



EUROPEAN
TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARD

DRAFT
pr **ETS 300 394-2-4**

December 1996

Source: ETSI TC-RES

Reference: DE/RES-06009-2-4

ICS: 33.020

Key words: TETRA, V+D, voice, data, protocol, testing, TTCN

**Radio Equipment and Systems (RES);
Trans-European Trunked Radio (TETRA);
Conformance testing specification;
Part 2: Protocol testing specification for Voice plus Data (V+D);
Part 2-4: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) for
Medium Access Control (MAC)**

ETSI

European Telecommunications Standards Institute

ETSI Secretariat

Postal address: F-06921 Sophia Antipolis CEDEX - FRANCE

Office address: 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis - Valbonne - FRANCE

X.400: c=fr, a=atlas, p=etsi, s=secretariat - **Internet:** secretariat@etsi.fr

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 - Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Copyright Notification: No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 1996. All rights reserved.

Contents

Foreword	5
1 Scope	7
2 Normative references	7
3 Definitions and abbreviations	8
3.1 TETRA definitions	8
3.2 TETRA abbreviations	8
3.3 ISO 9646 definitions	8
3.4 ISO 9646 abbreviations	8
4 Abstract Test Method (ATM)	9
4.1 Lower Tester (LT)	9
4.2 Upper Tester (UT)	9
4.3 Test Co-ordination Procedures (TCP)	9
4.4 Point of Control and Observation (PCO)	10
5 ATS conventions	10
5.1 Naming conventions	10
5.1.1 Declarations part	10
5.1.1.1 Test suite type and structured type definitions	10
5.1.1.2 Test suite operations definitions	10
5.1.1.3 Test suite parameter declarations	10
5.1.1.4 Test case selection expression definitions	11
5.1.1.5 Test suite constant declarations	11
5.1.1.6 Test suite variable declarations	11
5.1.1.7 Test case variable declarations	11
5.1.1.8 PCO declarations	11
5.1.1.9 Timer declarations	11
5.1.1.10 ASP type definitions	12
5.1.1.11 PDU type definitions	12
5.1.1.12 Alias definitions	12
5.1.2 Constraints part	12
5.1.3 Dynamic part	12
5.1.3.1 Test case identifier	13
5.1.3.2 Test step identifier	13
5.1.3.3 Default identifier	13
5.1.3.4 ATS abbreviations	13
5.2 TC and TP mapping	13
Annex A (normative): ATS for TETRA MAC	14
A.1 The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR)	14
A.2 The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP)	14
Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma for TETRA MAC	15
B.1 Identification summary	15
B.2 ATS summary	15
B.3 Test laboratory	15
B.4 Client identification	15

B.5	SUT.....	15
B.6	Protocol layer information	16
B.6.1	Protocol identification	16
B.6.2	IUT information.....	16
Annex C (normative):	Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma for TETRA MAC	17
C.1	Identification summary.....	17
C.1.1	Protocol conformance test report.....	17
C.1.2	IUT identification.....	17
C.1.3	Testing environment.....	17
C.1.4	Limits and reservation	18
C.1.5	Comments.....	18
C.2	IUT conformance status	18
C.3	Static conformance summary	18
C.4	Dynamic conformance summary	18
C.5	Static conformance review report	19
C.6	Test campaign report.....	19
C.7	Observations.....	19
Annex D (informative):	Bibliography	20
History		21

Foreword

This draft European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) has been produced by the Radio Equipment and Systems (RES) Technical Committee of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), and is now submitted for the Public Enquiry phase of the ETSI standards approval procedure.

Every ETS prepared by ETSI is a voluntary standard. This ETS contains text concerning conformance testing of the equipment to which it relates. This text should be considered only as guidance and does not make this ETS mandatory.

This ETS will consist of three parts:

Part 1: "Radio";

Part 2: "Protocol testing specification Voice plus Data (V+D)";

Part 3: "Protocol testing specification (PDO)", (DE/RES-06009-3).

Proposed transposition dates	
Date of latest announcement of this ETS (doa):	3 months after ETSI publication
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this ETS (dop/e):	6 months after doa
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	6 months after doa

Blank page

1 Scope

This European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) contains the Abstract Test Suite (ATS) to test the TETRA Medium Access Control (MAC) layer. The MAC protocol is specified in ETS 300 392-2 [2]. The Test Suite Structure (TSS) and Test Purposes (TPs) for this ATS are defined in ETS 300 394-2-1 [1].

