ETSI TR 101 728 V1.2.1 (2002-05)

Technical Report

Access and Terminals (AT); Study for the specification of low pass filter section of POTS/ADSL splitters



Reference

RTR/AT-010093

Keywords

access, ADSL, analogue, terminal

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from: http://www.etsi.org

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp

> If you find errors in the present document, send your comment to: <u>editor@etsi.fr</u>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

> © European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2002. All rights reserved.

DECTTM, **PLUGTESTS**TM and **UMTS**TM are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **TIPHON**TM and the **TIPHON logo** are Trade Marks currently being registered by ETSI for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**TM is a Trade Mark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

Contents

Intelle	ectual Property Rights	5
Forew	vord	5
Introd	luction	5
1	Scope	6
2	References	6
3	Definitions and abbreviations	7
3.1 3.2	Definitions	7 7
4	General functional description of splitters	7
4.1	Overview	7
4.2	Option 1: LPF in splitter unit	9
4.3	Option 2: LPF and "weak" HPF in splitter unit	9
4.4	Option 3: LPF, HPF and DC blocking in splitter unit	10
4.5	Other aspects	11
-		1.1
5	Splitter requirements (Low pass part)	
5.1	DC requirements	11
5.1.1	Polarity independence	11
5.1.2	DC resistance to earth	12
5.1.3	DC resistance between A-wire and B-wire	12
5.1.4	DC series resistance	12
5.1.5	DC feeding current	12
5.2	Terminating impedances	12
5.2.1	Z _{ADSL}	12
5.2.2	$7_{\rm D}$ and $7_{\rm SI}$	
5.2.3	-к 5L	
5.2.0	- KHF Dogs hand Logg requirements	
5.5	Pass band Loss requirements	14
5.5.1	Voltage drop at 25 Hz and 50 Hz	14
5.5.2	Insertion loss	14
5.3.3	Insertion loss distortion.	15
5.4	Impedance at 25 Hz and 50 Hz.	
5.5	Impedance of splitters in the voice band	15
5.6	Impedance of "transparent" and "single impedance" splitters	16
5.6.1	Impedance of a single LE splitter	16
5.6.1.1	I Impedance testing at the POTS-port, option A	16
5.6.1.2	2 Impedance testing at the POTS-port, option B	16
5.6.1.3	3 Choice of reference Impedance and Return Loss values (options A or B)	16
5.6.1.4	4 Impedance testing at the Line port	17
5.6.2	Impedance of a single Remote splitter	17
5.6.2.1	I Impedance testing at the POTS port	17
5.6.2.2	2 Impedance testing at the Line port	
5.7	Unbalance about earth	
5.7.1	The two port test	18
5.7.2	The three port test	18
5.8	Isolation (Insertion Loss) at 32 kHz to 1 100 kHz	19
5.9	Noise	20
5.9.1	Noise 300 Hz to 4 000 Hz	21
5.9.2	Noise 26 kHz to 1 100 kHz	21
5.10	Distortion and Intermodulation	21
5.10.1	Distortion	21
5.10.2	Intermodulation	21
5.11	Group Delay Distortion	22
5.12	Metering at 12 kHz or 16 kHz.	22
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

3

	Common Mode Impedance	23
5.14	Immunity to high level POTS signals	23
5.15	Additional requirements for Option 2 (LPF and "weak" HPF)	
5.16	Additional requirements for Option 3 (LPF, "full" HPF and DC block)	25
6	Distributed filters (additional requirements)	
6.1	DC requirements	26
6.1.1	DC resistance to earth	26
6.1.2	DC resistance between A-wire and B-wire	26
6.2	Pass band	26
6.2.1	Voltage drop at 25 Hz and 50 Hz	26
6.2.2	Insertion Loss	27
6.2.3	Insertion Loss distortion	27
6.3	Impedance of distributed (remote) filters	
6.3.1	Impedance testing at the POTS port	
6.3.2	Impedance testing at the Line port	
6.4	Unbalance about earth	29
6.5	Isolation (Insertion Loss) at 32 kHz to 1 100 kHz	
0.0 6 7	Installation of filters	29
0.7		
7	Other requirements	29
7.1	"Signature network" for maintenance purposes	29
7.2	Line tests	
Anne	x A: Impedance of splitters for special cases	31
Anne A.1	Impedance of splitters for special cases Impedance of a single LE splitter	31
Anne A.1 A.1.1	Ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases Impedance of a single LE splitter	31
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2	Ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases Impedance of a single LE splitter Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the Line port Impedance testing at the Line port	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2	Ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases Impedance of a single LE splitter Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the Line port Impedance testing at the Line port	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2	Ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases Impedance of a single LE splitter Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the Line port Impedance of a single Remote splitter Impedance of a single Remote splitter Impedance testing at the POTS port	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2	Ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases Impedance of a single LE splitter Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the Line port Impedance of a single Remote splitter Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the Line port	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2	Ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases	31 313132323232323233
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2 Anne	ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2 Anne B.1	ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases	31 31 32 32 32 32 33 34 34
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2 Anne B.1 B.2	 Impedance of splitters for special cases	31 31 32 32 32 33 34 34 34
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2 Anne B.1 B.2 B.3	 Impedance of splitters for special cases	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2 Anne B.1 B.2 B.3 B.4	 Impedance of splitters for special cases	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2 Anne B.1 B.2 B.3 B.4 B.5	 Impedance of splitters for special cases	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2 Anne B.1 B.2 B.3 B.4 B.5 B.6	 EX A: Impedance of splitters for special cases	
Anne A.1 A.1.1 A.1.2 A.2 A.2.1 A.2.2 Anne B.1 B.2 B.3 B.4 B.5 B.6 B.7	ex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases Impedance of a single LE splitter Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the Line port Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the POTS port Impedance testing at the Ine port Impedance testing at the Line port ex B: General functional description of splitters Overview Impedance LPF in splitter unit (HPF and DC blocking in ADSL modem) Impedance LPF, DC blocking and <u>full</u> HPF in the splitter unit. Impedance LPF, DC blocking and <u>part</u> of HPF in splitter unit. Impedance LPF and DC blocking and part of HPF in splitter unit. Impedance LPF and DC blocking and part of HPF in splitter unit. Impedance LPF and DC blocking and part of HPF in splitter unit. Impedance LPF and DC blocking in splitter unit (HPF in ADSL modem) Impedance LPF and DC blocking in splitter unit (HPF in ADSL modem) Impedance LPF and DC blocking in splitter unit (HPF in ADSL modem) Impedance LPF and DC blocking in splitter unit (HPF in ADSL modem) Impedance LPF and DC bloc	

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (http://webapp.etsi.org/IPR/home.asp).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Access and Terminals (AT).

Introduction

The present document describes the basic information necessary to define requirements and test methods for POTS/ADSL splitters.

Technology developments, e.g. fast digital signal processors, facilitate the launch of applications like ADSL, corresponding to the ever increasing user demand for broadband services.

With ADSL technology, POTS and broadband data services are delivered to the user via a single copper pair. POTS uses the low frequency band, typically 0 kHz to 4 kHz, and data services use 26 kHz to 1 100 kHz. The signals are separated by a device called a "splitter". The present document covers aspects of the splitter that relate to the POTS services.

A more recent development, dealt with in the present document, is a so called distributed filter, which is a (small) low pass filter connected to each TE, instead of one common splitter for the whole user installation. **The intended application of the filters in the present document is for full rate ADSL** (the same application as with the common splitter).

Splitterless ADSL configuration according to ITU-T Recommendation G.992.2 [6], also designated "ADSL Lite", is outside the scope of the present document.

NOTE: Further experience and investigations may lead to some different results to be considered later.

1 Scope

The present document provides the basis for specification of requirements and test methods for the low pass section of POTS/ADSL splitters. These splitters are intended to be installed at the Local Exchange side of the local loop and at the user side near the NTP. The splitter at the user side may be part of the network or part of the user installation but will be always used to connect an access network using ADSL technology as described in ETR 328 [1] with a typical home installation.

6

The present document does not cover the possible advantages and disadvantages of the ownership of the splitter e.g. by the network operator, the user or a third party.

