

# EUROPEAN TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARD

**DRAFT** pr **ETS 300 497-7** 

February 1997

**Second Edition** 

Source: ETSI EP-DECT Reference: RE/DECT-040094-7

ICS: 33.020

Key words: DECT, TCL, ATS, PT

Radio Equipment and Systems (RES);

Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT);

Common Interface (CI) Test Case Library (TCL);

Part 7: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) for Network (NWK) layer 
Portable radio Termination (PT)

# **ETSI**

European Telecommunications Standards Institute

# **ETSI Secretariat**

Postal address: F-06921 Sophia Antipolis CEDEX - FRANCE

Office address: 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis - Valbonne - FRANCE

X.400: c=fr, a=atlas, p=etsi, s=secretariat - Internet: secretariat@etsi.fr

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 - Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

**Copyright Notification:** No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

Whilst every care has been taken in the preparation and publication of this document, errors in content, typographical or otherwise, may occur. If you have comments concerning its accuracy, please write to "ETSI Editing and Committee Support Dept." at the address shown on the title page.

# **Contents**

Fore	word				5	
1	Scope				7	
2	Normati	ve referen	ces		7	
3	Definition	ne evmho	als and abbroviat	ions	a	
5	3.1	Definitions				
	3.1					
	3.2	DLCT at	JDI EVIALIONS		9	
4	Abstrac	Abstract Test Method (ATM)				
	4.1	ATM				
	4.2					
		4.2.1		itives		
		4.2.2	B-SAP primi	itives	14	
	4.3	TC exec	ution sequence.		15	
5	Untesta	ble Test P	urposes (TP)		15	
	5.1					
6	ATC 001	oventions			15	
O	6.1					
	0.1	6.1.1		s part		
		0.1.1	6.1.1.1	Test suite type, ASP and PDU type definitions		
			6.1.1.2	Test Suite Operations (TSO) definitions		
			6.1.1.3	Test suite selection expressions		
			6.1.1.4	Test Suite Parameter (TSP) declarations		
			6.1.1.5	Test Case Selection (TCS) expression definitions	16	
			6.1.1.6	Test Suite Constant (TSC) declarations		
			6.1.1.7	Test Suite Variable (TSV) declarations		
			6.1.1.8	Test Case Variable (TCV) declarations		
			6.1.1.9	Point of Control and Observation (PCO) declarations		
			6.1.1.10	Timer declarations		
			6.1.1.11	ASP type definitions		
			6.1.1.12	PDU type definitions		
		0.4.0	6.1.1.13	Alias definitions		
		6.1.2		part		
		6.1.3		rt		
			6.1.3.1 6.1.3.2	Test Case (TC) identifier		
			6.1.3.3	Test Step (TS) identifier  Default identifier		
			6.1.3.4	General aspects		
			6.1.3.5	ATS abbreviations		
	6.2	Impleme		ons		
	0.2	6.2.1		part		
		6.2.2		art		
		6.2.3		rt		
		6.2.4		ion		
Anne	ex A (norn	native):	Abstract Test S	Suite (ATS) for NWK testing	23	
A.1	The ma	chine proc	essable ATS (TT	TCN.MP)	23	
A.2			·	,		
	J		,			
AHI)	ex B (norn	nauve).	raniai rixii pr	oforma	24	

B.1	Identification summary		
B.2	ATS summary	24	
B.3	Test laboratory	24	
B.4	Client identification	24	
B.5	SUT	25	
B.6	Protocol layer information	25	
Annex	C (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) Proforma for DECT NWK	29	
C.1	Identification summary  C.1.1 Protocol conformance test report  C.1.2 IUT identification  C.1.3 Testing environment  C.1.4 Limits and reservation  C.1.5 Comments	29 29 29 29	
C.2	IUT Conformance status	30	
C.3	Static conformance summary		
C.4	Dynamic conformance summary		
C.5	Static conformance review report		
C.6	Test campaign report		
C.7	Observations	33	
Annex	D (informative): Bibliography	34	
Histor	y	35	

# **Foreword**

This draft second edition European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) has been produced by the Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT) Project of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), and is now submitted for the Public Enquiry phase of the ETSI standards approval procedure.

This ETS comprises nine parts, as follows:

Part 1:	"Test Suite Structure (TSS) and Test Purposes (TP) for Medium Access Control (MAC)
	laver".

Part 2:	"Abstract Test Suite (ATS) for Medium Access Control (MAC) layer - Portable radio
	Termination (PT)".

- Part 3: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) for Medium Access Control (MAC) layer Fixed radio Termination (FT)".
- Part 4: "Test Suite Structure (TSS) and Test Purposes (TP) Data Link Control (DLC) layer".
- Part 5: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) Data Link Control (DLC) layer".
- Part 6: "Test Suite Structure (TSS) and Test Purposes (TP) Network (NWK) layer Portable radio Termination (PT)".
- Part 7: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) for Network (NWK) layer Portable radio Termination (PT)".
- Part 8: "Test Suite Structure (TSS) and Test Purposes (TP) Network (NWK) layer Fixed radio Termination (FT)".
- Part 9: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) for Network (NWK) layer Fixed radio Termination (FT)".

Proposed transposition dates			
Date of latest announcement of this ETS (doa):	3 months after ETSI publication		
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this ETS (dop/e):	6 months after doa		
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	6 months after doa		

Blank page

# 1 Scope

This European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) contains the Abstract Test Suite (ATS) to test the Network (NWK) layer, Portable radio Termination (PT).

The objective of this test specification is to provide a basis for approval tests for DECT equipment giving a high probability of air interface inter-operability between different manufacturer's DECT equipment. Part 7 of this test specification contains the Abstract Test Suite for testing of the NWK layer at the PT.

The ISO standard for the methodology of conformance testing (ISO/IEC 9646 parts 1 to 7 [12] to [18]) as well as the ETSI rules for conformance testing (protocol and profile conformance testing specifications, standardization methodology ETS 300 406 [10]) are used as basis for the test methodology.