The objective of this test specification is to provide a basis for approval tests for TETRA equipment giving a high probability of air interface inter-operability between different manufacturer's TETRA equipment.

The ISO standard for the methodology of conformance testing, ISO/IEC 9646-1 [3], ISO/IEC 9646-2 [4], ISO/IEC 9646-3 [5] and ISO/IEC 9646-5 [6], as well as the ETSI rules for conformance testing, ETS 300 406 [8] and ETR 141 (see annex D), are used as a basis for the test methodology.

Annex A provides the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) part of this ATS.

Annex B provides the Partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) Proforma of this ATS.

Annex C provides the Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) Proforma of this ATS.

2 Normative references

This ETS incorporates by dated and undated reference, provisions from other publications. These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this ETS only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

- [1] ETS 300 394-2-1: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Trans-European Trunked Radio (TETRA) system; Conformance testing specification; Part 2: Protocol testing specification for Voice plus Data (V+D); Part 2-1: Test suites structure and test purposes".
- [2] ETS 300 392-2: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Trans-European Trunked Radio (TETRA) system; Voice plus Data (V+D); Part 2: Air Interface (AI)".
- [3] ISO/IEC 9646-1 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework - Part 1: General Concepts". (See also CCITT Recommendation X.290 (1991)).
- [4] ISO/IEC 9646-2 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework - Part 2: Abstract Test Suite Specification". (See also CCITT Recommendation X.291 (1991)).
- [5] ISO/IEC 9646-3 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 3: The tree and tabular combined notation". (See also CCITT Recommendation X.292 (1992)).
- [6] ISO/IEC 9646-5 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 5: Requirements on test laboratories and clients for the conformance assessment process". (See also CCITT Recommendation X.292 (1992)).
- [7] ISO/IEC 9646-6 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 6: Protocol profile test specification".
- [8] ETS 300 406: "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); Protocol and profile conformance testing specifications; Standardization methodology".

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 TETRA definitions

For the purposes of this ETS, the definitions given in ETS 300 392-2 [2] apply.

3.2 TETRA abbreviations

For the purposes of this ETS, the following TETRA abbreviations apply:

LLC	Logical Link Control
MAC	Medium Access Control
MS	Mobile Station
SDU	Service Data Unit

3.3 ISO 9646 definitions

For the purposes of this ETS, the following ISO/IEC 9646-1 [3] definitions apply:

Abstract Test Suite (ATS)
Abstract Test Method (ATM)
Implementation Conformance Statement (ICS)
Implementation Under Test (IUT)
Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (IXIT)
Lower Tester (LT)
PICS proforma
PIXIT proforma
Point of Control and Observation (PCO)
Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS)
Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT)
Service Access Point (SAP)
Single Party Testing (SPyT)
System Under Test (SUT)
Upper Tester (UT)

For the purposes of this ETS, the following ISO/IEC 9646-3 [5] definitions apply:

TTCN.GR
TTCN.MP

For the purposes of this ETS, the following ISO/IEC 9646-5 [6] definitions apply:

Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR)
PCTR proforma

3.4 ISO 9646 abbreviations

For the purposes of this ETS, the following ISO/IEC 9646-1 [3] abbreviations apply:

ASP	Abstract Service Primitive
ATM	Abstract Test Method
ATS	Abstract Test Suite
IUT	Implementation Under Test
LT	Lower Tester
NWK	Network Layer
PCO	Point of Control and Observation
PDU	Protocol Data Unit
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statements
PIXIT	Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing
SAP	Service Access Point
SPyT	Single Party Testing
SUT	System Under Test

TC	Test Case
TP	Test Purpose
TSS	Test Suite Structure
TTCN	Tree and Tabular Combined Notation
UT	Upper Tester

For the purposes of this ETS, the following ISO/IEC 9646-5 [6] abbreviation applies:

PCTR	Protocol Conformance Test Report
------	----------------------------------

4 Abstract Test Method (ATM)

This clause describes the ATM used for testing the TETRA MAC protocol. It is the embedded variant of the remote test method used in Single Party Testing (SPyT) context, as defined in ISO/IEC 9646-2 [4], clause 11. This test method has been selected, because:

- this test method implies no specific requirements from the Implementation Under Test (IUT);
- the upper Service Access Point (SAP) of the IUT cannot be directly observed;
- the variety of the possible TETRA implementations is a serious technical obstacle for the adoption of a different ATM;
- this test method places minimum limitations in the realization of conformance testing.

