

EUROPEAN TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARD

ETS 300 347-1

September 1994

Source: ETSI TC-SPS

ICS: 33.020, 33.080

Key words: V interface, V5 interface, LE, AN

Reference: DE/SPS-03003.2

Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS); V interfaces at the digital Local Exchange (LE) V5.2 interface for the support of Access Network (AN) Part 1: V5.2 interface specification

ETSI

European Telecommunications Standards Institute

ETSI Secretariat

Postal address: F-06921 Sophia Antipolis CEDEX - FRANCE **Office address:** 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis - Valbonne - FRANCE **X.400:** c=fr, a=atlas, p=etsi, s=secretariat - **Internet:** secretariat@etsi.fr

Tel.: +33 92 94 42 00 - Fax: +33 93 65 47 16

Copyright Notification: No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

New presentation - see History box

Page 2 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

Whilst every care has been taken in the preparation and publication of this document, errors in content, typographical or otherwise, may occur. If you have comments concerning its accuracy, please write to "ETSI Editing and Committee Support Dept." at the address shown on the title page.

Contents

Forew	vord			11			
Introd	uction			11			
1	Scope			15			
2	Normativ	e references		16			
3			nd abbreviations				
	3.1						
	3.2	Symbols and	d abbreviations	18			
4	Electrical	and physica	l interface requirements	19			
5	Procedur		equirements				
	5.1	Link control	requirements and procedures				
		5.1.1	Link-ID verification				
		5.1.2	Link blocking	20			
6	Services	and architect	ture aspects and requirements	20			
0	6.1		services				
	0.1	6.1.1	PSTN				
		6.1.2	ISDN Basic Access (ISDN-BA)				
		6.1.3	ISDN Primary Rate Access (ISDN-PRA)				
	6.2 Permanent Line (PL) capability						
	6.3		nent leased line				
	6.4	Permanent l	eased line service	21			
7	Control and provisioning						
1	7.1		siples				
	/.1	7.1.1	General requirements and assumptions				
		7.1.2	Control of ISDN-BA user port for the PL capability				
		7.1.3	Control of ISDN-PRA user ports when the PL capability is provided				
			7.1.3.1 Statements and assumptions				
			7.1.3.2 ISDN and PL capability				
	7.2	Provisioning	strategy and requirements	25			
		7.2.1	General	25			
		7.2.2	Provisioning requirements				
	7.3		nel Connection (BCC)				
	7.4	Protection		26			
8	Protocol	architactura	and multiplexing structure	26			
0	8.1		escription				
	8.2		uirements for PSTN and ISDN				
	8.3						
	8.4		ocation for physical communication channels				
	••••	8.4.1	Data types for V5.2 C-paths				
		8.4.2	Communication paths when PSTN is provided on a V5.2 interface				
		8.4.3	Communication paths when ISDN is provided on a V5.2 interface	29			
	8.5		ayering and multiplexing on communication channels	30			
	8.6		iplexing				
	8.7	•	control				
		8.7.1	Flow control end to end				
		8.7.2	Congestion control on the V5.2 interface				
		8.7.3	Blocking of ISDN user ports at layer 2	30			

Page 4 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

9	Envelope	e Function su	blayer of LAP\	/5 (LAPV5-EF)		30			
10	Data Link sublayer of LAPV5 (LAPV5-DL)								
	10.1 Frame structure for peer-to-peer communication								
	10.2 Invalid frames								
	10.3	Elements of procedures and formats of fields for data link sublayer peer-to-peer							
		10.3.1							
		10.3.2							
			10.3.2.1		ension bit (EA)				
			10.3.2.2		nse field bit				
	10.4	Definition of	10.3.2.3		e data link sublayer				
				•	·				
11	AN frame	e relay sublay	/er			32			
12	Sublayer	-to-sublayer	communicatior	n and mapping func	tion	32			
13									
	13.1								
	13.2				ssage (header)				
		13.2.1			n element				
		13.2.2 13.2.3			nent ent				
	13.3				en				
	13.4				ormation content				
	13.5								
	10.0	000000							
14	PSTN się	gnalling proto	col specificatio	on and layer 3 multip	plexing	35			
15	Control r	oquiromonto	and protocol			25			
15	15.1								
	15.2		ISDN-BA user port status indication and control PSTN user port status indication and control						
	15.3				and control				
	10.0	15.3.1							
		15.3.2	Events and fu	inction elements rel	evant for the control of the state				
		45.0.0							
		15.3.3			ISDN port) and LE (ISDN port)				
			15.3.3.1		e states control states				
			10.3.3.2	15.3.3.2.1	ISDN-PRA user port FSM - AN (ISE				
				10.0.2.1	port)				
				15.3.3.2.2	ISDN-PRA user port FSM - LE (ISD				
					port)				
			15.3.3.3	Principles and pr	ocedures				
				15.3.3.3.1	General	41			
				15.3.3.3.2	Blocking	41			
				15.3.3.3.3	Blocking request				
				15.3.3.3.4	Co-ordinated unblocking				
				15.3.3.3.5	User failure/network failure indication	on 42			
				15.3.3.3.6	Support of the permanent line				
					capability				
			15.3.3.4		at the AN				
		45.0.4	15.3.3.5		at the LE				
	45.4	15.3.4							
	15.4 15.5								
	15.5	v5.2 ie-piov	nsioning proce	dules		40			
16									
	16.1		nents						
		16.1.1							
		16.1.2			nd signals				
		16.1.3	V5.2 interface	e layer 1 link FSM		48			

Page 5 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

16.2	16.1.4 Link control			the additional functions	
	16.2.1	The link blockin	g and unblocking		50
	16.2.2				51
	16.2.3			vant for the control of the link state	
	40.0.4				
	16.2.4			(link)	
		16.2.4.1		states	53
		16.2.4.2		ontrol states and general co-ordination	E /
			16.2.4.2.1	Link control FSM - AN (AN_Link)	
			16.2.4.2.2	Link control FSM - LE (LE_Link)	
		16.2.4.3		cedures	
		10.2.4.0	16.2.4.3.1	General	
			16.2.4.3.2	Link blocking	
			16.2.4.3.3	Link blocking request	
			16.2.4.3.4	Co-ordinated link unblocking	
			16.2.4.3.5	Link identification	
		16.2.4.4	Link control FSM a	at the AN	59
		16.2.4.5		at the LE	
16.3					
	16.3.1			nition and content	
		16.3.1.1		nessage	
		16.3.1.2		CK message	
	16.3.2			ement definition, structure and coding.	
		16.3.2.1		formation element	
		16.3.2.2		on information element	
	16.3.3			col states	
	16.3.4				
		16.3.4.1			
		16.3.4.2		on	
			16.3.4.2.1 16.3.4.2.2	Normal operation Exceptional procedures	
		16.3.4.3		on	
		10.3.4.3	16.3.4.3.1	Normal operation	
			16.3.4.3.2	Exceptional procedures	64
		16.3.4.4		3 protocol entity procedure	
	16.3.5				
	10.010	16.3.5.1		ator error	
		16.3.5.2		rror	
		16.3.5.3		۱۳	
		16.3.5.4		ion elements	
		16.3.5.5	Mandatory informa	ation element missing	66
		16.3.5.6		rmation element	
		16.3.5.7		andatory information elements	
	16.3.6				
	16.3.7	AN and LE side	layer 3 protocol en	tity state tables	67
17.1					
17.2	•				
	17.2.1	17.2.1.1		A N I	
		17.2.1.1		AN LE	
	17.2.2			L⊏ es, messages and timers	
17.3					
17.5	17.3.1				
	17.3.1			ge	
	17.3.3			ye	
	17.3.4				
	17.3.5			essage	
	17.3.6			age	
	17.3.7				
		-5			-

17

Page 6 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

18

	17.3.8		ETE message	
	17.3.9		sage	
	17.3.10		NOWLEDGE message	
	17.3.11	PROTOCOL EF	ROR message	. 78
17.4	BCC inform	ation element de	finition, structure and coding	. 78
	17.4.1	BCC Reference	Number information element	. 79
	17.4.2	Other information	on elements	. 80
		17.4.2.1	User Port Identification information element	. 80
		17.4.2.2	ISDN Port Time Slot Identification information element	. 81
		17.4.2.3	V5 Time Slot Identification information element	. 81
		17.4.2.4	Multi-Slot Map information element	. 82
		17.4.2.5	Reject Cause information element	
		17.4.2.6	Protocol Error Cause information element	
		17.4.2.7	Connection Incomplete information element	. 87
17.5	Description	of the BCC proto	col and the BCC procedures	
	17.5.1		·	
	17.5.2	Bearer channel	allocation - normal procedure	. 89
	17.5.3		allocation - exceptional procedures	
		17.5.3.1	Bearer channel allocation	
		17.5.3.2	Bearer channel allocation reject	
		17.5.3.3	Bearer channel allocation abort	
		17.5.3.4	Bearer channel allocation request received for existing	
			connection	. 90
		17.5.3.5	Bearer channel allocation, connection override requested.	
	17.5.4		de-allocation - normal procedure	
	17.5.5		de-allocation - exceptional procedures	
		17.5.5.1	Bearer channel de-allocation	
		17.5.5.2	Bearer channel de-allocation reject	
		17.5.5.3	Bearer channel de-allocation process message missing	
	17.5.6			
	17.5.7		re notification procedure	
	17.5.8		or conditions	
		17.5.8.1	Protocol discriminator error	
		17.5.8.2	Message type error	
		17.5.8.3	Information element out of sequence	
		17.5.8.4	Repeated information elements	
		17.5.8.5	Mandatory information element missing	
		17.5.8.6	Unrecognized information element	
		17.5.8.7	Content error of mandatory information element	
		17.5.8.8	Content error of optional information element	
		17.5.8.9	Unexpected message	
		17.5.8.10	Optional information element not allowed	
17.6	List of syste		mers)	
17.7			ansition tables	
				. 00
Protectio	on protocol sn	ecification		aa
18.1				
10.1	18.1.1			
	18.1.2		physical and logical C-channels	
	18.1.2		esponsibilities	
	18.1.4		C-channel resources after failure	
	18.1.5		tions and detection of failures	
	10.1.5	18.1.5.1	Failure of a 2 048 kbit/s link	103
		18.1.5.2	Flag monitoring	
		18.1.5.3	Data link monitoring	
	18.1.6		el for the protection protocol	
18.2				
18.2				
10.3			inition	
	18.3.1	18.3.1.1	tection protocol states	
			States in the LE	
	18.3.2	18.3.1.2 Definition of pro		
10 /			tection protocol events	
18.4	Frolection p	notocol message	definition and content	IUÖ