Test specifications for the Physical Layer (PHL) are provided in other DECT standards.

Annex B provides the partial Protocol Implementation Extra Information for Testing (PIXIT) Proforma.


#### 2 Normative references

This ETS incorporates by dated and undated reference, provisions from other publications. These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this ETS only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

[1]	ETS 300 175-1: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common Interface (CI); Part 1: Overview".
[2]	ETS 300 175-2: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common Interface (CI); Part 2: Physical layer (PHL)".
[3]	ETS 300 175-3: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common Interface (CI); Part 3: Medium Access Control (MAC) layer".
[4]	ETS 300 175-4: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common Interface (CI); Part 4: Data Link Control (DLC) layer".
[5]	ETS 300 175-5: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common Interface (CI); Part 5: Network (NWK) layer".
[6]	ETS 300 175-6: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common Interface (CI); Part 6: Identities and addressing".
[7]	ETS 300 175-7: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common Interface (CI); Part 7: Security features".
[8]	ETS 300 175-8: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common Interface (CI); Part 8: Speech coding and transmission".
[9]	ETS 300 324-3: "Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS); V interfaces at the digital Local Exchange (LE); V5.1 interface for the support of Access Network

the network layer (AN side)".

(AN); Part 3: Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for



# 3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations

#### 3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of this ETS, the definitions given in ISO/IEC 9646-1 [12], ISO/IEC 9646-2 [13], ETS 300 175-1 [1], ETS 300 175-5 [5], ETS 300 175-6 [6] and ETS 300 175-7 [7] apply.

#### 3.2 DECT abbreviations

For the purposes of this ETS, the following abbreviations apply:

AC Authentication Code
AR Access Rights

Abstract Service Primitive ASP Abstract Test Suite **ATS** ΑU Authentication ΒI Invalid Behaviour BO Inopportune Behaviour BV Valid Behaviour Capability CA Call Control CC

CH Ciphering
CI Call Information
CR Call Release

DECT Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunication

DLC Data Link Control FT Fixed radio Termination

IC Incoming Call ID Identification

IPEI International Portable Equipment Identity
IPUI International Portable User Identity
IUT Implementation Under Test

IWU Interworking Unit
KA Key Allocation
LC Link Control entity

LE Connection oriented Link Establishment

LL Connectionless Link control

LO Location

LR Connection oriented Link Release

LS Connection oriented Link Suspend and resume

LT Lower Tester

MAC Medium Access Control
ME Management Entity
MM Mobility Management

MO Connection Oriented Message Services

NWK Network layer OC Outgoing Call

PARK Portable Access Rights Key

PDU Protocol Data Unit PHL Physical Layer

PICS Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
PIXIT Protocol Implementation Extra Information for Testing

PT Portable radio Termination
RPN Radio Fixed Part Number

RS Call Related Supplementary Services

SUT System Under Test
TP Test Purposes
TSO Test Suite Operation
TSP Test Suite Parameter
TSS Test Suite Structure

TTCN Tree and Tabular Combined Notation

UT Upper Tester

# 4 Abstract Test Method (ATM)

This clause describes the ATM, the Point of Control and Observation (PCO) used to test the NWK layer of the PT.

#### 4.1 ATM




Figure 1: Remote single layer test method embedded variant

LT1: a lower tester (LT1) is located in a remote DECT test system. It controls and

observes the behaviour of the Implementation Under Test (IUT).

DSAP: a unique Data Link Control (DLC) SAP is defined at the DECT interface and

used to exchange service data of the NWK protocol.

PCO: the PCO for Network Layer testing is located on the DSAP. All test events at the

PCO are specified in terms of DLC Abstract Service Primitives (ASPs) and

NWK Protocol Data Units (PDUs).

Upper layers/tester: no explicit Upper Tester (UT) exists in the test system. However, the System

Under Test (SUT) needs to carry out some UL functions to achieve some effects of test co-ordination procedures. Designing ATS, the capability of the Interworking Unit (IWU), such as PSTN, ISDN or GSM IWUs might be taken into account. An example of such controls could be to provoke restarting of the

IUT through the Q interface.

# 4.2 DLC primitives

In this subclause the DSAP primitives are defined according to ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2 (S-SAP primitives) and ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.3 (B-SAP primitives).

# 4.2.1 S-SAP primitives

Table 1: DL\_DATA\_IND primitive

ASP Declaration				
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS		
DL_DATA_IND	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.3		
	Service control information			
Parameter name	Туре	Comments		
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_ID	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6		
	ENTIFIER			
	(INTEGER)			
message_unit	PDU	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1		

Table 2: DL\_DATA\_REQ primitive

ASP Declaration			
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS	
DL_DATA_REQ	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.3	
Service control information			
Parameter name	Туре	Comments	
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_II	DETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6	
	ENTIFIER		
	(INTEGER)		
message_unit	PDU	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1	

Table 3: DL\_ENCRYPT\_CNF primitive

ASP Declaration			
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS	
DL_ENCRYPT_CNF	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.8	
Service control information			
Parameter name	Туре	Comments	
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_IDENTIFIER (INTEGER)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6	
encription_status	CIPHER_STATUS (INTEGER(0,1))	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1	

Table 4: DL\_ENCRYPT\_IND primitive

ASP Declaration					
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS			
DL_ENCRYPT_IND	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.8			
	Service control information	n			
Parameter name	Parameter name Type Comments				
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_IDENTIFIER (INTEGER)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6			
connection_identities	CONNECTION_IDENTITIE S (OCTETSTRING)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1			
encription_status	CIPHER_STATUS (INTEGER(0,1)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1			

Table 5: DL\_ENCRYPT\_REQ primitive

ASP Declaration				
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS		
DL_ENCRYPT_REQ	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.8		
	Service control information	n		
Parameter name Type Comments				
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_IDENTIFIER (INTEGER)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6		
connection_identities	CONNECTION_IDENTITIE S (OCTETSTRING)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1		
encription_status	CIPHER_STATUS (INTEGER(0,1)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1		