The present document covers most common situations to ensure a reasonable performance of this technology and facilitate the launch of such systems.

The high pass filter function of the splitter is not within the scope of the present document.

2 References

For the purposes of this Technical Report (TR), the following references apply:

[1]	ETSI ETR 328: "Transmission and Multiplexing (TM); Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL); Requirements and performance".
[2]	ETSI EG 201 120: "Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN); Method of rating terminal equipment so that it can be connected in series and/or in parallel to a Network Termination Point (NTP)".
[3]	ETSI TR 102 139: "Compatibility of POTS terminal equipment with xDSL systems".
[4]	ITU-T Recommendation O.42: "Equipment to measure non-linear distortion using the 4-tone intermodulation method".
[5]	ITU-T Recommendation O.132: "Quantizing distortion measuring equipment using a sinusoidal test signal".
[6]	ITU-T Recommendation G.992.2: "Splitterless Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) transceivers".
[7]	ITU-T Recommendation G.992.1: "Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) transceivers".
[8]	ETSI EN 301 437: "Terminal Equipment (TE); Attachment requirements for pan-European approval for connection to the analogue Public Switched Telephone Networks (PSTNs) of TE supporting the voice telephony service in which network addressing, if provided, is by means of Dual Tone Multi Frequency (DTMF) signalling".
[9]	ETSI ES 201 187: "2-wire analogue voice band interfaces; Loop Disconnect (LD) dialling specific requirements".
[10]	ETSI TBR 038: "Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN); Attachment requirements for a terminal equipment incorporating an analogue handset function capable of supporting the justified case service when connected to the analogue interface of the PSTN in Europe".
[11]	ETSI TBR 021: "Terminal Equipment (TE); Attachment requirements for pan-European approval for connection to the analogue Public Switched Telephone Networks (PSTNs) of TE (excluding TE supporting the voice telephony service) in which network addressing, if provided, is by means of Dual Tone Multi Frequency (DTMF) signalling".

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the following terms and definitions apply:

A-wire and B-wire: wires in the 2-wire local loop connection provided from the exchange to the NTP

line sharing: the same local loop (copper pair) is shared by two different operators for two different services

NOTE: Application e.g.: POTS and ADSL (or POTS and ISDN).

non line sharing: the local loop (copper pair) is used by only one operator for one or more different services

NOTE: Application e.g.: POTS or POTS and ADSL.

non unbundled: access for terminal equipment to services provided by a PNO or PSP exclusively via the infrastructure of that PNO or PSP

unbundled: access for terminal equipment to services provided by a PNO or PSP via the infrastructure of another PNO or PSP

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

AC	Alternating Current
ADSL	Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line
CO	Central Office (Local Exchange)
DC	Direct Current
DSLAM	Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer
HPF	High Pass Filter
ITU	International Telecommunication Union
LCL	Longitudinal Conversion Loss
LE	Local Exchange (Central Office)
LPF	Low Pass Filter
NTP	Network Termination Point
PAR	Peak-to-Average Ratio
POTS	Plain Old Telephone Service
PNO	Public Network Operator
PSP	Public Service Provider
TE	Terminal Equipment
NOTE:	e.g.: Telephone, fax, voice band modem etc.
xDSL	(generic) Digital Subscriber Line

4 General functional description of splitters

4.1 Overview

With some xDSL-systems (e.g. ADSL), POTS- and xDSL- signals may be transported simultaneously on the same copper wire pair in an access network.

To separate the signals, devices called splitters are used.

One splitter is placed in the Local Exchange (LE splitter), the other at the users premises (Remote splitter).

An overview is given in figure 1.



Figure 1: Overview of the use of splitters for separation of POTS and xDSL signals

The splitter function consists of a high pass filter (HPF), a low pass filter (LPF) and a DC blocking function (DC block). The three functional blocks of the splitter may be distributed, often combined with other network elements, for example the DC blocking function can be a separate function or can be a part of the HPF or can be in the same physical unit as the LPF. It is quite common that the HPF is included in the ADSL transceiver.

The dotted box "C" represents the connection between Line port, LPF and DC blocking function, which in most of the existing splitters is a simple galvanic connection. However, in some cases, the box may represent some circuitry, e.g. in figure B.3b, in which the DC blocking function is implemented as a "series solution" with no galvanic coupling.

Three different options for the splitter unit in the Local Exchange are described below:

1) Option 1: The LPF is in the splitter unit (HPF and DC blocking function are in the ADSL modem).

This option is more suitable for the "non unbundled"/non "line shared" situation, when one operator provides the POTS as well as the ADSL service.

2) Option 2: The LPF and a "weak" (additional) HPF function are in the splitter unit (the "full"/original HPF and DC blocking function are in the ADSL modem). The additional HPF prevents for impedance disturbance when a long cable is used between splitter unit and ADSL modem e.g. in case of Line Sharing. This impedance disturbance is called "bridged tap effect".

This option is more suitable for the "unbundled"/"Line Shared" situation.

3) Option 3: The LPF, an (additional) "full" HPF and DC blocking function are in the splitter unit. This option prevents for impedance disturbances e.g. due to a long cable between splitter and ADSL modem and for nearly all possible other disturbances at the ADSL port of the splitter unit. This option gives also good separation of the services, so no operator has unnecessary access to the service of the other operator in case of Line Sharing.

This option is also suitable for the "unbundled"/"Line Shared" situation.

Advantages and disadvantages of the three options are further discussed in clauses 4.2 to 4.4. Important points are:

- quality control of the services;
- more separation of the services; and
- more clearly divided responsibilities in case of an "unbundled" loop or "shared" loop.

At the users premises, option 1 is always used (LPF in splitter unit; HPF and DC blocking in the ADSL modem).

4.2 Option 1: LPF in splitter unit

This option (figure 2) is commonly used for the "non unbundled"/"non Line Shared" loop. POTS and ADSL are delivered by the same operator. This operator is responsible for the quality of both the POTS and ADSL services.

If this solution is used in an "unbundled"/"line sharing" situation, there is a risk of a bridged tap effect, possibly affecting the quality of the POTS service. This could happen if the splitter is at the POTS operator's exchange and there is a cable of some length to the DSLAM in the co-location. The ADSL operator also has unnecessary access to the POTS service.



Figure 2: Splitter unit with only an LPF

4.3 Option 2: LPF and "weak" HPF in splitter unit

This option describes a splitter unit with an LPF and a "weak" HPF and is intended for line sharing.

If POTS and ADSL are provided by one and the same operator, the splitters and the ADSL transceivers are, in general, located very close to each other. In many cases they are in the same cabinet or bay and due to that connected by a very short cable or by a back-plane. Requirements for the POTS/ADSL splitters are developed with this short (negligible) cable length in mind.

In case of "Line sharing", there is a second location (co-location) housing the ADSL equipment (DSLAM/ADSL modem) of the second operator. A co-location could be in the same or, in case of lack off space, in an other (nearby) building. When the ADSL transceiver (having the HPF) is at the co-location and the splitter is close to the MDF, a cable connects the splitter and the ADSL transceiver. This cable may disturb the impedance in the voice band, seen by the splitter in the direction of the ADSL-transceiver.

To prevent for this impedance disturbance, the splitter unit is equipped with a "weak" HPF. This "weak" HPF has to fulfil a few requirements:

- 1) it shall sufficiently isolate the impedance disturbance in the voice band, seen by the splitter unit in the direction of the ADSL transceiver;
- 2) it shall not disturb the working of the existing HPF (in the ADSL transceiver) or the ADSL transmission itself; and
- 3) fulfilling 1) and 2) while there is no change or adaptation required for the existing HPF and DC block, or a change of something else in the ADSL transceiver.

Goal of 3) is to make a quick introduction possible (introduction without any changes in the currently produced ADSL transceivers). Today there is a lot of pressure to make "Line sharing" very soon available. Keeping the existing ADSL transceivers as they are now (with the existing HPF and DC blocking) is seen as a means to accomplish this goal.

The filter shall have enough attenuation (in the voice band) to prevent impedance disturbance seen by the LPF of the splitter. So a limited attenuation (e.g. in the range 10 dB to 15 dB) seems sufficient.