		18.4.1	SWITCH-OV	ER REQ message	108
		18.4.2	SWITCH-OV	ER COM message	109
		18.4.3	OS-SWITCH	I-OVER COM message	109
		18.4.4	SWITCH-OV	ER ACK message	109
		18.4.5		'ER REJECT message	
		18.4.6	PROTOCOL	ERROR message	110
		18.4.7	RESET SN (COM message	111
		18.4.8	RESET SN A	ACK message	111
	18.5	Protectio		ation element definition, structure and coding	
		18.5.1	Logical C-ch	annel identification information element	112
		18.5.2		umber information element	
		18.5.3		hannel identification information element	
		18.5.4		use information element	
		18.5.5		or Cause information element	
	18.6	Protectio	on protocol proce	dures	115
		18.6.1			
		18.6.2	Broadcast of	protection protocol messages on the two data links of the	3
				secondary link	
			18.6.2.1	Transmission of protection protocol messages	
			18.6.2.2	Receipt of protection protocol messages	
			18.6.2.3	Sequence number reset procedure	
				18.6.2.3.1 Normal procedure	
				18.6.2.3.2 Exceptional procedures	
		18.6.3	Standard pro	tection switch-over procedure initiated by LE-side	
		101010	18.6.3.1	Normal procedure	
			18.6.3.2	Exceptional procedures	
			18.6.3.3	Procedure on expiry of timer TSO1	
		18.6.4		otection switch-over procedure initiated by OS LE	
		10.0.1	18.6.4.1	Normal procedure	
			18.6.4.2	Exceptional procedures	
			18.6.4.3	Procedure on expiry of timer TSO2	
		18.6.5		vitch-over procedure requested by AN-side	
		10.0.0	18.6.5.1	Normal procedure requested by Ait side	
			18.6.5.2	Exceptional procedure, AN cannot comply with	121
			10.0.0.2	switch-over command from LE	122
			18.6.5.3	Exceptional procedure, LE cannot comply with	122
			10.0.3.5	switch-over request from AN	122
			18.6.5.4	Procedure on expiry of timer TSO3	
		18.6.6		error conditions	
		10.0.0	18.6.6.1	Protocol discriminator error	
			18.6.6.2	Message type error	
			18.6.6.3	Repeated information elements	
			18.6.6.4	Mandatory information element missing	
			18.6.6.5		
			18.6.6.6	Unrecognized information element Content error of mandatory information element	
			18.6.6.7	Unexpected message	
	18.7	List of a		Onexpected message	
	18.8		, ,		
	10.0	18.8.1		es otocol FSM in the AN	
				otocol FSM in the LE	
		18.8.2	Protection pr		127
Anne	x A (norm	ative):	Requirements for	or the support of the PL capability through an ISDN port	128
A.1	Requirer	ments for	the support of the	PL capability through an ISDN basic access	128
A.2	Requirer	nents for	the support of the	PL capability through an ISDN primary rate access	128
Anne	x B (norm	ative):	•	d requirements for the support of semi-permanent leased	
			lines		129
B.1	General.				129
B.2	Signallin	g associa	ted to semi-perm	anent leased lines	129

Page 8 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

B.3	User port	S		129		
B.4	Requirements for non-ISDN user ports for semi-permanent leased lines					
Anne>	c C (norma	ative):	Basic requirements of the system management functions in the AN and the LE.	130		
Anne>	c D (norma	ative):	Use of the protocol information elements for national PSTN protocols	137		
Annex	c E (norma	ative):	BCC protocol application principles	138		
E.1	Introducti	on		138		
E.2	Time slot	usability.		139		
E.3	Time slot E.3.1 E.3.2 E.3.3	General Multi-slot	and de-allocation rules connections capability	139 142		
E.4	Audit pro	cedure rul	les	143		
E.5	AN intern	al failure	notification rules	143		
E.6	AN intern	al failure	rules	144		
E.7	BCC prot	ocol error	S	144		
E.8	Arrow dia E.8.1 E.8.2 E.8.3 E.8.4 E.8.5	ISDN call E.8.1.1 E.8.1.2 E.8.1.3 ISDN call E.8.2.1 E.8.2.2 E.8.2.3 ISDN call ISDN call	kamples of BCC protocol and DSS1 co-ordination I initiated by the subscriber Normal procedure Exceptional procedure Simultaneous ISDN call set-up (from the same ISDN port) I initiated by the network B-channel negotiation not allowed (e.g. passive bus configuration) B-channel negotiation allowed (e.g. point-to-point configuration) ISDN call waiting supplementary service support I release initiated by the network portability supplementary service support	144 145 145 146 146 146 147 147 148		
E.9	Arrow dia E.9.1 E.9.2 E.9.3 E.9.4	PSTN ca E.9.1.1 E.9.1.2 PSTN ca Call collis E.9.3.1 E.9.3.2	kamples of BCC and PSTN protocol co-ordination Il initiated by the subscriber Normal procedure Exceptional procedure Il initiated by the network Sion Call Collision: Originating call has priority Terminating call has priority See Call release initiated by the subscriber Call release initiated by the network	149 149 150 150 151 151 151 152 152		
Anne>	c F (inform	native):	Service scenarios, architecture and functional definition of access arrangements with AN at the LE	153		
F.1	Conclusio	ons on mu	Itiple V5 interface applications	153		
F.2	Conclusions on architecture aspects153					
F.3	Implementation of QAN					

Annex G (informative):		native):	The concept and requirements for the upgrade of a V5.1 interface to a V5.2 interface	
Annex	k H (inform	native):	PSTN protocol; explanatory notes and information flow	155
Annex	k J (inform	ative):	AN requirements for pulse dialling	156
Annex	k K (inform	native):	Layer 3 error detection procedures	157
Annex	k L (inform	native):	SDL diagrams	158
L.1	SDL diag L.1.1	diagrams for the AN side		
	L.1.1 L.1.2		descriptionscriptions	
	L.1.2 L.1.3		RA port status	
	L.1.4		trol protocol	
	L.1.5		trol FSM	
	L.1.6		tocol	
	L.1.7		on protocol	
	L.1.8	System r	management procedures	
		L.1.8.1	Startup procedure	
		L.1.8.2	Data link activation procedure	
		L.1.8.3	Link identification procedure	
		L.1.8.4	Restart procedure	
		L.1.8.5 L.1.8.6	Data link failure procedure Re-provisioning procedure	
		L.1.0.0	Re-provisioning procedure	212
L.2	SDL diag	rams for	the LE side	215
	L.2.1		description	
	L.2.2		scriptions	
	L.2.3		RA port status control	
	L.2.4		trol protocol	
	L.2.5		trol FSM	
	L.2.6 L.2.7		tocol on protocol	
	L.2.7 L.2.8		management procedures	
	L.2.0	L.2.8.1	Startup procedure	
		L.2.8.2	Data link activation procedure	
		L.2.8.3	Link identification procedure	
		L.2.8.4	Restart procedure	
		L.2.8.5	Data link failure procedure	
		L.2.8.6	Re-provisioning procedure	253
Annex	x M (inforn	native):	Frame structures, message codepoints and addressing scheme for V5.2	257
Annex	x N (inforn	native):	Protocol architecture for PSTN and ISDN (BA and PRA) user port control	261
N.1	Scope			261
N.2	ISDN-BA	port state	us control	261
N.3	ISDN-PR	A user po	ort status control	261
	N.3.1	Function	al split between LE and AN	261
	N.3.2		ion transfer between LE and AN	
	N.3.3	Activatio	n/deactivation	262
N.4	PSTN us	er port co	ontrol	262
Annex	к Р (inform	native):	Protection protocol; explanatory notes and information flow	263
P.1	Additiona	Il informat	tion on the principles of the protection protocol	263
P.2	Informatio	on flow		263

Page 10 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

Annex Q (informative):	Bibliography 2	268
Index		269
History		271

Foreword

This European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) has been produced by the Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS) Technical Committee of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI).

This ETS is part 1 of a multi-part standard covering the V5.2 interface specification as described below:

Part 1: "V5.2 interface specification";

- Part 2: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma";
- Part 3: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) for the network layer, Access Network (AN) side";
- Part 4: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma for the network layer, AN side";
- Part 5: "TSS&TP for the network layer, Local Exchange (LE) side";
- Part 6: "ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for the network layer, LE side";
- Part 7: "TSS&TP for the data link layer";
- Part 8: "ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for the data link layer";
- Part 9: "Test specification for the physical layer".

Transposition dates	
Date of latest announcement of this ETS (doa):	31 December 1994
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this ETS (dop/e):	30 June 1995
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	30 June 1995

Introduction

General

The work on a new V interface concept was initiated by a request from the ETSI Technical Assembly (TA) to Technical Committee (TC) Network Aspects (NA), in particular Sub-Technical Committee (STC) NA4 to consider, in co-operation with other STCs involved, possible new structures and interfaces for the connection of new access arrangements to local exchanges. After two meetings (in January and May 1991) the work was terminated with some guidelines for further consideration.

The work was taken over by a Special Experts Group, set up by TC SPS, working under STC SPS3, with experts from several STCs, e.g. SPS5, Transmission and Multiplexing (TM) 3 and NA4. This was to avoid a split of the difficult task to several STCs requiring intensive co-operation and possibly may have caused significant delay of the standardization work.

TC SPS identified in the terms of reference two interface concepts, one based on a static multiplexer principle, now called the V5.1 interface, and the other based on a dynamic, concentrator type, principle, now called the V5.2 interface.

Page 12 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

This V5.2 interface standard, forming part of a number of standards of the V5 interface concept, has been developed by the Special Experts Group mentioned above. Due to the importance of this new V5 concept and the urgency identified by SPS, the Special Experts Group met almost every month over a time period of 17 months to fulfil this task.

Other STCs involved have been informed about the decisions made and the progress of work by regular distribution of the meeting reports and any other material to the STC chairman and other involved people. SPS3 and SPS5 were involved especially by distribution of advanced drafts of significant documents in order that these may be discussed in these STCs and approved by SPS3.

Major differences between the V5.1 interface and the V5.2 interface

The V5.1 ETS (ETS 300 324-1) is a complete ETS in itself whereas this V5.2 ETS (ETS 300 347-1) references parts of ETS 300 324-1.

V5.1 uses only one 2 048 kbit/s link whereas V5.2 may use up to sixteen (16) 2 048 kbit/s links on one interface.

V5.1 does not support concentration whereas V5.2 is inherently designed to support it using a dedicated protocol known as the Bearer Channel Connection (BCC) protocol.

V5.1 does not support ISDN primary rate access user ports whereas V5.2 does.

V5.1 has no concept of communication channel protection whereas this function is available for V5.2 when that particular V5.2 interface uses more than one 2 048 kbit/s link. A specific protocol, known as the protection protocol, is provided for this function.

The control protocol for V5.2 is slightly modified to that used for V5.1. A link control protocol is specified for V5.2 as multiple links have to be managed.

