



Source: ETSI TC-RES

ICS: 30.060.50

Key words: TETRA, codec

# DRAFT pr ETS 300 395-2

September 1995

Reference: DE/RES-06002-2

Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Trans-European Trunked Radio (TETRA); Speech codec for full-rate traffic channel; Part 2: TETRA codec

# ETSI

European Telecommunications Standards Institute

## **ETSI Secretariat**

**Postal address:** F-06921 Sophia Antipolis CEDEX - FRANCE **Office address:** 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis - Valbonne - FRANCE **X.400:** c=fr, a=atlas, p=etsi, s=secretariat - **Internet:** secretariat@etsi.fr

Tel.: +33 92 94 42 00 - Fax: +33 93 65 47 16

**Copyright Notification:** No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

New presentation - see History box

Page 2 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Whilst every care has been taken in the preparation and publication of this document, errors in content, typographical or otherwise, may occur. If you have comments concerning its accuracy, please write to "ETSI Editing and Committee Support Dept." at the address shown on the title page.

# Contents

Fore	eword					7		
1	Scope	e (First numb	ered Clause)			9		
2	Normative references (Second numbered Clause)					9		
3	Abbreviations (Third numbered Clause)							
4	Full rate codec							
	4.1	Structure	Structure of the codec					
	4.2	Function	Functional description of the codec					
		4.2.1	Pre- and pos	Pre- and post-processing				
		4.2.2	Encoder			12		
			4.2.2.1	Short-term pre	ediction	13		
			4.2.2.2	LP to LSP and	LSP to LP conversion	14		
			4.2.2.3	Quantization a	and interpolation of LP parameters	16		
			4.2.2.4	Long-term pre	diction analysis	17		
			4.2.2.5	Algebraic cod	ebook: structure and search	18		
			4.2.2.6	Quantization	of the gains	21		
			4227	Detailed bit al	location	22		
		423	Decoder	Dotanou bit ai		23		
		1.2.0	4231	Decoding pro	2855	24		
			1.2.0.1	42311	Decoding of LP filter parameters	24		
				4.2.3.1.2	Decoding of the adaptive codebook	~~~~		
				40040		24		
				4.2.3.1.3	Decoding of the innovation vector	24		
				4.2.3.1.4	Decoding of the adaptive and	~-		
					innovative codebook gains	25		
				4.2.3.1.5	Computation of the reconstructed			
			4000	<b>F</b>	speech	25		
			4.2.3.2	Error conceal	nent	25		
5	Chann	nel codina foi	speech			26		
0	5 1	General	opecon			26		
	5.2	Interface	Interfaces in the error control structure					
	53	Notations						
	54	Definition	Notations					
	0.1	5 4 1	Sensitivity cl	20000 4114 01101 00		28		
		542	CRC codes			28		
		5/3	16-state RC	PC codes		30		
		0.4.0	5/31	Encoding by t	he 16-state mother code of rate 1/3	30		
			5/32	Puncturing of	the mother code			
	55	Error con	otrol scheme for	normal speech tra	ffic channel	31		
	5.5	5 5 1		normal speech tra				
		5.5.7						
		0.0.2	5 5 2 1	Duncturing sc	home of the PCPC code of rate 8/12 (equi	0z		
			5.5.2.1	to 2/3)		" 32		
			5.5.2.2	Puncturing sc	heme of the RCPC code of rate 8/18	32		
		5.5.3	Matrix Interle	eaving		32		
	5.6	Error con	trol scheme for	speech traffic cha	nnel with frame stealing activated	34		
		5.6.1	CRC code	-	~	34		
		5.6.2	RCPC code	S		35		
			5.6.2.1	Puncturing sc	heme of the RCPC code of rate 8/17	36		
		5.6.3	Interleaving	0.11		36		
6	Chann	nel decodina	for speech			36		
0	61	General						
	<b>.</b>	20110101						

## Page 4 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

	6.2	Error control	structure		36
7	Codec pe	erformance			37
	7.1	General			37
	7.2	Performance	of the channel cod	ling / decoding for speech	37
		7.2.1	Classes of simulation	on environment conditions	31
		7.2.2	Classes of equipme	ent	31
		7.2.3	Classes of Dils	、 、	20
		7.2.4	Results for normal	,	38
_		1.2.0			50
8	Bit exact	description o	the TETRA codec		39
Annex	A (inform	native): Imp	plementation of spe	ech channel decoding	42
A.1	Algorithm	nic description	of speech channel	I decoding	42
	A.1.1	Definition of	error control codes		42
		A.1.1.1	16-state RCPC coc	des	42
			A.1.1.1.1 Ob	taining the mother code from punctured code	42
			A.1.1.1.2 Vite 1/3	erbi decoding of the 16-state mother code of the rate	42
		A.1.1.2	CRC codes		43
		A.1.1.3	Type-4 bits		43
	A.1.2	Error control	scheme for normal	speech traffic channel	43
		A.1.2.1	Matrix Interleaving		43
		A.1.2.2	RCPC codes		43
			A.1.2.2.1 Pu	ncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/12 (equal	
				2/3)	44
		A 1 2 2	A.I.Z.Z.Z PU	ncluring scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/18	44
		A.1.2.3	Speech parameters	e	44 11
	Δ13	Fror control	scheme for speech	traffic channel with frame stealing activated	44 44
	A.1.0	A 1 3 1	Interleaving	r traine channer with name stearing activated	44
		A.1.3.2	RCPC codes		44
		-	A.1.3.2.1 Pu	ncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/17	45
		A.1.3.3	CRC code		45
		A.1.3.4	Speech parameters	S	45
A.2	C Code f	or speech cha	annel decoding		45
Annex	k B (inform	native): Ind	exes		46
D 1	hdov of (	<sup>′</sup>			16
D. I	Index of		-5		40
B.2	Index of f	files			49
Annex	c C (inforn	native): Bib	liography		50
Annex	k D (inforn	native): Co	dec performance		51
D.1	General.				51
D.2	Quality				51
	D.2.1	Subjective sp	beech quality		51
		D.2.1.1	Description of chara	acterisation tests	51
		D.2.1.2	Absolute speech qu	uality	51 54
		D.2.1.3	Effect of input level	Jonov charactoristic	51 51
		D.2.1.4	Effect of transmissi	ion errors	51
		D316	Effect of tandemine	יוטו טווטוס ז	52
		D.2.17	Effect of acoustic h	ackaround noise	52
		D.2.1.8	Effect of vocal effor	rt	52
		D.2.1.9	Effect of frame stea	aling	52

		D.2.1.10	Speaker and language dependency	52
	D.2.2	Comparison	with analogue FM	
		D.2.2.1	Analogue and digital systems results	
		D.2.2.2	All conditions	
		D.2.2.3		
		D.2.2.4	Error patterns	
	000	D.Z.Z.3	Background hoise	54 54
	D.2.3		Typog of gignolo	
		D.2.3.1	Codec behaviour	
		D.2.3.2		
D.3	Complex	kity		55
	D.3.1	Complexity a	analysis	
		D.3.1.1	Measurement methodology	
		D.3.1.2	IEIRA basic operators	
		D.3.1.3	Worst case path for speech encoder	
		D.3.1.4	Worst case path for speech decoder	
	<b>D</b> 0 0	D.3.1.5	Condensed complexity values for encoder and decoder	
	D.3.2	DSP indepe	ndence	
		D.3.2.1	Program control structure	
		D.3.2.2	Basic operator implementation	
		D.3.2.3	Additional operator implementation	59
D.4	Delay	motivo): Po	aulta of the TETPA and a characterization listening and comple	
Anne		nauve). Re		
E.1	Charac	terisation liste	ning test	60
	E.1.1	Experimenta	al conditions	60
	E.1.2	Tables of re	sults	61
E.2	TETRA	codec comple	xity study	69
	E.2.1	Computation	nal analysis results	69
		E.2.1.1	TETRÁ speech encoder	69
		E.2.1.2	TETRA speech decoder	77
		E.2.1.3	TETRA channel encoder and decoder	80
	E.2.2	Memory req	uirements analysis results	82
		E.2.2.1	TETRA speech codec	82
			TETRA speech decoder	
		E.2.2.2		83
		E.2.2.2 E.2.2.3	TETRA speech channel encoder	83 83
Anne	v E (infor	E.2.2.2 E.2.2.3 E.2.2.4	TETRA speech decodel TETRA speech channel encoder TETRA speech channel decoder	83 83 84
		E.2.2.2 E.2.2.3 E.2.2.4 mative): De	TETRA speech channel encoder TETRA speech channel decoder rescription of attached computer diskette	83 
F.1	Director	E.2.2.2 E.2.2.3 E.2.2.4 mative): De	TETRA speech channel encoder TETRA speech channel decoder	
F.1 F.2	Director	E.2.2.2 E.2.2.3 E.2.2.4 mative): De y C-WORD y C-CODE	TETRA speech channel encoder TETRA speech channel decoder escription of attached computer diskette	

Blank page

# Foreword

This draft European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) has been produced by the Radio Equipment and Systems (RES) Technical Committee of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), and is now submitted for the Public Enquiry phase of the ETSI standards approval procedure.

This ETS will consist of 4 parts as follows:

- Part 1: "General description of speech functions" (DE/RES-06002-1);
- Part 2: "TETRA codec";

Part 3: "Specific operating features" (DE/RES-06002-3);

Part 4: "Codec conformance testing" (DE/RES-06002-4).

Proposed transposition dates						
Date of latest announcement of this ETS (doa):	3 months after ETSI publication					
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this ETS (dop/e):	6 months after doa					
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	6 months after doa					

Blank page

# 1 Scope (First numbered Clause)

This ETS contains the full specification of the speech codec for use in the Trans-European Trunked Radio (TETRA) system.

Clause 4 provides a complete description of the full rate speech source encoder and decoder, whilst clause 5 describes the speech channel encoder, and clause 6 the speech channel decoder.

Clause 7 describes the codec performance, including the minimum required speech channel decoder performance.

Finally, clause 8 introduces the bit exact description of the codec. This description is given as an ANSI C code, fixed point, bit exact. The whole C code corresponding to the TETRA codec is given in computer files attached to this ETS, and are an integral part of this ETS.

In addition to these clauses, five informative annexes are provided.

Annex A describes a possible implementation of the speech channel decoding function.

Annex B provides comprehensive indexes of all the routines and files included in the C code associated with this ETS.

Annex C lists informative references relevant to the speech codec.

Annex D describes the actual quality, performance and complexity aspects of the codec.

Annex E reports detailed results from codec characterisation listening and complexity tests.

Annex F contains instructions for the use of the attached diskette.

## 2 Normative references (Second numbered Clause)

This ETS incorporates by dated and undated reference, provisions from other publications. These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this ETS only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

- [1] ETS 300 392-2: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Trans-European Trunked Radio (TETRA) system; Voice plus Data; Part 2: Air Interface".
- [2] CCITT Recommendation P.48: "Specifications for an Intermediate Reference System", Blue Book Vol.5, pp81-86,1988.

## 3 Abbreviations (Third numbered Clause)

For the purposes of this ETS the following abbreviations apply:

ACELP	Algebraic CELP
BER	Bit Error Ratio
BFI	Bad Frame Indicator
BS	Base Station
CELP	Code-Excited Linear Predictive
DSP	Digital Signal Processor
FIR	Finite Impulse Response
IRS	Intermediate Reference System
LP	Linear Prediction
LSF	Line Spectral Frequency
LSP	Line Spectral Pair
MER	Message Error Rate
MNRU	Multiplicative Noise Reference Unit
MS	Mobile Station

## Page 10 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

PUEM	Probability of Undetected Erroneous Message
RCPC	Rate-Compatible Punctured Convolutional
RF	Radio Frequency
VQ	Vector Quantization

## 4 Full rate codec

#### 4.1 Structure of the codec

The TETRA speech codec is based on the Code-Excited Linear Predictive (CELP) coding model. In this model, a block of *N* speech samples is synthesized by filtering an appropriate innovation sequence from a codebook, scaled by a gain factor  $g_c$ , through two time varying filters. A simplified high level block diagram of this synthesis process, as implemented in the TETRA codec, is shown in figure 1.



Figure 1: High level block diagram of the TETRA speech synthesizer

The first filter is a long-term prediction filter (pitch filter) aiming at modelling the pseudo-periodicity in the speech signal and the second is a short-term prediction filter modelling the speech spectral envelope.

The long-term or pitch, synthesis filter is given by:

$$\frac{1}{B(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - g_p z^{-T}}$$
(1)

where T is the pitch delay and  $g_p$  is the pitch gain. The pitch synthesis filter is implemented as an adaptive codebook, where for delays less than the sub-frame length the past excitation is repeated.

The short-term synthesis filter is given by:

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{A(z)} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i z^{-i}}$$
(2)

where  $a_i, i = 1, ..., p$ , are the Linear Prediction (LP) parameters and p is the predictor order. In the TETRA codec p shall be 10.

The TETRA encoder uses an analysis-by-synthesis technique to determine the pitch and excitation codebook parameters. The simplified block diagram of the TETRA encoder is shown in figure 2.

Page 11 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995



Figure 2: High level block diagram of the TETRA speech encoder

In this analysis-by-synthesis technique, the synthetic speech is computed for all candidate innovation sequences retaining the particular sequence that produces the output closer to the original signal according to a perceptually weighted distortion measure. The perceptual weighting filter de-emphasises the error at the formant regions of the speech spectrum and is given by:

$$W(z) = \frac{A(z)}{A(z/\gamma)}$$
(3)

where A(z) is the LP inverse filter (as in Equation (2)) and  $0 < \gamma \le 1$ . The value  $\gamma_1 = 0.85$  shall be used. Both the weighting filter, W(z), and formant synthesis filter, H(z), shall use the quantized LP parameters.

In the Algebraic CELP (ACELP) technique, special innovation codebooks having an algebraic structure are used. This algebraic structure has several advantages in terms of storage, search complexity, and robustness. The TETRA codec shall use a specific dynamic algebraic excitation codebook whereby the fixed excitation vectors are shaped by a dynamic shaping matrix (see annex C, {1}). The shaping matrix is a function of the LP model A(z), and its main role is to shape the excitation vectors in the frequency domain so that their energies are concentrated in the important frequency bands. The shaping matrix used is a Toeplitz lower triangular matrix constructed from the impulse response of the filter:

$$F(z) = \frac{A(z/\gamma_1)}{A(z/\gamma_2)}$$
(4)

where A(z) is the LP inverse filter. The values  $\gamma_1 = 0,75$  and  $\gamma_2 = 0,85$  shall be used.

## Page 12 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

In the TETRA codec, 30 ms speech frames shall be used. It is required that the short-term prediction parameters (or LP parameters) are computed and transmitted every speech frame. The speech frame shall be divided into 4 sub-frames of 7,5 ms (60 samples). The pitch and algebraic codebook parameters have also to be transmitted every sub-frame.

Table 1 gives the bit allocation for the TETRA codec. 137 bits shall be produced for each frame of 30 ms resulting in a bit rate of 4 567 bit/s.

Parameter	1st subfr.	2nd subfr.	3rd subfr.	4th subfr.	Total per frame
LP filter					26
Pitch delay	8	5	5	5	23
Algebraic code	16	16	16	16	64
VQ of 2 gains	6	6	6	6	24
Total					137

## Table 1: Bit allocation for the TETRA codec

More details about the sequence of bits within the speech frame of 137 bits per 30 ms, with reference to the speech parameters, can be found in subclause 4.2.2.7, table 3.

## 4.2 Functional description of the codec

## 4.2.1 Pre- and post-processing

Before starting the encoding process, the speech signal shall be pre-processed using the filter:

$$H_p(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 - \alpha z^{-1}} \right)$$
(5)

where  $\alpha$  = 32 735/32 768. In the time domain, this filter corresponds to:

$$s'(n) = s(n)/2 - s(n-1)/2 + \alpha s'(n-1)$$
(6)

where s(n) is the input signal and s(n) is the pre-processed signal. The purpose of this pre-processing is firstly to remove the dc from the signal (offset compensation), and secondly, to scale down the input signal in order to avoid saturation of the synthesis filtering.

At the decoder, the post-processing consists of scaling up the reconstructed signal (multiplication by 2 with saturation control).

## 4.2.2 Encoder

Figure 3 presents a detailed block diagram of the TETRA encoder illustrating the major parts of the codec as well as signal flow. On this figure, names appearing at the bottom of the various building blocks correspond to the C code routines associated with this ETS.

## Page 13 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995



Figure 3: Signal flow at the encoder

#### 4.2.2.1 Short-term prediction

Short-term prediction or LP analysis shall be performed every 30 ms. The auto-correlation approach shall be used with an asymmetric analysis window. The LP analysis window consists of two halves of Hamming windows with different lengths. This window is given by:

$$w(n) = 0,54 - 0,46 \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{L_1 - 1}\right), \qquad n = 0,..., L_1 - 1$$
  
$$= 0,54 + 0,46 \cos\left(\frac{\pi (n - L_1)}{L_2 - 1}\right), \qquad n = L_1,..., L_1 + L_2 - 1$$
(7)

A 32 ms analysis window (corresponding to 256 samples with the sampling frequency of 8 kHz) shall be used with values  $L_1 = 216$  and  $L_2 = 40$ . The window shall be positioned such that 40 samples are taken from the future frame (look-ahead of 40 samples).

#### Page 14 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

The auto-correlation of the windowed speech s'(n), n = 0, ..., 255, are computed by:

$$r(k) = \sum_{n=k}^{255} s'(n)s'(n-k), \qquad k = 0,...,10$$
(8)

and a 60 Hz bandwidth expansion has to be used by lag windowing the auto-correlation using the window (see annex C, {2}):

$$w_{lag}(i) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2\pi f_0 i}{f_s}\right)^2\right], \qquad i = 1,...,10$$
 (9)

where  $f_0 = 60$  Hz is the bandwidth expansion and  $f_s = 8000$  Hz is the sampling frequency. Further, r(0) is multiplied by 1,00005 which is equivalent to adding a noise floor at - 43 dB. In the TETRA coder, this is alternatively performed by dividing the lag window as in equation (9) by 1,00005, resulting in  $w_{lag}(0) = 1$  and:

$$w_{lag}(i) = w_{lag}(i) / 1,00005$$
  $i = 1,...,10$  (10)

The modified auto-correlation:

$$r'(k) = r(k)w_{lag}(k), \qquad k = 0,...,10$$
 (11)

are used to obtain the LP filter coefficients  $a_k$ , k = 1, ..., 10, by solving the set of equations:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{10} a_k r'(|i-k|) = -r'(i), \qquad i=1,\dots,10$$
(12)

The set of equations in (12) shall be solved using the Levinson-Durbin algorithm (see annex C, {3}).

#### 4.2.2.2 LP to LSP and LSP to LP conversion

The LP filter coefficients  $a_k$ , k = 1, ..., 10 shall be converted to the Line Spectral Pair (LSP) representation (see annex C, {4}) for quantization and interpolation purposes. For a 10th order LP filter, the LSPs are defined as the roots of the sum and difference polynomials:

$$F'_1(z) = A(z) + z^{-11}A(z^{-1})$$
 (13)

and

$$F_2'(z) = A(z) - z^{-11}A(z^{-1})$$
 (14)

respectively. It can be proven that all roots of these polynomials are on the unit circle and they alternate each other (see annex C, {5}).  $F'_1(z)$  has a root  $z = -1(\omega = \pi)$  and  $F'_2(z)$  has a root  $z = 1(\omega = 0)$ .