Table 6: DL\_ENC\_KEY\_REQ primitive

ASP Declaration				
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS		
DL_ENC_KEY_REQ	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.7		
	Service control information	on		
Parameter name Type Comments				
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_II ENTIFIER (INTEGER)	DETS 300 175-4 [4], 7.3.6		
connection_identities	CONNECTION_IDENTITIE S (OCTETSTRING)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], 8.3.1		
encription_key	ENCRYPTION_KEY (BITSTRING[64])	ETS 300 175-4 [4], 8.3.1		

# Table 7: DL\_ESTABLISH\_CNF primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_ESTABLISH_CNF	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.1
Service control information		
Parameter name	Туре	Comments
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDP	OINT_IDETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6
·	ENTIFIER	
	(INTEGER)	

# Table 8: DL\_ESTABLISH\_IND primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_ESTABLISH_IND	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.1
	Service control informatio	n
Parameter name	Туре	Comments
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_IDENTIFIER (INTEGER)	DETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6
establish_mode	ESTABLISH_MODE (INTEGER(0,1,2)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
radio_fixed_part_number	RADIO_FIXED_PART_NUMBER (INTEGER)	/IETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
message_unit	PDU	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1

# Table 9: DL\_ESTABLISH\_REQ primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_ESTABLISH_REQ	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.1
Service control information		
Parameter name	Type	Comments
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_IDENTIFIER (INTEGER)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6
establish_mode	ESTABLISH_MODE (INTEGER(0,1,2)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
radio_fixed_part_number	RADIO_FIXED_PART_NUM BER (INTEGER)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
message_unit	PDU	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1

# Table 10: DL\_ESTABLISH\_RES primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_ESTABLISH_RES	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.1
Service control information		
Parameter name	Туре	Comments
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_I	DETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6
·	ENTIFIER	
	(INTEGER)	

Table 11: DL\_RELEASE\_CNF primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_RELEASE_CNF	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.2
Service control information		
Parameter name	Туре	Comments
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_ID ENTIFIER (INTEGER)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6
release_mode	RELEASE_MODE (INTEGER(0,1)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1

Table 12: DL\_RELEASE\_IND primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_RELEASE_IND	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.2
Service control information		
Parameter name	Туре	Comments
	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_ID ENTIFIER (INTEGER)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6
	RELEASE_MODE (INTEGER(0,1)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1

Table 13: DL\_RELEASE\_REQ primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_RELEASE_REQ	S-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.2.2
Service control information		
Parameter name	Type	Comments
data_link_endpoint_identifier	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_ID ENTIFIER (INTEGER)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 7.3.6
release_mode	RELEASE_MODE (INTEGER(0,1)	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1

# 4.2.2 B-SAP primitives

Table 14: DL\_BROADCAST\_IND primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_BROADCAST_IND	B-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.3.1
Service control information		
Parameter name	Type	Comments
cluster_address_list	CLUSTER_ADDRESS_LIS (OCTETSTRING)	STETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
message_unit	PDU	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
extended_message_flag	BIT_1 (BITSTRING[1])	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
error_flag	BIT_1 (BITSTRING[1])	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1

Table 15: DL BROADCAST REQ primitive

ASP Declaration		
ASP NAME	PCO TYPE	COMMENTS
DL_BROADCAST_REQ	B-SAP	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.3.1
Service control information		
Parameter name	Type	Comments
cluster_address_list	CLUSTER_ADDRESS (OCTETSTRING)	S_LISTETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
message_unit	PDU	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1
extended_message_flag	BIT_1 (BITSTRING[1])	ETS 300 175-4 [4], subclause 8.3.1

# 4.3 TC execution sequence

The test cases can be executed in any order with no restrictions.

# 5 Untestable Test Purposes (TP)

This clause gives a list of TP which are not implemented in the ATS (annex A) due to the chosen ATM or other restrictions.

Table 16: Untestable TP (1)

Test Purpose	Reference to ETS 300 497-7
TP/PT/MM/TI-02	
TP/PT/ME/BV-10	

#### 5.1 Control protocol

There are no untestable TP in this ATS.

#### 6 ATS conventions

The ATS conventions are intended to give a better understanding of the ATS but they describe also the conventions made for the development of the ATS. Thus for any later maintenance purposes or further development of the ATS the conventions described in this clause shall be considered.

The ATS conventions contain two clauses, the naming conventions and the implementation conventions. The naming conventions describe the structure of the naming of all ATS elements. The implementation conventions describe the functional structure of the ATS.

To define the ATS the guidelines of the documents ETS 300 406 [10] and ETR 141 [24] were considered.

#### 6.1 Naming conventions

#### 6.1.1 Declarations part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS declarations part. The following general rules apply:

- identifiers shall be written in lowercase;
- type declarations shall be written in uppercase;
- constraints shall be written with the first letter in uppercase, and the rest in lowercase.

Information elements are coded in the order from top to bottom and from right to left, in order to make the encoding and decoding easier.

#### 6.1.1.1 Test suite type, ASP and PDU type definitions

The test suite type-definitions, the ASP type definitions and the PDU type definitions shall be written in uppercase. Identifier names of structured type definitions and of the ASP and PDU type definitions, shall be written in lowercase.

Types related to a certain higher layer entity shall commence with a protocol identifier to define which entity they belong to.

EXAMPLE 1: Call Control: cc e.g. CC\_SETUP

Id names of Structured Types which are used for invalid tests commence with "bi":

EXAMPLE 2: Bi\_cc\_setup\_tx01

The following ASP primitives are not defined in this ETS.

- DL UNIT DATA;
- DL\_SUSPEND;
- DL\_RESUME;
- DL\_EXPEDITED.

The following primitives are defined, but not used in this test suite:

- DL\_BROADCAST\_IND;
- DL\_ESTABLISH\_CFM;
- DL\_ESTABLISH\_RES.