Associated standards

The following set of standards and reports is expected to relate to the V5 interface concept:

ETS 300 324-1:	V5.1 interface specification;
ETS 300 324-2:	V5.1 PICS proforma;
ETS 300 324-3:	V5.1 TSS&TP for network layer, AN side;
ETS 300 324-4:	V5.1 ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for network layer, AN side;
ETS 300 324-5:	V5.1 TSS&TP for network layer, LE side;
ETS 300 324-6:	V5.1 ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for network layer, LE side;
ETS 300 324-7:	V5.1 TSS&TP for data link layer;
ETS 300 324-8:	V5.1 ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for data link layer;
ETS 300 324-9:	V5.1 test specification for physical layer;
ETS 300 347-1:	V5.2 interface specification;
ETS 300 347-2:	V5.2 PICS proforma;

- ETS 300 347-3: V5.2 TSS&TP for network layer, AN side;
- ETS 300 347-4: V5.2 ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for network layer, AN side;
- ETS 300 347-5: V5.2 TSS&TP for network layer, LE side;
- ETS 300 347-6: V5.2 ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for network layer, LE side;
- ETS 300 347-7: V5.2 TSS&TP for data link layer;
- ETS 300 347-8: V5.2 ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for data link layer;
- ETS 300 347-9: V5.2 test specification for physical layer;
- ETS 300 376-1: Q3 interface at AN for configuration management of V5 interfaces and associated user ports;
- ETS 300 376-2: Q3 interface at AN for configuration management; Managed Object Conformance Statement (MOCS) proforma;
- ETS 300 377-1: Q3 interface at LE for configuration management of V5 interfaces and associated customer profiles;
- ETS 300 377-2: Q3 interface at LE for configuration management; MOCS proforma;
- ETS 300 378-1: Q3 interface at AN for fault and performance management of V5 interfaces and associated user ports;
- ETS 300 378-2: Q3 interface at AN for fault and performance management; MOCS proforma;
- ETS 300 379-1: Q3 interface at LE for fault and performance management of V5 interfaces and associated customer profiles;
- ETS 300 379-2: Q3 interface at LE for fault and performance management; MOCS proforma;
- ETR 150: V5 interface; PSTN protocol mapping examples.

Blank page

1 Scope

This first part of ETS 300 347 specifies the electrical, physical, procedural and protocol requirements for the V5.2 interface between an Access Network (AN) and the Local Exchange (LE) for the support of the following access types:

- analogue telephone access;
- ISDN basic access with a line transmission system conforming to ETS 300 297 [4] for the case with a NT1 separate from the AN;
- ISDN basic access with a user network interface according to ETS 300 012 [3] at the user side of the AN, (i.e. the interface at the T reference point);
- ISDN primary rate access with a line transmission system conforming to ETS 300 233 [10] for the case with a NT1 separate from the AN;
- ISDN primary rate access with a user network interface according to ETS 300 011 [9] at the user side of the AN, (i.e. the interface at the T reference point);
- other analogue or digital accesses for semi-permanent connections without associated out-band signalling information,

with flexible information channel (bearer channel) allocation on a call by call basis which provides concentration capability within the AN and over the V5.2 interface. This ETS does not specify the implementation of the requirements within the AN and does not constrain any implementation alternative as long as the functionality at the V5.2 interface as specified in this ETS is met.

A link control capability is provided in order to manage the possible multi-link arrangements within a V5.2 interface. See Clause 16.

A protection capability is provided in order to allow the interface to continue functioning in the event of 2 048 kbit/s link failures.

This ETS should be used in conjunction with ETS 300 324-1 [8]. The two documents share a common format and Clauses within ETS 300 324-1 [8] are referenced in this ETS.

Annex F provides an overview of the service scenarios and architecture taken as the conceptual basis for the specification of the V5.2 interface.

Annex J provides additional notes and information flow diagrams to the PSTN protocol specification. The use of the protocol information elements for the definition of the national PSTN protocols is defined in Annex D. Annex K provides the definition of the Layer 3 PSTN protocol error detection.

The Specification Description Language (SDL) diagrams for the additional V5.2 protocols and the management function for V5.2 are given in Annex L.

Permanent lines from an ISDN user port or from other types of customer access, which bypass the LE, are outside the scope of this ETS. Requirements for the support of permanent lines in ISDN basic and primary rate accesses, using one or a number of B-channels of a user port, are specified in Annex A.

Semi-permanent leased lines are supported. They are routed through the V5.2 interface by application of a provisioning procedure. The assumptions and requirements for this procedure are defined in Annex B.

Annex M provides an overview of frame formats used in the V5.2 interface and also the message types allocated to the V5.2 interface.

Annex N describes the protocol architecture for the ISDN and PSTN user port status control information transfer.

Annex C specifies the basic assumptions of the management function in the LE and the AN to support correct operation and control of the configuration.

Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma and the Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) are provided in separate parts of this ETS.

2 Normative references

This ETS incorporates by dated or undated reference, provisions from other publications. These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this ETS only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

- [1] ETS 300 166 (1993): "Transmission and Multiplexing (TM); Physical and electrical characteristics of hierarchical digital interfaces for equipment using the 2 048 kbit/s-based plesiochronous or synchronous digital hierarchies".
 - NOTE 1: ETS 300 166 is based on CCITT Recommendation G.703 (1991).
- [2] ETS 300 167 (1993): "Transmission and Multiplexing (TM); Functional characteristics of 2 048 kbit/s interfaces".
 - NOTE 2: ETS 300 167 is based on CCITT Recommendations G.704 (1991) and G.706 (1991).
- [3] ETS 300 012 (1992): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Basic usernetwork interface; Layer 1 specification and test principles".
- [4] ETS 300 297: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Access digital section for ISDN basic rate".
 - NOTE 3: ETS 300 297 is based on ITU-T Recommendation G.960 (1993).
- [5] ETS 300 125 (1991): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); User-network interface data link layer specification; Application of CCITT Recommendations Q.920/I.440 and Q.921/I.441".
- [6] ETS 300 102-1 (1990): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Usernetwork interface layer 3; Specifications for the basic call control".
- [7] CCITT Recommendation G.823 (1988): "The control of jitter and wander within digital networks which are based on the 2 048 kbit/s hierarchy".
- [8] ETS 300 324-1 (1994): "Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS); V interfaces at the digital Local Exchange (LE); V5.1 interface for the support of Access Network (AN); Part 1: V5.1 interface specification".
- [9] ETS 300 011 (1992): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Primary rate user-network interface, Layer 1 specification and test principles".
- [10] ETS 300 233 (1993): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Access digital section for ISDN primary rate".
 - NOTE 4: ETS 300 233 is based on ITU-T Recommendation G.962 (1993).

3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of this ETS, the following definitions apply in addition to those given in ETS 300 324-1 [8] and in the normative references:

Active C-channel: a physical C-channel which is currently carrying a logical C-channel. An active C-channel becomes a standby C-channel when it is not carrying a logical C-channel.

Bearer channels: bearer channels are used to provide the bidirectional transmission capability for allocated B-channels from basic access user ports, primary rate access user ports, or A-law PCM encoded 64 kbit/s channels from PSTN user ports. They may be used in multiples of 64 kbit/s channels in order to facilitate certain ISDN services.

Bearer Channel Connection (BCC) protocol: a protocol which allows the LE to instruct the AN to allocate bearer channels, either singly or in multiples, on demand.

Communication channel (C-channel): a 64 kbit/s time slot on a V5.2 interface provisioned to carry communication paths.

Communication path (C-path): any one of the following information types (see also subclause 8.4.1):

- the layer 2 data link carrying the Control protocol;
- the layer 2 data link carrying the Link control protocol;
- the layer 2 data link carrying the PSTN signalling;
- each of the layer 2 data links carrying the Protection protocol;
- the layer 2 data link carrying the BCC protocol;
- all the ISDN Ds-type data from one or more user ports;
- all the ISDN p-type data from one or more user ports;
- all the ISDN f-type data from one or more user ports.

It should be noted that this definition includes the possibility that there is more than one C-path of the same information type, each allocated to a different logical C-channel.

ISDN D-channel information: ISDN D-channel information is defined as that D-channel information from basic or primary rate access user ports (including Ds-, p- and f-type data).

Logical Communication channel (Logical C-channel): a group of one or more C-paths, all of different types, but excluding the C-path for the protection protocol.

Multi-link: a collection of more than one 2 048 kbit/s link which together make up a V5.2 interface (although a V5.2 interface need not have more than one 2 048 kbit/s link).

Multi-slot: a group of more than one 64 kbit/s channels providing 8 kHz and time slot sequence integrity, generally used together within an ISDN Primary Rate Access (ISDN-PRA) user port, in order to supply a higher bit-rate service.

Physical Communication channel (Physical C-channel): a 64 kbit/s time slot on a V5.2 interface which has been assigned for carrying logical C-channels. A physical C-channel may not be used for carrying bearer channels.

Time slots 16 in the primary link and the secondary link (only in a V5.2 interface with more than one 2 048 kbit/s link) are always physical C-channels.

Page 18 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

Pre-connected bearer channels: any bearer channel or multiples thereof, set up using the BCC protocol in order to provide switched services within the AN over bandwidth reserved on the V5.2 interface reserved for it.

Primary link: the 2 048 kbit/s link in a multi-link V5.2 interface whose physical C-channel in time slot 16 carries a C-path for the protection protocol and, on V5.2 initialization, also the C-path for the control protocol, link control protocol, and the BCC protocol. Other C-paths may also be carried in the time slot 16.

Protected group: a group of N logical C-channels.

Protection group: a group of (N + K) physical C-channels, where K is the number of physical C-channels which act as standby C-channels for the N logical C-channels.

Secondary link: the 2 048 kbit/s link in a multi-link V5.2 interface whose time slot 16 carries a C-path for the protection protocol and, on V5.2 initialization, acts as the standby C-channel for the control protocol, link control protocol, and BCC protocol and any other C-paths initially carried in time slot 16 of the primary link.

Standby C-channel: a physical C-channel which is not carrying a logical C-channel, but is used for the protection of logical C-channels. Once it is used to carry a logical C-channel, a standby C-channel becomes an active C-channel.

T reference point: the term T reference point is used in a general sense. If an ISDN terminal or terminal adapter is connected to the interface at the T reference point then, according to the ISDN reference configuration, the S and T reference points coincide or, if a network termination type 2 is connected to the interface at the T reference point.

3.2 Symbols and abbreviations

For the purposes of this ETS, the following abbreviations apply in addition to those given in ETS 300 324-1 [8]:

BCC	Bearer Channel Connection
dB	decibel
ISDN-PRA	ISDN Primary Rate Access
HO	Channel with 384 kbit/s accompanied by timing
H12	Channel with 1 920 kbit/s accompanied by timing
LFA	Loss of Frame Alignment
Μ	Mandatory protocol element
NOF	Normal Operational Frames
0	Optional protocol element
REQ	Request
SN	Sequence Number
TSSI	Time Slot Sequence Integrity
VP(S)	Send state Variable for Protection protocol
VP(R)	Receive state Variable for Protection protocol


4 Electrical and physical interface requirements

The V5.2 interface may have between one and sixteen 2 048 kbit/s links, as required.