To eliminate these two roots, new polynomials are defined:

$$F_1(z) = F'_1(z) / (1+z^{-1})$$
(15)

and

$$F_2(z) = F'_2(z) / (1-z^{-1})$$
(16)

Each polynomial has 5 conjugate roots on the unit circle  $(e^{\pm j\omega_i})$ , therefore, the polynomials can be written as:

$$F_1(z) = \prod_{i=1,3,\dots,9} \left( 1 - 2q_i z^{-1} + z^{-2} \right)$$
(17)

and

$$F_2(z) = \prod_{i=2,4,\dots,10} \left( 1 - 2q_i z^{-1} + z^{-2} \right)$$
(18)

where  $q_i = \cos(\omega_i)$ , with  $\omega_i$  being the Line Spectral Frequencies (LSFs). They satisfy the ordering property  $0 < \omega_1 < \omega_2 < ... < \omega_{10} < \pi$ .  $q_i$  are referred as the LSPs in the cosine domain.

The first five coefficients of each of the symmetric polynomials  $F_1(z)$  and  $F_2(z)$  are found by the recursive relations (for i = 0 to 4):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_1(i+1) & = & a_{i+1} & + & a_{p-i} & - & f_1(i) \\ f_2(i+1) & = & a_{i+1} & - & a_{p-i} & + & f_2(i) \end{array}$$
(19)

The LSPs are found by evaluating the polynomials  $F_1(z)$  and  $F_2(z)$  at 60 points equally spaced between 0 and  $\pi$  and checking for sign changes. A sign change signifies the existence of a root and the sign change interval is then divided 4 times to better track the root. The Chebyshev polynomials have to be used to evaluate  $F_1(z)$  and  $F_2(z)$  (see annex C, {6}). This method is very computationally efficient since

it bypasses the cosine computations as the roots are found directly in the cosine domain  $\{q_i\}$ . In the TETRA codec, implementation, quantization and interpolation of the LSPs are performed in the cosine domain, thus no trigonometric computations are needed to convert to the frequency domain. The polynomials  $F_1(z)$  or  $F_2(z)$  are given by:

$$F(z) = 2e^{-j5\omega} \left( T_5(x) + f(1)T_4(x) + f(2)T_3(x) + f(3)T_2(x) + f(4)T_1(x) + f(5)/2 \right)$$
(20)

where  $T_m(x) = \cos(m\omega)$  is the *m*th order Chebyshev polynomial, and f(i), i = 1, ...5, are the coefficients of either  $F_1(z)$  or  $F_2(z)$ , computed using the equations in (19). The details of the Chebyshev polynomial evaluation method are found in (see annex C, {6}). If this numerical process is not able to find enough roots, the previous computed set of LSPs is used.

Once the LSPs are quantized and interpolated, they are converted back to the LP coefficient domain  $\{a_k\}$ . The conversion to the LP domain is done as follows. The coefficients of  $F_1(z)$  and  $F_2(z)$  are found by expanding equations (17) and (18) knowing the quantized and interpolated LSPs  $q_i$ , i = 1,...10.

## Page 16 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

The following recursive relation shall be used to compute  $f_1(i)$ :

for 
$$i = 1$$
 to 5  
 $f_1(i) = -2q_{2i-1}f_1(i-1) + 2f_1(i-2)$   
for  $j = i-1$  down to 1

$$f_1(j) = f_1(j) - 2q_{2i-1}f_1(j-1) + f_1(j-2)$$

with initial values  $f_1(0) = 1$  and  $f_1(-1) = 0$ . The coefficients  $f_2(i)$  are computed similarly by replacing  $q_{2i-1}$  by  $q_{2i}$ . Once the coefficients  $f_1(i)$  and  $f_2(i)$  are found,  $F_1(z)$  and  $F_2(z)$  are multiplied by  $1+z^{-1}$  and  $1-z^{-1}$ , respectively, to obtain  $F'_1(z)$  and  $F'_2(z)$ ; that is  $f'_1(i) = f_1(i) + f_1(i-1)$  and  $f'_2(i) = f_2(i) - f_2(i-1), i = 1, \dots, 5$ . Finally the LP coefficients are found by  $a_i = 0.5f_1(i) + 0.5f_2(i), i = 1, \dots, 5$  and  $a_i = 0.5f_1(i-5) - 0.5f_2(i-5), i = 5, \dots, 10$ . This is directly derived from the relation  $A(z) = (F'_1(z) + F'_2(z))/2$ , and considering the fact that  $F'_1(z)$  and  $F'_2(z)$  are symmetrical and anti-symmetrical polynomials, respectively.

#### 4.2.2.3 Quantization and interpolation of LP parameters

The computed LP parameters have to be converted to LSPs and quantized with 26 bits using split-VQ.

NOTE: Both the quantization and interpolation are performed on the LSPs in the cosine domain; that is:

$$q_i = \cos(2\pi f_i/f_s), \qquad i = 1,...,10$$
 (21)

where  $f_i$  are the line spectral frequencies in Hz and  $f_s$  is the sampling frequency.

The LSP vector  $\mathbf{q}$  shall be split into three sub-vectors of length 3, 3, and 4. The first sub-vector  $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$  shall be quantized with 8 bits while the sub-vectors  $\{q_4, q_5, q_6\}$  and  $\{q_7, q_8, q_9, q_{10}\}$  shall be each quantized with 9 bits. The search is performed using mse minimization in the  $\mathbf{q}$  domain with no LSP weighting.

The quantized LP parameters are used for the fourth sub-frame, whereas the first three sub-frames use a linear interpolation of the parameters of the present and previous frames. The interpolation is performed on the LSPs in the **q** domain. Let  $\hat{q}_n$  be the quantized LSP vector at the present frame and  $\hat{q}_{n-1}$  the quantized LSP vector at the past frame. The interpolated LSP vectors at each of the 4 sub-frames are given by:

$q_1$	=	$0,75\hat{q}_{n-1}$	+	0,25q̂ <sub>n</sub>	
$q_2$	=	$0,50\hat{q}_{n-1}$	+	0,50q̂ <sub>n</sub>	(00)
q <sub>3</sub>	=	$0,25\hat{q}_{n-1}$	+	0,75 $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_n$	(22)
$q_4$	=	$\hat{\mathbf{q}}_n$			

The initial values of the past quantized LSP vector are given in Q15 by  $\hat{q}_{-1} = \{30\ 000,\ 26\ 000,\ 21\ 000,\ 15\ 000,\ 8\ 000,\ 0,\ -\ 8\ 000,\ -\ 15\ 000,\ -\ 21\ 000,\ -\ 26\ 000\}$ . (Divide by  $2^{15}$  to obtain the values in the range [-1,1]). The interpolated LSP vectors shall be used to compute a different LP filter at each sub-frame.

#### 4.2.2.4 Long-term prediction analysis

The pitch parameters are the delay and gain of the pitch filter. The pitch filter shall be implemented using the so-called adaptive codebook approach whereby the excitation is repeated for delays less than the sub-frame length (60). In this implementation the excitation is extended by the LP residual in the search stage to simplify the closed-loop search. In the first sub-frame, a fractional pitch delay is used with resolutions: 1/3 in the range  $\left[19\frac{1}{3} - 84\frac{2}{3}\right]$  and integers only in the range  $\left[85 - 143\right]$ . For the other sub-frames, a pitch resolution of 1/3 is always used in the range  $\left[T_1 - 5\frac{2}{3} - T_1 + 4\frac{2}{3}\right]$ , where  $T_1$  is the nearest integer to the fractional pitch lag of the first sub-frame.

To simplify the pitch analysis procedure, a two stage approach shall be used. First, an open loop pitch has to be computed once every speech frame (30 ms) using a weighted speech signal  $s_w(n)$ . A pole-zero type weighting procedure shall be used to get  $s_w(n)$ . This procedure shall be performed with the help of a shaping filter A(z/0.95)/A(z/0.60) for which the unquantized LP parameters shall be used.

The open loop pitch search shall then be performed as follows. In a first step, 3 maxima of the correlation:

$$C_k = \sum_{i=0}^{120} s_w (2i) s_w (2i-k)$$
(23)

are found in the three ranges, [20 - 39], [40 - 79] and [80 - 142], respectively. The retained maxima  $C_{k_i}$ , i = 1,...,3, are normalized by dividing by  $\sqrt{\sum_{n} s_w^2 (n - k_i)}$ , i = 1,...,3, respectively. The normalized

maxima and corresponding delays are denoted by  $(R_i, k_i)$ , i = 1, ...3. The winner among the three normalized correlation is selected by favouring the delays in the lower ranges. That is,  $k_i$  is selected if  $R_i > 0.85R_{i+1}$ . This procedure of dividing the delay range into 3 sections and favouring the lower sections is used to avoid choosing pitch multiples.

NOTE 1: The past weighted speech samples are initialized to zero.

Having found the open-loop pitch  $T_{op}$ , a closed-loop pitch analysis has to be performed around the open-loop pitch delay on a sub-frame basis. In the first sub-frame the range  $T_{op} \pm 2$  bounded by [20 - 143] is searched. For the other sub-frames, closed-loop pitch analysis is performed around the pitch selected in the first sub-frame. As mentioned earlier, a pitch resolution of 1/3 is always used for the other sub-frames in the range  $\left[T_1 - 5\frac{2}{3} - T_1 + 4\frac{2}{3}\right]$ , where  $T_1$  is the integer part of the first sub-frame pitch lag. The pitch delay shall be encoded with 8 bits in the first sub-frame while the relative delays of the other sub-frames.

The closed loop pitch search shall be performed by minimizing the mean-square weighted error between the original and synthesized speech. This is achieved by maximizing the term:

$$\tau_{k} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{59} x(n) y_{k}(n)}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{59} y_{k}(n) y_{k}(n)}},$$
(24)

where x(n) is the so-called target signal given by the weighted input speech after subtracting the zeroinput response of the weighted synthesis filter H(z)W(z) and  $y_k(n)$  is the past filtered excitation at delay k (the past excitation is initialized to zero).

## Page 18 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

NOTE 2: The search range is limited around the open-loop pitch as explained earlier.

For delays k < 60 the excitation signal u(n) is extended by the LP residual signal. Once the optimum integer pitch delay is determined, the fractions  $-\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $-\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$ , and  $\frac{2}{3}$  around that integer are tested.

NOTE 3: For the first sub-frame, the fractions are tested only if the integer pitch lag is less than 85.

The fractional pitch search is performed by interpolating the normalized correlation in equation (24) and searching for its maximum. Once the non-integer pitch is determined, the adaptive codebook vector v(n)

is computed by interpolating the past excitation signal u(n). The interpolation shall be performed using two FIR filters (Hamming windowed sinc functions); one for interpolating the term in equation (24) with the sinc truncated at  $\pm 12$  (8 multiplications per fraction) and the other for interpolating the past excitation with the sinc truncated at  $\pm 48$  (32 multiplications per sample). The pitch gain is then found by:

$$g_{p} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{59} x(n)y(n)}{\sum_{n=0}^{59} y(n)y(n)}, \qquad \text{bounded by} \qquad 0 \le g_{p} \le 1,2 \qquad (25)$$

where y(n) = v(n) \* h(n) is the filtered adaptive codebook vector (zero-state response of H(z)W(z) to v(n)).

NOTE 4: Only positive pitch gains are allowed since by maximizing the term in equation (24) the negative correlations are eliminated.

## 4.2.2.5 Algebraic codebook: structure and search

A 16-bit algebraic codebook shall be used. The innovation vector contains, at most, four non-zero pulses. The 4 pulses can assume the amplitudes and positions given in the following table:

Codebook parameters	Positions of the pulses	Codebook bit allocation
Pulse amplitude:	0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30,	5
+1,4142	32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58	
Pulse amplitude: -1	2, 10, 18, 26, 34, 42, 50, 58	3
Pulse amplitude: +1	4, 12, 20, 28, 36, 44, 52, (60)	3
Pulse amplitude: -1	6, 14, 22, 30, 38, 42, 54, (62)	3
Global sign flag		1
Shift flag		1

#### Table 2

The pulses shall have fixed amplitudes of +1,4142, -1, +1 and -1, respectively. The first pulse position shall be encoded with 5 bits while the positions of the other pulses shall be encoded with 3 bits. The positions of all pulses can be simultaneously shifted by one, to occupy odd positions. One bit shall be used to encode this shift and a global sign bit shall be used to invert all pulses simultaneously, giving a total of 16 bits.

NOTE 1: From table 2, it is possible to position the last two pulses outside the sub-frame which indicates that these pulses are not present.

The codebook is searched by minimizing the mean squared error between the weighted input speech and the weighted synthesis speech. The target signal used in the closed-loop pitch search is updated by subtracting the adaptive codebook contribution. That is:

$$x_2(n) = x(n) - g_p y(n), \qquad n = 0,...59$$
 (26)

where y(n) = v(n) \* h(n) is the filtered adaptive codebook vector, with h(n) being the impulse response of the weighted synthesis filter  $H(z)W(z) = 1/A(z/\gamma)$ .

As described in subclause 4.1 the algebraic codebook is dynamically shaped to enhance the important frequency regions. The used shaping matrix is a lower triangular convolution matrix consisting of the impulse response of the filter F(z) in equation (4). Thus the shaping can be performed as a filtering process. To maintain the simplicity of the algebraic codebook search, the filter F(z) is combined with the weighted synthesis filter H(z)W(z) and the impulse response h'(n) of the combined filter is computed (see annex C, {1}). If  $c_k$  is the algebraic codeword at index k, then the algebraic codebook is searched by maximizing the term:

$$\tau_k = \frac{C_k^2}{\varepsilon_k} = \frac{\left(d^t c_k\right)^2}{c_k^t \Phi c_k}$$
(27)

where **H** is a lower triangular Toeplitz convolution matrix with diagonal h'(0) and lower diagonals h'(1), ..., h'(59) and  $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{H}^t x_2$  is the backward filtered target vector and  $\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbf{H}^t \mathbf{H}$ .

The algebraic structure of the codebook allows for very fast search procedures since the innovation vector  $c_k$  contains only 4 non-zero pulses. The search shall be performed in 4 nested loops, corresponding to each pulse positions, where in each loop the contribution of a new pulse is added. The correlation in equation (27) is given by:

$$C = ad(m_0) - d(m_1) + d(m_2) - d(m_3)$$
(28)

and the energy is given by:

$$\varepsilon = a^{2}\phi(m_{0},m_{0}) + \phi(m_{1},m_{1}) - 2a\phi(m_{0},m_{1}) + \phi(m_{2},m_{2}) + 2a\phi(m_{0},m_{2}) - 2\phi(m_{1},m_{2}) + \phi(m_{3},m_{3}) - 2a\phi(m_{0},m_{3}) + 2\phi(m_{1},m_{3}) - 2\phi(m_{2},m_{3})$$

$$(29)$$

where  $m_i$  is the position of the *i*th pulse and a = 1,4142.

NOTE 2: The codebook gain is given by:

$$g_c = \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$$
(30)

A focused search approach shall be used to further simplify the search procedure.

In this approach pre-computed thresholds are tested before entering the last two loops and the loops are entered only if these thresholds are exceeded. The maximum number of times the loops can be entered is fixed so that a low percentage of the codebook is searched.

## Page 20 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

The two threshold are computed based on the correlation C. The maximum absolute correlation due to the contribution of the first two pulses,  $max_2$ , and that due to the contribution of the first three pulses,  $max_3$ , are found prior to the codebook search.

The third loop is entered only if the absolute correlation (due to two pulses) exceeds  $k_2 max_2$ , and similarly, the fourth loop is entered only if the absolute correlation (due to three pulses) exceeds  $k_3 max_3$ , where  $0 \le k_2, k_3 < 1$ . The values of  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  control the percentage of codebook search, with higher values resulting in faster search time (setting  $k_2 = k_3 = 0$  results in full search). The values  $k_2 = k_3 = 0,586$  shall be used.

The focused search approach results in variable search time from one sub-frame to another. To control the worst case time, a down counter is set to 350 and it is decreased by 4 each time the third loop is completed and decreased by 3 each time the fourth loop is completed. In the worst cases where this counter could reach a value below 0 the codebook search is ended.

As the above described codebook search accounts for most of the complexity of the codec, a detailed flow diagram of this procedure is given in figure 4.

## For the positions of 1st pulse:

Correlation due to 1st pulse; Energy due to 1st pulse;

#### For the positions of 2nd pulse:

Add the contribution of 2nd pulse to correlation; Add the contribution of 2nd pulse to energy; If (correlation > first threshold) continue with 3rd pulse;

#### For the positions of 3rd pulse:

Add the contribution of 3rd pulse to correlation; Add the contribution of 3rd pulse to energy; If (correlation > second threshold) continue with 4th pulse;

## For the positions of 4th pulse:

Add the contribution of 4th pulse to correlation; Add the contribution of 4th pulse to energy; Test for new maximum of square correlation divided by energy; If new maximum, save optimum position of 4 pulses;

#### End of 4th pulse loop;

## End of 3rd pulse loop;

## End of 2nd pulse loop;

## End of 1st pulse loop.

## Figure 4: Flow diagram of the codebook search procedure

A special feature of the codebook is that, for pitch delays less than the sub-frame size 60, a fixed gain pitch contribution shall be added to the fixed excitation vector. That is, after the optimum algebraic code

c(n) is determined, it is modified by  $c(n) \leftarrow c(n) + 0.8c(n-T)$  with *T* being the integer pitch period. This was found to improve the performance of female speakers.

NOTE 3: Prior to the codebook search, the impulse response h'(n) should be modified in a similar fashion if T < 60.

NOTE 4: Since the algebraic code-vector is to be passed through the shaping filter F(z), this special feature is implemented by only modifying the impulse response of F(z)  $f(n) \leftarrow f(n) + 0.8f(n-T)$ . In this case both the shaped code-vector and the impulse response h'(n) will be implicitly modified.

#### 4.2.2.6 Quantization of the gains

The adaptive and fixed codebook gains are quantized in terms of pitch excitation and innovative excitation energies using predictive VQ. The adaptive code-vector energy is given by:

$$E_a = \log_2\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{59} v^2(i) + \varepsilon\right) p_g\right)$$
(31)

where  $\varepsilon = 1$  is used to avoid  $\log_2(0)$  and  $p_g$  is the prediction gain of the quantized LP filter approximated by  $\sum_{i=0}^{59} \hat{h}^2(i)$  where  $\hat{h}(i)$  is the impulse response of the synthesis filter. The pitch excitation energy at frame n is defined as:

$$E_p^{(n)} = E_a + \log_2\left(g_p^2 + \varepsilon\right) \tag{32}$$

where  $g_p$  is the adaptive codebook gain.

Similarly, the fixed codebook energy is given by:

$$E_f = \log_2\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{59} c^2(i)\right) p_g\right)$$
(33)

and taking the codebook gain into account, the innovative excitation energy at frame n is given by:

$$E_c^{(n)} = E_f + \log_2\left(g_c^2 + \varepsilon\right) \tag{34}$$

where  $g_c$  is the fixed codebook gain.