## 6.1.1.2 Test Suite Operations (TSO) definitions

The TSO identifiers are composed of a string in uppercase letters starting by the string "TSO\_" (e.g. TSO\_INTEGER\_TO\_O\_1).

#### 6.1.1.3 Test suite selection expressions

All selection expression names for test groups are to be preceded with the prefix "SENG\_".

All selection expression names for test cases are to be preceded with the prefix "SENC\_".

# 6.1.1.4 Test Suite Parameter (TSP) declarations

The TSP identifiers are composed of a string in uppercase letters starting by the string "TSP\_" (e.g. TSP\_WINDOW\_SIZE).

If the TSP references a Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) item, the letter "C" is added to the standard prefix (e.g. TSPC\_PICS\_ITEM\_S23).

If the TSP references a PIXIT item, the letter "X" is added to the standard prefix (e.g. TSPX\_PIXIT\_ITEM\_2).

**Exception:** If the TSP represents a system parameter or value, only the name defined in the specifications is used (e.g. V\_S = send sequence variable).

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

#### 6.1.1.5 Test Case Selection (TCS) expression definitions

The naming conventions for the TCS expression definitions use almost the same rules as the TSP, except for the prefix that is "TCS". Also they are logical combinations of the TSP definitions.

#### 6.1.1.6 Test Suite Constant (TSC) declarations

The TSC identifiers are composed of a string in uppercase letters starting by the string "TSC\_" (e.g. TSC RETRY).

**Exception:** If the TSC represents a system parameter or value, only the name defined in the specifications is used (e.g. N250).

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

#### 6.1.1.7 Test Suite Variable (TSV) declarations

The TSV identifiers are composed of a string in uppercase letters starting by the string "TSV".

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

#### 6.1.1.8 Test Case Variable (TCV) declarations

The TCV identifiers are composed of a string in uppercase letters starting by the string "TCV".

EXAMPLE: TCV\_CRVALUE.

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

## 6.1.1.9 Point of Control and Observation (PCO) declarations

The PCO identifiers are composed of two or four capital letters, beginning with "L", as there are only LTs.

EXAMPLE: LMAC represents a PCO on Medium Access Control (MAC) interface as LT in

the test equipment;

LDLC represents a PCO on DLC interface as LT in the test equipment.

# 6.1.1.10 Timer declarations

Two types of timers can be identified:

- 1) standardised:
  - those defined in the standard, e.g. T302. They use exactly the same name as in the standard, beginning with a capital "T";
  - as there is a tolerance margin accepted for these timers, three values are needed:
    - the maximum value allowed, which will use the suffix "\_max";
    - the minimum value allowed, which will use the suffix "\_min";
    - the value actually implemented, with no suffix.

EXAMPLE 1: T302\_max, T302\_min, and T302.

- 2) not standardised:
  - those not defined in the standard, i.e. for execution use, e. g. a timer waiting for a response. These timers begin with the prefix "T\_", followed by a string in capital letters.
- EXAMPLE 2: T\_RESP represents a timer for controlling the response time of the IUT.

Page 18

**Draft prETS 300 497-7: February 1997** 

#### 6.1.1.11 ASP type definitions

The identifier of an ASP uses exactly the same name as the name defined in the specifications. It is written in uppercase, finishing by an underscore character ("\_"), and three capital letters indicating whether it is a request, an indication, a response or a confirmation primitive.

EXAMPLE: DL-RELEASE\_REQ for an ASP containing a layer 3 release request passed to

layer 2

MAC-CO\_DATA\_REQ for an ASP containing a layer 2b PDU passed to layer

2a.

#### 6.1.1.12 PDU type definitions

The identifier of a PDU is given in a string in uppercase letters, representing the layer message.

EXAMPLE 1: rr for the Receive Ready layer 2 message;

disconnect for the DISCONNECT layer 3 message.

Where the message is a composite word, an underscore character ("\_") appears in the string.

EXAMPLE 2: release\_complete is the RELEASE COMPLETE layer 3 message.

Id names of PDUs commence with a protocol identifier to define which protocol they belong to. The following identifiers are used:

- Call Control (CC): cc e.g. CC-SETUP

Id names of PDUs which are used for invalid tests commence with "bi":

EXAMPLE 3: BI-CC-SETUP

#### 6.1.1.13 Alias definitions

These are used to make the sending and receiving of PDUs within ASPs more understandable when writing the dynamic part of the test suite. This is done by giving the ASP an alias. The alias name indicates the PDU carried by the ASP and whether it is sent or received by the tester.

The identifier of an alias consists of a string in capital letters indicating the message, followed by two lowercase letters "r" or "s" indicating if the message should be sent or received by the tester.

#### 6.1.2 Constraints part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS constraints part.

Constraint identifiers commence with uppercase. The remaining part of the identifier name is written in lowercase.

Identifier names of elements concerning the same subject have equivalent names in the Declaration and the Constraint part:

Declaration Part: cc\_setup;
Constraint Part: cc\_setup.

The name of the modified constraint describes the particularity of the modified constraint:

EXAMPLE: Cc setup mand only (modified Cc setup with only the mandatory Information

Elements).

If formal parameter lists are used, the variable names are written in lowercase. The variable name is the same as the name of the element it is representing.

Structured type constraints declarations are divided into:

receive constraints:

the receive constraints are noted down as "name\_rx\*". The receive constraints are subdivided into:

receive base constraints:

they are noted down as "name\_rx\_base";

- receive special constraints:

they are noted down as "name\_rx\_<extension>", where <extension> is a descriptive name (e.g. "Signal\_rx\_alerting\_on");

- transmit constraints:

the transmit constraints are noted down as "name\_tx\_<extension>", where <extension> is a descriptive name. (e.g. "Signal tx alerting off");

If a certain structured type constraint is valid for both receiving and transmitting, because it contains no wildcards, and the receiving constraint should exactly match, the constraint will be noted down as:

- "<structured\_type\_name>\_extention" Example: "Portable\_id\_ipui".