The electrical and physical characteristics of each of the 2 048 kbit/s interfaces shall conform to ETS 300 166 [1], 2 048 kbit/s case.


Two interface presentation alternatives are defined in ETS 300 166 [1], the balanced interface pair type and the coaxial type. According to the two alternatives of interface applications shown in figure 1, it is left to the network operator to request the interface presentation required.

Association of 2 048 kbit/s links with a V5.2 interface



NOTE: $n \ 2 \ 048 \ kbit/s \ links \ are \ shown \ (n = 1..16).$

Any/all 2 048 kbit/s links may use a transparent digital link



Ia = interface point at the AN side; Ib = interface point at the LE side

Figure 1: V5.2 application with and without transparent digital link

The jitter requirements for each of the 2 048 kbit/s links shall be the same as for ETS 300 324-1 [8].

5 Procedural interface requirements

The functional and procedural requirements of each of the 2 048 kbit/s links shall be the same as for ETS 300 324-1 [8].

5.1 Link control requirements and procedures

Since the V5.2 interface may consist of multiple 2 048 kbit/s links, there is a need for link ID-verification and for blocking of a specific link. Two procedures have been defined for these functions in subclause 16.2 and are performed through the link control protocol.

5.1.1 Link-ID verification

The link-ID verification is a symmetrical procedure that shall be applied from both ends of the V5.2 interface links, when the interface Layer 1 Finite State Machine (L1-FSM) enters the normal state. If the procedure fails, the FSM shall return to the non-operational state.

This procedure shall apply to all links, including the primary and secondary links. It may also be performed when permanently in the normal state, e.g. on a timed basis, or on a request from the Q-interface (AN/LE).

This procedure shall apply even in the case of the single 2 048 kbit/s V5.2 interface.

5.1.2 Link blocking


For link-maintenance additional functionality is required for blocking a single 2 048 kbit/s link of a V5.2 interface. Link blocking is an asymmetrical procedure, where the AN may request the blocking of a link, but the LE decides, as master of the service. The LE releases any switched connection on the requested link as appropriate to the service and, in due time, re-establishes semi-permanent and pre-connected connections onto other links within the same V5.2 interface. The LE shall use the protection protocol to move affected logical C-channels, if at all possible.

This procedure may be applied even in the case of the single 2 048 kbit/s V5.2 interface.


NOTE: In this case, blocking takes the complete interface out of service.

6 Services and architecture aspects and requirements

The services to be supported by the V5.2 interface shall include all those supported by V5.1 (as defined in ETS 300 324-1 [8]) with the addition of ISDN-PRA. However, it is not the intention of this ETS to restrict any implementation of ANs or LEs to support the full set or a subset of the services listed in this ETS.



The architecture of V5.2 from a service point of view is shown in figure 2.



NOTE 2: The asterisk indicates that layer 2 is only partially terminated in the AN.

Figure 2: Architecture of V5.2 interface from a service point of view

6.1 On-demand services

On-demand services pass through the V5.2 interface. The following three types of accesses are supported.

6.1.1 PSTN

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 6.1.1 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

6.1.2 ISDN Basic Access (ISDN-BA)

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 6.1.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

In addition, the 2 x 64 kbit/s multi-slot bearer service may be supported through the bearer channel capability defined in this ETS.

6.1.3 ISDN Primary Rate Access (ISDN-PRA)

ISDN-PRA is supported either with an NT1 as an integral part of the AN, or as a separate equipment supporting transmission systems conforming to ETS 300 233 [10] for the support of NT2 (e.g. ISDN PABX), connected at the T reference point.

Bit rates lower than 64 kbit/s are not supported directly. They are seen as user applications within a 64 kbit/s B-channel in the PRA.

One or more B-channels in the PRA may be used for the optional permanent line capability or semipermanent leased line service.

Multi-rate bearer services, which may use H0, H12 or other multi-slot channels between userport and LE are also supported by any V5.2 interface supporting ISDN-PRA using the appropriate ISDN signalling systems.

NOTE: Without support for these services from the LE or AN, they will be unavailable to users.

6.2 Permanent Line (PL) capability

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 6.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8]. However, the PL capability shall be provided for the ISDN-PRA service as specified in subclause 15.3.

6.3 Semi-permanent leased line

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 6.3 of ETS 300 324-1 [8]. However, the semipermanent leased line requirement shall be applicable for the ISDN-PRA as well.

6.4 Permanent leased line service

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 6.4 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

7 Control and provisioning

7.1 Control principles




Figure 3: ISDN user port functional model

7.1.1 General requirements and assumptions

Based on figure 3, the following general requirements have been defined for both the ISDN-BA port and the ISDN-PRA port. They shall be relevant for the PSTN ports as well if not stated otherwise.


- 1) The responsibility for call control resides in the LE (i.e. the AN may have no knowledge of the call state during normal operation of the V5.2 interface).
- 2) The access management in the AN and the service management in the LE each maintain their FSMs and protocol entities and communicate over the V5.2 interface.

FSMs are required for each user port and for the 2 048 kbit/s interfaces as well as protocol entities for the layer 2 links, in both the AN and the LE (see figure 4 for clarification and Clause 15 for the definition of the FSMs, protocol entities and the layer 3 protocol). The information provided from the individual FSM or protocol entity to the management shall be used to decide on the appropriate action towards other FSMs and protocol entities, the call control function and the operating system. Further information on some basic assumptions is provided in Annex C.

- 3) Port blocking request, for non-urgent port maintenance via the Q-interface of the AN, can only be granted by the LE, (i.e. blocking request should not interfere with on-going calls, calls being set up or cleared down or semi-permanent connections).
- 4) Urgent port maintenance requested via the Q-interface of the AN shall be indicated to the LE irrespective of the state in the LE (i.e. "immediate blocking" effective immediately, but new state to be synchronized with the LE).
- 5) Detected layer 1 failures related to bearer channels within the failed 2 048 kbit/s links shall result in the calls being cleared. Detected layer 1 failures relating to physical C-channels within a failed 2 048 kbit/s link shall result in the protection protocol reassigning these C-channels if it has sufficient resources to do so. Pre-emption of physical C-channels autonomously by the protection protocol is not permitted. Detected layer 1 failures relating to semi-permanent leased lines within a failed 2 048 kbit/s link shall result in the resource manager within the LE attempting to set up another bearer connection on which the service is to be provided. There may be anomalies and

Page 23 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

defects which may degrade the service but do not result in a total loss of service and thus do not result in the generation of the above re-configurations. Such anomalies or defects affecting PSTN service may impact the PSTN protocol, for instance through the negative acknowledgement of a request message, but shall not affect the port FSM.



NOTE 1: Refer to subclause 10.4.

NOTE 2: The protection link protocol entities shall only be used in the case of a V5.2 interface with more than one 2 048 kbit/s link.

Figure 4: Layer 1 and layer 2 FSM functional model

- 6) It is required that detected anomalies and other events are reported to the associated management within the AN or LE and logged.
- 7) When a port is blocked, originating calls are not possible and terminating calls should be treated by the LE as if the port is out of service according to the national protocol.
- 8) The LE shall know the transmission quality level relating to user ports via "grading" messages from the AN to the LE which do not affect the port status FSMs. These messages contain grading information to be registered in the LE. The LE may use this information to decide whether a requested service should be delivered or not.

This requirement is only relevant to an ISDN port with an NT1 which lies outside the AN. The performance between user port and V5.2 interface shall not be impacted unduly by a reduced performance due to bit errors occurring on AN internal links. This shall be excluded by in-service monitoring and blocking of AN internal links from service in the case of reduced error performance.

9) Loop-backs shall only be applied when the port is in the blocked state. This function is under the control of the AN.

The execution of failure localization within the AN and the user port is the responsibility of the AN. Active testing which interferes with the service under the responsibility of the LE, shall not be carried out until the port is blocked (FSM in blocked condition) by the LE.

10) There shall be a mechanism to identify individual V5 interfaces, and the labels of their current and new provisioning variants. The provisioning variant is a unique label of a complete provisioning data set applied via the Q interfaces (see subclause 15.7).

Page 24 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

- 11) It shall be possible to identify each individual 2 048 kbit/s link on a V5.2 interface. A (symmetrical) procedure for checking the identity of 2 048 kbit/s links shall be applied on any restoration of frame alignment and after reprovisioning (which may or may not affect V5.2 links).
- 12) It shall be possible to block an individual 2 048 kbit/s link for a V5.2 interface. The AN may issue a request, but the LE decides: for switched connections it will wait for calls to terminate, semipermanent and AN reserved connections will be re-established onto other links. LE system management will use the protection protocol to move affected logical C-channels before a 2 048 kbit/s link is blocked. Using a slightly different mechanism, the AN may perform an immediate block of a designated 2 048 kbit/s link.
- 13) 2 048 kbit/s links may be removed from service within a V5.2 interface for maintenance purposes via Q_{LE} and Q_{AN} with the support of the V5.2 interface link control protocol. They will be brought back into service, also using the V5.2 link control protocol.
- 14) Individual bearer channels within a V5.2 interface may be barred from use via Q_{LE}.

7.1.2 Control of ISDN-BA user port for the PL capability

The control of ISDN-BA user ports when the PL capability is provided shall be the same as that provided by ETS 300 324-1 [8], subclause 7.1.2.

7.1.3 Control of ISDN-PRA user ports when the PL capability is provided

The provision of a PL capability shall not affect the operation of an ISDN-PRA user port.

7.1.3.1 Statements and assumptions

- 1) The PL capability supported by an AN in the V5.2 configuration is an additional feature at an ISDN user network interface, which cannot be supported by an access connected directly to an LE.
- 2) The PL capability may, as an option, use one or more (or possible all) of the B-channels on a user port that are not provisioned in the AN or LE to carry on-demand services. Only Normal Operational Frames (NOF) may be sent to the V reference point as shown in figure 3.
- 3) The LE is responsible for on-demand services.
- 4) When the LE blocks the user port, which puts the user port into a non-operational state for all types of services, the AN may regain control in order to allow ports with a PL capability to continue operating.

7.1.3.2 ISDN and PL capability

The PL service shall not use the D-channel for messages to the LE.The currently defined ISDN-PRA service, ETS 300 233 [10], delivered to an ISDN user port at an AN shall be the same as for direct access connections to the LE.

For an AN, no impact on an ISDN on-demand service can be accepted from any service (e.g. the PL service) that uses one or more of the B-channels for other than on-demand service.

7.2 Provisioning strategy and requirements

7.2.1 General

Provisioning is one of many aspects to control functions. It has been separated from the other control requirements because provisioning shall be performed through the Q interfaces of the AN and the LE and is therefore not directly relevant to the V5.2 interface specification. Only those provisioning aspects having at least conceptual or indirect implication to the interface definition are defined.

7.2.2 Provisioning requirements

See subclause 7.2.2 in ETS 300 324-1 [8] for a list of items to be provisioned in addition to those below. The first item of the referenced list however is not valid for the V5.2 interface because the association of bearer channels is under the control of the BCC protocol and not statically associated through provisioning.