The predicted pitch and innovative energies at sub-frame n are given by:

$$\widetilde{E}_{p}^{(n)} = 0.5 \widehat{E}_{p}^{(n-1)} + 0.25 \widehat{E}_{c}^{(n-1)} - 3.0$$

$$\widetilde{E}_{c}^{(n)} = 0.25 \widehat{E}_{p}^{(n-1)} + 0.5 \widehat{E}_{c}^{(n-1)} - 3.0$$
(35)

where  $\hat{E}_p(k)$  and  $\hat{E}_c(k)$  are the quantized energies at sub-frame k. Initially,  $\hat{E}_p^{(-1)}$  and  $\hat{E}_c^{(-1)}$  are both set to zero. The prediction errors on the pitch and code energies are given by:

$$R_{p}^{(n)} = E_{p}^{(n)} - \tilde{E}_{p}^{(n)}$$

$$R_{c}^{(n)} = E_{c}^{(n)} - \tilde{E}_{c}^{(n)}$$
(36)

The prediction errors  $(R_p, R_c)$  shall be vector quantized with a 6-bit codebook to obtain  $(\hat{R}_p, \hat{R}_c)$ .

#### Page 22 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

The quantized energies are given by:

$$\hat{E}_{p}^{(n)} = \hat{R}_{p}^{(n)} + \tilde{E}_{p}^{(n)} 
\hat{E}_{c}^{(n)} = \hat{R}_{c}^{(n)} + \tilde{E}_{c}^{(n)}$$
(37)

These quantized energies  $\hat{E}_p^{(n)}$  and  $\hat{E}_c^{(n)}$  are limited respectively to 27 and 25 in order to avoid bursts of energy in case of non-recovered transmission errors.

Finally, the quantized adaptive and fixed excitation gains are found by:

$$\hat{g}_p = 2,0^a$$
; where  $a = 0,5 \left( \hat{E}_p^{(n)} - E_a \right)$  (38)

$$\hat{g}_c = 2,0^b$$
; where  $b = 0,5 \left( \hat{E}_c^{(n)} - E_f \right)$  (39)

#### 4.2.2.7 Detailed bit allocation

The following table details the encoder output parameters in order of occurrence and bit allocation within the speech frame of 137 bits per 30 ms.

Parameter class	Parameter name	Number of bits	Bit number (MSB-LSB)
Filter	Codebook index: LSP1 to LSP3	8	B1 - B8
	Codebook index: LSP4 to LSP6	9	B9 - B17
	Codebook index: LSP7 to LSP10	9	B18 - B26
Sub-frame N° 1	Pitch delay	8	B27 - B34
	Codebook index: pulse 4	3	B35 - B37
	Codebook index: pulse 3	3	B38 - B40
	Codebook index: pulse 2	3	B41 - B43
	Codebook index: pulse 1	5	B44 - B48
	Pulse global sign	1	B49
	Pulse shift	1	B50
	Codebook index: gains	6	B51 - B56
Sub-frame N° 2	Pitch delay	5	B57 - B61
	Codebook index: pulse 4	3	B62 - B64
	Codebook index: pulse 3	3	B65 - B67
	Codebook index: pulse 2	3	B68 - B70
	Codebook index: pulse 1	5	B71 - B75
	Pulse global sign	1	B76
	Pulse shift	1	B77
	Codebook index: gains	6	B78 - B83
Sub-frame N° 3	Pitch delay	5	B84 - B88
	Codebook index: pulse 4	3	B89 - B91
	Codebook index: pulse 3	3	B92 - B94
	Codebook index: pulse 2	3	B95 - B99
	Codebook index: pulse 1	5	B100 - B102
	Pulse global sign	1	B103
	Pulse shift	1	B104
	Codebook index: gains	6	B105 - B110
Sub-frame N° 4	Pitch delay	5	B111 - B115
	Codebook index: pulse 4	3	B116 - B118
	Codebook index: pulse 3	3	B119 - B121
	Codebook index: pulse 2	3	B122 - B124
	Codebook index: pulse 1	5	B125 - B129
	Pulse global sign	1	B130
	Pulse shift	1	B131
	Codebook index: gains	6	B132 - B137

## Table 3: Meaning of each bit within a frame

#### 4.2.3 Decoder

Figure 5 presents a detailed block diagram illustrating the major components of the TETRA speech decoder as well as signal flow. On this figure, names appearing at the bottom of the various building blocks correspond to the C code routines attached to this ETS.

## Page 24 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995



Figure 5: Signal flow at the decoder

# 4.2.3.1 Decoding process

The function of the decoder consists of decoding the transmitted parameters (LP parameters, adaptive codebook vector, algebraic code vector, and gains) and performing synthesis to obtain the reconstructed speech. The decoding process shall be as described in the following subclauses.

## 4.2.3.1.1 Decoding of LP filter parameters

The received indices of LSP quantization shall be used to reconstruct the quantized LSP vector  $\hat{q}_n$ . The interpolation between this vector and the one received in the previous frame  $\hat{q}_{n-1}$  shall be performed as described in subclause 4.2.2.3 to obtain 4 interpolated LSP vectors (corresponding to 4 sub-frames). For each sub-frame, the interpolated LSP vector has to be converted to the LP filter coefficients  $a_k$ , which shall be used for synthesizing the reconstructed speech in the sub-frame.

## 4.2.3.1.2 Decoding of the adaptive codebook vector

The received pitch delay (adaptive codebook index) shall be used to find the integer and fractional parts of the pitch lag. The adaptive codebook vector v(n) shall be found by interpolating the past excitation u(n) (at the pitch delay) using the FIR filters described in subclause 4.2.2.4.

# 4.2.3.1.3 Decoding of the innovation vector

The received algebraic codebook index shall be used to extract the positions of the 4 non-zero pulses and to find the algebraic code-vector c'(n). The impulse response f(n) of the shaping filter F(z) (given in equation (4)) shall be computed (using the interpolated LP coefficients). If the integer part of the pitch lag

*T* is less than the sub-frame size (60 samples), the impulse response f(n) shall be modified by  $f(n) \leftarrow f(n) + 0.8f(n-T)$  to account for the fixed-gain pitch contribution to the code. Finally, the innovative vector c(n) shall be found by convolving the algebraic code-vector c'(n) with f(n). This has to be performed by adding 4 delayed versions of f(n) scaled by the pulse amplitudes since c'(n) contains only 4 non-zero pulses.

#### 4.2.3.1.4 Decoding of the adaptive and innovative codebook gains

The adaptive and innovative codebook gains shall be found according to the description given in subclause 4.2.2.6. The predicted pitch and innovative energies shall be computed as in equation (35). The adaptive code-vector energy  $E_a$  and the fixed code-vector energy  $E_f$  shall be computed as in equations (31) and (33), respectively. The received index of gain VQ shall be used to find the quantized prediction errors  $\hat{R}_p$  and  $\hat{R}_c$ . The quantized energies  $\hat{E}_p^{(n)}$  and  $\hat{E}_c^{(n)}$  shall be found according to equation (37). Finally, the quantized adaptive codebook gain  $\hat{g}_p$  and the quantized innovation gain  $\hat{g}_c$  shall be computed according to equations (38) and (39), respectively.

#### 4.2.3.1.5 Computation of the reconstructed speech

The excitation at the input of the synthesis filter is given by:

$$u(n) = \hat{g}_{p}v(n) + \hat{g}_{c}c(n)$$
(40)

The reconstructed speech for a sub-frame of length 60 is given by:

$$\hat{s}(n) = u(n) - \sum_{i=1}^{10} \hat{a}_i \hat{s}(n-i), \qquad n = 0, \dots, 59$$
(41)

where  $\hat{a}_i$  are the interpolated LP coefficients of the synthesis filter as in equation (2).

#### 4.2.3.2 Error concealment

When a Bad Frame Indicator (BFI) is received (indicating that the frame is badly corrupted or lost), the decoder shall perform an error concealment procedure utilizing the parameters of the last received "correct" frame. The error concealment procedure shall consist of the following steps:

- keep the previous "correct" LSP parameters;
- keep the previous "correct" pitch period (of the 4th sub-frame in the past frame) and repeat it for the 4 sub-frames in the present bad frame while setting the fraction to zero;
- in the decoding of the gains of the adaptive and innovative codebooks, the set of equations (37) is replaced by:

$$\hat{E}_{p}^{(n)} = \hat{E}_{p}^{(n-1)} - 0,5$$

$$\hat{E}_{c}^{(n)} = \hat{E}_{c}^{(n-1)} - 0,5$$
(42)

This corresponds to decreasing the energies of the pitch and innovative vectors of the previous sub-frame by 1,5 dB;

- for the innovative codebook indices, keep the respective 4 indices of the previous frame.

# 5 Channel coding for speech

## 5.1 General

This clause shall apply to the speech traffic channel only.

A reference configuration of the TETRA transmission chain is given in ETS 300 392-2 [1], clause 19. Using this reference configuration, this clause defines the error control process which applies to the information bits (packed in MAC blocks, see definition in ETS 300 392-2 [1], clause 3), and which provides multiplexed bits (packed in multiplexed blocks).

This clause provides a definition of the error control process for the speech traffic channel (TCH/S). The definition of all the logical channels for the V+D system, including the speech traffic channel, is given in ETS 300 392-2 [1], clause 9.

This clause includes the specification of encoding, re-ordering and interleaving for the speech traffic channel, but does not specify any data processing in the receiver.

#### 5.2 Interfaces in the error control structure

The definition of interfaces in the error control structure is given in figure 6.

The speech traffic channel has its own error control scheme. The information bits, corresponding to the input of the channel encoder, are referred to as type-1 bits. The type-1 bits are packed in MAC blocks), that are referred to as type-1 blocks; this defines interface 1 in figure 6.

The processing on the transmit part shall be as follows:

- the type-1 bits shall be ordered in three classes (sensitivity classes). Parity bits (CRC) shall be computed only on the third class (the most sensitive) and appended to it. In addition, 4 tail bits shall be globally appended. Bits in the three sensitivity classes, parity bits and tail bits are referred to as type-2 bits; this defines interface 2 in figure 6;
- the type-2 bits shall be encoded by convolutional codes, which provide the convolutionally-encoded bits. Convolutional coding shall be applied only to the bits corresponding to the two most sensitive classes, the less sensitive class being left unprotected. The convolutionally-encoded bits are referred to as type-3 bits; this defines interface 3 in figure 6;
- the type-3 bits shall be interleaved: this defines the interface 4 in figure 6;
- the type-4 bits shall be scrambled, into type-5 bits, which compose type-5 blocks: this defines interface 5 in figure 6. These bits are then mapped into multiplexed blocks.
  - NOTE: Steps following interface 4 which are common to all traffic channels are described in ETS 300 392-2 [1], clause 8.

All these operations are made on a per type-1 block basis. The size of type-1, -2 and -3 blocks depends on the channel coding strategy. Two situations may occur in the case of the speech traffic channel.

In normal operative conditions two speech frames corresponding to one transmission time slot shall be encoded and interleaved together in order to improve the robustness of the speech channel coder.



Output to the multiplexed blocks

## Figure 6: Interfaces in the error control structure

However, in some cases, capacity of the traffic channels (either speech or data circuits) may be stolen for signalling purposes. For the speech traffic channel such stealing shall only be performed on a speech frame basis. In principle, either just one or both the speech frames within a time slot may be stolen, even though for minimal degradation to speech quality, stealing only one speech frame is always preferable.

When the two speech frames are stolen, no speech parameters are present and therefore the speech traffic channel specifications no longer apply.

When only one speech frame is stolen, it is assumed that it is always the first one in the time slot. In that specific case the two half slots are encoded and interleaved separately.

The channel coding strategy for signalling data in a stolen half slot is described in ETS 300 392-2 [1], clause 8 (stealing channel - STCH).

## Page 28 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

The following subclauses specify the encoding, re-ordering and interleaving strategies to be applied in the two cases described above for the speech traffic channel.

## 5.3 Notations

For ease of understanding, the following notation for bits and blocks is used throughout this clause:

- x is the interface number, as defined in figure 6: x = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5;
- K<sub>x</sub> is the number of bits that are carried by one type-x block;
- k is a bit number;
- $C_x(k)$  is the type-x bit number k in a type-x block, with k = 1, 2,..., K<sub>x</sub>.

## 5.4 Definition of sensitivity classes and error control codes

## 5.4.1 Sensitivity classes

Based on a bit sensitivity study, the bits of the speech parameters corresponding to one speech frame (137 bits) have been assigned to a sensitivity class according to table 4. In table 4, class 0 corresponds to the least sensitive bits. Each sensitivity class shall be protected in a different way. In table 4, the column entitled "Bit number in speech frame" refers to the bit stream at the output of the source speech encoder. More details can be found in clause 4.

# 5.4.2 CRC codes

The CRC codes shall encode the type-1 bits of the third sensitivity class (the most sensitive one) leading from  $K_1$  type-1 bits to  $K_2$  type-2 bits ( $K_2 = K_1 + p$ , where p is defined according to the status of frame stealing).

The CRC codes are systematic codes computed as the remainder in the Euclidean division of  $X^{n-K_1}I(X)$  by G(X) where:

- I(X) is the codeword consisting of the third sensitivity class:

$$I(X) = C_1(1) + C_1(2)X + \dots + C_1(K_1)X^{(K_1-1)};$$

- G(X) is the polynomial generator of the CRC code; and
- $n-K_1$  is the number of parity bits generated.

Speech parameter	Bit in parameter	Bit number in	Sensitivity
Filter codebook index: LSP1 to LSP3		B1 - B1	CI355
	b7, b0, b3, b4	B5 - B8	1
Filter codebook index: LSP4 to LSP6	b3, b2, b1, b0	B0 - B12	2
	b4 b3 b2 b1 b0	B13 - B17	1
Filter codebook index: LSP7 to LSP10	b9, b3, b2, b1, b0	B18 - B21	2
	b4 b3 b2 b1 b0	B22 - B26	1
Pitch delay for sub-frame N° 1 (sf1)	h7 h6 h5 h4 h3 h2	B27 - B32	2
	b1 b0	B33 - B34	1
Pitch delay for sub-frame N° 2 (sf2)	b4 b3 b2 b1	B57 - B60	1
	b0	B61	0
Pitch delay for sub-frame N° 3 (sf3)	b4, b3, b2, b1	B84 - B87	1
"	b0	B88	0
Pitch delay for sub-frame N° 4 (sf4)	b4, b3, b2, b1	B111 - B114	1
"	b0	B115	0
Codebook index for sf1 (pulse 4)	b13, b12, b11	B35 - B37	0
Codebook index for sf1 (pulse 3)	b10, b9, b8	B38 - B40	0
Codebook index for sf1 (pulse 2)	b7, b6, b5	B41 - B43	0
Codebook index for sf1 (pulse 1)	b4, b3, b2	B44 - B46	1
"	b1, b0	B47 - B48	0
Codebook index for sf2 (pulse 4)	b13, b12, b11	B62 - B64	0
Codebook index for sf2 (pulse 3)	b10, b9, b8	B65 - B67	0
Codebook index for sf2 (pulse 2)	b7, b6, b5	B68 - B70	0
Codebook index for sf2 (pulse 1)	b4, b3, b2	B71 - B73	1
н	b1, b0	B74 - B75	0
Codebook index for sf3 (pulse 4)	b13, b12, b11	B89 - B91	0
Codebook index for sf3 (pulse 3)	b10, b9, b8	B92 - B94	0
Codebook index for sf3 (pulse 2)	b7, b6, b5	B95 - B97	0
Codebook index for sf3 (pulse 1)	b4, b3, b2	B98 - B100	1
п	b1, b0	B101 - B102	0
Codebook index for sf4 (pulse 4)	b13, b12, b11	B116 - B118	0
Codebook index for sf4 (pulse 3)	b10, b9, b8	B119 - B121	0
Codebook index for sf4 (pulse 2)	b7, b6, b5	B122 - B124	0
Codebook index for sf4 (pulse 1)	b4, b3, b2	B125 - B127	1
n	b1, b0	B128 - B129	0
Pulse global sign for sf1	b0	B49	1
Pulse global sign for sf2	b0	B76	1
Pulse global sign for sf3	b0	B103	1
Pulse global sign for sf4	b0	B130	1
Pulse shift for sf1	b0	B50	1
Pulse shift for sf2	b0	B77	1
Pulse shift for sf3	b0	B104	1
Pulse shift for sf4	b0	B131	1

# Table 4: Assignment of the bits of the speech parameters to sensitivity classes

(continued)

## Page 30 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Speech parameter	Bit in parameter (LSB=b0)	Bit number in speech frame	Sensitivity class
Codebook index for sf1 gains	b5, b4, b3	B51 - B53	2
"	b2, b1	B54 - B55	1
н	b0	B56	0
Codebook index for sf2 gains	b5, b4, b3	B78 - B80	2
"	b2, b1	B81 - B82	1
"	b0	B83	0
Codebook index for sf3 gains	b5, b4, b3	B105 - B107	2
"	b2, b1	B108 - B109	1
"	b0	B110	0
Codebook index for sf4 gains	b5, b4, b3	B132 - B134	2
"	b2, b1	B135 - B136	1
"	b0	B137	0
NOTE: For one speech frame, class 2	2 = 30 bits, class 1 = 56 bi	ts, class 0 = 51 bi	ts.

#### Table 4 (concluded)

## 5.4.3 16-state RCPC codes

The RCPC codes encode  $K_2$  type-2 bits  $C_2(1), C_2(2), \dots, C_2(K_2)$  into  $K_3$  type-3 bits  $C_3(1), C_3(2), \dots, C_3(K_3)$ . This encoding shall be performed in two steps:

- encoding by a 16-state mother code of rate 1/3;
- puncturing of the mother code to obtain a 16-state RCPC code of rate 8/(8+1).

A general description of these two steps is given in the following subclauses.

## 5.4.3.1 Encoding by the 16-state mother code of rate 1/3

The input to the mother code of any type-2 bit  $C_2(k), k = 1, 2, ..., K_2$  implies the output, by the mother code, of 3 bits, denoted by V(3(k-1)+i), i = 1, 2, 3, which shall be calculated as follows.

Any of the 3 generator polynomials of the mother code,  $G_i(D)$ , i = 1, 2, 3, can be written as:

$$G_i(D) = \sum_{j=0}^4 g_{i,j} D^j$$
  $i = 1,2,3$ 

where  $g_{i,j} = 0$  or 1, j = 0, 1, ..., 4.

This means that the encoded bits are defined by:

$$V(3(k-1)+i) = \sum_{j=0}^{4} C_2(k-j)g_{i,j} \qquad i=1,2,3,k=1,2,\dots,K_2$$

where the sum is meant modulo 2, and where  $C_2(k-j)=0$  for  $k \leq j$ .

The generator polynomials of the mother code shall be:

$$G_1(D) = 1 + D + D^2 + D^3 + D^4$$
  

$$G_2(D) = 1 + D + D^3 + D^4$$
  

$$G_3(D) = 1 + D^2 + D^4$$

#### 5.4.3.2 Puncturing of the mother code

The puncturing of the mother code into a 16-state RCPC code of rate  $(K_2/K_3)$  shall be achieved by selecting  $K_3$  type-3 bits out of the  $(3K_2)$  bits encoded by the mother code. This selection shall be as follows.