PDU Constraints Declarations are divided into:

- receive constraints:
  - the receive constraints are noted down as "name\_rx\*". The receive constraints are subdivided into:
    - receive base constraints:
      - they are noted down as "name\_rx\_base". They constrain all allowed values, and for the optional fields, the "IF\_PRESENT" keyword is added;
    - receive special constraints:
      - they are noted down as "name\_rx0n", where n is a sequence number;
- transmit constraints:
  - the transmit constraints are noted down as "name\_tx", where n is a sequence number. They
    can be subdivided into:
    - transmit base constraints:
      - they are noted down as "name\_tx\_base". They constrain all mandatory fields to all allowed values in the standard, and they constrain all optional fields to "OMIT":
    - transmit special constraints:
      - they are noted down as "name\_tx0n" where n is a sequence number. They shall not contain any wildcards.

Derived constraints shall not be more than 1 level deep. They shall only be derived directly from the base constraint.

The test suite is not ready yet to handle PDU"s with empty information elements. For every receive constraint, also a information element constraint with an empty parameter list should be added.

#### 6.1.3 Dynamic part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS dynamic part.

# 6.1.3.1 Test Case (TC) identifier

The identifier of the TCs is built in the same way as for the TP described in ETS 300 324-3 [9], subclause 5.1.1, with the exception that "TP" is replaced by "TC":

TP identifier: TPCCBI-04; TC identifier: TCCCBI-04.

#### 6.1.3.2 Test Step (TS) identifier

The TS identifier is built with two strings of capital letters joined by underscore character. The first string indicates the main function of the TS, e.g. PR for preamble, PO for postamble, CS for check state and STP for general step. The second string indicates the meaning of the step.

In some TCs, test steps as well as local trees can be used. To allow an easy distinguishing of them the following naming applies:

LTS\_[local\_tree\_name] local tree;

STP\_[test\_step\_name] test step.

TSs are grouped together according to their functionality: CC, MM, LC or ME.

#### 6.1.3.3 Default identifier

The default identifiers begin with the prefix "DF\_", followed by a string in capital letters.

#### 6.1.3.4 General aspects

Final verdicts will only be assigned in defaults and in postambles.

All verdict assignments are labelled. To allow an exact identification in which table the verdict was assigned, the following name convention is applied:

B test Body

CS Check State test steps

D Default

E Error handling test steps

PO POstamble
PR PReamble
S test Step

Also combinations of labels are possible:

EXAMPLE: DPR --> label which is used in a default for preambles.

#### 6.1.3.5 ATS abbreviations

These abbreviations are used to shorten identifier names:

ack acknowledgement auth authentication algorithm algo CC call control cfm confirm est establish ext extension id identification

indindicationinfoinformationmaxmaximumminminimumpropproprietaryreqrequestresresponse

The following keywords will NOT be abbreviated in identifier names:

address(es); attribute(s); identity; number(s); character(s).

#### 6.2 Implementation conventions

#### 6.2.1 Declaration part

The comment line of single element Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) tables (e.g. test suite constants) is used to give a reference where the format and content of the element is described in the relevant protocol specifications. Any particularity of the element format or content is described in the comment line.

The comment line in the header of multi element TTCN tables (e.g. ASPs) is used to reference to the protocol specification. The detailed comments are used to describe any particularity of the table.

In the ASP and PDU declarations, the comments column is used to identify if an element is mandatory or optional:

m: mandatory o: optional

In the ASP and PDU declarations the comments column is further used to give information about the element value, in particular if the element contains a fixed spare value.

In tables where structure types are used the information element and the relevant structured type have always the same name, that allows to have the same structure as in the protocol standards is used to document the relation between information elements in a table and their specific description in an other clause of the protocol standard.

The following conventions apply to identifier names in the Structured Type definitions part:

- bits of bit sequences having a fixed value, meant to fill up the octet, are called fn, where n stands for the octet number;
- extension flags, will be called extn, where n stands for the octet number.

#### 6.2.2 Constraint part

The ASPs and PDUs are defined in a way that all relevant element are parametrized. That improves the transparency of the constraints in the dynamic part, as all values which are relevant for the test are always present.

Generally no modified constraints are used, this allows an easier reuse and adaptation of constraints if they are reused in other DECT profile test specifications.

The comment line of a constraint contains always the reference to the used specifications.

The detailed comments sector is used to describe any particularity of the table.

#### 6.2.3 Dynamic part

Some TCs need a particular initialisation of the IUT environment conditions to run the actual test, e.g. for testing re-provisioning procedures. Such message sequence can be quite complicated and long. In cases where a Local Test Step (LTS) facilitates the TC structure, the preamble and the condition setting are described in a LTS called "LTS\_pre\_step". All LTS\_pre\_steps are described in the detailed comment part of the TTCN table.

Some TCs need after the actual test a particular re-initialization of the IUT, e.g. after re-provisioning. Such message sequence can be quite complicated and long. In cases where a Local Test Step (LTS) facilitates the TC structure, the postamble and the re-initialization are described in a LTS called "LTS\_post\_step". All LTS post steps are described in the detailed comment part of the TTCN table.

All events which are defined as a conformance requirements by the TP, cause a preliminary verdict PASS if the requirement is met.

All invalid events are handled in the default tree. FAIL verdicts are only assigned in the default tree.

The preamble, the test body and the postamble have different defaults, what allows a specific verdict handling, e.g. only INCONC verdicts are assigned in the preamble.

Test steps do not contain a default. That allows to apply them with no restrictions regarding the error handling.

All verdict assignments are labelled. According to ISO 9646-3 [14], annex E.2, labels should be written to the conformance log. This allows to identify were the test failed. To allow an exact identification in which table the verdict was assigned, the naming convention as described in subclause 6.1.3.3 is applied.

The labels of the same type are numbered sequentially if they are in the same TC, test step or default.

TP which are listed in the untestable TP list in clause 5, or which reference to an other TP, e.g. BV TP which were already defined as CA TP, are not considered in the ATS, thus these TC identifiers are missing in the ATS and the numbering of the TCs is not always continues.