A limited attenuation is proposed because it is expected that this extra HPF when it has only a small attenuation, does not disturbs the functioning of the existing HPF in the ADSL transceiver or the ADSL transmission itself.

A DC blocking function is allowed but not required for this option, because there is a (mandatory) DC blocking function in the ADSL modem (see figure 3).

Possible additional requirements or tests for this option are in clause 5.15.

The ADSL frequency aspects of the proposed solution have also to be studied by TM6.



Figure 3: Splitter unit with LPF and "weak" HPF, intended for line sharing

4.4 Option 3: LPF, HPF and DC blocking in splitter unit

The solution shown in figure 4 is also suitable/intended for the "unbundled"/"line shared" situation. A full HPF and a DC block function are in the splitter unit.

This option provides a good separation of services if the splitter is used by the operator of the access network. The ADSL and POTS operators have no access to each other's services. The responsibilities for the relevant services are more clearly allocated. The quality of the splitter is a responsibility/matter of the owner of the splitter e.g. the operator of the access network.

There is no risk for the bridged tap effect in case of a long cable between the splitter unit and the DSLAM. Because of the good isolation in the voice band, there is no risk of disturbance of the voice signals, even in case of extreme situations like a very long cable to the co-location or e.g. a short-circuit on the ADSL port or near the DSLAM.

The operator can only use the frequency band for the relevant service. This could be important if there is a difference in the cost of renting a line for an ADSL service only or for both services i.e. ADSL and POTS.

Compared with option 2 (figure 3), this option provides better/good isolation for the voice signals of the POTS service (from Line port and POTS port to ADSL port).

A disadvantage with this option could be a cost penalty.

At voice frequencies the HPF should have sufficient attenuation to isolate the POTS service. Also a DC blocking function is required.

The HPF in the splitter has to be specified at voice frequencies (by ETSI AT) and at ADSL frequencies (by ETSI TM6). The requirements for the HPF in the receiver of the ADSL modem should be also considered. Maybe they could or should be relaxed (possible cost saving ?).

Additional requirements or tests for this option are in clause 5.16.

Splitter unit POTS Equipment LPF Local Loop 1 F DMT-DC-"full" DC-HPF modem block HPF block ADSL modem

A possible adaptation of the existing HPF and DC blocking in the ADSL modem for this option are under study.

11



4.5 Other aspects

Distributed splitter/micro-filters

Because of installation difficulties and costs for installing the user splitter, a so-called "Lite" version of ADSL (ITU-T Recommendation G.992.2 [6]) was developed with lower line speeds. With this system there is in principle no splitter at the users premises. To prevent bad performance in real operation, "micro-filters" are placed at some of the users POTS TEs. This arrangement of micro-filters is often called a "distributed splitter". Further developments have led to the distributed splitter arrangement being used also with full rate ADSL (ITU-T Recommendation G.992.1 [7]), in this case a filter is placed at each of the users POTS TEs. The intended application of the filters in the present document (in clause 6) is full rate ADSL (the same application as with the common splitter).

NOTE: In case of a distributed splitter, a logical place for the DC blocking function is in the ADSL transceiver (between the input and the HPF).

The splitter as two or three port device

Having no DC blocking function or HPF in the splitter unit, reduces the splitter from a 3 port device to a device with only 2 electrically different ports, because in this case the ADSL port and the Line port are connected together in the splitter box. This difference is important for the Longitudinal Balance test.

Splitter design

In order to fulfil the requirements (e.g. unbalance about earth) it is recommended to use a "balanced" design for the splitter.

5 Splitter requirements (Low pass part)

5.1 DC requirements

5.1.1 Polarity independence

The splitter(s) shall conform to all the applicable requirements of the present document for both polarities of the DC line feeding voltage (and the DC line current) provided by the local exchange.

This may not apply in the case where a "signature network", described in clause 7.1, is used as this may be polarity dependant.

5.1.2 DC resistance to earth

Splitters with an earth terminal shall have a sufficiently high resistance to earth. The DC resistance between each terminal (i.e. A-wire and B-wire) of the splitter and earth, when tested with 100 V DC, shall not be less than 20 M Ω .

5.1.3 DC resistance between A-wire and B-wire

The DC resistance between the A-wire and B-wire terminal of the splitter, when tested with 100 V DC, shall not be less than 5 M Ω .

This requirement is not applicable if the splitter is equipped with a so called "signature network" (see clause 7.1).

5.1.4 DC series resistance

The DC series resistance of the POTS port to the Line port of one splitter should be less than 50 Ω . This requirement should be met for the feeding conditions described in clause 5.1.5.

NOTE: Access network test systems give a number of test results e.g. the "DC-isolation resistance to earth"; "estimation of loop length" is another common output result of such systems. A high DC series resistance may influence the accuracy of loop length estimation performed by a test system for local loops.

5.1.5 DC feeding current

The splitter shall fulfil all of the AC requirements in the voice band and for the ADSL band with a DC loop current in the range 0 mA to 80 mA, and all DC requirements with a DC loop current of 15 mA to 80 mA.

NOTE: Some older switching systems may operate at loop currents of 125 mA or even higher, but it is unlikely that new technologies (xDSL) are or can be used together with those older switching systems.

For networks using only constant current sources in their exchange line-cards, which typically provide DC feeding currents in the range 35 mA to 45 mA, the maximum DC current for the tests could be lower than 80 mA, e.g. 45 mA or 50 mA.

The DC current is provided by the test equipment or by a DC-feeding-bridge and a DC-termination.

5.2 Terminating impedances

5.2.1 Z_{ADSL}

In most of the tests with voice frequencies, the ADSL port is terminated with an impedance called Z_{ADSL} . Z_{ADSL} represents an impedance equal to the input impedance of the ADSL transceiver (with the HPF), as seen from the splitter.

This impedance network is given in figure 6.



NOTE: This impedance is applicable for the scenario where the blocking capacitors are not fitted in the splitter as described in figure 2. Suitable impedance networks suitable for other options described in clause 4 are given in annex B.

Figure 6: Schematic diagram of the impedance Z_{ADSL}

For practical tests at voice/POTS frequencies, a capacitor of 27 nF could be used instead of Z_{ADSL} with only a negligible error. In the long term it is expected that on lines where the ADSL service has been ceased, splitters will remain connected, as it will not be worthwhile for a network operator to dispatch staff to remove the user side splitter. For this reason, it is required that the splitter also fulfils all the requirements if there is no ADSL load (the ADSL transceiver) connected to the splitter.

13

If the splitter is an integrated part of the ADSL transceiver, the ADSL port of the splitter is in general not physically accessible and testing has to be performed for the combined unit.

5.2.2 Z_R and Z_{SL}

For requirements relating to voice band frequencies described in the present document, the terminating impedances Z_R and Z_{SL} are used to terminate the POTS port or the Line port. Z_R is the European harmonized complex impedance, Z_{SL} is an impedance used in TBR 038 [10] to simulate a short line terminated in 600 Ω .



Figure 7: Impedance Z_R



Figure 8: Impedance Z_{SL}

5.2.3 Z_{RHF}

For requirements relating to ADSL frequencies described in the present document, the terminating impedance Z_{RHF} is used to terminate POTS ports. This is the European harmonized complex impedance Z_R with the modification proposed in TR 102 139 [3]. This network is shown in figure 9.



Figure 9: Impedance Z_{RHF}

NOTE: In practical applications of the voice band requirements Z_{RHF} may be used instead of Z_R as both terminations will be the same at these frequencies.

5.3 Pass band Loss requirements

5.3.1 Voltage drop at 25 Hz and 50 Hz

Ringing signals with frequencies of 25 Hz and 50 Hz should be used.

The maximum voltage drop at the load impedance due to the insertion of one splitter, in the test set-up of figure 10, shall be not more than 2 Vrms.