The selected test method is illustrated in figure 1.




Figure 1: Remote SPyT test method for TETRA MAC

4.1 Lower Tester (LT)

A LT is located in a remote TETRA test system. It controls and observes the behaviour of the IUT.

4.2 Upper Tester (UT)

There is no explicit UT in the remote test method, but the TETRA Network (NWK) layer, Logical Link Control (LLC) layer and the layers above inside the System Under Test (SUT) are used implicitly for testing the MAC layer.

4.3 Test Co-ordination Procedures (TCP)

The implicit send events defined by the provider of an implementation in annex B serve the purpose of the TCP. They are used as an input to the IUT communicating with the UT to initiate test events at the MAC layer.

In addition to the implicit send events, some NWK layer Protocol Data Units (PDUs) sent inside the MAC Service Data Units (SDUs) to the IUT are used for test co-ordination purposes. These PDUs, as sent to the IUT, should produce NWK layer responses, which then further on should cause MAC PDU transmissions by the IUT that are observable by the LT. The same functionality is achieved using the LLC acknowledgements in some test cases.

4.4 Point of Control and Observation (PCO)

The PCO for MAC layer testing is located inside the MAC protocol, i.e. between the upper and lower MAC. All test events at the PCO carrying service user data are specified in terms of MAC layer PDUs. Only few Abstract Service Primitives (ASPs) are defined for control or observation purposes. The mapping of the MAC PDUs into the physical layer frame structure is left to the test implementation.

5 ATS conventions

This clause describes the conventions applied to define the ATS and gives the naming conventions chosen for the different elements of the ATS.

The ATS conventions are intended to give a better understanding of the ATS but they describe also the conventions made for the development of the ATS, thus for any later maintenance purposes or further development of the ATS, the conventions described in this clause shall be considered.

5.1 Naming conventions

5.1.1 Declarations part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS declarations part.

5.1.1.1 Test suite type and structured type definitions

The test suite type and test suite structured type identifiers describe the information elements, and each whole word included in the name is written in lowercase starting by an uppercase letter:

EXAMPLE:	MultiFrameType	simple type;
	SSI_Type	simple type;
	MAC_ResourceType	structured type;
	TM_SDU_Type	structured type.

In the case an abbreviation is included in the declaration name, there is an underscore ("_") before and/or after it, separating it from the rest of the identifier. This rule with abbreviations apply to all the naming conventions in the whole test suite.

5.1.1.2 Test suite operations definitions

The test suite operation identifiers are composed of strings in uppercase letters starting by the uppercase string "TSO_". The different strings in the definition are separated with underscores.

EXAMPLE:	TSO_FIRST_FRAGMENT
----------	--------------------

5.1.1.3 Test suite parameter declarations

The test suite parameter identifiers are composed of strings in uppercase letters starting by the uppercase string "PIC_" or "PIX_" and separated by underscores.

If the test suite parameter references a PICS item, the prefix "PIC_" is used.

EXAMPLE:	PIC_MINIMUM_MODE
----------	------------------

If a test suite parameter references a PIXIT item, the prefix "PIX_" is used.

EXAMPLE: PIX_HOME_MCC

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

5.1.1.4 Test case selection expression definitions

The naming conventions for the test case selection expression definitions use free text starting with an uppercase letter. The name of the expression shall explain clearly the selection rule. The test case selection expressions are generally logical combinations of the test suite parameter definitions.

5.1.1.5 Test suite constant declarations

The test suite constant identifiers are composed of strings in uppercase letters starting by the uppercase string "TSC_".

EXAMPLE: TSC_SSI
 TSC_ALL_SUBSCRIBER_CLASSES

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used. However, in the parameters including a dot character, the dot is replaced by an underscore.

5.1.1.6 Test suite variable declarations

The test suite variable identifiers are composed of string in lowercase letters starting by the lowercase string "tsv_".

EXAMPLE: tsv_llc_ul_sdu_number

If the test suite variable represents a system parameter or value, the name defined in the specifications is used. However, in the variables including a dot character, the dot is replaced by an underscore.

5.1.1.7 Test case variable declarations

The test case variable identifiers are composed of strings in lowercase letters starting by the lowercase string "tcv_".

EXAMPLE: tcv_boolean

5.1.1.8 PCO declarations

The only point of control and observation is named LMAC, with "L" referring to LT.