Denoting by P(1), P(2), ..., P(t) the *t* puncturing coefficients (each one being equal to 1, 2, ..., 23, or 24), the type-3 bits are given by:

$$C_3(j) = V(k) \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., K_3$$

with

$$k = Period * ((j-1)\operatorname{div} t) + P(j-t((j-1)\operatorname{div} t))$$

where Period and t are defined according to the status of frame stealing.

#### 5.5 Error control scheme for normal speech traffic channel

In this case two speech frames corresponding to one transmission time slot shall be encoded together. Therefore the size of type-1 blocks is 274 bits.

#### 5.5.1 CRC code

The CRC code shall be applied to the 2\*30 = 60 type-1 bits of the third sensitivity class.

The polynomial generator shall be the following:

$$G(X) = 1 + X^3 + X^7$$

It generates  $(n - K_1) = 7$  parity bits. A eight parity bit (p = 8) shall be computed as an overall parity bit, i.e. as the sum (modulo 2) of the bits in the third sensitivity class with the 7 parity bits.

The size of type-2 blocks is therefore:

class 0	class 1	class 2	р	tail	
2*51	+ 2*56	+ 2*30	+ 8	+ 4	= 286 bits

The practical order of occurrence of these 286 type-2 bits is given in table 5, in relation to the bit number in the speech frame, as defined in table 4. In table 5, capital letters A and B refer to the two speech frames, A for the first speech frame of the transmission time slot, B for the second.

## Page 32 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

## 5.5.2 RCPC codes

Convolutional coding shall be applied to the bits corresponding to the two most sensitive classes, the least sensitive class being left unprotected.

A convolutional code of rate 2/3 shall be applied to the bits of class 1, while a convolutional code of rate 8/18 shall be applied to the bits of class 2. At that level, the *p* parity bits and the tail bits have to be included in class 2.

The size of type-3 blocks is therefore:

class 0 class 1 class 2

2\*51 + (2\*56)\*3/2 + (2\*30 + 8 + 4)\*18/8 = 432 bits

## 5.5.2.1 Puncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/12 (equal to 2/3)

The t = 3 puncturing coefficients shall be: P(1) = 1, P(2) = 2, P(3) = 4 and Period = 6.

## 5.5.2.2 Puncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/18

The t = 9 puncturing coefficients shall be:

$$P(1) = 1, P(2) = 2, P(3) = 3, P(4) = 4, P(5) = 5,$$
  
 $P(6) = 7, P(7) = 8, P(8) = 10, P(9) = 11$ 

and Period = 12.

## 5.5.3 Matrix Interleaving

The 432 type-3 bits shall be interleaved to produce 432 type-4 re-ordered bits, according to the following rule:

$$C_4(i*24+j) = C_3(j*18+i)$$

which corresponds to transposing the (24,18) matrix (24 lines, 18 columns) of the input type-3 bits.

Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number
no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech
	frame		frame		frame		frame		frame
1	B35,A	2	B35,B	3	B36,A	4	B36,B	5	B37,A
6	B37,B	7	B38,A	8	B38,B	9	B39,A	10	B39,B
11	B40,A	12	B40,B	13	B41,A	14	B41,B	15	B42,A
16	B42,B	17	B43,A	18	B43,B	19	B47,A	20	B47,B
21	B48,A	22	B48,B	23	B56,A	24	B56,B	25	B61,A
26	B61,B	27	B62,A	28	B62,B	29	B63,A	30	B63,B
31	B64,A	32	B64,B	33	B65,A	34	B65,B	35	B66,A
36	B66,B	37	B67,A	38	B67,B	39	B68,A	40	B68,B
41	B69,A	42	B69,B	43	B70,A	44	B70,B	45	B74,A
46	B74,B	47	B75,A	48	B75,B	49	B83,A	50	B83,B
51	B88,A	52	B88,B	53	B89,A	54	B89,B	55	B90,A
56	B90,B	57	B91,A	58	B91,B	59	B92,A	60	B92,B
61	B93,A	62	B93,B	63	B94,A	64	B94,B	65	B95,A
66	B95,B	67	B96,A	68	B96,B	69	B97,A	70	B97,B
71	B101,A	72	B101,B	73	B102,A	74	B102,B	75	B110,A
76	B110,B	77	B115,A	78	B115,B	79	B116,A	80	B116,B
81	B117,A	82	B117,B	83	B118,A	84	B118,B	85	B119,A
86	B119,B	87	B120,A	88	B120,B	89	B121,A	90	B121,B
91	B122,A	92	B122,B	93	B123,A	94	B123,B	95	B124,A
96	B124,B	97	B128,A	98	B128,B	99	B129,A	100	B129,B
101	B137,A	102	B137,B	103	B58,A	104	B58,B	105	B85,A
106	B85,B	107	B112,A	108	B112,B	109	B54,A	110	B54,B
111	B81,A	112	B81,B	113	B108,A	114	B108,B	115	B135,A
116	B135,B	117	B50,A	118	B50,B	119	B77,A	120	B77,B
121	B104,A	122	B104,B	123	B131,A	124	B131,B	125	B45,A
126	B45,B	127	B72,A	128	B72,B	129	B99,A	130	B99,B
131	B126,A	132	B126,B	133	B55,A	134	B55,B	135	B82,A
136	B82,B	137	B109,A	138	B109,B	139	B136,A	140	B136,B
141	B5,A	142	B5,B	143	B13,A	144	B13,B	145	B34,A
146	B34,B	147	B8,A	148	B8,B	149	B16,A	150	B16,B
151	B17,A	152	B17,B	153	B22,A	154	B22,B	155	B23,A
156	B23,B	157	B24,A	158	B24,B	159	B25,A	160	B25,B
161	B26,A	162	B26,B	163	B6,A	164	B6,B	165	B14,A
166	B14,B	167	B7,A	168	B7,B	169	B15,A	170	B15,B
1/1	B60,A	172	B60,B	173	B87,A	174	B87,B	175	B114,A
1/6	B114,B	1//	B46,A	178	B46,B	179	B73,A	180	B73,B
181	B100,A	182	B100,B	183	B127,A	184	B127,B	185	B44,A
186	B44,B	187	B/1,A	188	B/1,B	189	898,A	190	B48,B
191	B125,A	192	B125,B	193	B33,A	194	B33,B	195	B49,A
196	B49,B	197	B/6,A	198	B/6,B	199	B103,A	200	B103,B
201	B130,A	202	B130,B	203	859,A	204	B59,B	205	886,A
206	B86,B	207	B113,A	208	B113,B	209	B57,A	210	B57,B
211	B84,A	212	B40.0	213	<u>В111,A</u>	214	B111,B	215	B18,A
216	B18,B	217	B19,A	218	B19,B	219	B20,A	220	B20,B
221	B21,A	222	B21,B	223	B31,A	224	B31,B	225	B32,A

Table 5: Meaning of each type-2 bits

(continued)

## Page 34 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number
no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech
	frame		frame		frame		frame		frame
226	B32,B	227	B53,A	228	B53,B	229	B80,A	230	B80,B
231	B107,A	232	B107,B	233	B134,A	234	B134,B	235	B1,A
236	B1,B	237	B2,A	238	B2,B	239	B3,A	240	B3,B
241	B4,A	242	B4,B	243	B9,A	244	B9,B	245	B10,A
246	B10,B	247	B11,A	248	B11,B	249	B12,A	250	B12,B
251	B27,A	252	B27,B	253	B28,A	254	B28,B	255	B29,A
256	B29,B	257	B30,A	258	B30,B	259	B52,A	260	B52,B
261	B79,A	262	B79,B	263	B106,A	264	B106,B	265	B133,A
266	B133,B	267	B51,A	268	B51,B	269	B78,A	270	B78,B
271	B105,A	272	B105,B	273	B132,A	274	B132,B	275	parity b1
276	parity b2	277	parity b3	278	parity b4	279	parity b5	280	parity b6
281	parity b7	282	parity b8 283 to 286: 4 tail bits equal to 0						

#### Table 5 (concluded): Meaning of each type-2 bits

## 5.6 Error control scheme for speech traffic channel with frame stealing activated

When frame stealing is activated, only the second half slot contains a speech frame. The first half slot contains signalling data. The speech frame and signalling data shall be encoded and interleaved separately. The size of type-1 blocks is then 137 bits.

#### 5.6.1 CRC code

The CRC code shall be applied to the 30 type-1 bits of the third sensitivity class.

The polynomial generator shall be the following:

 $G(X) = 1 + X + X^4$ 

It generates  $(n - K_1) = p = 4$  parity bits.

The size of type-2 blocks is therefore:

class 0	class 0 class 1		class 2	2	р	tail
51	+ 56	+ 30	+ 4	+ 4	= 145 bits	

The practical order of occurrence of these 145 type-2 bits is given in table 6, in relation to the bit number in the speech frame. In table 6, conventions are the same as in table 5. However letters A and B are no longer used, since only one speech frame is now concerned.

Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number	Bit	Bit number
no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech	no.	in speech
	frame		frame		frame		frame		frame
1	B35	2	B36	3	B37	4	B38	5	B39
6	B40	7	B41	8	B42	9	B43	10	B47
11	B48	12	B56	13	B61	14	B62	15	B63
16	B64	17	B65	18	B66	19	B67	20	B68
21	B69	22	B70	23	B74	24	B75	25	B83
26	B88	27	B89	28	B90	29	B91	30	B92
31	B93	32	B94	33	B95	34	B96	35	B97
36	B101	37	B102	38	B110	39	B115	40	B116
41	B117	42	B118	43	B119	44	B120	45	B121
46	B122	47	B123	48	B124	49	B128	50	B129
51	B137	52	B58	53	B85	54	B112	55	B54
56	B81	57	B108	58	B135	59	B50	60	B77
61	B104	62	B131	63	B45	64	B72	65	B99
66	126	67	B55	68	B82	69	B109	70	B136
71	B5	72	B13	73	B34	74	B8	75	B16
76	B17	77	B22	78	B23	79	B24	80	B25
81	B26	82	B6	83	B14	84	B7	85	B15
86	B60	87	B87	88	B114	89	B46	90	B73
91	B100	92	B127	93	B44	94	B71	95	B98
96	B125	97	B33	98	B49	99	B76	100	B103
101	B130	102	B59	103	B86	104	B113	105	B57
106	B84	107	B111	108	B18	109	B19	110	B20
111	B21	112	B31	113	B32	114	B53	115	B80
116	B107	117	B134	118	B1	119	B2	120	B3
121	B4	122	B9	123	B10	124	B11	125	B12
126	B27	127	B28	128	B29	129	B30	130	B52
131	B79	132	B106	133	B133	134	B51	135	B78
136	B105	137	B132	138	parity b1	139	parity b2	140	parity b3
141	parity b4		142 to 145: 4 tail bits equal to 0						

## Table 6: Meaning of each type-2 bits (in case of frame stealing)

## 5.6.2 RCPC codes

Convolutional coding shall be applied to the bits corresponding to the two most sensitive classes, the less sensitive class being left unprotected.

A convolutional code of rate 2/3 shall be applied to the bits of class 1, while a convolutional code of rate 8/17 shall be applied to the bits of class 2. At that level, the *p* parity bits and the tail bits have to be included in class 2.

The size of type-3 blocks is therefore:

class 0	class 1	class 2	
51	+ 56*3/2	+ (30 + 4 + 4)*17/8	= 216 bits

The puncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 2/3 has been already defined for the normal speech traffic channel.

## 5.6.2.1 Puncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/17

The t = 17 puncturing coefficients shall be:

$$P(1) = 1, P(2) = 2, P(3) = 3, P(4) = 4, P(5) = 5, P(6) = 7,$$
  

$$P(7) = 8, P(8) = 10, P(9) = 11, P(10) = 13, P(11) = 14, P(12) = 16,$$
  

$$P(13) = 17, P(14) = 19, P(15) = 20, P(16) = 22, P(17) = 23$$

and Period = 24.

## 5.6.3 Interleaving

For the sake of simplicity, the 216 type-3 bits shall be interleaved to produce 216 type-4 re-ordered bits following the scheme already adopted for the stealing channel for signalling data (STCH) entirely defined in ETS 300 392-2 [1], clause 8.

## 6 Channel decoding for speech

#### 6.1 General

This clause shall apply to the speech traffic channel only.

This clause is the counterpart of clause 5 describing the channel coding for speech. Conventions and notations are the same.

An informative description of a possible implementation of channel decoding for speech is given in annex A. C code is provided as an example and can be found in computer files attached to this ETS.

#### 6.2 Error control structure

The processing on the receive part shall be as follows:

- the type-1 bits correspond to the input of the channel decoder, i.e. the output of the demodulator. The type-1 bits shall be interleaved into type-2 bits;
- the type-2 bits shall be decoded by a convolutional decoder, which provides the convolutionallydecoded bits type-3 bits;
- the type-3 bits shall be structured in three classes (sensitivity classes) plus additional bits. Parity bits (CRC) shall be computed only on the third class and compared to the additional bits. In case of discrepancy the BFI flag shall be set;
- the type-4 bits shall consist of the sensitivity classes together with the BFI. These bits are the ones delivered by the channel decoder.

All these operations are made on a per type-1 block basis. The size of type -1, -2, -3 and -4 blocks depend on the channel decoding strategy. Two situations described in clause 5 may occur in the case of the speech traffic channel. These two cases lead to two channel decoding strategies defined according to the status of frame stealing (activated/not activated).

In case of normal speech traffic channel, one transmission time slot corresponding to two speech frames shall be decoded. Therefore the size of type-1 blocks is 432 bits.

When frame stealing is activated, only the second half slot corresponding to one speech frame shall be decoded separately. The size of type-1 blocks is then 216 bits.
## 7 Codec performance

#### 7.1 General

This clause shall apply to the TETRA speech traffic channel only.

The TETRA speech encoding and decoding performance is described in annexes D and E.

The performance of the speech channel coding and decoding process shall be as described in the following subclauses.

#### 7.2 Performance of the channel coding / decoding for speech

The channel coding for the speech traffic channel of the TETRA system is different to the other TETRA channel types. The definition of the TETRA speech channel coding and decoding is described in clauses 5 and 6.

This subclause specifies the minimum required speech channel decoder performance in terms of Bit Error Ratio (BER), the Message Error Rate (MER) which shall be the rate at which bad speech frames are detected, and Probability of Undetected Erroneous Message (PUEM) which shall be the rate at which erroneous speech frames escape the CRC detection.

#### 7.2.1 Classes of simulation environment conditions

Three classes of simulation environment are specified, distinguished by their intended operating environments and testing speeds. The operating environments are:

- Typical Urban (TU);
- Hilly Terrain (HT);
- Quasi-Synchronous (QS);

and the testing speeds are:

- 50 km/h;
- 200 km/h.

The simulation environment HT200 is therefore interpreted as "hilly terrain at 200 km/h".

#### 7.2.2 Classes of equipment

Three equipment classes are specified, distinguishing their intended operating environments and testing conditions. The classes have preferred operating conditions, as follows:

#### Class A:

equipment is optimised for use in urban areas and in areas with hilly or mountainous terrain. It is resilient to extreme propagation conditions (hilly terrain) and is specified in static, TU50 and HT200 conditions;

#### Class B:

equipment is optimised for use in built-up and urban areas. The specification guarantees good performance at the reference sensitivity and interference level in static and TU50 conditions, but not in extreme propagation conditions (hilly terrain);

#### Class Q:

equipment meets an enhanced specification for use in quasi-synchronous systems. It is specified in static, TU50 and QS200 conditions. It is not applicable to BS equipment.

All classes are meant for use in rural areas.

#### Page 38 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

#### 7.2.3 Classes of bits

The bits from the speech encoder are broken into three classes, class 0, class 1 and class 2 depending on their relative importance in the encoded speech. Class 0 bits are not encoded, class 1 bits are subject to 8/12 FEC coding, and class 2 bits (the most important) are subject to 8/12 FEC encoding and an associated CRC check. Definitions of these classes are as specified in clause 5.

#### 7.2.4 Channel conditions

The channel conditions for which the speech channel codec is specified shall be as specified in ETS 300 392-2 [1]. For the downlink case, the conditions are for the discontinuous downlink channel type.

The minimum required static reference sensitivity performance is specified according to the logical channel and the receiver class at the static reference sensitivity level. The static reference sensitivity level shall be:

-	for Mobile Stations (MS):	- 113 dBm;
---	---------------------------	------------

- for handhelds: 112 dBm;
- for Base Stations (BS): 115 dBm.

The minimum required dynamic reference sensitivity performance is specified according to the logical channel, the propagation condition and the receiver class at the dynamic reference sensitivity level. The dynamic reference sensitivity level shall be:

-	for MS:	- 104 dBm;
-	for handhelds:	- 103 dBm;
-	for BS:	- 106 dBm.

The minimum required reference interference performance (for co-channel, C/lc, or adjacent channel, C/la) is specified according to the logical channel, the propagation condition and the receiver class at the reference interference ratio. The reference interference ratio shall be, for BS and all types of MS:

- for co-channel interference: C/Ic = 19 dB;
- for adjacent channel interference: C/Ia = 45 dB.

In the case of co-channel interference these specifications apply for a wanted input signal level of - 85 dBm, and in the case of adjacent channel interference for a wanted input signal level 3 dB above the dynamic reference sensitivity level. In any case the interference shall be a continuous TETRA random modulated signal subjected to an independent realization of the same propagation condition as the wanted signal.

#### 7.2.5 Results for normal case

The following table gives the minimum required performance figures in terms of maximum allowable error probabilities expressed as a percentage for the speech bit classes and channel types as specified in the previous subclauses.

Equipment	Simula	tion	BER	BER	MER	PUEM
	Gescrip	Liplink			0.029/	0.09/
А, Q, Б	reference	Оршик	3,3%	0,15%	0,02%	0,0%
	sensitivity	Downlink	3,3%	0,15%	0,018%	0,0%
A, Q, B	TU50 dynamic	Uplink	2,2%	1,6%	2,2%	0,008%
	reference sensitivity	Downlink	2,2%	1,6%	2,2%	0,007%
A	HT200 dynamic	Uplink	3,9%	1,8%	2,7%	0,011%
	reference sensitivity	Downlink	3,8%	1,7%	2,6%	0,01%
Q	QS200 dynamic					
	reference sensitivity	Downlink only	10,3%	9,4%	14,3%	0,037%
A, Q, B	TU50 reference	Uplink	2,3%	1,8%	2,7%	0,01%
	interference performance	Downlink	2,3%	1,9%	2,7%	0,012%
A	HT200 reference	Uplink	3,8%	1,9%	2,8%	0,011%
	interference performance	Downlink	3,8%	2,0%	2,8%	0,011%
Q	QS200 reference					
	interference performance	Downlink only	9,3%	8,1%	12,3%	0,045%

Table 7: Maximum allowable error probabilities for TETRA codec bits for the various channel types

## 8 Bit exact description of the TETRA codec

This clause should be read in conjunction with annex F.

The various components of the TETRA codec are described in the form of an ANSI C code, fixed point, bit exact.

The C code was originally developed for a specific Digital Signal Processor (DSP). Later, the code was partly rewritten to ensure DSP independence.

The C code corresponding to the source coding component of the TETRA codec is given in computer files attached to this ETS. They are an integral part of this ETS.