Table 2: Test conditions voltage drop at 25 Hz and 50 Hz

Impedance of signal source	850 Ω resistive
Impedance of the load	modulus = 4 000 Ω ; phase angle = -45 degrees
Open voltage of the AC test signal source	60 Vrms
Level of the DC feeding voltage	60 V DC

The ringing signal (ringing voltage) is in most cases superimposed on the DC feeding voltage. The maximum ringing signal may be as high as 100 Vrms and the maximum DC voltage may be as high as 78 V.

The splitter should be able to transfer the superimposed signals to the AC-load of 4 000 Ω without significant distortion. This is tested with an AC voltage of 100 Vrms and a DC voltage of 78 V. With those voltages applied, the distortion of the AC signal, measured at the load, shall be less than 10 %.

5.3.2 Insertion loss

The insertion loss of one splitter shall be less than 1 dB at 1 kHz.

The Insertion Loss is the difference in loss with and without splitter.

The test set up is given in figure 10.



Figure 10: Test set up for Insertion Loss testing on a splitter

Level of the test signal = -4 dBV emf.

The test should be executed with the combinations of source and load impedances in table 3. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.

Table 3: Combinations of Source and Load impedances for the Insertion Loss test

Source/Load combination	Impedance of signal source	Impedance of the load (Z in figure 10)
Combination 1	Z _R	Z _R
Combination 2	600 Ω	600 Ω

5.3.3 Insertion loss distortion

The absolute difference between the insertion loss at any frequency in the range 200 Hz to 4 000 Hz and the insertion loss at 1 kHz shall be less than 1 dB.

The test should be executed with the combinations of source and load impedances in table 3. The test set-up is described in figure 10, the DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.

5.4 Impedance at 25 Hz and 50 Hz

The POTS port and the Line port of the splitter should have an impedance at 25 Hz and 50 Hz of not less than 40 k Ω . While testing a port, all other ports are open (non terminated).

5.5 Impedance of splitters in the voice band

It is necessary to identify the desirable characteristics of POTS splitters required to operate satisfactorily in most European telecom networks. In other words, they should not significantly compromise the function of the existing balance of local exchange hybrid networks and of the sidetone networks of installed telephone sets.

Some European networks currently in operation are designed around 600Ω , while others are designed around complex impedance terminations or are in a transitional phase between the two terminations. As a consequence it is not possible to specify a unique value for splitter impedances applicable to all European networks, but it must be required that splitters do not significantly disturb the existing impedances as seen both from the network side and from the terminal side. No or minimal disturbing for a range of different impedances is called transparency.

Acknowledging that ideal transparency cannot be achieved, the term "**transparent**" is used in the present document to describe splitters which are intended to achieve this impedance transparency aim.

Splitters which do not belong to the category above and that are usually intended to present the optimized impedance for a network are called "**single impedance**" splitters in the present document.

The difference between "**transparent**" and "**single impedance**" splitters is mainly reflected in the impedance requirements for the voiceband. Other requirements apply to both "transparent" and " single impedance" splitters.

In this report, the impedance aspects of "transparent" splitters, "single impedance" splitters and splitters which are very specific for one network, are described:

- 1) A "transparent" splitter optimized for networks having "complex" and "non complex" network and terminal (impedance) presentations. The impedance requirements are in clause 5.6 (option A). The impedance is tested both with Z_R and Z_{SL}.
- 2) A "single impedance" splitter optimized for networks having mainly/only "complex" network and terminal (impedance) presentations. The impedance requirements are also in clause 5.6. (option B). The impedance is tested with Z_R.
- 3) A splitter optimized to all possible parameters of one network. In the present document the general principles for specifying this kind of splitters are provided in annex A. As a consequence, such splitters can not be harmonized at the European level, they are very specific for one network.

5.6 Impedance of "transparent" and "single impedance" splitters

There are two options for impedance requirements/testing. The choice for and the difference between "option A" or "option B" is explained in clause 5.6.1.3.

5.6.1 Impedance of a single LE splitter

5.6.1.1 Impedance testing at the POTS-port, option A

The test set-up is in figure 11. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated. The "option A" impedance test is used for "transparent" splitters.



Figure 11: Impedance testing on a LE splitter (at the POTS port)

The device should meet all the Return Loss requirements specified in table 4.

Table 4: Return Loss

Test #	Value of Z1	Frequency range	Minimum Return Loss
test 1	Z _{SL}	300 Hz to 3 400 Hz	12 dB (see note)
test 2	Z _{SL}	3 400 Hz to 4 000 Hz	8 dB
test 3	Z _R	300 Hz to 3 400 Hz	12 dB (see note)
test 4	Z _R	3 400 Hz to 4 000 Hz	8 dB
NOTE: A value of 14 dB for the minimum Return Loss instead of 12 dB is desirable.			

5.6.1.2 Impedance testing at the POTS-port, option B

The test set-up is in figure 11. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated. The "option B" impedance test is used for "single impedance" splitters.

The Return Loss shall fulfil the requirements of ITU-T Recommendation G.992.1, clause E.1 [7]. It is preferable that the test impedance (Z_1 in figure 11) is a single value and equal to that described as $Z_{complex(1)}$ in clause E.1; ($Z_{complex(1)}$ in ITU-T Recommendation G.992.1 [7], annex E.1 is equal to the European harmonized impedance Z_R).

5.6.1.3 Choice of reference Impedance and Return Loss values (options A or B)

Option A is appropriate for networks where the population of existing terminals or network presentations includes equipment designed against several different reference impedance values (e.g. 600 Ω , harmonized European reference impedance Z_R, other complex impedances), such that it is felt that one single reference impedance is insufficient to accommodate the needs of all terminals and network presentations.

Due to the wide range of impedances which are accommodated, the degree of potential degradation of the POTS service introduced by an "Option A" ADSL splitter may not be as well controlled as in the case of "Option B".

Option B is appropriate for networks for which it is felt that one single reference impedance is sufficient to accommodate the needs of all terminals and network presentations.

5.6.1.4 Impedance testing at the Line port

The test set-up is in figure 12. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated.





For splitters which are developed for impedance requirements "Option A", the device should meet all the Return Loss requirements specified in table 4 of clause 5.6.1.1 (see also clause 5.6.1.3).

For splitters which are developed for impedance requirements "Option B", the device should meet all the Return Loss requirements specified in clause 5.6.1.2 (see also clause 5.6.1.3).

5.6.2 Impedance of a single Remote splitter

5.6.2.1 Impedance testing at the POTS port

The test set-up is in figure 13. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated.



Figure 13: Impedance testing on a Remote splitter (at the POTS port)

For splitters which are developed for impedance requirements "Option A", the device should meet all the Return Loss requirements specified in table 4 of clause 5.6.1.1 (see also 5.6.1.3).

For splitters which are developed for impedance requirements "Option B", the device should meet all the Return Loss requirements specified in clause 5.6.1.2 (see also 5.6.1.3).

5.6.2.2 Impedance testing at the Line port

The test set-up is in figure 14. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated.



Figure 14: Impedance testing on a Remote splitter (at the Line port)

For splitters which are developed for impedance requirements "Option A", the device should meet all the Return Loss requirements specified in table 4 of clause 5.6.1.1 (see also clause 5.6.1.3).

For splitters which are developed for impedance requirements "Option B", the device should meet all the Return Loss requirements specified in clause 5.6.1.2 (see also clause 5.6.1.3).

5.7 Unbalance about earth

The impedance unbalance about earth is expressed as the Longitudinal Conversion Loss (LCL).

For the splitter, two slightly different tests can be distinguished.

5.7.1 The two port test

This test is used for splitters with two electrically different ports e.g. the user splitter when the DC blocking function is not build-in in the splitter but in the ADSL modem (see figure 2). The Line port and ADSL port are electrically the same for this type.

5.7.2 The three port test

Is used for splitters with three electrically different ports e.g. when the DC blocking function and/or HPF (see figures 3 and 4) is in the splitter. The test set-up is in figure 15. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.





Figure 15: LCL test set-up (a) and termination network (b)

The LCL is measured on all the ports (the figure shows measuring the POTS port). When measuring one port, the other accessible port(s) are:

19

- terminated with the network in figure 15(b) with S = open;
- terminated with the network in figure 15(b) with S = closed.