#### 6.2.4 Documentation

The comment line of the TC or test step header contains a reference to the relevant protocol specification.

The comment column of the dynamic behaviour part is used to number the test events which are relevant for the particular test or test operation.

Based on the numbering in the comment column all for the TC relevant events are described in the Detailed Comments part of each TTCN table.

Test procedures which cover a conformance requirement and lead to a preliminary or final verdict assignment are described as follows in the Detailed Comments part:

expected event: a specific receive event is expected; expected behaviour: no event or a timer expiry is expected;

expected status: the IUT is expected to be in a particular status.

# Annex A (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS) for NWK testing

The ATS is written in TTCN according to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [14].

As the ATS was developed on a separate TTCN tool the TTCN tables are not completely referenced in the contents table. The ATS itself contains a subclause Test Suite Overview which provides additional information and references about the ATS.

NOTE: According to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [14], in case of a conflict in interpretation of the

operational semantics of TTCN.GR and TTCN.MP, the operational semantics of the

TTCN.GR representation takes precedence.

# A.1 The machine processable ATS (TTCN.MP)

The electronic form of the machine processable file (TTCN MP format) corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII text file (DEP04977.MP (note)) associated with this ETS.

NOTE: This file is located in a compressed archive file named 4977\_EP.LZH. Other file

formats are available on request.

# A.2 The graphical ATS (TTCN.GR)

The electronic form of the graphical ATS (TTCN GR format) corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII Postscript file (DEP04977.PS (note)) associated with this ETS.

NOTE: This file is located in a compressed archive file named 4977\_EP.LZH. Other file

formats are available on request.

Page 24

**Draft prETS 300 497-7: February 1997** 

# Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of this ETS, ETSI grants that users of this ETS may freely reproduce the PIXIT proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PIXIT.

The PIXIT Proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6 [17]. Any additional information needed can be found in this international standard document.

# **B.1** Identification summary

#### Table B.1

PIXIT Number:	
Test Laboratory Name:	
Date of Issue:	
Issued to:	

# **B.2** ATS summary

#### Table B.2

Protocol Specification:	
Protocol to be tested:	
ATS Specification:	
Abstract Test Method:	Embedded variant of the Remote Test Method with no UT

# **B.3** Test laboratory

#### Table B.3

Test Laboratory Identification:	
Test Laboratory Manager:	
Means of Testing:	
SAP Address:	

# **B.4** Client identification

#### Table B.4

Client Identification:	
Client Test manager:	
Test Facilities required:	

# B.5 SUT

# Table B.5

Name:	
Version:	
SCS Number:	
Machine configuration:	
Operating System Identification:	
IUT Identification:	
PICS Reference for IUT:	
Limitations of the SUT:	
Environmental Conditions:	

# **B.6** Protocol layer information

# **B.6.1** Protocol identification

Table B.6

Name:	DECT - NetWorK Layer
Version:	
PICS References:	

# **B.6.2 IUT** information

# **Table B.7: General Configuration**

Item	Parameter	Parameter Type	Explanation and ETS Reference	Value
1	TSPX_mmproc_auft_ccstate	CCSTATE_TYPE	Indicates the PT cc state, the	
		(INTEGER 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,	authentication of Fixed radio	
		6, 7, 10, 19)	Termination (FT) test cases shall be	
			tested in ETS 300 175-5 [5],	
			subclause 13.3.3	
2	TSPX_mmproc_cipt_ccstate	CCSTATE_TYPE	Indicates the PT cc state, the PT	
		(INTEGER 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,	initiated ciphering test cases shall be	
		6, 7, 10, 19)	tested in ETS 300 175-5 [5],	
			subclause 13.8	
3	TSPX_mmproc_auft_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE	Indicates the way of invoking the	
		(INTEGER 0 10)	authentication of FT procedure:	
			ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 13.3.3	
4	TSPX_mmproc_cipt_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE	Indicates the way of invoking the PT	
		(INTEGER 0 10)	initiated ciphering procedure:	
			ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 13.8	
5	TSPX_nr_of _digits_in_cpn	INT_8	In order to facilitate testing, a number	
		(INTEGER 0255)	of digits less then 10 is advised.	

Table B.8: Addresses

Item	Address name	Parameter Type	Explanation and ETS Reference	Value
1	TSPX_decimal_ac_value	OCT_4 (OCTETSTRING[4])	Value of Authentication Code (AC) to be used. The AC will be entered as maximal 8 decimal digits. The AC to bitstring mapping will be done with operator TSO_cinpt_convert_ac_to_bitstring. Reference: ETS 300 444 [11], subclause	
2	TSPX_complete_fixed_id_ari _rpn_value	FIXED_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[872])	Value of fixed_id to be used in case of ARI + RPN (Radio Fixed Part Number) Reference: ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 7.7.18	
3	TSPX_ipei_value	PORT_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8104])	40 bits starting with 0  Value of International Portable Equipment Identity (IPEI) (IPUI-N) to be expected from the IUT (before subscription). Fill up to 40 bits with leading 0s. Reference: ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 7.7.30	
4	TSPX_ipui_value	PORT_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8104])	Value of portable_id to be used in case of a International Portable User Identity (IPUI) (after subscription) Reference: ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 7.7.30	
5	TSPX_ipui_value_2	PORT_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8104])	Value of portable_id to be used in case of a second IPUI (after subscription) Reference: ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 7.7.30	
6	TSPX_location_area_level	BIT_6 (BITSTRING[6])	The location area level that is going to be used Reference: ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 7.7.25	
	TSPX_complete_fixed_id_park_value	FIXED_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[872])	Value of fixed_id to be used in case of Portable Access Rights Key (PARK). PARK A 36 bits, PARK B, C, D - 31 bits Reference: ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 7.7.18	
8	TSPX_complete_fixed_id_park_value_2	FIXED_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[872])	Value of fixed_id to be used in case of a second PARK. PARK A 36 bits, PARK B, C, D - 31 bits. Reference: ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 7.7.18	
9	TSPX_tpui_value	PORT_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8104])	Value of tpui to be used, when assigning a tpui to the IUT Procedure ETS 300 175-5 [5], subclause 7.7.30	
10		OCT_4 (OCTETSTRING[4])	Value of UPI to be used. The UPI will be entered as maximal 8 decimal digits. The UPI to bitstring mapping will be done with operator TSO_cinpt_convert_upi_to_bitstring. Reference: ETS 300 444 [11], subclause 8.22	
11	TSPX_park_length_indicator		Number of significant bits in TSPX_park_value (PLI)	
12	TSPX_park_length_indicator _2	PARK_LENGTH_TYPE	Number of significant bits in TSPX_park_value_2(PLI)	