Provisioning requirements:

- 1) the number of 2 048 kbit/s links used on a V5.2 interface and their identification are assigned by provisioning;
- 2) physical C-channels are assigned to time slots/links by provisioning;
- 3) the physical C-channels of time slots 16 of primary and secondary link form the protection group 1, including the protection protocol. (This assumes more than one 2 048 kbit/s links on that V5.2 interface). Otherwise, this provisioning is invalid;
- 4) one of the physical C-channels of protection group 1 acts as the active C-channel. The other physical C-channel of protection group 1 acts as the standby C-channel of this group;
- 5) logical C-channels are assigned to physical C-channels by provisioning as a default assignment;
- 6) a physical C-channel without assigned logical C-channel acts as a standby C-channel. (Assignment of C-paths to logical C-channels shall be through provisioning);
- 7) the assignment of C-paths for Ds-type data (also for p-type and f-type data) or PSTN signalling is a provisioned option;
- 8) the active physical C-channel of protection group 1 shall carry at least the C-paths of the protection protocol, the BCC protocol, the control protocol, and the link control protocol;
- 9) Q_{LE} may be used to remove a logical C-channel's assignment from a physical C-channel;
- 10) Q_{LE} may be used to assign a particular logical C-channel to a physical C-channel. Protection may change it later;
- 11) when provisioning physical C-channels for an installation, care has to be taken if the LE and/or the AN consists of modules which share the software termination functions for the V5.2 interface. The effect of which physical C-channel is served by which module on load distribution has to be taken into account. Care has to be taken when provisioning physical C-channels for standby use in order that future protection switching onto these physical C-channels will not cause undue unevenness in the load on these modules;

Similarly, if an LE and/or AN is modularized for the purpose of safeguarding performance in the presence of failures, care has to be taken that physical C-channels (both used and provided for standby) are provisioned in such a way that performance can be safeguarded through protection switching, not only in the presence of failures of 2 048 kbit/s links but also in the presence of module failures within the LE and the AN.

Page 26 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

7.3 Bearer Channel Connection (BCC)

The BCC protocol is used to allocate bearer channels on a specific 2 048 kbit/s link to user ports, generally on a call by call basis. It is assumed that bearer channel resource management systems are provided within the LE and the AN but this ETS only defines the functions which directly impact the V5.2 interface.

The bearer channels allocated by means of the BCC protocol but not on a call by call basis are given below:

- the semi-permanent leased line connection. These use one or more bearer channels which are assigned to user ports using Q_{LE} and are set up using the BCC protocol;
- the pre-connected bearer channels. These use one or more bearer channels which are assigned to user ports using Q_{LE} and are set up using the BCC protocol.

An audit function is provided via the BCC protocol in order that V5.2 bearer channel allocation and connections within the AN may be checked.

An AN internal failure function is also provided in the BCC protocol in order that the AN may notify the LE about internal failures affecting bearer channel connections.

7.4 Protection

The protection protocol is used in the case of interfaces with more than one 2 048 kbit/s link. It is required that the link control, the control, and the BCC protocols have a communication path over the V5.2 interface, even in the event of one 2 048 kbit/s link failure (i.e. primary or secondary link).

The protection protocol has the responsibility to ensure that there is a method by which entities in the LE and AN can communicate for the purpose of protecting logical C-channels in the case of a single link failure, if standby physical C-channels are provisioned.

In the event of protection switching being required for logical C-channels, it is the responsibility of the protection management function to initiate the switch-over in a controlled manner using the protection protocol.

8 Protocol architecture and multiplexing structure

8.1 Functional description





Figure 5: Functional description of the V5.2 interface

The functional description is illustrated in figure 5. Items in ETS 300 324-1 [8] related to ISDN basic access shall also apply to ISDN primary rate access. The following functional requirements are defined in addition to those given in ETS 300 324-1 [8]:

- a BCC protocol is used to assign bearer channels under the control of the LE;
- service requiring multi-slot connections, shall be provided over one 2 048 kbit/s link within a V5.2 interface. In this case, 8 kHz and time slot sequence integrity shall always be provided;
- a link control protocol is defined which will support the management functions of the 2 048 kbit/s links of the V5.2 interface;
- a protection protocol is defined which will support switching of logical C-channels between physical C-channels as appropriate.

8.2 Protocol requirements for PSTN and ISDN

Figure 6 shows the protocol architecture in a simplified form. The functions specified in this ETS are shaded.





NOTE 2: "Pr" refers to the protection protocol, "Link C" refers to the link control protocol.

Figure 6: Protocol architecture

The functions are defined in the following Clauses:

-	envelope function sublayer of LAPV5 (LAPV5-EF)	Clause 9;
-	data link sublayer of LAPV5 (LAPV5-DL)	Clause 10;
-	frame relaying sublayer of the AN (AN-FR)	Clause 11;
		<u> </u>

- sublayer-to-sublayer communication and mapping function Clause 12;

- general layer 3 protocol structures
 PSTN signalling protocol specification
 control protocol
 Clause 14;
 Clause 15;
- link control protocol
- BCC protocol
- protection protocol

Clause 14; Clause 15; Clause 16; Clause 17; Clause 18.

The ISDN D-channel information from ISDN-BA and ISDN-PRA ports shall be multiplexed at layer 2 and frame relayed over the V5.2 interface. The capability to separate p-type and f-type data from Ds-type signalling data onto different communication channels shall be supported by the AN and the LE, but it shall be possible to carry them on a single communication channel as a provisioning option (see also subclause 8.4).

Annex M gives an overview of message codepoints and frame formats used in the V5.2 interface.

The protocol specification for PSTN ports is specified in ETS 300 324-1 [8].

8.3 Time slots

There shall be a minimum of one and a maximum of sixteen 2 048 kbit/s links on a V5.2 interface. Each of these shall have a layer 1 structured according to Clauses 4 and 5.

Time slots 16, 15 and 31 of each 2 048 kbit/s link may be used as physical communication channels and shall be allocated as required by provisioning.

Time slots not provisioned as physical communications channels are available to be used as bearer channels under the control of the BCC protocol.

8.4 Time slot allocation for physical communication channels

In the case of only one 2 048 kbit/s link, the time slot allocation for the physical C-channels shall be the same as that for the physical C-channels in ETS 300 324-1 [8]. This is to ensure full compatibility with V5.1.

In the case of more than one 2 048 kbit/s links forming a V5.2 interface then the protection protocol shall be used. In this case, time slot 16 of the primary link will contain the protection protocol and any C-path which has been provisioned to be within the same C-channel. Time slot 16 of the secondary link will also contain the protection protocol.

Further physical C-channels should preferably be allocated in the following sequence:

- time slots 16 of the remaining 2 048 kbit/s links as required. If more are required, then:
- time slot 15 of a 2 048 kbit/s link. If still more are required, then:
- time slot 31 of the same 2 048 kbit/s link shall be allocated. If still more are required, then:
- continue the allocation by allocating time slot 15 and then 31 of the next 2 048 kbit/s link as indicated in the previous subclause. This process may be repeated until all time slots 15 and 31 on all 2 048 kbit/s links have been allocated.

The above guidelines have been created to allow for maximum flexibility when allocating time slots as physical C-channels whilst not constraining future service additions such as the ISDN H-channels. The allocation indicated above need not be followed, in particular when either upgrading from V5.1 to V5.2, or when increasing the capacity of a V5.2 interface, as to follow these guidelines explicitly may result in a total rearrangement of the physical C-channels on a V5.2 interface being required.

8.4.1 Data types for V5.2 C-paths

The following types of data have been defined which are conveyed over the V5.2 interface as communication paths:

- a) p-type data: This is ISDN D-channel data with SAPI 16;
- b) f-type data: This is ISDN D-channel data with SAPI = 32 to 62;
- c) Ds-type: This is ISDN D-channel signalling type data with SAPI not equal to any of those above;
 - NOTE: It has been identified that services using previously reserved SAPIs may be provided in the future. Giving a default allocation at least allows earlier implementations of V5.2 to transport these D-channel signalling types across the AN although their future data type allocation may be changed.
- d) PSTN: This is PSTN signalling information;
- e) Control: This is control information data;
- f) Link control: This is link control information data;
- g) BCC: This is a protocol which allocates bearer channels on demand;
- h) Protection: This is a protocol which assigns logical C-channels to different physical C-channels when there are link failures within a V5.2 interface.

The control, BCC, link control and the protection communication paths shall always be allocated to time slot 16 of the primary link on initialization. The other communication paths shall be allocated to any logical C-channel excluding time slot 16 of the secondary link or those provided for protection purposes.

8.4.2 Communication paths when PSTN is provided on a V5.2 interface

Only one logical C-channel shall contain the PSTN protocol.

8.4.3 Communication paths when ISDN is provided on a V5.2 interface

p-type data from ISDN user ports may be placed in one or more logical C-channels.

f-type data from ISDN user ports may be placed in one or more logical C-channels.

Ds-type data from ISDN user ports may be placed in one or more logical C-channels.

The communication paths carrying p-type, f-type or Ds-type data from an ISDN user port may be placed in the same logical C-channel or split over different logical C-channels.

p-type data from any single user port shall not be split into different logical C-channels.

f-type data from any single user port shall not be split into different logical C-channels.

Ds-type data from any single user port shall not be split into different logical C-channels.

NOTE: p-type or f-type data may also be routed by an AN through the leased line service network by provisioning. There is no impact on this ETS.

Page 30 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

8.5 Layer 2 sublayering and multiplexing on communication channels

The protocol specification and procedures for V5.2 follow directly from those provided in ETS 300 324-1 [8], subclause 8.5.

8.6 Layer 3 multiplexing

In general, layer 3 multiplexing is the same as for ETS 300 324-1 [8] subclause 8.6 with the following V5.2-relevant additions:

The link control protocol multiplexes information at layer 3 which is carried via the link control layer 2 data link over the V5.2 interface. The link control protocol is defined in Clause 16.

The BCC protocol multiplexes information at layer 3 which is carried via the BCC layer 2 data link over the V5.2 interface. The BCC protocol is defined in Clause 17.

The protection protocol multiplexes information at layer 3 which is carried via two protection layer 2 data links, one over the primary and the other over the secondary 2 048 kbit/s links. The protection protocol is defined in Clause 18.

8.7 Congestion control

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 8.7 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

8.7.1 Flow control end to end

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 8.7.1 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

8.7.2 Congestion control on the V5.2 interface

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 8.7.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

8.7.3 Blocking of ISDN user ports at layer 2

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 8.7.3 of ETS 300 324-1 [8] and will also cover ISDN-PRA ports as well.

