tests (RADCOM, VERIZON tests, Test Forum of CDMA Development Group 2004).	1 dBi NOTE: Average value, but it depends on the phone's type. Differences between phones might reach up to 3 dB.	value (see antenna specs	1 dB NOTE: Average value obtained from a set of measurements on the ME.

Based on the values presented in table 1 and the model presented in the clause 5.2, equation (10), the minimum required attenuation value can be determined for different antenna heights, frequencies, and environments. Table 2 presents these attenuation values (including the attenuation of the antenna cable).

Table 2: Model's results for the minimum required attenuation in different scenarios

Environment	Antenna height h	Frequency (MHz)	Min. Req. Attenuation (includes the cable attenuation)	Gain correction =A(h)-A(1,5 m)
Spread cities (macro cells)	1,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900 MHz)	12 dB	0 dB
	2 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900 MHz)	13,05 dB	1,05 dB
	2,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900 MHz)	13,93 dB	1,93 dB
	3 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900 MHz)	14,69 dB	2,69 dB
	3,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900 MHz)	15,37 dB	3,37 dB
Dense cities (micro cells)	1,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz)	12 dB	0 dB
		PCS band (1 900 MHz)	12 dB	0 dB
	2 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz)	13,28 dB	1,28 dB
		PCS band (1 900 MHz)	13,47 dB	1,47 dB
	2,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz)	14,55 dB	2,55 dB
		PCS band (1 900 MHz)	14,94 dB	2,94 dB
	3 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz)	15,83 dB	3,83 dB
		PCS band (1 900 MHz)	16,41dB	4,41dB
	3,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz)	17,1dB	5,1dB
		PCS band (1 900 MHz)	17,88 dB	5,88 dB

It can be seen that the determined required attenuations for an antenna height of 1,5 meters versus a height of 2 meters varies with less than 1,5 dB, depending on the frequency and the environment. The 1,5 dB value could be considered within the error limits with which the average values of other components used by the model (such as body loss, in car penetration, see table 1) have been estimated. Therefore, it could be concluded that (assumed a cable loss of 3 dB) an attenuator with an average of 10 dB attenuation is appropriate to cover up to 2 meters-antenna height, cellular and PCS bandwidth, within macro and micro cell environments.

If the antenna height is changed more than 0,5 meter, then the variations start to increase significantly, reaching differences higher than 3 dB, which represent variations larger than twice the signal strength. It should be noted however, that besides the height, only the environment affects the required attenuation value. Variations due to frequency changes are less than 1 dB.

The above observations show that some of the test scenarios generate close results regarding the minimum required attenuation. By close results it is meant that a variation of less than 1,5 dB (see "gain correction" column in table 2) has been found between scenarios. Therefore, these measurement scenarios could be merged into a single scenario which uses the average required attenuation value over the merged scenarios (such as different antenna heights, environments, and frequencies) as a minimum required attenuation.

Table 3: Model's results for the minimum required attenuation in merged scenarios

Environment	Antenna height	Frequency	Recommended min req. attenuation (includes the cable attenuation)
Spread and dense cities	1,5 to 2 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900 MHz)	13,2 dB
	2,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900 MHz)	14,6 dB
Spread cities	3 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	14,6 dB
	3,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	15,4 dB
Dense cities	3 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	16,2 dB
	3,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	17,4 dB

It should also be noted that any significant changes of the values in table 1 (such as another external antenna gain) directly impact the minimum required additional attenuation value.

7 Generally recommended additional attenuation values

There are two main scenarios that network operators are most likely to evaluate: the pedestrian case ("pedestrian coverage") and the in-car scenario ("in-car coverage").

In each of these scenarios, different components of the real-life environment are more important; therefore, only those components should be used to determine the required attenuation value.

For example, in the pedestrian scenario, the in-car penetration component (see table 1) doesn't need to be considered. In addition, there is no cable loss component. In the in-car scenario, emulating car penetration could be more important that considering the body loss component. Also, the cable attenuation represents an important attenuation component.

Based on these two most likely measurements scenarios, generally recommended values for the minimum required additional attenuation (without the cable attenuation) are presented in table 4.

Table 4: Recommended minimum required additional attenuation

Environment	Antenna height	Frequency	Recommended min. req. addition attenuation	
			Pedestrian	In car coverage
Spread and dense cities	1,5 to 2 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	-8,2 dB	-10,2 dB
	2,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	N/A (see note)	-11,6 dB
Spread cities	3 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	N/A (see note)	-11,6 dB
	3,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	N/A (see note)	-12,4 dB
Dense cities	3 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	N/A (see note)	-13,2 dB
	3,5 meters	Cellular band (850 MHz), PCS band (1 900MHz)	N/A (see note)	-14,4 dB
NOTE: Pedestrian cover	age case is expecte	ed to cover antenna he	eights up to 2 meters).

The results of an experimental test based on in car coverage performed by German Operators (see annex A) show that for spread cities, at 1 800 MHz, the estimated additional attenuation is about 10 dB, which matches the value found by the presented model (see table 4).

8 Conclusions

Accurate network monitoring and optimization require that the measurement equipment is set-up to emulate as closely as possible the real-life scenario of the mobile phone utilization by the subscribers. The measurement equipment set-up involves a measurement model that is used to evaluate the parameters of the measurement equipment. These parameters comprise the RF and electrical characteristics of the measurement equipment's components (such as measurement antenna gain, cable loss, phone antenna gain, car penetration, and body loss) and the attenuation value of the additional attenuators that must be inserted on the measurement path.

Recommended average values for electrical and RF characteristics of the measurement equipment's components are provided. Based on these values and the measurement model, the required additional attenuation value is determined for different external antenna heights, frequencies, and environments. In addition, attenuation values are evaluated for two general measurement scenarios, pedestrian and in car coverage.

Annex A: In Car Simulation Test

A simulation test aimed to estimate the additional attenuation on the antenna path has been performed by Vodafone D2 in September 2002.

A.1 Problem definition

Field tests are generally performed using mobiles with external antenna outside of car and defined additional attenuation in cable. Different operators used different attenuations such as:

- 10 dB all over at Vodafone D2;
- 20 dB all over at T-Mobile:
- 14 dB all over at E-Plus;
- no additional attenuation at O2.

Therefore, it has been decided to perform a test that simulates the in car measurement scenario in order to determine the appropriate attenuation value which should be used by the all operators.

A.2 Test set up

The test set up is presented in figure A.1 and figure A.2. The test configuration used two channels from one network operator with QVoice-System in parallel. Channel 1 had a mobile with internal antenna fixed to the plastic head filled with water (to simulate the human head); channel 2 used a mobile with external antenna outside the car.

A.3 Test description

The test had three phases:

- (i) Phase 0: Quality check of head simulation during which drive tests with comparison of attenuation of the plastic head and the human head at 900 MHz and 1 800 MHz.
- (ii) Phase 1: Determination of in-car attenuation during which drive tests with comparison of mobile with external antenna vs. mobile to the plastic head inside the car (in all 4 probing profile).
- (iii) Phase 2: Verification of the determined in car attenuation during which drive test have been performed in the "large city" (or spread city) profile.

A.4 Results

The test's results showed a common attenuation of 10 dB, which needs to be added to the cable loss. Therefore, it can be concluded that an overall attenuation of 13 dB is recommended for common drive test scenarios in large cities, 1 800 MHz profiles.



Figure A.1: Human head emulator



Figure A.2: In car simulation set up

History

Document history			
V1.1.1	May 2007	Publication	