Table B.9: Implicit send events

Item	PIXIT (see Note)	Related implicit send message (PDU)	Indication how the implicit send event can be invoked
1	TSPX_change_location_are	` '	
	a	area is considered to be different to	
		the one specified in	
		STP_init_broadcast_bits.	
		The implicit send will contain a	
		DI_est_ind_no_pdu, because the	
		IUT should begin link establishment,	
		in order to perform location	
	TCDV involve cell anguari	registration.	
		To invoke the IUT to answer the incoming call. The IUT will go off	
	ng	hook when call present.	
		Expected constraint:	
		Cc_connect_rx_base	
3	TSPXinvoke_dialled_digit	To invoke the IUT to dial a digit.	
		To invoke the IUT to make a dialling	
	se	pause, the Cc_info constraint	
		contains multi-keypad i.e. with the	
		value "dialling pause"	
		Expected constraint: Cc_info_rx03	
5		To invoke the IUT to go to DTMF	
	d	dialling with defined tone length, the Cc info constraint contains a multi-	
		keypad i.e. with the value "dtmf	
		defined"	
		Expected constraint: Cc_info_rx04	
6	TSPX_invoke_dtmf_infinite	To invoke the IUT to go to DTMF	
		dialling with infinite tone length, the	
		Cc_info constraint contains a multi-	
		keypad i.e. with the value "dtmf	
		infinite"	
	TODY involve internal call	Expected constraint: Cc_info_rx05	
7	TSPX_invoke_internal_call	To invoke the IUT to go off hook for an internal call setup, while in state	
		T-00, a DL_EST_IND without a PDU	
		is expected to be send by the IUT.	
8	TSPX_invoke_normal_release	To invoke the IUT to go on hook, thus	
		initiating a normal release, while in any	
		cc state. A dl_data_indication is to be	
		expected, containing a CC_RELEASE message.	
		Expected Constraint:	
		Cc_release_rx_base	
9	TSPX_invoke_obtain_accessri	To invoke the obtain access rights	
	ghts	procedure, initiated by the IUT. A	
		DI_est_ind_no_pdu is to be expected, because the IUT will start link	
		establishment, in order to executed the	
		obtain access rights procedure. The AC	
		has to be entered in order to start the	
		obtain access rights procedure. Expected Constraint:	
		DI_est_ind_no_pdu	
		(continued)	

Table B.9 (concluded): Implicit send events

40	TCDV involve autorium call	To involve the UIT to me off book for a	
10	TSPX_invoke_outgoing_call	To invoke the IUT to go off hook for a	
		normal outgoing call setup, while in	
		state T-00, a DL_EST_IND without a	
		PDU is expected to be send by the IUT.	
11	TSPX_invoke_partial_release	To invoke the IUT to initiate a partial	
		release. (when a link to the IUT is	
		active), a CC_RELEASE message is	
		expected, but no requirements on the	
		release reason are made as the release	
		reasons is optional in ETS 300 444.	
		Expected constraint:	
		Cc_release_rx_base	
12	TSPX_invoke_pulse_dialling	To invoke the IUT to go to pulse, the	
	<u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u>	Cc_info constraint contains multi-keypad	
		i.e. with the value "goto pulse"	
		Expected constraint: Cc_info_rx02	
13	TSPX invoke at init cinhering	To invoke the PT to initiate ciphering on.	
10	CF X_IIIVONO_PI_IIIIC_OIPTIOTING	A dl_data_ind is expected, containing a	
		CIPHER-SUGGEST PDU.	
		Expected constraint:	
		Cipher_suggest_rx01	
14	TSDY invoke at off cinhering	To invoke the PT to initiate ciphering off.	
14	N_IIIVOKE_Pt_OII_CIPITETING	A dl_data_ind is expected, containing a	
		CIPHER-SUGGEST PDU.	
		Expected Constraint:	
		<u> </u>	
15	TSPX_invoke_register_recall	Cipher_suggest_rx02 To invoke the IUT to initiate register	
15	SFX_IIIVOKe_register_recall	recall, the Cc_info constraint contains	
		multi-keypad i.e. with the value "register	
		recall"	
40	TODY involve well enterin	Expected constraint: Cc_info_rx08	
16	TSPX_invoke_upi_entering	To enter the user personal identity at the	
		PT. The user types in its upi, and after	
		this a dl_data_ind is to be expected,	
		containing an AUTH_REPLY message.	
		The value of the UPI has to be specified	
		in the PIXIT parameter	
		TSPX_decimal_upi_value.	
		Expected constraint:	
		Auth_reply_rx_base	
17	TSPX_invoke_ft_authentication		
		authentication	
		Expected constraint:	
		Auth_req_rx_base	

NOTE: The PIXIT names are related to the test steps where the Implicit send events are handled, e.g. the PIXIT TSPX\_invoke\_abnormal\_release is related to the test step STP\_invoke\_abnormal\_release.

# Annex C (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) Proforma for DECT NWK

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of this ETS, ETSI grants that users of this ETS may freely reproduce the PCTR proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PCTR.

The PCTR Proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6 [17]. Any additional information needed can be found in this document.