5.1.1.9 Timer declarations

Two kinds of timers can be distinguished:

1) standardized:

 those defined in the standard, e.g. T.201, use the same name as in the standard, beginning with a capital "T", except that the dot is replaced by an underscore.

As there is a tolerance margin accepted for these timers, generally two values are needed:

- the maximum value allowed, which will use the suffix "_Max";
- the minimum value allowed, which will use the suffix "_Min";

EXAMPLE 1: T_201_Min, T_201_Max

2) non-standardized:

those not defined in the standard, i.e. for execution use, e.g. a timer waiting for a response. These timers begin with the prefix "T_", followed by a string in lowercase letters with each word in the following string starting with an uppercase letter.

EXAMPLE 2: T_WaitSignallingOpportunities

5.1.1.10 ASP type definitions

No ASP definitions are used in the ATS.

5.1.1.11 PDU type definitions

The identifier of a PDU is given in a string in uppercase letters, which represents the layer message.

EXAMPLE 1: MAC_ACCESS for the uplink MAC random access PDU reception
 MAC_RESOURCE for the downlink MAC PDU transmission

Where the message is a composite word, an underscore character appears in the string.

EXAMPLE 2: MAC_END_DOWN is downlink last fragment PDU transmission

5.1.1.12 Alias definitions

No alias definitions are used in the test suite.

5.1.2 Constraints part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS constraints part.

Constraint identifiers commence with uppercase. The remaining part of the name is separated from the beginning with an underscore and is written in lowercase with each word starting with an uppercase letter.

Identifier names of elements concerning the same subject have equivalent names in the declaration and the constraint part:

- Declaration part: ACCESS_ASSIGN_Type;
- Constraint part: ACCESS_ASSIGN_frm_1_17_min_mode.

If formal parameter lists are used, the variable names are written in lowercase. The variable name is the same as the name of the element it is representing starting with prefix "cpa_".

EXAMPLE: MM_Group_ID_Downlink (cpa_GSSI: GSSI_Type)

5.1.3 Dynamic part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS dynamic part.

5.1.3.1 Test case identifier

The identifier of a TC is built according to table 2:

Table 2: TC naming convention

<ts>_<x>_<s>_<nn>		
<ts>	= test suite	MAC Medium Access Control layer
x	= Type of testing	CA Capability tests BV Valid Behaviour tests BI Invalid Behaviour tests TI Timer expiry and counter mismatch tests
s	= test subgroup (as many subgroups as required)	as defined in the test suite structure
<nn>	= sequential number (01-99)	TC Number

5.1.3.2 Test step identifier

The test step identifier is built with a string of lowercase letters led by a string of capital letter and joined by an underscore character. The first string indicates the main function of the test step; e.g. PRE for preamble and STP for general step. The second string indicates the meaning of the step.

EXAMPLES: PRE_IUT_Reset;
STP_AllReservedAccessAssign.

5.1.3.3 Default identifier

Only one default identifier is used, namely: OtherwiseFail.

5.1.3.4 ATS abbreviations

These abbreviations are used to shorten identifier names:

la	location area;
mcc	mobile country code;
mnc	mobile network code;
ul	uplink;
dl	downlink.

5.2 TC and TP mapping

There is a one-to-one mapping between the TC identifiers and the TP identifiers. The correspondence rule is given by the following examples:

TP identifier	TC identifier
TP/MAC/CA-01	MAC_CA_01
TP/MAC/BV/MI-02	MAC_BV_MI_02
TP/MAC/BI/AD-01	MAC_BI_AD_01

Annex A (normative): ATS for TETRA MAC

The ATS is written in TTCN according to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [5].

As the ATS was developed on a separate TTCN tool the TTCN tables are not completely referenced in the contents table of this ETS. The ATS itself contains a test suite overview part which provides additional information and references.

A.1 The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR)

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in a Postscript file (dep39424.ps) which accompanies this ETS.

NOTE: This file is located in a compressed archive file named 39424ep.lzh. The program used to create the archive file is LHA v. 2.13. Other file formats are available on request.

A.2 The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP)

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII text file (dep39424.mp) which accompanies this ETS.

NOTE 1: This file is located in a compressed archive file named 39424ep.lzh. The program used to create the archive file is LHA v. 2.13. Other file formats are available on request.

NOTE 2: According to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [5], in case of a conflict in interpretation of the operational semantics of TTCN.GR and TTCN.MP, the operational semantics of the TTCN.GR representation takes precedence.

Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma for TETRA MAC

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of this ETS, ETSI grants that users of this ETS may freely reproduce the PIXIT proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PIXIT.

The PIXIT proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6 [7]. Any additional information needed can be found in this international standard.

B.1 Identification summary

Table B.1

PIXIT number:	
Test laboratory name:	
Date of issue:	
Issued to:	

B.2 ATS summary

Table B.2

Protocol specification:	ETS 300 392-2
Protocol to be tested:	
ATS specification:	ETS 300 394-2-4
Abstract test method:	Remote test method, embedded variant

B.3 Test laboratory

Table B.3

Test laboratory identification:	
Test laboratory manager:	
Means of testing:	
SAP address:	

B.4 Client identification

Table B.4

Client identification:	
Client test manager:	
Test facilities required:	

B.5 SUT

Table B.5

Name:	
Version:	
SCS number:	
Machine configuration:	
Operating system identification:	
IUT identification:	
PICS reference for IUT:	
Limitations of the SUT:	
Environmental conditions:	

B.6 Protocol layer information

B.6.1 Protocol identification

Table B.6

Name:	TETRA - Medium Access Control (MAC) layer - ETS 300 392-2
Version:	
PICS references:	

B.6.2 IUT information

Table B.7: Parameter values

Item	Parameter	Parameter type	Explanation	Value or reference
1	PIX_GSSI_1	GSSI_Type	A unique group identifier, accepted by the MS. Needed to enable fragmentation	
2	PIX_GSSI_2	GSSI_Type	A unique group identifier, accepted by the MS. Needed to enable fragmentation	
3	PIX_GSSI_3	GSSI_Type	A unique group identifier, accepted by the MS. Needed to enable fragmentation	
4	PIX_SSI	SSI_Type	The ITSI value of the IUT	
5	PIX_HOME_LA	MM_LocationAreaType	The home location area of the MS	
6	PIX_HOME_MCC	MM_MCC_Type	The home mobile country code	
7	PIX_HOME_MNC	MM_MNC_Type	The home mobile network code	
8	PIX_NEW_LOCA TION_AREA_1	MM_LocationAreaType	A unique registration area in the MS home MCC and MNC	
9	PIX_NEW_LOCA TION_AREA_2	MM_LocationAreaType	A unique registration area in the MS home MCC and MNC	
10	PIX_NEW_LOCA TION_AREA_3	MM_LocationAreaType	A unique registration area in the MS home MCC and MNC	

Annex C (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma for TETRA MAC

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of this ETS, ETSI grants that users of this ETS may freely reproduce the PCTR proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PCTR.

The PCTR proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6 [7]. Any additional information needed can be found in this international standard.

C.1 Identification summary

C.1.1 Protocol conformance test report

Table C.1

PCTR number:	
PCTR date:	
Corresponding SCTR number:	
Corresponding SCTR date:	
Test laboratory identification:	
Test laboratory manager:	
Signature:	

C.1.2 IUT identification

Table C.2

Name:	
Version:	
Protocol specification:	
PICS:	
Previous PCTR if any:	

C.1.3 Testing environment

Table C.3

PIXIT number:	
ATS specification:	
Abstract test method:	Remote test method, embedded variant
Means of testing identification:	
Date of testing:	
Conformance log reference(s):	
Retention date for log reference(s):	

C.1.4 Limits and reservation

Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

C.1.5 Comments

Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

C.2 IUT conformance status

This IUT has or has not been shown by conformance assessment to be non-conforming to the specified protocol specification.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this IUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements as specified in clause C.3 in this report and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded in clause C.6 strike the words "has or". Otherwise strike the words "or has not".

C.3 Static conformance summary

The PICS for this IUT is or is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.

C.4 Dynamic conformance summary

The test campaign did or did not reveal errors in the IUT.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded in clause C.6 of this report strike the words "did or" otherwise strike the words "or did not".

Summary of the results of groups of test:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Annex D (informative): Bibliography

- EWOS/ETSI Project Team No 5: "Project Report and Technical Report. OSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Procedures in Europe".
- ETR 022 (1991): "Advanced Testing Methods (ATM); Vocabulary of terms used in communications protocols conformance testing".
- ETR 141 (1994): "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); Protocol and profile conformance testing specifications; The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) style guide".

History

Document history	
December 1996	Public Enquiry PE 121: 1996-12-30 to 1997-04-25