9 Envelope Function sublayer of LAPV5 (LAPV5-EF)

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause 9 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

10 Data Link sublayer of LAPV5 (LAPV5-DL)

10.1 Frame structure for peer-to-peer communication

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 10.1 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

10.2 Invalid frames

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 10.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

10.3 Elements of procedures and formats of fields for data link sublayer peer-to-peer communication

10.3.1 Link address field format

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 10.3.1 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

10.3.2 Link address field variables

10.3.2.1 Address field extension bit (EA)

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 10.3.2.1 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

10.3.2.2 Command/response field bit

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 10.3.2.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

10.3.2.3 V5DLaddr

The V5DLaddr shall be a 13 bit number. Values in the range of 0 up to 8 175 shall not be used to identify a layer 3 protocol entity, because that range is used for identifying ISDN user ports.

Defined values of the V5DLaddr are given in table 1.

			Bi	its	V5DL	addr				
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
1	1	1	1	1	1	C/R	EA	Octet 1		
								Octet 2		
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	EA	PSTN signalling	(8 176 decimal)	
1	1	1	0	0	0	1	EA	Control protocol (8 177 decima		
1	1	1	0	0	1	0	EA	BCC protocol (8 178 decima		
1	1	1	0	0	1	1	EA	Protection protocol (8 179 decin		
1	1	1	0	1	0	0	EA	Link control protocol (8 180 decimal)		

Table 1: Coding of V5DL address values

10.4 Definition of the peer-to-peer procedures of the data link sublayer

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 10.4 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Page 32 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

11 AN frame relay sublayer

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause 11 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

12 Sublayer-to-sublayer communication and mapping function

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause 12 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

13 General layer 3 protocol structures

13.1 General

Within the V5.2 interfaces, different layer 3 protocols are supported, all of them using the same "protocol discriminator". Hence, the full set of protocols can be seen as a unique "V5.2" protocol composed by different sub-protocols:

- PSTN protocol;
- control protocol (common control and userport control);
- link control protocol;
- BCC protocol; and
- protection protocol.

All of these layer 3 protocols are defined as message-oriented protocols. Every message shall consist of the following parts (information elements). For each of them is indicated its number of octets (between brackets):

- a) protocol discriminator (1 octet);
- b) layer 3 address (2 octets);
- c) message type (1 octet); and
- d) other information elements, as required (the number of octets is information element dependent).

Information elements a), b) and c) shall be present in all messages, acting as a "header" for each of the messages; while information elements d) are specific to each message type.

This organization is illustrated in the example shown in figure 7.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Octet		
			Protocol di	iscriminator				1		
	Layer 3 address									
Layer 3 address (lower)										
0	0 Message type 4									
Other information element										

Figure 7: General message organization example

For all the V5.2 protocols, every particular information element can be present only once in a particular message.

For each of the octets composing each of the information elements, the bit designated "bit 1" is transmitted first, followed by bits 2, 3, 4, etc. Similarly, for each of the information elements, the octet designated "octet 1" is transmitted first, followed by octets 2, 3, 4, etc.

When a field extends over more than one octet, the order of bit values progressively decreases as the octet number increases. The least significant bit of the field is represented by the lowest numbered bit of the highest numbered octet of the field.

The possible bits not used within the octet structure of a particular information element are considered as "reserved" and shall be coded as binary "all 0". However, the reception of a reserved field coded as something different than "all 0" will not cause a protocol error.

13.2 Information elements that appear in every message (header)

Within this subclause the information elements that appear in every message (acting as a message header) are described.

These information elements do not include an explicit information element identifier field. Hence each of them will be identified from the position of the octets in every message.

13.2.1 Protocol Discriminator information element

The purpose of the Protocol Discriminator information element is to distinguish messages corresponding to one of the V5 protocols (PSTN protocol, Control protocol, Link control protocol, BCC protocol or Protection protocol) defined in ETS 300 324-1 [8] and this ETS, from other messages corresponding to other protocols (not defined within these ETSs) making use of the same V5 (in this case V5.2) data link connections.

NOTE: The Protocol Discriminator information element has been included within the V5 protocols for structure compatibility with other protocols (e.g. with ETS 300 102-1 [6]). It provides a mechanism for future compatibility, allowing the use of the same V5 data link connection for other Layer 3 protocols not yet identified.

The Protocol Discriminator information element is the first part of every message.

The length of the Protocol Discriminator information element shall be 1 octet.

The structure and coding of the Protocol Discriminator information element shall be as indicated by figure 8.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	octet 1

NOTE: All other values are reserved.

Figure 8: Protocol Discriminator information element

13.2.2 Layer 3 Address information element

The purpose of the Layer 3 Address information element is to identify the layer 3 entity, within the V5.2 interface, to which the transmitted or received message applies.

The Layer 3 Address information element shall be the second part of every message (located after the Protocol Discriminator information element).

The length of the Layer 3 Address information element shall be 2 octets.

Page 34 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

The structure of the Layer 3 Address information element is protocol dependent, for the PSTN protocol see subclause 13.4.3 of ETS 300 324-1 [8], for the Control protocol see subclause 14.4.2.3 of ETS 300 324-1 [8]. For the link control protocol, this information element retains the name layer 3 address although it is used to refer to 2 048 kbit/s links (it is defined in subclause 16.3.2.1 of this ETS). For the BCC protocol this information element has been named the "BCC Reference Number" information element and is defined in subclause 17.4.1 of this ETS. For the Protection protocol this information element has been named the "Logical C-channel identification" information element and is defined in subclause 18.5.1 of this ETS.

13.2.3 Message Type information element

The purpose of the Message Type information element is to identify the function of the message being sent or received.

The Message Type information element shall be the third part of every message (located after the Layer 3 address information element).

The length of the Message Type information element shall be 1 octet.

The structure of the Message Type information element shall be as indicated by figure 9.

The coding of the Message Type information element shall be as specified within this ETS. See Annex M for a full listing of message codepoints.

The general layout of the coding of the Message Type field shall be as indicated in table 2.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0				Message Typ	e			octet 1

Figure 9: Message Type information element

The general layout of the coding of the Message Type field shall be as indicated in table 2.

Table 2: Message type coding structures for the V5.2 protocols

			Bits				Message type		
7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
0	0	0	-	-	-	-	PSTN protocol message types		
0	0	1	0	-	-	-	Control protocol message types		
0	0	1	1	-	-	-	Protection protocol message types		
0	1	0	-	-	-	-	BCC protocol message types		
0	1	1	0	-	-	-	Link control protocol message types		
NOT	NOTE: All other values are reserved.								

13.3 Other information elements

These information elements may appear in the different messages, being optional or mandatory depending on the message semantics and/or the protocol application of the message.

These information elements are specific for each of the protocols. For the PSTN protocol specific information elements see subclause 13.4 of ETS 300 324-1 [8], for the control protocol specific information elements see subclause 14.4.4.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8], for the link control protocol specific information elements see subclause 16.3.2 of this ETS, for the BCC protocol specific information elements see subclause 17.4 of this ETS, and for the protection protocol specific information elements see subclause 18.5 of this ETS.

See Annex M for a complete list of V5.2 information elements.

13.4 Protocol message functional definition and information content

In the protocol definitions of this ETS the different messages are specified highlighting the functional definition and information content (i.e. semantics) of each message. Each definition includes:

- a) a brief description of the message, direction and use;
- b) a table listing the information elements in the order of their appearance in the message (same relative order for all message types). For each information element the table indicates:
 - 1) the subclause of this ETS describing the information element;
 - 2) the direction in which it may be sent: i.e. AN to LE, LE to AN, or both;
 - 3) whether inclusion is mandatory ("M") or optional ("O");
 - 4) the length of the information element in octets.

13.5 Codesets

For the coding of the information elements the same rules apply as defined in ETS 300 324-1 [8], subclause 4.5.1, without the functionality of the Shift information element, i.e. there shall be only one codeset.

14 **PSTN** signalling protocol specification and layer 3 multiplexing

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause 13 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

15 Control requirements and protocol

This Clause defines the port control and common control requirements, protocols and procedures in the form of normative FSM specifications and supporting prose description of the procedures. The supplementary SDL diagrams are given in Annex L.

15.1 ISDN-BA user port status indication and control

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 14.1 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

15.2 **PSTN** user port status indication and control

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 14.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Page 36 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

15.3 ISDN primary rate user port status indication and control

15.3.1 General aspects


The ISDN primary rate user port status indication is based on the defined split of responsibilities between AN and LE. Only that status information of the user port having call control relevance shall influence the state machine in the LE via the V5.2 interface.

Port tests, e.g. loop back operation, shall be the responsibility of the AN. However, those tests which interfere with the service shall only be performed when the port is "Blocked", either due to failure or on request to and permission by the LE. This requires two groups of states, relevant to the V5.2 interface protocol, at both sides:

- operational state; and
- non-operational state.

Additional states are required in the AN for maintenance of the DS and the user port. ISDN primary rate access is permanently active at layer 1. If the DS detects a loss of layer 1 capability at its user side, the access shall be considered as non-operational from the LE point of view, while from the AN point of view, the DS is still in normal condition. This distinction is made by the AN management and reported to the LE using additional Function Elements (FEs) and management primitives.

Figure 10 shows the functional model for control of the ISDN primary rate user port. The shading indicates the area defined in this ETS. The definition of the other functions and capabilities are outside the scope of this ETS. Reference is made to Annex C for further information about assumptions for the management functions in the AN and LE.






Figure 10: Primary rate port control functional model

In the following, only those functions and procedures are specified having relevance to the V5.2 interface.

15.3.2 Events and function elements relevant for the control of the state machines

Tables 3 to 6 give the set of FEs relevant for the V5.2 interface, the FEs defined in ETS 300 233 [10] and the primitives (PH and MPH) towards layer 2 and the management function in AN or LE (see also figures 3 and 4 of ETS 300 324-1 [8]. Figure 10 gives the definitions and the procedures for the FEs and the events used in tables 3 to 6.

FE	Name	DS ET	Meaning at ET in LE				
FE-A	Normal operation of DS	>	not directly relevant				
FE-B	Normal operation of ET	<	not directly relevant				
FE-C	Unintentional loopback	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
FE-D	LOS/LFA at TE (FC2)	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
FE-E	LOS at line side of NT1 (FC3)	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
FE-F	LOS/LFA at V3 refer. point of ET (FCL)	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
FE-G	LOS/LFA at T refer. point of NT1 (FC4)	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
FE-H	FC3 and FC4 simultaneously	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
FE-I	Loss of power at NT1	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
FE-K	FE-I and FE-D simultaneously	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
FE-L	LOS at line side of LT (FC1)	AN maintenance	not directly relevant				
NOTE:	FE-M to FE-P of ETS 300 233 [10] refer to	failures in a separate digit	al link and are not relevant.				
	FE-Q to FE-T refer to loopback operation and are outside the scope of interface V5.2. FE-U to						
	FE-Y are related to CRC-4 error detection	n and relevant to perform	nance monitoring only (see				
	subclause 15.3.4).						