If there are three ports, one is measured and the two remaining ports are terminated with terminations of figure 15(b) and the switches in any possible combination (2 terminated ports and the switches open or closed gives 4 combinations).

The LCL is calculated by using the following equation:

$$LCL = 20\log_{10} \left| \frac{U_0}{U_T} \right| \qquad (dB)$$

The splitter shall fulfil the requirements in table 5 for all ports and all combinations of terminations.

Frequency range	Value of R	Minimum LCL value
50 Hz to 600 Hz	300 Ω	40 dB
600 Hz to 3 400 Hz	300 Ω	46 dB
3 400 Hz to 4 000 Hz	300 Ω	40 dB
4 kHz to 30 kHz	50 Ω	40 dB
30 kHz to 1 104 kHz	50 Ω	50 dB
1 104 kHz to 5 MHz	50 Ω	30 dB

Table 5: Longitudinal Conversion Loss, minimum values

If the splitter has no earth terminal, the test should be performed while the splitter is placed on an earthed metal plate of a sufficiently large size.

The measurements should be made with a selective voltmeter, they should not be made at 50 Hz (mains frequency) or multiples of 50 Hz up to the 5^{th} harmonic.

NOTE 1: A proposal for testing the LCL of the splitter at the POTS port only up to 4 kHz, is under study.

NOTE 2: The test method in this clause is for further study (e.g. the source impedance of the generator).

5.8 Isolation (Insertion Loss) at 32 kHz to 1 100 kHz

The splitter needs to isolate the POTS port from the ADSL signals which may impact voiceband services through nonlinear or other effects. The high Insertion Loss, required for this purpose is called isolation.

Although the frequency range for full rate ADSL (ITU-T Recommendation G.992.1 [7]) starts at about 26 kHz, the Isolation of the splitter is specified from 32 kHz to 1 100 kHz. This because the difficulty to make a splitter which has already a very high Isolation (55 dB) at 26 kHz.

The set-up for testing isolation of the POTS port from ADSL frequencies is given in figure 16. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The splitter shall fulfil the minimum Isolation requirements of table 6. The splitter shall also fulfil the requirement if the signal source is connected to the ADSL port and the Line port is terminated with Z_{RHF} .



Figure 16: Test set up for Isolation testing on a splitter

Table 6: Isolation, minimum value

Frequency range	Minimum value
32 kHz to 1 100 kHz	55 dB
	(see note 3)

- Impedance of signal source = Z_{RHF}
- Impedance of the load = Z_{RHF}
- Level of the test signal = +16,7 dBV emf (this is comparable to +19,9 dBm in a load of 120Ω ; the impedance of Z_{RHF} approaches a value of 120Ω at higher frequencies; the transmit level of the LE ADSL transceiver is +19,9 dBm).
- NOTE 1: A particular advantage of the use of Z_{RHF} is that the Insertion Loss in the transition band between POTS to ADSL band is measured in an unambiguous way.
- NOTE 2: The POTS ringing voltage (25 Hz or 50 Hz) should be sufficiently attenuated between the line and the ADSL transceiver input. This isolation is accomplished by the HPF (in the ADSL-transceiver).
- NOTE 3: Further experience and investigations in this area may lead to some relaxation of the Isolation requirement to be considered later, e.g. the relation between Isolation (in the ADSL band), Return Loss (in the voice band) and Insertion Loss (in the voice band) shall be considered.

The Isolation characteristic from POTS to the Line port and from POTS to the ADSL port is also important to protect ADSL from possible out-of-band signals generated by POTS terminals. For the moment this point (performance level and precise test methods) is under study.

It is assumed that no special Home LAN system (e.g. PNA) is used in the user's facility. In the case of deployment of such systems additional filtering is necessary.

It is believed that POTS terminal equipment can generate disturbances in the frequency band 200 kHz to 30 MHz at signal levels as high as -50 dBm in a 10 kHz bandwidth. Most existing home wiring installations were not designed to be used in conjunction with equipment operating at this frequency range, it is believed that there is a possibility of these frequencies being induced into the local environment affecting other lines and amateur radio installations.

5.9 Noise

The noise produced by a splitter itself (without signals applied to it) should be limited. Especially "active splitters" can produce noise. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.

5.9.1 Noise 300 Hz to 4 000 Hz

The noise in the range 300 Hz to 4 000 Hz, measured at the Line port and the POTS port of a splitter, should be less than -70 dBV and, measured with a psophometer, less than -75 dBVp.

Line port and POTS port should be terminated with Z_R.

The ADSL port is terminated with the ADSL load (Z_{ADSL}) .

5.9.2 Noise 26 kHz to 1 100 kHz

The noise, in the frequency range 26 kHz to 1 100 kHz, measured at the ADSL port and at the Line port should be less than -70 dBm measured in each bandwidth of 10 kHz, this is equal to -110 dBm/Hz.

Line port, POTS port and ADSL port should be terminated with Z_{RHF}.

5.10 Distortion and Intermodulation

The harmonic distortion and possible intermodulation, with as result transferring of ADSL signal energy into the voice band, should be low to prevent degradation of the voice service. This could happen in case of non-linearities in the splitter (e.g. behaviour of coils or semiconductor devices).

5.10.1 Distortion

Applied to the splitter are:

- a 1 020 Hz signal at the Line port at a level of -9 dBV into Z_R ;
- an ADSL signal (or an artificial equivalent with sufficient PAR) at the ADSL port (simulating the local modem). The level of the signal is +12,5 dBm (ADSL upstream signal);
- an ADSL signal at the Line port (simulating the other modem via a short Local Loop). The level of the signal is +19,9 dBm (ADSL downstream signal).

The distortion and noise should be measured at the POTS port with a noise meter with a 1 020 Hz notch filter (ITU-T Recommendation O.132 [5]).

The total power of distortion and noise in the frequency range 300 Hz to 4 000 Hz shall be less than -60 dBV.

The splitter shall fulfil the requirement when tested with DC line currents in the range described in clause 5.1.5.

5.10.2 Intermodulation

The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.

The following test signals are applied to the splitter:

- A 4-tone test signal at the Line port (from ITU-T Recommendation O.42 [4]).
- An ADSL signal (or an artificial equivalent with sufficient PAR) at the ADSL port (simulating the local modem). The level of the signal is +12,5 dBm (ADSL upstream signal).
- An ADSL signal at the Line port (simulating the other modem via a short Local Loop). The level of the signal is +19,9 dBm (ADSL downstream signal).

The second and third order harmonics of the 4-tone signal are measured at POTS port.

Requirement: Using the 4-tone method at a level of -9 dBm, the second and third order harmonic distortion products shall be at least 57 dB and 60 dB, respectively below the received signal level.

5.11 Group Delay Distortion

The increase of the delay distortion by inserting one splitter as depicted in figure 17 should be less than the figures in table 7, relative to the lowest measured delay in the frequency range 300 Hz to 4 000 Hz.



Figure 17: Test set up for Group Delay Distortion testing on a splitter

Table 7. Group Delay Distortion, maximum values

Frequency range	Maximum value
200 Hz to 600 Hz	250 μs
600 Hz to 3 200 Hz	200 µs
3 200 Hz to 4 000 Hz	250 μs

- Impedance of signal source: 600Ω (test 1)/Z_R (test 2).
- Impedance of the load: $600 \Omega (\text{test 1})/\text{Z}_{\text{R}} (\text{test 2}).$
- Level of the test signal: -10 dBV.

5.12 Metering at 12 kHz or 16 kHz

Some network operators use 12 kHz or 16 kHz to provide metering or advice of charge information for the POTS service. These signals are transmitted at high signal levels using relatively low source and load impedances resulting in higher than normal signal currents.

Support of 12 kHz or 16 kHz metering by a splitter reduces the frequency band available for the transition from the low attenuation range (POTS band) to the high attenuation range (ADSL band) significantly. This decrease requires a more complex filter to fulfil the Insertion Loss and the Isolation requirements. It is therefore recommended that operators activating xDSL systems deactivate the metering pulses facility where feasible, in which case a requirement for insertion loss at these frequencies will not be necessary. However it is recognized that in some networks these metering signals cannot be removed, in these cases a requirement for insertion loss at these frequencies will be necessary.