# C.1 Identification summary

o.i identification summary	
C.1.1 Protocol conformance test	report
	Table C.1
PCTR Number:	
PCTR Date:	
Corresponding SCTR Number:	
Corresponding SCTR Date:	
Test Laboratory Identification:	
Test Laboratory Manager:	
Signature:	
C.1.2 IUT identification	
C.1.2 TOT Identification	
	Table C.2
Name:	
Version:	
Protocol specification:	
PICS:	
Previous PCTR if any:	
C.1.3 Testing environment	
	Table C.3
PIXIT Number:	
ATS Specification:	
Abstract Test Method:	Remote test method, Embedded variant with no UT
Means of Testing identification:	ixemote test method, Embedded variant with no or
Date of testing:	
Conformance Log reference(s):	

# C.1.4 Limits and reservation

Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report.

C.1		Co			
انما	ו⊾ס	LO	mn	nen	IT S

Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties.
C.2 IUT Conformance status
This IUT has or has not been shown by conformance assessment to be non conforming to the specified protocol specification.
Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this IUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements (as specified in clause 3 in this report) and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause 6) strike the words "has or". otherwise strike the words "or has not".
C.3 Static conformance summary
The PICS for this IUT is or is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.
Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.
C.4 Dynamic conformance summary
The test campaign did or did not reveal errors in the IUT.
Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause 6 of his report) strike the words "did or". otherwise strike the words "or did not".
Summary of the results of groups of test:
C.5 Static conformance review report
f clause 3 indicates non-conformance, this subclause itemises the mismatches between the PICS and the static conformance requirements of the specified protocol specification.

# C.6 Test campaign report

Table C.4

ATS Reference	Selected?	Run?	Verdict	Observations
A 13 Reference	Selecteu:	Kuii:	Verdict	(Reference to any observations
				made in clause 7)
TC_PT_CC_BV_OC_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_OC_02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_OC_03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_OC_04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_IC_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_IC_02 TC_PT_CC_BV_IC_03	Yes/No Yes/No	Yes/No Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_IC_03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CL_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC PT CC BV CI 02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_08	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_09 TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_10	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_10 TC_PT_CC_BV_CI_11	Yes/No Yes/No	Yes/No Yes/No		
TC PT CC BV CI 12	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC PT CC BV CI 13	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC PT CC BV CI 14	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_07 TC PT CC BV CR 08	Yes/No Yes/No	Yes/No Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_08	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_10	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_CR_11	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BV_RS_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BO_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BO_02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BI_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BI_02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BI_03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_BI_04 TC PT CC TI 01	Yes/No Yes/No	Yes/No Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_TI_01 TC_PT_CC_TI_02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_FT_CC_TI_02 TC_PT_CC_TI_03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_CC_TI_04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_ID_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_ID_02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_ID_03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_ID_04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_ID_08	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_04 TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_05	Yes/No Yes/No	Yes/No Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
	•	(continued	)	

# Table C.4 (continued)

	h. a.	h	
TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_08	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_AU_09	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_02	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_03	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_04	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_05	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_06	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_07	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_08	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_LO_09	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_AR_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_AR_03	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_AR_05	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC PT MM BV AR 06	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_AR_09	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_AR_10	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_KA_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_KA_02	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_KA_02	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_01 TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_02			
	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_03	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_04	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_05	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_06	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_07	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_08	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_09	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_10	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_11	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_12	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BV_CH_13	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BO_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BI_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BI_02	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BI_03	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_BI_04	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_TI_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_TI_03	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_TI_04	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_TI_05	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_MM_TI_06	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC PT ME BV 01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_02	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_03	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_04	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_05	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_05	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_07			
TC_PT_ME_BV_07 TC_PT_ME_BV_08	Yes/No	Yes/No	
	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_09	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_11	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_12	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_13	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BV_14	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_ME_BO_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_LC_BV_LE_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_LC_BV_LE_02	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_LC_BV_LR_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_LC_BV_LR_02	Yes/No	Yes/No	
		(continued)	

# Table C.4 (concluded)

TC_PT_LC_BV_LR_03	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_LC_BI_01	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_LC_BI_03	Yes/No	Yes/No	
TC_PT_LC_TI_02	Yes/No	Yes/No	

C.7	Observations
	nal information relevant to the technical content of the PCTR are given here.

# Annex D (informative): Bibliography

The following references are provided for information.

- 1) EWOS/ETSI Project Team No 5: "Project Report and Technical Report. OSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Procedures in Europe".
- 2) ETR 022: "Advanced Testing Methods (ATM); Vocabulary of terms used in communications protocols conformance testing".
- 3) CEPT Recommendation T/SGT SF2 (89) 6/0: "Draft Recommendation T/SF Services and Facilities of Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications".
- 4) ETR 015: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT): Reference document".
- 5) ETR 041 "Transmission and Multiplexing (TM); Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Transmission aspects 3,1 kHz telephony Interworking with other networks".
- 6) ETR 042 "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); A Guide to DECT features that influence the traffic capacity and the maintenance of high radio link transmission quality, including the results of simulations".
- 7) ETR 043: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Services and Facilities requirements specification".
- 8) ETR 056: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); System description document".
- 9) CTS-3/DECT Consortium DEL.2 Part 6.1, final version (March 1993): "DECT NWK Layer ATS Specification (PT part) Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes".
- 10) CTS-3/DECT Consortium DEL.2 Part 6.2, final version (March 1993): "DECT NWK Layer ATS Specification (PT part) Abstract Test Suite".
- 11) CTS-3/DECT Consortium DEL.3 Part 6.1, final version (March 1993): "DECT NWK Layer Methodology Specification (PT part) PICS Proforma".
- 12) CTS-3/DECT Consortium DEL.3 Part 6.2, final version (March 1993): "DECT NWK Layer Methodology Specification (PT part) PIXIT Proforma".

# History

Document history					
August 1996	First Edition				
February 1997	Public Enquiry	PE 9724:	1997-02-14 to 1997-06-13		