Table 4: Set of function elements of interface V5.2

FE	Name	AN LE	Description				
FE201	unblock	<	request or acknowledgement				
FE202	unblock	>	request or acknowledgement				
FE203	block	<	command				
FE204	block	>	command				
FE205	block request	>	request				
FE206	grading	>	performance information (NOTE 1)				
FE207	D-channel block	<	command (NOTE 2)				
FE208	D-channel unblock < command (NOTE 2)		command (NOTE 2)				
FE209	TE out of service> indication of user failure		indication of user failure				
FE210	Failure inside network	>	indication of network failure				
	ne grading information may be sent fror e also subclause 15.3.4.	n the AN mana	gement when being in state AN/LE2.0,				
th	the operation of the upstream D-channel of an individual ISDN user port according to the						
re	quirement in ETS 300 324-1 [8], subcla	use 8.7.3. Thes	e commands may appear when being				
in	state AN/LE2.0 without change of state						

Page 38 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

The function elements are reported by the DS immediately after detection of an event. The effect on the port control, which has relevance to the call control procedures shall be delayed by an appropriate persistence check procedure. This is outside the scope of this ETS and not reflected in the AN (ISDN primary port) FSM. Reference is made to ETS 300 011 [9] which gives an example of an appropriate persistence check procedure.

Primiti	ve	FSM L2/Management	Description
MPH-UBR		<	unblock request
MPH-UBR		>	unblock request
MPH-UBI		>	unblock indication
MPH-BI		<	block command
MPH-BI		>	block command
MPH-BR		>	incoming block request
PH/MPH-AI		>	access activated (operational)
PH/MPH-DI		>	access deactivated (not operational)
MPH-UF		>	User failure indication
MPH-NF		>	Network failure indication
MPH-GI		>	grading information with parameter (NOTE 1)
MPH-DB		<	block D-channel from user port (NOTE 2)
MPH-DU		<	unblock D-channel from user port (NOTE 2)
NOTE 1:	•	ading information may be sent from thuse 15.3.4.	ne AN management when in state LE2.0, see also
NOTE 2:	The co the up ETS 30	ommands "MPH-DB" and "MPH-DU" slostream D-channel of an individual I	hall be used to interrupt or resume the operation of SDN user port according to the requirement in ommands may appear when in state LE2.0 without

Table 5: Set of primitives in the LE

Table 6: Set of management primitives in the AN relevant to interface V5.2

Primitive	Management FSM	Description
MPH-UBR	>	unblock request
MPH-UBR	<	unblock request
MPH-UBI	<	unblock indication
MPH-BI	>	block command
MPH-BI	<	block command
MPH-BR	>	block request
MPH-NOF	<	user and DS normal
MPH-Elc	<	AN maintenance
MPH-Eld	<	AN maintenance
MPH-Ele	<	AN maintenance
MPH-Elg	<	AN maintenance
MPH-EIh	<	AN maintenance
MPH-Eli	<	AN maintenance
MPH-Elk	<	AN maintenance
MPH-EII	<	AN maintenance
MPH-Ellos	<	AN maintenance

(continued)

Table 6 (concluded): Set of management primitives in the AN relevant to interface V5.2

Primiti	ve Mana	gement	FSM	Description	
MPH-UF		> User failure indication		User failure indication	
MPH-NF		>	•	Network failure indication	
MPH-GI		>	•	grading information with parameter (NOTE 2)	
MPH-DB		<	-	block D-channel from user port (NOTE 3)	
MPH-DU		<	-	unblock D-channel from user port (NOTE 3)	
MPH-PAR		>	•	request for port operation for PL capability	
MPH-PAI		<	-	port operation for PL capability indication	
MPH-PDR		>	•	request port non-operation for PL capability	
MPH-PDI		<	-	port non-operation for PL capability indication	
MPH-LxAR		>	•	activate loopback	
MPH-AI		<	-	loopback activation indication	
MPH-DR		>	•	loopback release request	
NOTE 1:		scription of		vant for the interface V5.2 but given for information the FSM on receipt of those events even in states	
NOTE 2:	The grading infor also subclause 15		ay be sent from th	e AN management when being in state AN2.0, see	
NOTE 3:	The commands "MPH-DB" and "MPH-DU" are used to interrupt or resume the operation of the upstream D-channel of an individual ISDN user port according to the requirement in ETS 300 324-1 [8] subclause 8.7.3. These commands may appear when being in state AN2.0				

15.3.3 ISDN-PRA user port FSMs, AN (ISDN port) and LE (ISDN port)

The primitives, FEs and the state tables are given for the definition of the functional behaviour and co-operation between the various functional blocks. There is no restriction for the implementation of these functions as long as the implementation is in conformance with the functionality defined in this ETS over the interface V5.2 and with the primary rate access digital section.

15.3.3.1 Description of the states

without change of state.

The procedure for blocking and unblocking of the user port as specified in the port FSMs takes account of the principles given in ETS 300 324-1 [8], subclause 7.1.

Blocking request shall be issued from the AN management only when being in the operational state. This request does not have any effect on the state unless the LE responds with FE203.

Immediate blocking indication has immediate effect in any relevant state in both FSMs. No specific confirmation of this indication is required.

Unblocking needs to be co-ordinated on both sides. Therefore an unblock request requires confirmation from the other side. The co-ordination is guaranteed through the two unblock states. If a block indication is received from the other side when in local unblock state this shall only be interpreted as no confirmation and may be relevant only for the management system.

The unblock request may also be used by the management system to confirm the status of the layer 1 state machines.

The AN-FSM defined for the ISDN primary rate port supports the optional PL-capability which requires that the access digital section and the user terminal may become operational under the control of the AN while the LE is non-operational. The procedure uses the states AN1.1 and AN3.0.

Page 40 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

Maintenance of the DS and loopback tests (see FE-Q to FE-T of ETS 300 233 [10]) may use the additional states AN4 which are outside the scope of this ETS. These states shall only be entered from the state AN1.0 or AN1.2.

State AN4 can only be entered from states AN1 and shall only return to AN1.0. To align AN and LE FSM FE204 shall be sent to the LE and the unblock procedure may then be applied.

15.3.3.2 Definition of port control states

The user port FSMs reflect the AN and LE view of the layer 1 state of the ISDN port only. Call control is the responsibility of the ISDN protocol.

15.3.3.2.1 ISDN-PRA user port FSM - AN (ISDN port)

Non-operational (AN1 and AN3): D-channel blocking has been applied to the port. Therefore, no layer 2 information shall be frame relayed to the LE, and the port cannot be used to originate or terminate calls.

Blocked (AN1.0): The port is in the non-operational state and neither side has initiated unblocking. Two sub-states are required to satisfy the DS and the user-network interface specification.

Local unblock (AN1.1): The AN has initiated unblocking (by sending FE202) and is awaiting confirmation from the LE. Although the DS is in normal condition the AN-FSM has to signal to the TE that the access is not operational by sending RAI.

Remote unblock (AN1.2): The LE has initiated unblocking (by sending FE201) and is awaiting confirmation from the AN. Two sub-states are required to satisfy the DS and the user-network interface specification. They correspond to the two sub-states of AN1.0.

NOTE: States AN1.1 and AN1.2 provide a mechanism for the synchronized unblocking of ports. The AN may remain in these states for an indeterminate period of time.

PL operational (AN3): The AN management has initiated the port operation for PL capability when the LE does not support unblocking of the port (AN1.1). In case of a failure report from the DS or on request from the AN management the AN port FSM goes back to state AN1.02.

Operational (AN2.0): The port is operational from the AN and LE point of view, layer 2 (and layer 3) links may be established and the port can be used to originate or terminate calls.

15.3.3.2.2 ISDN-PRA user port FSM - LE (ISDN port)

Non-operational (LE1): No layer 2 information is expected at the LE, and the port cannot be used to originate or terminate calls.

Blocked (LE1.0): The port is in the non-operational state and neither side has initiated unblocking.

Local unblock (LE1.1): The LE has initiated unblocking (by sending FE201) and is awaiting confirmation from the AN.

Remote unblock (LE1.2): The AN has initiated unblocking (by sending FE202) and is awaiting confirmation from the LE.

NOTE: States LE1.1 and LE1.2 provide a mechanism for the synchronized unblocking of ports. The LE may remain in these states for an indeterminate period of time.

Operational (LE2.0): Layer 1 of the primary rate access is operational. Layer 2 (and layer 3) links may be established. The port can be used to originate or terminate calls.

15.3.3.3 Principles and procedures

15.3.3.3.1 General

The next subclauses describe the mechanism implemented in the FSMs in AN and LE for ISDN (primary rate access) ports, which are presented in the relevant state transition tables.

The following mechanisms are described:

- blocking;
- blocking request;
- co-ordinated unblocking;
- user failure/network failure indication;
- support of the permanent line capability.

15.3.3.3.2 Blocking

A user port, when being in the operational state (AN2/LE2), can be blocked from either sides. However the AN management has no knowledge about the call state of the port, and hence shall only apply this procedure under failure and other conditions (when the persistence check procedure has been passed), that allow for affecting the service.

When AN-management issues MPH-BI, the FSM sends FE204 (Block Command) to the LE and goes to the Blocked state AN1.0, sub-state AN1.02 to signal the non-operational condition to the TE.

The AN-FSM may also block the port autonomously when the DS indicates a failure condition. The appropriate sub-state supports the port control through the DS as specified in the relevant ETSs.

When LE-management issues MPH-BI, the FSM sends FE203 (Block Command) to the AN and goes to the Blocked state LE1.0.

15.3.3.3.3 Blocking request

The blocking request mechanism allows for non-urgent port blocking (e.g. deferrable maintenance). In this case AN-management issues a Blocking Request (MPH-BR) resulting in FE205 to the LE. This request shall be passed by the LE-FSM to LE-Management by MPH-BR.

LE-Management, knowing the call state, may grant the request by issuing MPH-BI, resulting in FE203 (Block Command) to the AN, then goes to Blocked state.

In case of a semi-permanent connection the LE-Management will not grant this request but send MPH-UBR as a negative confirmation.

The AN management may cancel the blocking request by issuing MPH-UBR. The LE management may then receive MPH-UBI and cancel the blocking request (i.e. ignore the previously received request) if the port has not yet been blocked. In the latter case, the LE may start the unblock procedure by issuing MPH-UBR.

Page 42 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

15.3.3.3.4 Co-ordinated unblocking

Unblocking a port, needs to be co-ordinated at both sides. An unblock request requires confirmation from the other side. To guarantee this co-ordination there are two separate Unblock states (Local & Remote Unblock) in both FSMs. This procedure is fully symmetrical between AN and LE. If the LE wants to unblock, it issues MPH-UBR, sends FE201 (Unblock request) and goes to "Local Unblock" (LE1.1). The AN goes to "Remote Unblock" (AN1.2), to the corresponding sub-state as in state AN1.0, and sends MPH-UBR to its management, which may agree, then responds with MPH-UBR (unblock acknowledge), sends FE202 and goes to "Operational" state (AN2.0).