It should also be noted that a TE designed to TBR 021 [11] and EN 301 437 [8] may be disturbed by metering signals if an appropriate 12 kHz or 16 kHz blocking filter is not installed, as immunity to metering signals is outside the scope of these standards. Information on 12 kHz or 16 kHz blocking is found in EG 201 120 [2], clause B.3.

Another problem is that the ADSL transceiver possibly needs a better input filter to deal with the high level of the 12 kHz or 16 kHz signal on its receiver input.

Test set up of figure 10 is used. The maximum insertion loss of one splitter at a frequency of $12 \text{ kHz} \pm 1 \%$ or at a frequency of $16 \text{ kHz} \pm 1 \%$, shall be 3 dB.

- Impedance of the source: 200Ω .
- Impedance of the Load: 200Ω .
- Level of the test signal: 5 Vrms.

5.13 Common Mode Impedance

POTS terminals may produce common mode impulses which will pass through a differential mode filter and cause bit-errors in the DSL modem. This may happen when going "on/off hook", POTS line cards may produce them at the start/stop of the ringing cadence. Another source of troublesome common mode signal can be from switched mode power supplies as typically used in fax machines and laptops. A common mode filter is required to reduce these problems.

The common mode choke (coil) should be constructed such that the resonance between its inductance and interwinding capacitance is placed in the middle of the DSL band.

The modulus of the (common mode) impedance of the common mode choke should exceed the values indicated in the mask shown in figure 18.



NOTE: The vertical lines of the mask are at 25 kHz and 1 100 kHz.

Figure 18: Mask for the minimum Common Mode Impedance

NOTE: Further experience and investigations in this area may lead to some different results to be considered later.

5.14 Immunity to high level POTS signals

An important requirement of a POTS splitter/filter is to prevent any unwanted signals at DSL frequencies being generated due to the presence of high voltage signals/transients present on the line. The effect of such frequencies on the modem can be twofold. The high voltage swing on the line generates a corresponding high voltage signal at the receiver of the modem which can, at the very least, make the receiver go non-linear. At worst it can cause clipping. The other effect is that the frequency content of the high voltage swing will overlap the frequencies used for the upstream transmission, causing a reduction of signal to noise ratio for the carriers and hence increased error rate.

High voltage swings are created under a number of circumstances. The obvious condition is when a telephone goes off-hook or goes back on-hook. Loop disconnect dialling also causes high voltage swings. Indeed older telephones literally short out the line. High voltage swings also occur at the line-card of the local exchange when line reversal is used. Thus measurement of the large signal performance is necessary for both the LE and remote splitter/filters.

The test set-up is shown in figure 19. The circuit is completely balanced. To the splitter POTS port is connected a switch S_1 with an on/off transition time less than 2 μ s. The Line port is terminated with a simple resistive feeding bridge as used in ES 201 187 [9]. The ADSL port is terminated with Z_{ADSL} .

Requirement: The signal V_1 measured across the 1 000 Ω , due to each change of state of the switch S_1 , should be less than 2V p-p and have a resonant frequency less than 15 kHz. This applies to both the on and off hook transitions of switch S_1 .



NOTE: By using a transformer instead of L₁ (with the same inductance as L₁), the signal can be measured using an unbalanced oscilloscope.

Figure 19: Test circuit for large signal test

A possible implementation of S_1 could be as follows: Since the filter is likely to be balanced, the semiconductor switch needs to be balanced. This means that it has to be driven from a floating supply. The following circuit (figure 20) has been successfully used to accomplish this requirement.

It uses a FET switch with the source and drain between tip and ring. The gate is driven from an opto-coupler powered by a 9V battery. The optical input to the coupler can be safely driven from unbalanced earthed equipment such as a pulse generator.



Figure 20: Possible implementation of S₁

With the circuit presented, it is important to maintain the polarity of the battery (DC source) voltage through the filter to the FET switch. Putting the switch inside a bridge rectifier makes the circuit polarity insensitive. The drive to the LED and load on the collector of the opto-coupler have been chosen for the devices used to turn the FET on hard without excessive draining of the battery. The pulse generator can be repetitive and be used to trigger the scope.

The step response of a filter depends on its load impedance. The load presented in the measurement circuit consists of Z_{ADSL} shunted by the feed resistance. A simple resistive battery feed was used to prevent the behaviour of the feed circuit from complicating the measurement. For instance, an inductive feed circuit causes significant ringing of the on/off hook response, as does a reasonable length of cable.

5.15 Additional requirements for Option 2 (LPF and "weak" HPF)

25

These are additional and/or more detailed requirements for splitter units according to Option 2, with an LPF and a weak HPF in the splitter unit (Option 2 is described in clause 4.3).

The Option 2 splitter has to fulfil all the requirements of clause 5, (clauses 5.1 to 5.14).

For Return Loss, the splitter shall fulfil the requirements of clause 5.6, while the ADSL port is terminated with:

- no termination (open);
- Z_{ADSL} (figure 6);
- a cable, which at the end is open or terminated with Z_{ADSL} . The manufacturer of the splitter has to declare which range of lengths the cable may have when the splitter still fulfils the requirement, and the electrical properties of this cable (e.g. R in Ω/km ; C in nF/km; L in mH/km etc.).
- NOTE: The text at this bullet is a first proposal and intended to give guidance to the subject (the subject is checking the performance/effectiveness of the "weak" HPF for preventing impedance disturbing). This item is for further study.

For the HPF characteristic, from Line port to ADSL port, an attenuation limit for ADSL frequencies is also necessary (this is one of the measures to prevent ADSL disturbance) (to be considered by TM6).

5.16 Additional requirements for Option 3 (LPF, "full" HPF and DC block)

These are additional/or more detailed requirements for splitter units according to Option 3, with an LPF, a full HPF and a DC blocking function in the splitter unit (Option 3 is described in clause 4.4).

The Option 3 splitter has to fulfil all the requirements of clause 5, (clauses 5.1 to 5.14).

For Return Loss, the splitter shall fulfil the requirements of clause 5.6, while the ADSL port is terminated with:

- no termination (open);
- shorted (0Ω) , (this test condition is for further study);
- Z_{ADSL} (the properties of Z_{ADSL} for Option 3 are under study. This study will give information if adaptation of Z_{ADSL} for Option 3 is necessary).

NOTE 1: The text at the bullets is a first proposal and intended to give guidance to the subject (the subject is checking the performance/effectiveness of the "full" HPF). This item is for further study.

For the HPF characteristic, from Line port to ADSL port, an attenuation limit for ADSL frequencies is also necessary (this is one of the measures to prevent ADSL disturbance) (to be considered by TM6).

The Insertion Loss in the voice band (25 Hz to 4 000 Hz), measured from Line port and POTS port to ADSL port, shall be not less than 50/60? dB. This is tested with the test set-up of figure 10 (clause 5.3.2).

NOTE 2: The Insertion Loss value is for further study.

6 Distributed filters (additional requirements)

Due to difficulties and the costs involved with installing the remote splitter at the users premises and the developments and experiences with G. Lite, (ITU-T Recommendation G.992.2 [6]) the idea has been developed to install a small filter at each connected TE instead of one central splitter. The expectation is that this could be done by the user. **The goal application of the filter(s) described in the present document is full rate ADSL (ITU-T Recommendation G.992.1** [7]).

26

Because those filters are connected in parallel at the "in house cabling", all the filters can be seen as a number of parallel connected loads to the line (for voice signals).

Also for ADSL frequencies, the configuration can be seen as a number of parallel loads for the ADSL signals.

The load of all the filters together can influence the impedances, resulting in an influence on the voice quality.

Because of the number of parallel connected filters depends on the users installation and cabling (number of POTS TEs in the house), the impedance performance could be different for each situation.

As a solution to the effects of the poor impedance behaviour in cases where there are a number of filters, some manufacturers are working on the development of filters which change their behaviour, depending on the fact that the connected TE is in the loop state or not. The name "dynamic filter" is used for such devices.