For a better readability of the C code, these files are organised as follows:

- main program for source coder: scoder.c, scod\_tet.c;
- main program for source decoder: sdecoder.c, sdec\_tet.c;
- library of source coder/decoder subroutines: sub\_sc\_d.c;
- library of signal processing related subroutines: sub\_dsp.c;
- libraries of basic and mathematics functions: fbas\_tet.c, fexp\_tet.c, fmat.c;
- tables and constants for source coding: source.h, clsp\_334.tab,enr\_qua.tab, grid\_tab, inv\_sqrt.tab, lag\_wind.tab, log2.tab, pow2.tab, window.tab.

#### Page 40 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Two types of variables are used along the fixed point implementation. These two types are signed integers in 2's complement representation, defined by:

- var1, var2, ..., varn as 16 bit variables;
- L\_var1, L\_var2, ..., L\_varn as 32 bit variables.

All the computations shall be done using a predefined set of basic operators, the descriptions of which are in the computer file: tetra\_op.c.

The speech source encoder shall take its input as a 16 bit uniform PCM signal (16 bit 2's complement audio samples). In the associated C code simulation, binary files of 16 bit-samples are used to support these audio samples.

Results provided by the speech source encoder shall be made of frames of 137 bits for each speech frame of 30 ms. To allow the exact matching of the structures of the output file of the speech source encoder and the input file of the speech source decoder, a provision of one bit is added to support the BFI included in the input of the source decoder. Therefore, the complete frame is 138 bits long (with the first bit forced to 0 in the case of the encoder). In the binary files used for simulation, these 138 bits are encoded on 16 bit-samples. Each 16 bit-sample represents one encoded bit, with only the least significant bit used.

The encoded speech at the output of the speech source encoder is delivered to the channel coding function.

The C code corresponding to the channel coding component is given in computer files attached to this ETS, together with an example of implementation of the speech channel decoding component. For a better readability of the C code, files corresponding to the channel coding component are organised as follows:

- main program for speech channel coding: ccoder.c, ccod\_tet.c;
- library of speech channel coding subroutines: sub\_cc.c;
- tables and constants for speech channel: channel.h, const.tab, arrays.tab.

The interface between the channel coding component and the channel decoding component, as used in the C code description (representing binary information by +/- 127) is defined primarily to facilitate bit exactness testing and to be compatible with the error insertion device simulation. In addition, the structure of the output file of the channel coding component is compatible with the error patterns formerly developed for the GSM codec. Actually, the 432 type-4 bits which form the output of the channel coding component are mapped in a file structure of 690 bits (each bit being encoded through a 16 bit-sample) as given in table 8.

Bit number	Contents
1	\$6B21
2 - 115	114 type-4 bits
116	\$6B22
117 - 230	114 type-4 bits
231	\$6B23
232 - 345	114 type-4 bits
346	\$6B24
347 - 436	90 type-4 bits
437 - 460	24 bits set to 0
461	\$6B25
462 - 575	114 bits set to 0
576	\$6B26
577 - 690	114 bits set to 0

#### Table 8

The physical implementation in a product may be different, and will depend upon the higher levels of the communication system.

In the receive direction, the inverse operations take place.

A "makefile" which can be used to compile the C code is included with the previously described computer files. This example has been written for an ANSI C compiler running within a UNIX environment. A similar "makefile" could be derived for other environments. The code actually included in this ETS been checked under the following conditions:

- UNIX (Sun OS 4.1.2), SUN SPARC station, ANSI C compiler (acc version 03/91);
- AIX, CETIA station, xlc (version 1.3);
- MS DOS (6.20), PC HP-80486, Turbo C++ compiler (version 01/90);
- VMS (5.5-2), VAX station 4000.60, VAX C (version 11/90).

Annex B, clause B.1 contains an index in which are listed on one side all the routines included in the C code and on the other side all the computer files containing the C code. An index of computer files is also provided in annex B, clause B.2

## Page 42 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

## Annex A (informative): Implementation of speech channel decoding

This annex describes a possible implementation of channel decoding for speech, and the presented solutions should be the preferred choice for implementation.

Clause A.1 provides an algorithmic description of this implementation, whilst an example, supplied as fixed point ANSI C code, is described in clause A.2.

## A.1 Algorithmic description of speech channel decoding

## A.1.1 Definition of error control codes

## A.1.1.1 16-state RCPC codes

As discussed in clause 5 the RCPC codes encode  $K_3$  type-3 bits  $C_3(1), C_3(2), ..., C_3(K_3)$  into  $K_2$  type-2 bits  $C_2(1), C_2(2), ..., C_2(K_2)$ .

Decoding of type-2 bits to type-3 bits can be performed in two steps:

- de-puncturing of 16-state RCPC code of rate 8/(8+1) to obtain the mother code of rate 1/3; and
- Viterbi decoding by a 16-state code of rate 1/3 (mother code).

A general description of these two steps is given in the following subclauses.

## A.1.1.1.1 Obtaining the mother code from punctured code

The de-puncturing of the 16-state RCPC code of rate  $(K_3/K_2)$  can be achieved by selecting  $K_2$  type-2 bits and inserting zeroes to get a  $(3K_3)$  block size *V*. This insertion can be carried out as follows:

- Denoting by P(1), P(2), ..., P(t) the *t* puncturing coefficients (each one being equal to 1, 2, ..., 23, or 24), the de-punctured bits  $V(i), 1 \le i \le 3K_3$  are given by:

V(i)	=	0	$i = 1, 2, \dots, 3K_3$
V(k)	=	$C_2(j)$	$j = 1, 2, \dots, K_2$

with

$$k = Period * ((j-1)\operatorname{div} t) + P(j-t((j-1)\operatorname{div} t))$$

where Period and t are defined according to the status of frame stealing.

## A.1.1.1.2 Viterbi decoding of the 16-state mother code of the rate 1/3

A classical Viterbi decoding of the 16-state mother code of rate 1/3 can be applied to the de-punctured  $(3K_3)$  size block leading to  $K_3$  type-3 bits.

The generator polynomials of the mother code are:

$$G_1(D) = 1 + D + D^2 + D^3 + D^4$$
  

$$G_2(D) = 1 + D + D^3 + D^4$$
  

$$G_3(D) = 1 + D^2 + D^4$$

#### A.1.1.2 CRC codes

The CRC codes encode the type-3 bits of the third sensitivity class (the most sensitive one) in order to deliver p parity bits (where p is defined according to the status of frame stealing).

By comparison of these p parity bits with the last p bits of the (Viterbi decoded) type-3 bits the BFI is set. BFI becomes 1 when at least one of the p parity bits is different from the corresponding Viterbi-decoded ones, and remains 0 else.

The CRC codes are systematic codes computed as the remainder in the Euclidean division of  $X^{n-K_3}I(X)$  by G(X) where:

I(X) is the codeword consisting of the third sensitivity class:

$$I(X) = C_1(1) + C_1(2)X + \dots + C_1(K_3)X^{(K_3-1)};$$

- G(X) is the polynomial generator of the CRC code;
- $n-K_3$  is the number of parity bits generated.

#### A.1.1.3 Type-4 bits

From  $K_3$  type-3 bits,  $K_4$  type-4 bits are built from the three sensitivity classes (that is excluding the type-3 additional bits) together with the Bad Frame Indicator as previously processed.

#### A.1.2 Error control scheme for normal speech traffic channel

In this case one transmission time slot corresponding to two speech frames has to be decoded. Therefore the size of type-1 blocks is 432 bits.

#### A.1.2.1 Matrix Interleaving

The 432 type-1 bits shall be interleaved to produce 432 type-2 re-ordered bits, according to the following rule:

$$C_2(j*18+i) = C_1(i*24+j)$$

which corresponds to transposing the (18,24) matrix (18 lines, 24 columns) of the input type-1 bits.

#### A.1.2.2 RCPC codes

Decoding of type-2 bits to type-3 bits are done with RCPC codes. Type-2 blocks of 432 bits have to be split in three sub-blocks corresponding to the three sensitivity classes used at the coding level.

No processing has to be performed on the first 102 bits. These bits correspond to the least sensitive class bits of two speech frames.

A convolutional code of rate 2/3 is applied to the following 168 bits (corresponding to the sensitivity class 1), while a convolutional code of rate 8/18 is applied to the remaining 162 bits (corresponding to class 2).

#### Page 44 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

The size of type-3 blocks is therefore:

class 0	class 1	class 2	
102	+ 168*2/3	+ 162*8/18 =	286 bits

#### A.1.2.2.1 Puncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/12 (equal to 2/3)

The t = 3 puncturing coefficients are: P(1) = 1, P(2) = 2, P(3) = 4 and Period = 6.

#### A.1.2.2.2 Puncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/18

The t = 9 puncturing coefficients are:

$$P(1) = 1, P(2) = 2, P(3) = 3, P(4) = 4, P(5) = 5,$$
  
 $P(6) = 7, P(7) = 8, P(8) = 10, P(9) = 11$ 

and Period = 12.

#### A.1.2.3 CRC code

The CRC code is applied to the 72 type-3 bits corresponding to the third sensitivity class.

The polynomial generator is the following:

$$G(X) = 1 + X^3 + X^7$$

It generates  $(n - K_3) = 7$  parity bits. A eight parity bit (p = 8) is computed as an overall parity bit, i.e. as the sum (modulo 2) of the bits in the third sensitivity class with the 7 parity bits.

#### A.1.2.4 Speech parameters

The type-4 bits, then the speech parameters for the two speech frames have to be reconstructed from the type-3 bits by using the tables of correspondence given in clause 5.

#### A.1.3 Error control scheme for speech traffic channel with frame stealing activated

When frame stealing is activated, only the second half slot corresponding to one speech frame has to be decoded separately. The size of type-1 blocks is then 216 bits.

#### A.1.3.1 Interleaving

The 216 type-1 bits must be interleaved to produce 216 type-2 re-ordered bits following the scheme adopted for the stealing channel for signalling data (STCH).

#### A.1.3.2 RCPC codes

Decoding of type-2 bits to type-3 bits are done with RCPC codes. Type-2 blocks of 216 bits have to be split in three sub-blocks corresponding to the three sensitivity classes used at the coding level.

No processing has to be performed on the first 51 bits. These bits correspond to the least sensitive class bits of the speech frame.

A convolutional code of rate 2/3 is applied to the following 84 bits (corresponding to the sensitivity class 1), while a convolutional code of rate 8/17 is applied to the remaining 81 bits (corresponding to class 2).

The size of type-3 blocks is therefore:

class 0	class 1	class 2		
51	+ 84*2/3	+ 81*8/17 =	=	145 bits

The puncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 2/3 has been already defined for the normal speech traffic channel.

#### A.1.3.2.1 Puncturing scheme of the RCPC code of rate 8/17

The t = 17 puncturing coefficients are:

$$P(1) = 1, P(2) = 2, P(3) = 3, P(4) = 4, P(5) = 5, P(6) = 7,$$
  

$$P(7) = 8, P(8) = 10, P(9) = 11, P(10) = 13, P(11) = 14, P(12) = 16,$$
  

$$P(13) = 17, P(14) = 19, P(15) = 20, P(16) = 22, P(17) = 23$$

and Period = 24.

#### A.1.3.3 CRC code

The CRC code is applied to the 38 type-3 bits corresponding to the third sensitivity class.

The polynomial generator is the following:

$$G(X) = 1 + X + X^4$$

It generates  $(n - K_3) = p = 4$  parity bits.

#### A.1.3.4 Speech parameters

The type-4 bits, then the speech parameters for the speech frame have to be reconstructed from the type-3 bits by using the tables of correspondence given in clause 5.

#### A.2 C Code for speech channel decoding

C code corresponding to an example of implementation of the speech channel decoding component is given in computer files to this ETS.

For a better readability of the C code, these files are organised as follows:

- main program for speech channel decoding: cdecoder.c, cdec\_tet.c;
- library of speech channel decoding subroutines: sub\_cd.c

The interface between the channel coding component and the channel decoding component, as used in the C code description (representing binary information by +/- 127) is defined primarily to facilitate bit exactness testing and to be compatible with the error insertion device simulation. The physical implementation in a product may be different.

## Annex B (informative): Indexes

## B.1 Index of C code routines

In this index are listed all the routines included in the C code.

Routines are classified according to their names. The second column relates to the source file in which they are located.

All source files have the extension ".c".

	Α	
abs_s add add_sh add_sh16 Autocorr Az_Lsp		tetra_op tetra_op fbas_tet fbas_tet sub_dsp sub_dsp
	В	
Back_Fil Bfi bin2int Bits2prm_Tetra Build_Crc Build_Sensitivity_Cl		sub_dsp sub_cd fbas_tet sub_sc_d sub_cc sub_cc
	С	
Cal_Rr2 ccoder cdecoder Channel_Decoding Channel_Encoding Chebps Clsp_334 Coder_Tetra Combination Combination Convolve		sub_sc_d ccoder cdecoder cdec_tet ccod_tet sub_dsp sub_sc_d scod_tet sub_cc sub_cd sub_dsp
	D	
D_D4i60 D_Lsp334 D4i60_16 Dec_Ener Decod_Tetra Desinterleaving_Sig Desinterleaving_Spe div_32		sub_sc_d sub_sc_d sub_sc_d sub_sc_d sdec_tet sub_cd sub_cd fexp_tet

Ε

tetra\_op

Ener_Qua	sub_sc_d
extract_h	tetra_op
extract_l	tetra_op

div\_s

Fac\_Pond

sub\_dsp

## G

G_Code	sub_sc_d
G_Pitch	sub_sc_d
Get_Lsp_Pol	sub_dsp

Т

Init_Coder_Tetra	scod_tet
Init_Decod_Tetra	sdec_tet
Init_Rcpc_Coding	sub_cc
Init_Rcpc_Decoding	sub_cd
Int_Lpc4	sub_dsp
int2bin	fbas_tet
Inter32_1_3	sub_sc_d
Inter32_M1_3	sub_sc_d
Inter8_1_3	sub_sc_d
Inter8_M1_3	sub_sc_d
Interleaving_Signal	sub_cc
Interleaving_Speech	sub_cc
inv_sqrt	fmat_tet

L

L_abs	tetra_op
L_add	tetra_op
L_comp	fexp_tet
L_deposit_h	tetra_op
L_deposit_l	tetra_op
L_extract	fexp_tet
L_mac	tetra_op
L_mac0	tetra_op
L_msu	tetra_op
L_msu0	tetra_op
L_mult	tetra_op
L_mult0	tetra_op
L_negate	tetra_op
L_shl	tetra_op
L_shr	tetra_op
L_shr_r	tetra_op
L_sub	tetra_op
Lag_Max	sub_sc_d
Lag_Window	sub_dsp
Levin_32	sub_dsp
Load_sh	fbas_tet
Load_sh16	fbas_tet
Log2	fmat_tet
Lpc_Gain	sub_dsp
Lsp_Az	sub_dsp

Μ

mpy_32	fexp_tet
mpy_mix	fexp_tet
mult	tetra_op
mult_r	tetra_op

Ν

negate Norm_Corr norm_I norm_s norm_v	Ρ	tetra_op sub_sc_d tetra_op tetra_op fbas_tet
Pitch_Fr Pitch_OI_Dec Pond_Ai Post_Process pow2 Pre_Process Pred_Lt Prm2bits_Tetra		sub_sc_d sub_sc_d sub_dsp sub_sc_d fmat_tet sub_sc_d sub_sc_d sub_sc_d
	R	
Rcpc_Coding Rcpc_Decoding Read_Tetra_File Residu round		sub_cc sub_cd sub_cd sub_dsp tetra_op
	S	
sature scoder sdecoder shl shr store_hi sub sub_sh sub_sh16 Syn_Filt		tetra_op scoder sdecoder tetra_op tetra_op fbas_tet tetra_op fbas_tet fbas_tet sub_dsp
	т	
Transform_Class_0		sub_cc
	U	
Unbuild_Sensitivity Untransform_Class_0		sub_cd sub_cd
	W	
Write_Tetra_File		sub_cc

## B.2 Index of files

In this index are listed all the computer files containing C code for the TETRA speech codec.

Files are classified according to their names.

File extensions are given. By convention, extension "tab" is used for files containing only numerical data and extension "h" for files containing definitions and prototypes.

				Α			
arrays.tab							
				С			
ccoder.c	ccod_tet.c	cdecoder.c	cdec_tet.c	channel.h	clsp_334.tab	const.tab	
				E			
ener_qua.ta	ıb						
				F			
fbas_tet.c	fexp_tet.c	fmat_tet.c					
				G			
grid.tab							
				I			
inv_sqrt.tab							
				L			
lag_wind.tal	olog2.tab						
makafila				141			
makeme				в			
now? tab				F			
powz.tab				e			
scoder c	scod tot c	sdecoder c	sdec tet c	Source b	sub co o	sub od o	sub den c
sub_sc_d.c	SCOU_lel.C	Suecouer.c	Suec_lel.c	source.n	Sub_cc.c	Sub_cu.c	Sub_usp.c
				т			
tetra_op.c							
window.tab				W			

## Annex C (informative): Bibliography

- {1} Laflamme C., Adoul J-P., Salami R., Morissette S. and Mabilleau P., "16 kbps wide-band speech coding technique based on algebraic CELP", Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Toronto, Canada, May 1991, pp. 177-180.
- Tohkura Y. and Itakura F., "Spectral smoothing technique in PARCOR speech analysis-synthesis", IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Vol. ASSP-26, N° 6, pp. 587-596, December 1978.
- Salami R. et al., "Speech coding", Chapter 3 in *Mobile Radio Communications*, edited by R. Steele, Pentech Press, London, 1992.
- {4} Itakura F., "Line spectral representation of linear predictive coefficients of speech signals", J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., Vol. 57, Supplement N° 1, S35, 1975.
- {5} Soong F.K. and Juang B.H., "Line spectrum pair (LSP) and speech data compression", Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, San Diego, Cal., March 1984, pp. 1.10.1-1.10.4.
- Kabal P. and Ramachandran R.P., "The computation of line spectral frequencies using Chebyshev polynomials", IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Vol. ASSP-34, N° 6, pp. 1419-1426, December 1986.

## Annex D (informative): Codec performance

## D.1 General

In ETS 300 395-2 [1], the normative aspects of the TETRA speech codec were defined. In this annex the actual quality, performance and complexity aspects of the codec are described. As speech quality is still often defined using subjective testing methodology, any attempts to define it in normative form was considered to be inappropriate for the TETRA codec. Hence, in the following clauses and subclauses various aspects of the TETRA speech codec, as gathered from results of the codec studies performed by the TETRA Working Group 5, are presented in summarised form. The aim is to provide both manufacturers and users of the TETRA system with indicative performance estimates for the TETRA voice system.

## D.2 Quality

#### D.2.1 Subjective speech quality

#### D.2.1.1 Description of characterisation tests

The TETRA codec has been characterised under a wide range of operating conditions. The quality reference adopted is the MNRU (Multiplicative Noise Reference Unit). Various MNRU conditions were included to allow comparison of results between experiments. The results of the speech quality listening tests are given in terms of Q values in dB relative to the MNRU reference condition using speech processed with an Intermediate Reference Sysyem (IRS) filter (see CCITT Recommendation P.48 [2]).

The summarised conditions and results for the characterisation listening test are given in annex E.

Although every effort has been made to ensure that the results of the characterisation tests are reliable, any performance figures given here should be considered indicative only and are intended to aid the design and implementation of TETRA systems.