For this reason, more attention is paid to describe the DC conditions in the tests in this clause.

The requirements in this clause are additional to those in the clauses on "transparent" and "single impedance" filters.

Because the behaviour of the filters depends on the number of installed filters, the manufacturer has to declare the maximum number of filters (N) which can be connected in parallel on a user's installation so that the total arrangement is still within the requirements (e.g. impedance requirements).

This configuration with small filters is called distributed splitter and is only used at the users premises.

6.1 DC requirements

Due to the fact that in the case of distributed filters, there will most likely be a number of them connected in parallel (see figure 21) the additional DC requirements described in the following clauses will apply.

6.1.1 DC resistance to earth

Splitters with an earth terminal shall have a sufficiently high resistance to earth. The DC resistance between each terminal (i.e. A-wire and B-wire) of the splitter and earth, when tested with 100 V DC, shall not be less than 100 M Ω .

6.1.2 DC resistance between A-wire and B-wire

The DC resistance between the A-wire and B-wire terminal of the splitter, when tested with 100 V DC, shall not be less than 25 M Ω .

6.2 Pass band

6.2.1 Voltage drop at 25 Hz and 50 Hz

A filter should have a sufficient low voltage drop for 25 Hz and 50 Hz ringing signals. The connected TE is in the quiescent state during ringing.

The filter should fulfil the voltage drop requirement of clause 5.3.1 while there is a DC current in the range 0 mA to 0,5 mA (representing the quiescent state of the line).

6.2.2 Insertion Loss

The same requirement as in clause 5.3.2 applies.

A test configuration is described in figure 21. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.



Figure 21: Test set up for Insertion Loss

- Impedance of the source: $Z_R/600 \Omega$ (see table 3).
- Impedance of the Load: $Z_R/600~\Omega$ (see table 3) or $>20~k\Omega$ (see figure).
- Level of the test signal: -4 dBV emf.

6.2.3 Insertion Loss distortion

The absolute difference between the Insertion Loss at any frequency in the range 200 Hz to 4 000 Hz and the Insertion Loss at 1 kHz shall be less than 1 dB.

A test configuration is described in figure 21.

6.3 Impedance of distributed (remote) filters

6.3.1 Impedance testing at the POTS port

A test configuration is presented in figure 22. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.



Figure 22: Impedance testing on distributed filters (at the POTS port)

The Return Loss shall fulfil the requirements for all the tests of table 4 in clause 5.6.1.1.

The manufacturer shall declare with which maximum number of filters (N) in parallel the requirements are still fulfilled.

6.3.2 Impedance testing at the Line port

A test configuration is presented in figure 23, the DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.



Figure 23: Impedance testing on distributed filters (at the Line port)

The Return Loss shall fulfil the requirements for all the tests of table 4 in clause 5.6.1.1.

6.4 Unbalance about earth

Unbalance about earth of a single filter is tested with the test method of clause 5.7. For filters with dynamic behaviour (dependant on the DC current) the filter shall fulfil the requirements with the DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5.

29

6.5 Isolation (Insertion Loss) at 32 kHz to 1 100 kHz

Using the test configuration described in figure 21 and the DC feeding current as specified in clause 5.1.5. The isolation requirements of clause 5.8 should be fulfilled.

6.6 Line side impedance of low pass filter at ADSL frequencies (32 kHz to 1 100 kHz)

The low pass filter should present an impedance to the line side of at least 1 000 Ω for the frequency range 32 kHz to 1 100 kHz. This requirement should apply with the POTS port terminated in Z_{RHF} .

6.7 Installation of filters

It is recommended that the filter be clearly marked to indicate which port connects to line and which to phone, in order to prevent incorrect user installation.

NOTE: This does not apply to filters which are reversible because both ports are electrically equal. It is however expected that it could be difficult to make filters which are reversible and fulfilling all the requirements.

7 Other requirements

7.1 "Signature network" for maintenance purposes

Some operators are using a test system for their POTS lines.

It could be beneficial to add a "signature network" to the splitters to enable the test system to recognize the splitters in a line.

Examples signature networks are in figures 24 and 25.

The signatures are located on the POTS port side of the splitters.



 $\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 110 \; k\Omega \; 1 \; \%; \; Z_1 = 36 \; V \; \; 10 \; \%; \; D = 1N4007 \; (silicon \; diode); \\ R_2 = 33 \; k\Omega \; \; 1 \; \%; \; Z_2 = 6,8 \; V \; 10 \; \%; \; C = 0,47 \; \mu F \; 10 \; \%. \end{array}$

Figure 24: Splitter signature network for test and maintenance purposes, according to ANSI



 $\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 107 \ k\Omega; \ Z_1 = 24 \ V; \ Z_2 = 24 \ V; \ D = silicon \ diode \ (e.g. \ 1N4007) \\ R_2 = 130 \ k\Omega \ (for \ active \ splitters) \\ R_2 = 215 \ k\Omega \ (for \ passive \ splitters) \\ R_2 = 467 \ k\Omega \ (for \ special \ purposes) \\ Tolerance \ of \ R_1, \ R_2, \ Z_1, \ Z_2 \ should \ be \ 1 \ \% \ or \ better. \end{array}$

Figure 25: Splitter signature network for test and maintenance purposes, developed for a DC based test method

In case of a distributed splitter it is difficult to use a signature, because there are a number of parallel connected filters. With a signature in every filter, it is impossible for the test system to draw suitable conclusions. To have only one filter with and all the other without a signature is a good theoretical solution but it is very likely that this would not work in practice.

For this reason it is recommended not to use a signature (at the users premises) in case of filters.

7.2 Line tests

It is known that particularly in cases of unbundled local loops some difficulties arise from testing the access lines, e.g. who and how is testing which facility and what impact does this testing have on other services sharing the physical line.

Annex A: Impedance of splitters for special cases

Those splitters are seen as a solution for special cases where a network operator specifies a unique terminating impedance that is the optimum for the network in question. For the tests in this annex, four impedances defined by the operator are used: Z_{LE} , Z_{BLE} , Z_{TE} and Z_{BTE} .

A.1 Impedance of a single LE splitter

A.1.1 Impedance testing at the POTS port

The test set-up is in figure A.1. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated.



Figure A.1: Impedance testing on a LE splitter (at the POTS port)

The Return Loss shall fulfil the requirements of table A.1. Z_{TE} , Z_{BLE} and the local loop should be specified by the network operator in question.

Table A.1: Return loss

	Value of Z1	Frequency range	Minimum Return Loss
Z_{LE} or Z_{BLE} or Z_{TE} or Z_{BTE}		300 Hz to 3 400 Hz	14 dB
NOTE:	It is recommended that a return loss requirement of 6 dB relative to Z_R should still be met		
	in order to ensure stability if TBR 021 [11] designed TE is used. See stability technical details in TBR 021 [11], clause 4.7.2 and/or TBR 038 [10], clause A.2.7.		

A.1.2 Impedance testing at the Line port

The test set-up is in figure A.2. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated.



Figure A.2: Impedance testing on a LE splitter (at the Line port)

The Return Loss shall fulfil the requirements of table A.1. Z_{BTE} , Z_{LE} and the local loop should be specified by the network operator in question.

A.2 Impedance of a single Remote splitter

A.2.1 Impedance testing at the POTS port

The test set-up is in figure A.3. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated.



Figure A.3: Impedance testing on a Remote splitter (at the POTS port)

The Return Loss shall fulfil the requirements of table A.1. Z_{BTE} , Z_{LE} and the local loop should be specified by the network operator in question.

A.2.2 Impedance testing at the Line port

The test set-up is in figure A.4. The DC feeding current is specified in clause 5.1.5. The requirements should be met with and without the ADSL port terminated.



Figure A.4: Impedance testing on a Remote splitter (at the Line port)

The Return Loss shall fulfil the requirements of table A.1. Z_{TE} , Z_{BLE} and the local loop should be specified by the network operator in question.

Annex B: General functional description of splitters

NOTE: The present text of clause B.1 to B.5 was in clause 4.1 to 4.5. in the first publication of this Technical Report (TR 101 728 V1.1.1; 2000-12).