#### D.2.1.2 Absolute speech quality

For clean speech at a the nominal input level of - 22 dB the average Q value obtained for the TETRA codec is 13,0 dB for the linear input condition and 16,5 dB for the IRS input condition. For comparison purposes the corresponding values obtained for the Global System for Mobile communications (GSM) full-rate codec are 17,4 dB and 18,9 dB respectively.

Although the MOS scale is not an absolute reference, it is commonly used in comparing speech codecs. For clean speech at a the nominal input level of - 22 dB the average MOS value obtained for the TETRA codec is 3,02 for the linear input condition and 3,63 for the IRS input condition. The corresponding values obtained in these characterisation tests for the GSM full-rate codec are 3,79 and 3,93 respectively.

#### D.2.1.3 Effect of input level

There is no change in performance with input level of practical significance, over the range - 32 dB to - 12 dB.

#### D.2.1.4 Effect of input frequency characteristic

The presence of the IRS input characteristic generally results in an improvement in performance, but there are exceptions to this effect and it is likely to depend on the nature of any background noise present.

#### D.2.1.5 Effect of transmission errors

The degradation in Q value due to Error Pattern 1 (EP1) is small, but larger for other more severe error patterns as expected.

#### Page 52 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

#### D.3.1.6 Effect of tandeming

Tandeming degrades the speech quality of the TETRA codec and is preferably avoided whenever possible. For clean speech with the IRS input condition this amounts to a reduction of about 4,2 dB Q value on average.

#### D.2.1.7 Effect of acoustic background noise

Background noise causes degradation of speech quality which increases as the signal-to-noise ratio reduces. The robustness of the TETRA codec to background noise appears to be similar to that of the full rate GSM codec.

#### D.2.1.8 Effect of vocal effort

Increasing vocal effort when the talker is subjected to high levels of noise causes some degradation of speech quality and increases the required listener effort.

#### D.2.1.9 Effect of frame stealing

Regular frame stealing at a rate of one speech frame per TETRA multiframe degrades the speech quality slightly, by about 1 dB on average for clean speech. The presence of background noise or transmission errors reduces the audibility of the degradation.

#### D.2.1.10 Speaker and language dependency

There may be some variation in performance for different languages.

The performance for male speakers is better than for female speakers. As an example, for clean speech at the nominal input level of - 22 dB the average difference in MOS score is 0,39 for the IRS input condition. This effect is not apparent from the Q values because of the perceived quality difference between the male and female MNRU reference conditions used to derive the Q values.

#### D.2.2 Comparison with analogue FM

This section summarises results from the original TETRA codec selection competition test and uses them to compare the speech quality of the TETRA codec with an analogue FM reference system.

#### D.2.2.1 Analogue and digital systems results

The codec selection tests were designed to compare a number of digital codecs under conditions of transmission errors and acoustic background noise. In addition results were obtained for an analogue FM simulator. The channel conditions for the analogue simulator were adjusted to be similar to those used for the digital error pattern generation, and are summarised in table D.1. It was unfortunately not possible to make the analogue channel conditions identical to the digital conditions. In addition, in order that the speech quality from the analogue system was representative of realistic operating conditions, it was found necessary to raise the equivalent RF Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) by 10 dB compared to the digital systems. Another difference was that the analogue system used a 12,5 kHz FM channel, while the digital systems were designed to transmit four channels in 25 kHz using Time Division Multiplex (TDM).

Channel model	Error pattern	Digital system		Analogu	e System	
		Es/No (dB)	Vehicle speech (Km/h)	Bit error ratio (BER)	SNR (dB)	Vehicle Speed (km/h)
Perfect	EP0	Infinity	0	No errors	> 60	0
TU50	EP1	20,0	50	1%	28,7	60
TU50	EP2	15,2	50	3%	24,7	60
HT50	EP3	16,7	50	3%	25,6	60
TU100	EP4	12,8	100	5%	21,7	115
HT150	EP5	14,3	100	5%	21,7	115

#### Table D.1: Channel conditions for analogue and digital systems

#### D.2.2.2 All conditions

The results for all the experimental conditions were taken from the TETRA codec selection results and are shown in table D.2, where for each condition the quality score from the selected digital system is shown against the score for the analogue system. Since the performance of the analogue system was found to depend strongly on the audio input signal level, data points for the three different input levels have been distinguished. The reference level is the overload point. An average speech level of - 22 dB corresponds to the nominal input condition while at - 12 dB some clipping is present.

From table D.2, it can be seen that the performance of the digital system is always at least comparable with the analogue system, and often much better, particularly with the low input level of - 32 dB.

Conc	litions	Digital TETRA	Analogue FM
	- 12 dB	14,6	15,5
	- 22 dB	15,5	14,7
	- 32 dB	14,8	9,5
	EP0	15,5	14,7
A-Law	EP1	13,6	7,2
IRS	EP2	9,8	6,9
	EP3	12,2	7,1
	EP4	6,5	6,9
	EP5	7,5	5,2
	- 12 dB	22,6	26,6
	- 22 dB	23,3	21,7
	- 32 dB	22,8	13,2
Linear	EP0	23,3	21,7
	EP1	22,7	12,4
	EP2	18,6	6,8
	EP3	19,7	10,8
Office	e noise	4,4	5,4
Vehicular noise		4,6	6,8
Traffic noise		3.1	2.6

#### Table D.2: Q value scores for digital TETRA and analogue FM

#### D.2.2.3 Input level

The quality of the FM system was found to be more dependent on input level, whereas the quality achieved by the digital system was almost independent of audio input level. For both systems, linear flat frequency response input conditions performed better than IRS filtered A-Law PCM inputs.

#### Page 54 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

#### D.2.2.4 Error patterns

The available configurations from the analogue system did not allow an exact match with the conditions used for the digital system, but they are close enough for a valid comparison, as indicated in table D.1.

As shown in table D.2, the speech quality for linear input is higher than with the IRS filter characteristic.

The quality for the digital system is generally higher than for the analogue system.

#### D.2.2.5 Background noise

Tests with acoustic background noise were carried out. The conditions were office noise, which was a "babble" produced by adding multiple speech files, vehicular noise recorded inside a moving vehicle, and traffic noise obtained at a roadside. In all cases the background noise was added to the speech data to give an equivalent acoustic SNR of 10 dB. In addition the talkers in the case of the traffic noise were subjected to ambient noise to allow investigation of the effect of increased vocal effort on coded speech quality.

For a nominal input level of - 22 dB with no transmission errors it was found that the analogue system performance is better for two of the three conditions, but that the differences are not very great between the digital and analogue.

#### D.2.3 Additional tests

In order to make sure that the TETRA codec is able to handle unusual types of signals, non-exhaustive sets of experiments were performed. The signals consisted of speech with added background noises and non-speech signals.

#### D.2.3.1 Types of signals

The following signals were generated as background noises:

- 1) sinusoids of constant frequency (400 Hz, 1 000 Hz, 3 000 Hz);
- 2) swept frequency sinusoid (3 000 Hz to 100 Hz);
- 3) rectangular pulses (On = 2 samples, Off = 20 samples or Off = 300 samples);
- 4) swept frequency pulse (On = 2 to 20 samples, Off = 20 to 200 samples);
- 5) pulsed swept sinusoid (On = 50 to 100 samples, Off = 100 to 200 samples, 2 000 Hz to 100 Hz);
- 6) dc level (16 000 or 2 000 where +/- 32 767 is the maximum input magnitude);
- 7) noise Gaussian, uniform PDF, two valued PDF (maximum +/- 32 000);
- 8) DTMF signals.

In all cases various parameters were adjusted (e.g. amplitude, frequency, pulse durations).

The test signals were generated with large amplitude and added to typical speech files so that the speech to interference ratio is 3 dB. As well as the corrupted speech files, the background noise signals were passed alone through the speech codec.

#### D.2.3.2 Codec behaviour

Under the conditions as specified objective and informal subjective tests were carried out where appropriate. From the informal listening sessions, the quality of the reconstructed files were generally judged as good, and the SNR degradation of the signals through the codec was acceptable. Overall, no abnormal behaviour was exhibited by the TETRA speech codec.

## D.3 Complexity

The computational complexity of the TETRA speech and channel codec was assessed in order to gain an indicative estimated figure which can be used by designers of the TETRA system.

#### D.3.1 Complexity analysis

#### D.3.1.1 Measurement methodology

The computational complexity of the TETRA codec was measured with rules derived from those adopted for the half-rate GSM Speech Codec competition. The complexity evaluation was carried out using the fixed-point C code simulation of the TETRA codec which is included in the computer files attached to this ETS.

#### D.3.1.2 TETRA basic operators

The basic operators for TETRA are the same as those used for the half-rate GSM codec competition with additional basic operators specific to the TETRA codec. The operators and their computational weighting is given in tables D.3, D.4, D.5 and D.6. In addition, the terms array16, array32, var16, var32, pointer16, pointer32, return16 and return32 stand for:

- 1) array16, array32: array of Word16 or Word32;
- 2) var16, var32: variable of 16 or 32 bits;
- 3) pointer16, pointer32: pointer to variable of 16 or 32 bits;
- 4) return16, return32: return value of a function of 16 or 32 bits.

In tables D.3, D.4, and D.5 some operators have been grouped by type. The called functions are not included in the complexity of the calling functions; they appear independently. The complexity takes into account the number of calls specified, which includes calls made by calling functions as well as by the main routine. The grouping of the operators is given by table D.3.

Group name	Instructions	Weight
S_DM	array16	1
(Short data move)	var16	
	pointer16	
	return(Word16)	
L_DM	array32	2
(Long data move)	var32	
	pointer32	
	return(Word32)	
add	add, sub	1
	negate	
L_add	L_add, L_sub	2
	L_negate	
sh	shl, shr	1
extract	extract_h	1
	extract_l	
L_dep	L_deposit_h	2
	L_deposit_I	
L_mac	L_mac, L_msu	1
L_mac0	L_mac0, L_msu0	1
A_test	A_test	2
(Arithmetic test)		
Slog	Slog	1
(Logical operation)	-	

#### Table D.3: Operator groupings and their weights

Name	Weight
Load_sh	1
add_sh	1
sub_sh	1
Load_sh16	1
add_sh16	1
sub_sh16	1
Store_hi	3
norm_v	37
L_extract	5
L_comp	2
mpy_32	7
mpy_mix	4
div_32	52

## Table D.4: TETRA function operators

#### Table D.5: TETRA codec basic operators and weightings as used in GSM

Name	Weight
add	1
sub	1
abs_s	1
shl	1
shr	1
mult	1
L_mult	1
negate	1
extract_h	1
extract_l	1
round	1
L_mac	1
L_msu	1
L_add	2
L_sub	2
L_negate	2
mult_r	2
L_shl	2
L_shr	2
L_deposit_h	2
L_deposit_l	2
L_shr_r	3
L_abs	3
norm_s	15
div_s	18
norm_l	30

#### Table D.6: TETRA codec additional basic operators

Name	Weight
L_mult0	1
L_mac0	1
L_msu0	1

#### D.3.1.3 Worst case path for speech encoder

The most computational intensive path through the TETRA speech encoder for one frame of speech is given in table D.7. As indicated by the table some of the routines are called more than once through the encoder, e.g. the Lsp\_Az routine for instance is called eight times for each frame of processing.

Name of function	Number of calls	
Autocorr	1	
Lag_Window	1	
Levinson	1	
Az_Lsp	1	
Chebps	1*111	
Clsp_334	1	
Int_Lpc4	2	
Lsp_Az	2*4	
Get_Lsp_Pol	2*4*2	
Pond_Ai	16	
Residu	8	
Syn_Filt	32	
Pitch_Ol_Dec	1	
Lag_Max	1*3	
inv_sqrt	1*3*1	
Pitch_Fr	4	
Norm_Corr	1*1 + 3*1	
Convolve	1*1*1 + 3*1*1	
inv_sqrt	1*1*13 + 3*1*18	
Inter8_M1_3	4*2	
Inter8_1_3	4*2	
Pred_Lt	4	
Inter32_M1_3	4*60	
G_Pitch	4	
Cal_Rr2	4	
Back_Fil	4	
D4i60_16	4	
G_Code	4	
Ener_Qua	4	
Lpc_Gain	4*1	
Syn_Filt	4*1*1	
Log2	4*4	
pow2	4*2	

#### Table D.7: Worst case path for the TETRA speech encoder

#### D.3.1.4 Worst case path for speech decoder

The most computational intensive path through the TETRA speech decoder is given in table D.8.

Table D.8: Worst case pa	th for the TETRA s	peech decoder
--------------------------	--------------------	---------------

Name of function	Number of calls
D_Lsp334	1
Int_Lpc4	1
Lsp_Az	1*4
Get_Lsp_Pol	1*4*2
Pred_Lt	4
Inter32_M1_3	4*60
Pond_Ai	8
Syn_Filt	8
D_D4i60	4
Dec_Ener	4
Lpc_Gain	4*1
Syn_Filt	4*1*1
Log2	4*2
pow2	4*2

## Page 58 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

#### D.3.1.5 Condensed complexity values for encoder and decoder

The computational complexity and memory requirements for the TETRA speech and channel coding is given in table D.9. Read-Only Memory (ROM) stands for data tables; program code is not taken into account. ROM is the sum of all that is needed in speech and channel encoders and decoders. Since the codec works in half-duplex, the needs in static Random Access Memory (RAM) are summed up in the speech and channel encoders and respectively decoders, only. The need in scratch RAM is identified as the maximum of each stage. Note that in this case, contrary to normal standard, one kbyte equals 1000 bytes, i.e. 500 Word16.

Full set of tabulated results are given in annex E.

The formula used to evaluate the complexity of the TETRA codec is:

C = MOPS + 0.2\*RAM + 0.05\*ROM.

RAM is the data memory in kbytes, and is the sum of the (max of) Scratch RAM and (sum of) Static RAM. ROM is the program memory in kbytes.

The results are:

1) for the encoder:

speech encoder 9,624 MOPS; channel encoder 0,081 MOPS;

RAM 8,34 kbytes, 1,668 MOPS; ROM 11,07 kbytes, 0,550 MOPS;

encoder complexity 11,923 MOPS;

2) for the decoder:

speech decoder 1,025 MOPS; channel decoder 3,040 MOPS;

RAM 3,84 kbytes, 0,768 MOPS; ROM 11,07 kbytes, 0,550 MOPS;

decoder complexity 5,383 MOPS.

#### Table D.9: Summarised complexity and storage requirements for TETRA codec

		Speech encoder	Speech decoder	Channel encoder	Channel decoder
Complexity	Ops per frame	288 720	30 750	4 852	182 395
	MOPS	9,624	1,025	0,081	3,040
	Scratch RAM	2,39	1,40	1,45	1,47
Memory in Kbytes	Static RAM	4,54	0,93	1,41	1,44
-	ROM	10,044	9,24	1,0	)26

#### D.3.2 DSP independence

The implementation of the TETRA codec was evaluated with respect to typical Digital Signal Processors (DSP) devices commonly available at the time of TETRA standardisation. This included the Texas Instruments TMS320C5X, AT+T DSP16/DSP16A, Analog Devices ADSP-2100 and the Motorola DSP56016. This involved examining the C code for the TETRA codec in detail to assess whether any particular part of the TETRA codec possess any inherent computational difficulties when practical real-time solutions are constructed. A careful analysis of the results indicated that the feasibility of a full duplex implementation on any of the above mentioned DSP families will be guaranteed.

#### D.3.2.1 Program control structure

With respect to program control no peculiar aspects that would make implementation particularly favourable or unfavourable for any of the DSPs were found.

#### D.3.2.2 Basic operator implementation

All the DSP devices were capable of implementing the TETRA basic operators. However, as their architectures are different, the ease or difficulty in their execution of particular operators varied. Thus, the conclusion is that an assembler implementation of the TETRA codec may lead to quite different results in terms of complexity and memory usage.

#### D.3.2.3 Additional operator implementation

As most of the additional operators are derived starting from the basic operators, the results for the basic operator implementation also applies.

## D.4 Delay

The TETRA codec's audio delay is broken down into four parts as shown below:

$$D = Da + Dt + DI + Ds$$

where:

Da (algorithmic delay) = 30 ms (analysis of one speech frame) + 5 ms (40 speech samples for interpolation) = 35 ms;

Dt (transmission delay)) = 15 ms (duration of TDMA slot);

DI (interleaving delay) = 30 ms (interleaving of 2 speech frames);

Ds (error smoothing delay) = 0 ms (no error smoothing delay).

The total delay due to the TETRA codec is therefore 80 ms.

NOTE: Although this partially takes into account the transmission delay, the real practical system audio delay calculation is more complicated and is reported elsewhere in the TETRA standardisation.

# Annex E (informative): Results of the TETRA codec characterisation listening and complexity tests

## E.1 Characterisation listening test

#### E.1.1 Experimental conditions

In order to characterise the TETRA speech codec, listening tests were carried out in various languages and table E.1 shows the allocation of languages to experiments.

Experiment	Language
1	Swedish
2	Italian
3	Dutch
4	German
5	German
6	Dutch
7	English, Dutch, German,
	French, Italian, Swedish
8	English
9	French
10	French
11	English

#### Table E.1: Allocation of languages to the listening test experiments

In the IRS input condition the speech signal is processed by an IRS filter and an A law PCM characteristic. In the UPCM input condition a linear PCM characteristic with no IRS filter was used.

The input levels are referred to the overload point.

The vehicle noise was obtained inside a moving vehicle and added electronically to the speech to obtain the specified signal-to-noise ratio.

The office noise was generated by multiple simultaneous speakers and added electronically to the speech samples to obtain the specified signal-to-noise ratio.

The ambient noise condition was produced by adding noise generated by moving traffic to speech samples obtained from talkers subject to high acoustic noise levels. This was intended to assess codec performance with realistic vocal effort.

The error patterns correspond to the channel models in table E.2.

Table E.2: Error	patterns used	for the li	istening te	st experiments
------------------	---------------	------------	-------------	----------------

Error pattern label	Channel model	Bit error ratio (BER)
EP0	Perfect channel	No transmission errors
EP1	TU50	1%
EP2	TU50	3%
EP3	HT50	3%
EP4	TU100	5%
EP5	HT150	5%

A listening effort scale was used in experiment 8 which makes it difficult to directly compare results with those from other experiments.

The summarised results of the characterisation listening experiments are given in the following tables. For comparison purposes results are also given for the full-rate GSM system speech codec. In each case the values are relative to a reference condition using the IRS input characteristic. The Q values have been averaged over male and female speakers. The "T" denotes a tandeming condition and "F" denotes a regular frame stealing condition where one speech frame is stolen for every 17 frames of speech.

#### E.1.2 Tables of results

There are entries in the tables where the Q value is zero. This is a result of the MOS to Q conversion curve where for many cases, a zero MOS score maps to a negative Q value. Hence, for any MOS scores which maps to less than or equal to a zero Q value, a zero Q value was assigned. Therefore, a zero Q value does not necessarily equate to a zero MOS value. Also, some Q values are very large. This is again is a result of the MOS to Q conversion. Basically for MOS scores falling on the top part of the conversion curve, the Q values are magnified considerably. For both zero Q and large Q conditions, the results are not useful as they do not lie within the useful portion of the MNRU reference conditions.

C	condition	IS	Experiment								
I/P	Noise	Input	1	3	5	7	8	9	9T	11	11F
Char	SNR	level									
	No	- 12				14,10		14,49	11,15	17,10	16,89
	noise	- 22				16,49		16,63	13,11	17,20	16,44
		- 32				15,66		18,04	12,18	19,35	17,41
	Veh.	- 12		0,00							
	0 dB	- 22		0,00							
		- 32		0,00							
	Veh.	- 12		4,32				2,37	1,50		
	- 10 dB	- 22		4,54				3,37	0,78		
		- 32		4,91				0,00	0,00		
A-	Veh.	- 12		7,98		8,93				12,51	11,99
Law	- 20 dB	- 22		8,80		10,15				12,71	11,89
IRS		- 32		8,89		9,66				12,41	11,99
	Off.	- 12			0,00						
	0 dB	- 22			0,54						
		- 32			0,00						
	Off.	- 12			7,17						
	- 10 dB	- 22			7,17						
		- 32			7,92						
	Off.	- 12			10,18					14,46	12,81
	- 20 dB	- 22			11,43					14,46	13,94
		- 32			11,58					14,65	14,22
	Amb.	- 12					9,64				
	- 10 dB	- 22					9,97				
		- 32					9,97				

Table E.3: Q values for TETRA codec under EP0 relative to MNRU IRS speech

#### Page 62 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

С	ondition	S	Experiment									
I/P	Noise	Input	1	3	5	7	8	9	9T	11	11F	
Char	SNR	level										
	No	- 12	12,47			12,61						
	noise	- 22	11,72			12,98						
		- 32	12,72			12,44						
	Veh.	- 12										
	0 dB	- 22										
		- 32										
	Veh.	- 12	3,92									
	- 10 dB	- 22	6,48									
U-		- 32	4,10									
PCM	Veh.	- 12				8,65						
No	- 20 dB	- 22				9,30						
IRS		- 32				9,48						
	Off.	- 12										
	0 dB	- 22										
		- 32										
	Off.	- 12										
	- 10 dB	- 22										
		- 32										
	Off.	- 12	7,86									
	- 20 dB	- 22	9,39									
		- 32	8,89									
	Amb.	- 12					14,20					
	- 10 dB	- 22					13,62					
		- 32					15,65					

#### Table E.4: Q values for TETRA codec under EP0 relative to MNRU IRS speech

# Table E.5: Q values for TETRA codec under EP1 relative to MNRU IRS speech

C	ondition	IS	Experiment									
I/P	Noise	Input	2	4	6	8	10	10T	11	11F		
Char	SNR	level										
	No	- 12					14,59	9,70	15,41	15,75		
	noise	- 22					16,25	11,86	17,96	15,85		
		- 32					15,77	11,50	16,79	16,24		
	Veh.	- 12			0,00							
	0 dB	- 22			0,00							
		- 32			0,00							
	Veh.	- 12			4,44		3,83	1,18				
	- 10 dB	- 22			4,16		4,62	2,22				
		- 32			6,03		2,22	0,73				
A-	Veh.	- 12			7,51				11,17	11,73		
Law	- 20 dB	- 22			9,69				11,99	11,99		
IRS		- 32			8,57				12,61	11,73		
	Off.	- 12		0,00								
	0 dB	- 22		0,00								
		- 32		0,00								
	Off.	- 12		7,96								
	- 10 dB	- 22		6,83								
		- 32		7,45								
	Off.	- 12		10,39					13,45	13,84		
	- 20 dB	- 22		12,47					13,26	13,94		
		- 32		10,59					13,55	12,91		
	Amb.	- 12				8,44						
	- 10 dB	- 22				7,97						
		- 32				8,28						

С	ondition	IS	Experiment								
I/P	Noise	Input	2	4	6	8	10	10T	11	11F	
Char	SNR	level									
	No	- 12	13,13								
	noise	- 22	14,11								
		- 32	14,46								
	Veh.	- 12									
	0 dB	- 22									
		- 32									
	Veh.	- 12	12,42								
	- 10 dB	- 22	11,65								
U-		- 32	11,56								
PCM	Veh.	- 12									
No	- 20 dB	- 22									
IRS		- 32									
	Off. 0 dB	- 12									
		- 22									
		- 32									
	Off.	- 12	6,68								
	- 10 dB	- 22	7,40								
		- 32	7,30								
	Off.	- 12									
	- 20 dB	- 22									
		- 32									
	Amb.	- 12				12,97					
	- 10 dB	- 22				12,29					
		- 32				13,22					

## Table E.6: Q values for TETRA codec under EP1 relative to MNRU IRS speech

## Table E.7: Q values for TETRA codec under EP2 relative to MNRU IRS speech

C	onditior	ns	Experiment							
I/P	Noise	Input	1	3	5	7	8	9	9T	
Char	SNR	level								
	No	- 12				10,36		11,02	7,75	
	noise	- 22				11,69		12,71	9,22	
		- 32				10,93		12,58	9,74	
	Veh.	- 12		0,00						
	0 dB	- 22		0,00						
		- 32		0,00						
	Veh.	- 12		0,00				0,26	0,00	
	- 10 dB	- 22		1,66				0,78	0,00	
		- 32		0,00				0,00	0,00	
A-	Veh.	- 12		4,65		6,30				
Law	- 20 dB	- 22		6,78		7,19				
IRS		- 32		5,71		6,62				
	Off.	- 12			0,00					
	0 dB	- 22			0,00					
		- 32			0,00					
	Off.	- 12			5,11					
	- 10 dB	- 22			5,92					
		- 32			4,99					
	Off.	- 12			9,05					
	- 20 dB	- 22			8,69					
		- 32			10,00					
	Amb.	- 12					4,68			
	- 10 dB	- 22					4,89			
		- 32					4,46			

С	ondition	IS	Experiment							
I/P	Noise	Input	1	3	5	7	8	9	9T	
Char	SNR	level								
	No	- 12	8,89			9,05				
	noise	- 22	7,46			9,26				
		- 32	7,46			9,14				
	Veh.	- 12								
	0 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Veh.	- 12	0,03							
	- 10 dB	- 22	0,00							
U-		- 32	2,54							
PCM	Veh.	- 12				5,89				
No	- 20 dB	- 22				5,70				
IRS		- 32				6,31				
	Off.	- 12								
	0 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Off.	- 12								
	- 10 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Off.	- 12	3,73							
-	- 20 dB	- 22	6,04							
		- 32	4,62							
	Amb.	- 12					7,33			
	- 10 dB	- 22					5,87			
		- 32					7,97			

## Table E.8: Q values for TETRA codec under EP2 relative to MNRU IRS speech

Table E.9: Q values for TETRA codec under EP3 relative to MNRU IRS	speech
--	--------

С	ondition	IS	Experiment								
I/P	Noise	Input	2	4	6	8	10	10T			
Char	SNR	level									
	No	- 12					9,58	7,51			
	noise	- 22					11,02	6,99			
		- 32					11,50	7,38			
	Veh.	- 12			0,00						
	0 dB	- 22			0,00						
		- 32			0,00						
	Veh.	- 12			1,67		0,00	0,00			
	- 10 dB	- 22			2,90		0,00	0,00			
		- 32			0,78		0,00	0,00			
A-	Veh.	- 12			6,76						
Law	- 20 dB	- 22			6,43						
IRS		- 32			7,97						
	Off.	- 12		0,00							
	0 dB	- 22		0,00							
		- 32		0,00							
	Off.	- 12		4,96							
	- 10 dB	- 22		5,19							
		- 32		4,34							
	Off.	- 12		8,26							
	- 20 dB	- 22		7,76							
		- 32		9,37							
	Amb.	- 12				6,40					
	- 10 dB	- 22				5,68					
		- 32				5,10					

С	ondition	IS	Experiment							
I/P	Noise	Input	2	4	6	8	10	10T		
Char	SNR	level								
	No	- 12	9,47							
	noise	- 22	10,46							
		- 32	10,28							
	Veh.	- 12								
	0 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Veh.	- 12								
	- 10 dB	- 22								
U- PCM		- 32								
	Veh.	- 12	9,19							
No	- 20 dB	- 22	9,37							
IRS		- 32	9,01							
	Off.	- 12								
	0 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Off.	- 12	4,79							
	- 10 dB	- 22	0,00							
		- 32	4,52							
	Off.	- 12								
	- 20 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Amb.	- 12				7,49				
	- 10 dB	- 22				9,00				
		- 32				8,60				

Table E.10: Q values for TETRA codec under EP3 relative to MNRU IRS speech

Table E.11: Q values for	r TETRA codec under	EP4 relative to MNRI	J IRS speech
--------------------------	---------------------	----------------------	--------------

C	ondition	IS	Experiment							
I/P	Noise	Input	1	3	5	9	9Т			
Char	SNR	level								
	No	- 12				10,38	7,05			
	noise	- 22				11,15	7,61			
		- 32				12,18	7,34			
	Veh.	- 12		0,00						
	0 dB	- 22		0,00						
		- 32		0,00						
	Veh.	- 12		1,42		0,00	0,00			
	- 10 dB	- 22		0,53		0,00	0,00			
		- 32		1,25		0,00	0,00			
A-	Veh. - 20 dB	- 12		3,39						
Law		- 22		4,54						
IRS		- 32		6,14						
	Off. 0 dB	- 12			0,00					
		- 22			0,00					
		- 32			0,00					
	Off.	- 12			3,96					
	- 10 dB	- 22			3,96					
		- 32			4,88					
	Off.	- 12			7,17					
	- 20 dB	- 22			8,68					
		- 32			6,63					
	Amb.	- 12								
	- 10 dB	- 22								
		- 32								

## Page 66 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

С	ondition	IS	Experiment							
I/P	Noise	Input	1	3	5	9	9T			
Char	SNR	level								
	No	- 12	6,77							
	noise	- 22	7,59							
		- 32	7,05							
	Veh.	- 12								
	0 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Veh.	- 12	1,14							
U-	- 10 dB	- 22	0,33							
		- 32	0,33							
PCM	Veh.	- 12								
No	- 20 dB	- 22								
IRS		- 32								
	Off.	- 12								
	0 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Off.	- 12								
	- 10 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Off.	- 12	2,32							
	- 20 dB	- 22	3,54							
		- 32	4,95							
	Amb.	- 12								
	- 10 dB	- 22								
		- 32								

## Table E.12: Q values for TETRA codec under EP4 relative to MNRU IRS speech

С	ondition	IS		E	xperime	nt	
I/P	Noise	Input	2	4	6	10	10T
Char	SNR	level					
	No	- 12				8,86	5,07
	noise	- 22				10,18	5,36
		- 32				9,46	6,06
	Veh.	- 12			0,00		
	0 dB	- 22			0,00		
A-		- 32			0,00		
	Veh.	- 12			1,02	0,00	0,00
	- 10 dB	- 22			0,27	0,00	0,00
		- 32			2,08	0,00	0,00
	Veh.	- 12			3,86		
Law	- 20 dB	- 22			5,04		
IRS		- 32			7,30		
	Off.	- 12		0,00			
	0 dB	- 22		0,00			
		- 32		0,00			
	Off.	- 12		4,35			
	- 10 dB	- 22		3,70			
		- 32		4,47			
	Off.	- 12		6,62			
	- 20 dB	- 22		9,07			
		- 32		7,35			
	Amb.	- 12					
	- 10 dB	- 22					
		- 32					

С	ondition	IS	Experiment							
I/P	Noise	Input	2	4	6	10	10T			
Char	SNR	level								
	No	- 12	9,10							
	noise	- 22	9,92							
		- 32	9,74							
	Veh.	- 12								
	0 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Veh.	- 12								
	- 10 dB	- 22								
U-		- 32								
PCM	Veh.	- 12	8,27							
No	- 20 dB	- 22	8,64							
IRS		- 32	7,50							
	Off.	- 12								
	0 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Off.	- 12	3,31							
	- 10 dB	- 22	2,79							
		- 32	2,41							
	Off.	- 12								
	- 20 dB	- 22								
		- 32								
	Amb.	- 12								
	- 10 dB	- 22								
		- 32								

Table E.14: Q values for TETRA codec under EP5 relative to MNRU IRS speech

## Table E.15: Q values for full rate GSM codec under EP0 relative to MNRU IRS speech

C	onditio	ns	Experiment										
I/P	Noise	Input	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Char	SNR	level											
	No	- 12							16,79		21,14	19,75	20,89
	noise	- 22							18,86		19,68	18,84	20,41
		- 32							16,84		20,62	21.37	21,40
	Veh.	- 12			0,00			0,00					
	0 dB	- 22			0,00			0,00					
		- 32			0,00			0,00					
	Veh.	- 12			4,54			4,30					
	- 10 dB	- 22			5,22			6,43					
		- 32			4,10			5,55					
A-	Veh.	- 12			10,35			11,32					
Law	- 20 dB	- 22			10,26			10,60					
IRS		- 32			9,72			10,89					
	Off.	- 12				0,00	1,75						
	0 dB	- 22				0,00	0,54						
		- 32				0,00	0,76						
	Off.	- 12				8,26	7,64						
	- 10 dB	- 22				8,86	8,42						
		- 32				8.56	8,69						
	Off.	- 12				14,14	12,85						
	- 20 dB	- 22				12,36	10,96						
		- 32				13,34	12,58						
	Amb.	- 12								10,65			
	- 10 dB	- 22								7,16			
		- 32								10,65			

## Page 68 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

С	onditio	ns	Experiment										
I/P	Noise	Input	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Char	SNR	level											
	No	- 12	19,02	18,21					18,30				
	noise	- 22	16,97	17,99					17,76				
		- 32	18,03	17,59					17,85				
	Veh.	- 12											
	0 dB	- 22											
		- 32											
	Veh.	- 12	8,76										
	- 10 dB	- 22	10,01										
U-		- 32	9,51										
PCM	Veh.	- 12		14,22									
No	- 20 dB	- 22		14,70									
IRS		- 32		13,02									
	Off.	- 12											
	0 dB	- 22											
		- 32											
	Off.	- 12		7,59									
	- 10 dB	- 22		8,92									
		- 32		9,01									
	Off.	- 12	13,36										
	- 20 dB	- 22	14,55										
		- 32	12,97										
	Amb.	- 12								12,97			
	- 10 dB	- 22								50,00			
		- 32								14,20			

## E.2 TETRA codec complexity study

## E.2.1 Computational analysis results

#### E.2.1.1 TETRA speech encoder

## Table E.17: TETRA speech encoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 1

Encoder function	no of	S_DM 1	L_DM 2	round 1	abs_s 1	sh 1	add 1	mult
	calls							1
Coder_Tetra	1	1 506					428	160
Autocorr	1	771	13			512		
Az_Lsp	1	428			10	10	158	
Back_Fil	4	4	724				8	
Cal_Rr2	4	728	124			244	4	
Chebps	111	1 554						
Clsp_334	1	1 305	1 283			2	4 360	
Convolve	4	240	240					
D4i60_16	4	14 892			808	12 980	4 220	3 240
Ener_Qua	4	268	300			4	548	
Get_Lsp_Pol	16		496					
G_Code	4	4	16			8	8	
G_Pitch	4	8	16			8	16	
Inter8_1_3	8		8					
Inter8_M1_3	8		8					
Inter32_M1_3	240		240	240				
Int_Lpc4	2	4					12	
inv_sqrt	70					70	280	
Lag_Max (1)	1	190	129					
Lag_Max (2)	1	121	83					
Lag_Max (3)	1	61	43					
Lag_Window	1							
Levin_32	1	119	9				19	
Log2()	16						48	
Lpc_Gain	4	240	4					
Lsp_Az	8	16	80					
Norm_Corr (1)	1	721	39				13	
Norm_Corr (2,3,4)	3	3 063	162				54	
Pitch_Fr (1)	1	17	8				9	
Pitch_Fr (2,3,4)	3	81	24				42	
Pitch_OI_Dec	1	128	1			120	2	2
Pond_Ai	16	176		160				
pow2()	8						16	
Pred_Lt	4	240					8	
Residu	8							
Syn_Filt /upd=0	20	1 420						
Syn_Filt /upd=1	12	972						
Total 1		29 277	4 050	400	818	13 958	10 253	3 402
Pre_Process	4	480						240
Total 2		29 757	4 050	400	818	13 958	10 253	3 642

## Page 70 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Encoder function	mult_r	L_sh	L_add	L_abs	L_shr_r	L_mult	L_mult0
	1	2	2	3	3	1	1
Coder_Tetra		240	240		240	240	240
Autocorr	256	22					
Az_Lsp		10					110
Back_Fil		240	240	240			
Cal_Rr2							
Chebps		444					
Clsp_334			1 280				1 280
Convolve							
D4i60_16			480	1 376		3 232	728
Ener_Qua		24	260				264
Get_Lsp_Pol		160	320				
G_Code		496	480				480
G_Pitch		496	480				480
Inter8_1_3							
Inter8_M1_3							
Inter32_M1_3			240				
Int_Lpc4						60	
inv_sqrt		350					
Lag_Max (1)		1	63				
Lag_Max (2)		1	40				
Lag_Max (3)		1	20				
Lag_Window							
Levin_32		38	74	20			
Log2()		48					
Lpc_Gain							
Lsp_Az			160		80		
Norm_Corr (1)		13					708
Norm_Corr (2,3,4)		54					3 009
Pitch_Fr (1)			4				
Pitch_Fr (2,3,4)			12				
Pitch_OI_Dec		1	1				
Pond_Ai						160	
pow2()		16			8		
Pred_Lt							
Residu		480					
Syn_Filt /upd=0		1 200					
Syn_Filt /upd=1		720					
Total 1	256	5 055	4 394	1 636	328	3 692	7 299
Pre_Process		240					
Total 2	256	5 295	4 394	1 636	328	3 692	7 299

## Table E.18: TETRA speech encoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 2

Encoder function	L_mac	L_mac0	extract	L_dep	A_test	Slog	norm_s
	1	1	1	2	2	1	15
Coder_Tetra		240	240		9		
Autocorr		3 273			6		
Az_Lsp		10	60		253		10
Back_Fil		7 320	240		244		
Cal_Rr2	3 720	240	3 720				
Chebps			111				
Clsp_334		3 072			1 282		
Convolve		7 320					
D4i60_16	3 232	4 608	7 840		5 844		
Ener_Qua		744	36		276		
Get_Lsp_Pol							
G_Code		480	16		8		
G_Pitch		480	16		12		
Inter8_1_3		64					
Inter8_M1_3		64					
Inter32_M1_3		7 680					
Int_Lpc4	60		60				
inv_sqrt		70	140	70	140	140	
Lag_Max (1)		7 680	1		63		
Lag_Max (2)		4 920	1		40		
Lag_Max (3)		2 520	1		20		
Lag_Window							
Levin_32					10		
Log2()		16	48	16	16	16	
Lpc_Gain		240					
Lsp_Az			80				
Norm_Corr (1)		1 560	13		13		
Norm_Corr (2,3,4)		6 480	54		54		
Pitch_Fr (1)					12		
Pitch_Fr (2,3,4)					51		
Pitch_OI_Dec		191			4		
Pond_Ai							
pow2()	8		16	16		8	
Pred_Lt					12		
Residu	4 800		480				
Syn_Filt /upd=0		12 000	1 200		20		
Syn_Filt /upd=1		7 200	720		12		
Total 1	11 820	78 472	15 093	102	8 401	164	10
Pre_Process	240		720				
Total 2	12 060	78 472	15 813	102	8 401	164	10