This text was used to study the different possibilities for the splitter unit and the accompanying terminating impedances for the ADSL port (Z_{ADSL}).

B.1 Overview

With some xDSL-systems (e.g. ADSL), POTS- and xDSL- signals may be transported simultaneously on the same copper wire pair in an access network.

To separate the signals, devices called splitters are used.

One splitter is placed in the Local Exchange (LE splitter), the other at the users premises (Remote splitter).

An overview is given in figure B.1.



Figure B.1: Overview of the use of splitters for separation of POTS and xDSL signals

The splitter function consists of a high pass filter (HPF), a low pass filter (LPF) and a DC blocking function (DC block). The three functional blocks of the splitter may be distributed, often combined with other network elements, for example the DC blocking function can be a separate function or can be a part the HPF or the LPF. It is quite common that the HPF is included in the ADSL transceiver.

The dotted box "C" represents the connection between Line port, LPF and DC blocking function, which in most of the existing splitters is a simple galvanic connection. However, in some cases, the box may represent some circuitry, e.g. in figure B.3b, in which the DC blocking function is implemented as a "series solution" with no galvanic coupling.

Four different options for the splitter unit in the Local Exchange are shown in the four examples below. Example 1 is more suitable for the "non unbundled" situation while examples 2, 3 and 4 are for the "unbundled" situation:

- 1) LPF is in the splitter unit (HPF and DC blocking function are in the ADSL modem);
- 2) LPF and DC blocking function are in the splitter unit (the HPF is in the ADSL modem);
- 3) LPF, DC blocking function and the full HPF are in the splitter unit; and
- 4) LPF, DC blocking function and a part of the HPF are in the splitter unit.

Advantages and disadvantages of the four options are discussed in clauses B.2 to B.5. Important points are:

35

- quality control of the services;
- more separation of the services; and
- more clearly divided responsibilities in case of an "unbundled" loop.

At the users premises, example 1 is always used.

B.2 LPF in splitter unit (HPF and DC blocking in ADSL modem)

This option (figure B.2) is commonly used for the "non unbundled" loop. The same operator is responsible for the quality of both the POTS and ADSL services.

If this solution is used in an "unbundled" situation there is a risk of a bridged tap effect, possibly affecting the quality of the POTS service. This could happen if the splitter is at the POTS operator's exchange and there is a cable of some length to the DSLAM. The ADSL operator also has unnecessary access to the POTS service.



NOTE: The figure at the arrow (27 nF) is a rough indication of kind and the size of the impedance at low frequencies seen in the direction of the arrow.

Figure B.2: Splitter unit without DC blocking function

B.3 LPF and DC blocking in splitter unit (HPF in ADSL modem)

This solution may be used in the "unbundled" situation. The ADSL operator has partial access to the POTS service (only to the AC signals, the DC is blocked).

The voice quality aspects of splitters may be controlled by the POTS or by the ADSL operator depending on the location of the splitter unit. Voice quality may not be the highest priority of the ADSL operator.

There is a risk for a bridged tap effect if the splitter is at the POTS operator's exchange and there is cable with some length to the ADSL operator's DSLAM. The DC blocking function in the splitter unit limits this effect but it does not eliminate it.

The main advantage of having the DC blocking function in the splitter unit is to prevent DC faults between the splitter and the ADSL modem affecting the POTS service. However DC faults having no AC effects in the voice band are rare, so the advantage of this solution appears limited.

Figure B.3a and B.3b are possible implementations of the DC blocking function.



C1 = 120 nF





Figure B.3b: Splitter unit with DC blocking function (series solution)

A problem with the method described in figure B3b could be that the DC loop current passing through the transformer reduces its dynamic range and the possibly resulting non-linearity may cause intermodulation distortion to the DSL. A solution would be to use larger magnetic components resulting in a size and cost penalty.

B.4 LPF, DC blocking and <u>full HPF in the splitter unit</u>

The solution shown in figure B.4 is also suitable for the "unbundled" situation. The full HPF is in the splitter unit.

This option provides a good separation of services if the splitter is used by the operator of the access network. The ADSL and POTS operators have no access to each other's services. The responsibilities for the relevant services are more clearly allocated. The quality of the splitter is a matter of the access network operator.

There is no risk of the bridged tap effect if there is a long cable between the splitter unit and the DSLAM.

The requirements for the HPF in the receiver of the ADSL modem may be relaxed thus allowing a possible cost saving.

The operator can only use the frequency band for the relevant service. This could be important if there is a difference in the cost of renting a line for an ADSL service only or for both services i.e. ADSL and POTS.

Compared with options 2 and 4 (figures B.3 and B.5), this option provides better isolation for the POTS service (from Line port to ADSL port).

A disadvantage with this option could be a cost penalty.



Figure B.4: Splitter unit with DC blocking function and full HPF

To keep the power supply voltages used by the ADSL modem line driver low, it is common to use a step up transformer, where conveniently the inductance becomes the shunt impedance of the HPF. With the single choke arrangement shown, this beneficial twin use of the transformer is lost.

B.5 LPF, DC blocking and part of HPF in splitter unit

The solution shown in figure B.5 is also suitable for use in the "unbundled" situation. It is comparable with option 3 (figure B.4) but it requires fewer matched capacitors.

This option provides a good separation of services if the splitter is used by the operator of the access network. The ADSL and POTS operators have no access to each other's services. The responsibilities for the relevant services are more clearly allocated. The quality of the splitter is a matter of the access network operator.

There is no risk of a bridged tap effect if there is a long cable between the splitter unit and the DSLAM.

The requirements for the HPF in the receiver of the ADSL modem may be relaxed thus allowing a possible cost saving.

The operator can only use the frequency band for the relevant service. This could be important if there is a difference in the cost of renting a line for an ADSL service only or for both services i.e. ADSL and POTS.

This solution would be more expensive than options 1 and 2 but less than option 3.

NOTE: If a center-tap is used both in the splitter unit (figure B.3b) and in the ADSL modem, only one capacitor in the splitter unit and one in the ADSL modem are necessary as opposed to two pairs of matched capacitors. This implementation detail is not shown in figure B.5.

The Insertion Loss of the part of the HPF that is in the splitter unit is in table B.1. It is the Insertion Loss of the implementation example in figure B.5.

	able B.1: Insertion Loss between Line	port and ADSL	port of the spli	tter unit of figure B5
--	---------------------------------------	---------------	------------------	------------------------

Frequency	Insertion Loss (between 600 Ω)
1 kHz	63 dB
3 kHz	44 dB



Figure B.5: Splitter unit with LPF, DC -blocking function and partial HPF

B.6 Z_{ADSL} options for different splitter designs

NOTE: At this point starts the text that formed clauses B.1 to B.3 in the first publication of this Technical Report (V1.1.1; 2000-12).

Clause 5.2.1 describes an impedance network for Z_{ADSL} that is applicable in the case where the DC blocking capacitors are not located in the splitter (clause B.2). However other implementations are possible that may be suitable for different scenarios for example in the case of unbundled local loop. Examples of these scenarios are given in clauses B.3 to B.5. For these cases Z_{ADSL} is modified as follows.

B.7 LPF and DC blocking in splitter unit (HPF in ADSL modem)

Figure B.6 shows the Z_{ADSL} network that would be suitable for use with the splitter described in figure B3a. It is called Z_{ADSL2} .



Figure B.6: The Z_{ADSL2} network compatible with clause B.3 (figure B.3a)

For the splitter option shown in figure B.3b Z_{ADSL} will be 100 Ω .

B.8 LPF, DC blocking and <u>full HPF in the splitter unit</u>

For the scenario described in figure B.4 a suitable value for $Z_{\mbox{ADSL}}$ would be 100 $\Omega.$

B.9 LPF, DC blocking and part of HPF in splitter unit

For the scenario described in figure B.5 a suitable value for Z_{ADSL} would be 27 nF for the voice band frequencies.

History

Document history			
V1.1.1	December 2000	Publication	
V1.2.1	May 2002	Publication	

39