Table E.19: TETRA	speech encoder instructions	breakdown analysis, part 3
-------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------

## Page 72 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Encoder function	norm_l 30	div_s 18	Load_sh 1	Load_sh16 1	add_sh 1	sub_sh 1
Coder_Tetra			240			
Autocorr	1					
Az_Lsp		10	60		45	5
Back_Fil	4					
Cal_Rr2	4					
Chebps			111		555	888
Clsp_334						
Convolve						
D4i60_16			2 860	416	9 696	7 840
Ener_Qua	8		16	16	32	20
Get_Lsp_Pol			16		80	
G_Code	16	4				
G_Pitch	16	4				
Inter8_1_3						
Inter8_M1_3						
Inter32_M1_3						
Int_Lpc4						
inv_sqrt	70					
Lag_Max (1)						
Lag_Max (2)						
Lag_Max (3)						
Lag_Window						
Levin_32					100	
Log2()	16					
Lpc_Gain						
Lsp_Az						
Norm_Corr (1)					708	
Norm_Corr (2,3,4)					3 009	
Pitch_Fr (1)			1			
Pitch_Fr (2,3,4)			3			
Pitch_OI_Dec						
Pond_Ai						
pow2()						
Pred_Lt						
Residu			480		480	
Syn_Filt /upd=0			1 200		1 200	
Syn_Filt /upd=1			720		720	
Total 1	135	18	5 707	432	16 625	8 753
Pre_Process			240		240	480
Total 2	135	18	5 947	432	16 865	9 233

## Table E.20: TETRA speech encoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 4
Encoder function	add_sh16 1	sub_sh16 1	L_comp 2	Store_hi 3	mpy_mix 4
Coder_Tetra					
Autocorr					
Az_Lsp	5	5		10	
Back_Fil					
Cal_Rr2					
Chebps					444
Clsp_334					
Convolve				240	
D4i60_16		1 376		728	
Ener_Qua		12		8	
Get_Lsp_Pol					160
G_Code					
G_Pitch					
Inter8_1_3					
Inter8_M1_3					
Inter32_M1_3					
Int_Lpc4					
inv_sqrt					
Lag_Max (1)					
Lag_Max (2)					
Lag_Max (3)					
Lag_Window					
Levin_32			20	10	
Log2()					
Lpc_Gain					
Lsp_Az					
Norm_Corr (1)				708	
Norm_Corr (2,3,4)				3 009	
Pitch_Fr (1)					
Pitch_Fr (2,3,4)					
Pitch_Ol_Dec					
Pond_Ai					
pow2()					
Pred_Lt					
Residu					
Syn_Filt /upd=0					
Syn_Filt /upd=1					
Total 1	5	1 393	20	4 713	604
Pre_Process					
Total 2	5	1 393	20	4 713	604

# Table E.21: TETRA speech encoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 5

## Page 74 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Encoder function	return16	return32	var16	var32
	1	2	1	2
Coder_letra	13		2	
Autocorr			3	13
Az_Lsp	111		294	
Back_Fil			1	121
Cal_Rr2				31
Chebps				
Clsp_334			1 282	1 283
Convolve				60
D4i60_16			3 597	
Ener_Qua		3	66	72
Get_Lsp_Pol				
G_Code			1	4
G_Pitch			2	4
Inter8_1_3				1
Inter8_M1_3				1
Inter32_M1_3				1
Int_Lpc4			2	
inv_sqrt				
Lag_Max (1)		1	63	128
Lag_Max (2)		1	40	82
Lag_Max (3)		1	20	42
Lag_Window				
Levin_32				9
Log2()				
Lpc_Gain				1
Lsp_Az			1	
Norm_Corr (1)		13	1	26
Norm_Corr (2,3,4)		18	1	36
Pitch_Fr (1)		4	15	4
Pitch_Fr (2,3,4)		4	25	4
Pitch_Ol_Dec	3		5	1
Pond_Ai				
pow2()				
Pred Lt				
Residu				
Syn_Filt /upd=0			1	
Syn_Filt /upd=1			1	
Total 1	127	45	5 423	1 924
Pre Process			120	
Total 2	127	45	5 543	1 924
			1	1

# Table E.22: TETRA speech encoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 6

Encoder function	array16 1	array32 2	pointer 1	pointer32 2
Coder_Tetra	847		644	
Autocorr	768			
Az_Lsp	12		11	
Back Fil		60		
Cal_Rr2	60		122	
Chebps				
Clsp_334			23	
Convolve	60			
D4i60_16	124		2	
Ener_Qua			1	
Get_Lsp_Pol				31
G_Code				
G_Pitch				
Inter8_1_3				
Inter8_M1_3				
Inter32_M1_3				
Int_Lpc4				
inv_sqrt				
Lag_Max (1)			127	
Lag_Max (2)			81	
Lag_Max (3)			41	
Lag_Window				
Levin_32	119			
Log2()				
Lpc_Gain	60			
Lsp_Az	1	10		
Norm_Corr (1)	720			
Norm_Corr (2,3,4)	1 020			
Pitch_Fr (1)			2	
Pitch_Fr (2,3,4)			2	
Pitch_OI_Dec	120			
Pond_Ai	11			
pow2()				
Pred_Lt	60			
Residu				
Syn_Filt /upd=0	60		10	
Syn_Filt /upd=1	70		10	
Total 1	4 112	70	1 076	31
Pre_Process				
Total 2	4 112	70	1 076	31

Table E.23: TETRA speech encoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 7

## Page 76 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Encoder function	L_extract	mpy_32	norm_v	div_32	total	complexity
	5	7	37	52	weight	(MOPS)
Coder_Tetra					4 992	0,1664
Autocorr	11				5 235	0,1745
Az_Lsp					1 792	0,0597
Back_Fil					11 308	0,3769
Cal_Rr2					9 024	0,3008
Chebps	444				8 103	0,2701
Clsp_334					17 709	0,5903
Convolve					8 760	0,292
D4i60_16					96 928	3,2309
Ener_Qua	8				3 984	0,1328
Get_Lsp_Pol	160				3 488	0,1163
G_Code					3 548	0,1183
G_Pitch					3 568	0,1189
Inter8_1_3					80	0,0027
Inter8_M1_3					80	0,0027
Inter32_M1_3					8 880	0,2960
Int_Lpc4					196	0,0065
inv_sqrt					3 920	0,1307
Lag_Max (1)	2	1			8 400	0,28
Lag_Max (2)	2	1			5 387	0,1796
Lag_Max (3)	2	1			2 767	0,0922
Lag_Window	10	10			120	0,004
Levin_32	85	110	10	10	2 715	0,0905
Log2()					768	0,0256
Lpc_Gain					488	0,0163
Lsp_Az					816	0,0272
Norm_Corr (1)	26	13			6 198	0,2066
Norm_Corr (2,3,4)	108	54			26 154	0,8718
Pitch_Fr (1)					75	0,0025
Pitch_Fr (2,3,4)					300	0,01
Pitch_Ol_Dec					457	0,0152
Pond_Ai					496	0,0165
pow2()					136	0,0045
Pred_Lt					272	0,0091
Residu					7 200	0,24
Syn_Filt /upd=0					19 460	0,6487
Syn_Filt /upd=1					11 796	0,3932
Total 1	858	190	10	10	285 600	9,52
Pre_Process					3 120	0,104
Total 2	858	190	10	10	288 720	9,624

# Table E.24: TETRA codec encoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 8

#### E.2.1.2 TETRA speech decoder

Decoder function	no of	S_DM	L_DM	round	sh	add	mult
	calls	1	2	1	1	1	1
Decod_Tetra	1	925				193	164
D_D4i60	4	256			16	12	
D_Lsp334	1	39			2	17	
Dec_Ener	4	8	32		4	20	
Get_Lsp_Pol	8		248				
Inter32_M1_3	240		240	240			
Int_Lpc4	1	2				6	
Log2()	8					24	
Lpc_Gain	4	240	4				
Lsp_Az	4	8	40				
Pond_Ai	8	88		80			
pow2()	8					16	
Pred_Lt	4	240				8	
Syn_Filt /upd=0	8	568					
Syn_Filt /upd=1	4	324					
Total 1		2 698	564	320	22	296	164
Post_Process	4					240	
Total 2		2 698	564	320	22	536	164

#### Table E.25: TETRA speech decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 1

#### Table E.26: TETRA speech decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 2

Decoder function	L_sh	L_add	L_shr_r	L_mult	L_mult0	L_mac
	2	2	3	1	1	1
Decod_Tetra			240		240	
D_D4i60		240			240	
D_Lsp334						
Dec_Ener	16	4			8	
Get_Lsp_Pol	80	160				
Inter32_M1_3		240				
Int_Lpc4				30		30
Log2()	24					
Lpc_Gain						
Lsp_Az		80	40			
Pond_Ai				80		
pow2()	16		8			8
Pred_Lt						
Syn_Filt /upd=0	480					
Syn_Filt /upd=1	240					
Total 1	856	724	288	110	488	38
Post_Process						
Total 2	856	724	288	110	488	38

## Page 78 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Decoder function	L_mac0	extract	L_dep	A_test	Slog	norm_l
	1	1	2	2	1	30
Decod_Tetra	240			12		
D_D4i60				4	16	
D_Lsp334				12		
Dec_Ener	480	28		24		8
Get_Lsp_Pol						
Inter32_M1_3	7 680					
Int_Lpc4		30				
Log2()	8	24	8	8	8	8
Lpc_Gain	240					
Lsp_Az		40				
Pond_Ai						
pow2()		16	16		8	
Pred_Lt				12		
Syn_Filt /upd=0	4 800	480		8		
Syn_Filt /upd=1	2 400	240		4		
Total 1	15 848	858	24	84	32	16
Post_Process						
Total 2	15 848	858	24	84	32	16

## Table E.27: TETRA speech decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 3

## Table E.28: TETRA speech decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 4

Decoder function	Load_sh	Load_sh16	add_sh	sub_sh	add_sh16
Decod Tetra	1	I	I		1
 D_D4i60			240	480	
D_Lsp334					
Dec_Ener	16	8	24	20	
Get_Lsp_Pol	8			40	
Inter32_M1_3					
Int_Lpc4					
Log2()					
Lpc_Gain					
Lsp_Az					
Pond_Ai					
pow2()					
Pred_Lt					
Syn_Filt /upd=0	480		480		
Syn_Filt /upd=1	240		240		
Total 1	744	8	984	540	0
Post_Process					
Total 2	744	8	984	540	0

Decoder function	return16	return32	var16	var32
	1	2	1	2
Decod_Tetra			24	
D_D4i60				
D_Lsp334			12	
Dec_Ener	3	2	5	
Get_Lsp_Pol				
Inter32_M1_3				1
Int_Lpc4			2	
Log2()				
Lpc_Gain				1
Lsp_Az			1	
Pond_Ai				
pow2()				
Pred_Lt				
Syn_Filt /upd=0			1	
Syn_Filt /upd=1			1	
Total 1	3	2	48	
Post_Process				
Total 2	3	2	48	2

Table E.29: TETRA speech decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 5

# Table E.30: TETRA speech decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 6

Decoder function	array16	array32	pointer16	pointer32
Decod_Tetra	255	2	646	۷
D_D4i60	60		4	
D_Lsp334	24		3	
Dec_Ener				
Get_Lsp_Pol				31
Inter32_M1_3				
Int_Lpc4				
Log2()				
Lpc_Gain	60			
Lsp_Az	1	10		
Pond_Ai	11			
pow2()				
Pred_Lt	60			
Syn_Filt /upd=0			10	
Syn_Filt /upd=1			10	
Total 1	471	10	673	31
Post_Process				
Total 2	471	10	673	31

## Page 80 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

Decoder function	sub_sh16 1	Store_hi 3	mpy_mix 4	L_extract 5	total weight	complexity (MOPS)
Decod_Tetra					2 506	0,0835
D_D4i60		240			2 468	0,0823
D_Lsp334					82	0,0027
Dec_Ener	8	8		8	1 080	0,036
Get_Lsp_Pol			80	80	1 744	0,0581
Inter32_M1_3					8 880	0,296
Int_Lpc4					98	0,0033
Log2()					384	0,0128
Lpc_Gain					488	0,0163
Lsp_Az					408	0,0136
Pond_Ai					248	0,0083
pow2()					136	0,0045
Pred_Lt					272	0,0091
Syn_Filt /upd=0					7 784	0,2595
Syn_Filt /upd=1					3 932	0,1311
Total 1	8	248	80	88	30 510	1,017
Post_Process					240	0,008
Total 2	8	248	80	88	30 750	1,025

#### Table E.31: TETRA speech decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 7

## E.2.1.3 TETRA channel encoder and decoder

## Table E.32: TETRA speech channel encoder and decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 1

Subroutine called	Encoder	Decoder	add 1	sub 1	negate	shl 1	shr 1	L_mult
Build Sensitivity Classes	1	Call3				•	•	•
Build_Crc	1		240					
Init_Rcpc_Coding			64	2		66		
Init_Rcpc			64	2		66		
Rcpc_Coding	1		184	184			185	184
Transform_Class_0	1							
Eid				432				
Interleaving_Speech	1							
Init_Rcpc_Decoding			64	2		66		
Rcpc_Decoding		1	17 664	11 299	17 994	330		
Untransform_Class_0		1						
Bfi		1	240	8				
Desinter- leaving		1						
Unbuild_Sensitivity_Classes		1						
Combination					5	5		
Channel encoder								
Channel decoder								

Subroutine called	extract_l	extract_h	L_shl	L_dep_l	A_test	Slog	var16
	1	1	2	2	2	1	1
Build_Sensitivity_Classes							
Build_Crc						8	8
Init_Rcpc_Coding						32	2
Init_Rcpc						32	2
Rcpc_Coding	184				698	184	27
Transform_Class_0					102		
Eid					432		
Interleaving_Speech							
Init_Rcpc_Decoding						32	1
Rcpc_Decoding		184	184	184	34 784		32 923
Untransform_Class_0					102		
Bfi					8	8	17
Desinter-leaving							
Unbuild_Sensitivity_Classes							
Combination					5	6	1
Channel encoder							
Channel decoder							

#### Table E.33: TETRA speech channel encoder and decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 2

## Table E.34: TETRA speech channel encoder and decoder instructions breakdown analysis, part 3

Subroutine called	S_DM	array16	Combination	Total
	1	1	27	weight
Build_Sensitivity_Classes	274	274		274
Build_Crc	16	8		264
Init_Rcpc_Coding		132	96	5 482
Init_Rcpc		132	96	5 482
Rcpc_Coding	973	946		3 474
Transform_Class_0	204	204		408
Eid		432		1 728
Interleaving_Speech	432	432		432
Init_Rcpc_Decoding		128	96	5 477
Rcpc_Decoding	63 217	30 294		180 992
Untransform_Class_0	204	204		408
Bfi	17			289
Desinter-leaving	432	432		432
Unbuild_Sensitivity_Classes	274	274		274
Combination				27
Channel encoder				4 852
Channel decoder				182 395

#### E.2.2 Memory requirements analysis results

#### E.2.2.1 TETRA speech codec

#### Table E.35: TETRA speech encoder memory usage breakdown

Path	Encoder	Scratch RAM	Static RAM	ROM
worst	global variables	24	2 270	4 942
worst	Coder_Tetra	910		
worst	Autocorr	261		
	Lag_Window	3		
	Levin_32	59		
	Az_Lsp	30		
	Chebps	10		
	Clsp_334	9		
	Int_Lpc4	17		
	Lsp_Az	28		
	Get_Lsp_Pol	6		
	Pond_Ai	1		
	Residu	4		
	Syn_Filt	86		
	Pitch_Ol_Dec	131		
	Lag_Max	13		
	Inv_sqrt	8		
	Pitch_Fr	52		
	Norm_Corr	89		
	Convolve	4		
	Inv_sqrt	8		
	Inter8_M1_3	3		8
	Inter8_1_3	3		8
	Pred_Lt	1		
	Inter32_M1_3	3		32
	Inter32_1_3	3		32
	G_Pitch	10		
	Cal_Rr2	71		
	Back_Fil	126		
	D4i60_16	48		
	G_Code	10		
	Ener_Qua	24		
	Lpc_Gain	64		
	Syn_Filt	86		
	Log2	8		
	pow2	8		
Worst case	total in Word16	1 195	2 270	5 022
Worst case	total in kbytes	2,39	4,54	10,044

NOTE: ROM for speech encoder is already stored in speech decoder.

Path	Decoder	Scratch RAM	Static RAM	ROM
worst	global variables	264	463	4 556
worst	Decod_tetra	272		
	D_Lsp_334	3		
	Int_Lpc4	17		
	Lsp_Az	28		
	Get_Lsp_Pol	6		
	Pred_Lt	1		
	Inter32_M1_3	3		32
	Inter32_1_3	3		32
	Pond_Ai	1		
	Syn_Filt	86		
	D_D4i60	11		
	G_Code	10		
w	Dec_Ener	14	2	
w	Lpc_Gain	64		
w	Syn_Filt	86		
	Log2	8		
	pow2	8		
Worst case	total in Word16	700	465	4 620
Worst case	total in kbytes	1.4	0.93	9.24

#### Table E.36: TETRA speech decoder memory usage breakdown

#### E.2.2.3 TETRA speech channel encoder

#### Table E.37: TETRA speech channel encoder memory usage breakdown

Path	Encoder	Scratch RAM	Static RAM	ROM
worst	global variables			513
worst	Channel_Encoding	718	706	
	Build_Sensitivity_Classes	1		
	Transform_Class_0	1		
	Build_Crc	2		
worst	Rcpc_Coding	7		
	Interleaving_Speech	2		
Worst case	total in Word16	725	706	513
Worst case	total in kbytes	1,45	1,412	1,026

NOTE: ROM for both channel encoder and decoder is stored only once.

## E.2.2.4 TETRA speech channel decoder

# Table E.38: TETRA speech channel decoder memory usage breakdown

Path	Decoder	Scratch RAM	Static RAM	ROM
worst	global variables			513
worst	Channel_Decoding	718	718	
	Desinterleaving_Speech	2		
worst	Rcpc_Decoding	19		
	Bfi	3		
	Untransform_Class_0	1		
	Unbuild_Sensitivity_Classes	1		
Worst case	total in Word16	737	718	513
Worst case	total in kbytes	1,474	1,436	1,026

# Annex F (informative): Description of attached computer diskette

## F.1 Directory C-WORD

This directory contains a hard copy of the C code files associated with this ETS. It has been prepared by ETSI Project Team (PT) 29 and is written in Microsoft <sup>™</sup> Word for Windows 2 format. The master document file is named C\_WORD.WIN and will automatically read in a number of sub documents. These documents have not been edited by the ETSI Secretariat.

The master document may be printed, but to preserve the page referencing should only be printed on a HP Laserjet III D, or equivalent, on A4 paper.

# F.2 Directory C-CODE

This directory contains the C code files as described in clause 8, and listed in the indexes provided in annex B.

# Page 86 Draft prETS 300 395-2: September 1995

# History

Document history					
September 1995	Public Enquiry	PE 92:	1995-09-25 to 1996-01-19		
May 1996	Converted into Adobe Acrobat Portable Document Format (PDF)				