For the LE in "Local unblock" and receiving this acknowledgement, the FSM goes to "Operational" (LE2.0) and issues MPH-UBI to its management. The AN-Management may as well take the initiative, for which the same procedure applies.

For AN and LE, when in "Remote unblock" state and receiving FE204 or FE203 respectively, the state will be reset to Blocked, and a MPH-BI sent to management. This undoes a previous Unblock Request from the other side.

The AN management may cancel the blocking request by issuing MPH-UBR. The LE management may then receive MPH-UBI and cancel the blocking request (i.e. ignore the previously received request) if the port has not yet been blocked. In the latter case the LE may start the unblock procedure by issuing MPH-UBR.

15.3.3.5 User failure/network failure indication

For the full support of the ISDN service the LE needs to know the reason for the blocking of the port, i.e. the port was blocked due to failure in the user's responsibility or in the network's responsibility. This information can only be provided by the AN management knowing the location of the failure from the information provided by the access digital section and internal failure detection capabilities. Failure conditions (FC) 2 and 4 (FE-G only, FE-G and FE-K together, under certain conditions) are understood as user failures but the AN may confirm this by applying failure localization prior to the indication to the LE. The identification of "loss of power at NT1" (FE-I) as user failure or network failure depends on the NT1 powering arrangement.

The AN management shall inform the LE management by sending the appropriate information (MPH-UF or MPH-NF) to the AN (ISDN primary port) FSM which will send FE209 or FE210 to the LE (ISDN primary port) FSM respectively. The FSM in the LE will then inform the LE management accordingly.

15.3.3.3.6 Support of the permanent line capability

Since the primary rate user port is permanently active there is no particular requirement for the V5.2 primary rate port control above the procedures already defined. If the LE blocks the user port or, if after restoration from a failure in the DS or TE, the unblock procedure is not supported by the LE, the AN management may bring the user port into PL operational by issuing MPH-PAR. The AN FSM will go into state AN 3.0 and confirm with MPH-PAI. With MPH-PDR the AN management may disable PL capability which will be responded by the FSM with a change to state AN1.02 and MPH-PDI. This procedure is not relevant to the LE.

15.3.3.4 ISDN port FSM at the AN

The ISDN-PRA user port FSM is defined in table 7 in accordance with figure 10.

State	AN1.01	AN1.02	AN1.1	AN1.21	AN1.22	AN2.0	AN3.0
State	Blocked 1	Blocked 2	Local	Remote	Remote	Access	PL
name Event			unblock	unblock 1	unblock 2	operational	operational
Signal to V3	NOF	RAI	RAI	NOF	RAI	NOF	NOF
E201	MPH-UBR	MPH-UBR	MPH-UBI	MPH-UBR	MPH-UBR	FE202;	MPH-UBI
	1.21	1.22	2.0	-	-	MPH-UBI	2.0
E203	-	-	MPH-BI 1.02	MPH-BI 1.01	MPH-BI 1.02	MPH-BI 1.02	MPH-BI -
MPH-UBR	MPH-BI -	FE202 1.1	FE202 -	FE204; MPH-BI 1.01	FE202; MPH-UBI 2.0	FE202; MPH-UBI	MPH-PAI -
ИРН-ВІ	FE204	FE204	FE204 1.02	FE204 1.01	FE204 1.02	FE204 1.02	FE204 1.02
MPH-BR	-	-	/	/	/	FE205	/
NOF	MPH-NOF 1.02	MPH-NOF	-	MPH-NOF 1.22	MPH-NOF	-	-
_OS/LFA	MPH-Ellos 1.02	MPH-Eilos -	FE204; MPH-Eilos 1.02	FE204; MPH-Eilos 1.02	FE204; MPH-Eilos 1.02	FE204; MPH-Eilos 1.02	FE204; MPH-Eilos 1.02
E-C	MPH-Elc 1.02	MPH-Eic -	FE204; MPH-Eic 1.02	FE204; MPH-Eic 1.02	FE204; MPH-Eic 1.02	FE204; MPH-Eic 1.02	FE204; MPH-EIc 1.02
FE-D	MPH-Eld -	MPH-Eld 1.01	FE204; MPH-Eid 1.01	FE204; MPH-Eid 1.01	FE204; MPH-Eid 1.01	FE204; MPH-Eld 1.01	FE204; MPH-EId 1.01
FE-E	MPH-Ele	MPH-Ele 1.01	FE204; MPH-Ele 1.01	FE204; MPH-Ele 1.01	FE204; MPH-Ele 1.01	FE204; MPH-Ele 1.01	FE204; MPH-Ele 1.01
FE-G	MPH-Elg 1.02	MPH-Elg -	FE204; MPH-Elg 1.02	FE204; MPH-Elg 1.02	FE204; MPH-Elg 1.02	FE204; MPH-Elg 1.02	FE204; MPH-Elg 1.02
FE-H	MPH-Elh 1.02	MPH-Elh -	FE204; MPH-Elh 1.02	FE204; MPH-Elh 1.02	FE204; MPH-Elh 1.02	FE204; MPH-Elh 1.02	FE204; MPH-EIh 1.02
=E-I	MPH-Eli	MPH-Eli	MPH-Eli	MPH-Eli	MPH-Eli	MPH-Eli	MPH-Eli
FE-K	MPH-Elk -	MPH-Elk 1.01	FE204; MPH-Elk 1.01	FE204; MPH-Elk 1.01	FE204; MPH-Elk 1.01	FE204; MPH-Elk 1.01	FE204; MPH-Elk 1.01
FE-L	MPH-EII 1.02	MPH-EII				FE204; MPH-EII 1.02	-
MPH-LxAR	FE-Q/R	FE-Q/R	/	FE-Q/R	FE-Q/R	/	/
MPH-UF	4.x FE209	4.x FE209	/	4.x FE209	4.x FE209	/	/
MPH-PAR	- /	- /	MPH-PAI 3.0	- /	- /	/	-
MPH-PDR	/	/	/	/	/	/	MPH-PDI 1.02
MPH-NF	FE210	FE210	/	FE210	FE210	/	/
MPH-GI	- /	/	/	/	/	FE206	/
FE207	/	/	/	/	/	MPH-DB	/
FE208	/	/	/	/	/	- MPH-DU	/
Notation:	- no state cha	I nge; / unexpecte ss Of Signal/Loss	d event, no state o s of Frame Alignme	L hange; NOF: Nor	I mal Operational F	rames;	

Table 7: AN (ISDN primary port) FSM for ISDN-PRA user ports

 NOTE 1:
 States AN4 are not relevant to interface V5.2 and not defined in this ETS.

 NOTE 2:
 If D-channel blocking has been applied to a user port after receipt of FE207, when in state AN2.0 and if the port FSM leaves state AN2.0, then D-channel blocking shall be removed.

Page 44 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

The AN FSM covers single failure events from the DS only except where multiple failures are reported by the DS, i.e. FE-H and FE-K. A new detected event means that a previously reported failure has disappeared.

The AN FSM provides a mechanism which allows the local manager of the AN to verify that the FSM is in the Operational state, without having to go through the sequence of blocking and unblocking. This mechanism is internal to the AN. To do so the AN management issues MPH-UBR and receives the information whether the FSM is in a non-operational state.

15.3.3.5 ISDN port FSM at the LE

Table 8 gives the FSM of the LE.

Table 8: LE (ISDN primary port) FSM for ISDN-PRA user ports

State	LE1.0	LE1.1	LE1.2	LE2.0	
State name	Blocked	Local	Remote	Access	
Event		unblock	unblock	operational	
MPH-UBR	FE201	FE201	PH/MPH-AI; FE201	FE201	
	1.1	-	2.0	-	
MPH-BI	FE203	FE203	FE203	FE203	
	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	
FE202	MPH-UBR	PH/MPH-AI	MPH-UBR	MPH-UBI	
	1.2	2.0	-		
FE204	-	MPH-BI	MPH-BI	MPH-BI; PH/MPH-DI	
		1.0	1.0	1.0	
FE205	-	-	-	MPH-BR	
				-	
FE206	/	/	/	MPH-GI	
				-	
FE209	MPH-UF	MPH-UF	/	/	
	-	-			
FE210	MPH-NF	MPH-NF	/	/	
	-	-			
MPH-DB	/	/	/	FE207	
				-	
MPH-DU	/	/	/	FE208	
				-	
		cted event, no state cha			
		as been applied to a u			
MPH-D	DB primitive, the syst	em management shall	be aware that D-chan	nel blocking in the AN	

The LE FSM provides a mechanism which allows the local manager of the LE to verify that the FSM is in the operational state by issuing MPH-UBR, without having to go through the sequence of blocking and unblocking.

Unlike the corresponding situation for the AN, this mechanism is not internal to the LE and requires the co-operation of the AN FSM, and confirms the alignment of both FSMs and the link between them.

The asymmetry here reflects the responsibility of the LE for supporting the service.

will be removed, after the port FSM in the AN leaves state AN2.0.

15.3.4 Performance monitoring aspects

The performance of the primary rate access digital section, if implemented with the NT1 implemented separately from the AN, shall be monitored by the AN (FE-U for the downstream direction or CRC-4 block in error detected in AN for the upstream direction). The application of the mechanism is to be provisioned at the AN and LE on a per port basis.

As reflected in ETS 300 324-1 [8] (subclause 7.1.1, item 7), the working concept is that on the V5 interface there is no impact from any implementation of the user-port. The AN is supposed to monitor the performance of the access digital section. Parameters for validation algorithms and specific thresholds shall be pre-defined in the AN. Only passing the threshold will be reported ("Grading" with parameter indicating which grade is now relevant) at a maximum rate of once a minute. The LE may use these reports to decide whether or not a requested service shall be delivered. This concept makes performance monitoring on V5 access-implementation independent, having no effect on the Port-Status FSM.

The persistent excess of a bit error ratio of 10⁻³ shall be considered as a failure requiring maintenance (according to the ITU-T M. series of Recommendations and CCITT Recommendation G.921), and therefore immediate blocking of the user port.

The use of FE-W, FE-X and FE-y for remote user maintenance under control of the AN is optional and left to the operator. This does not have any impact on the V5.2 interface.

15.4 Control protocol

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 14.4 of ETS 300 324-1 [8] with the exception of table 54 of that ETS which is modified due to two additional Control function elements required for the ISDN primary rate port. Table 9 shows the modified table 54 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

	Bits (octet 3)						Control function element
7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	FE101 (activate access)
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	FE102 (activation initiated by user)
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	FE103 (DS activated)
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	FE104 (access activated)
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	FE105 (deactivate access)
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	FE106 (access deactivated)
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	FE201/202 (unblock)
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	FE203/204 (block)
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	FE205 (block request)
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	FE206 (performance grading)
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	FE207 (D-channel block)
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	FE208 (D-channel unblock)
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	FE209 (TE out of service)
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	FE210 (failure inside network)
NOT	E:	A	II oth	er va	alues	are	reserved.

Table 9: Coding of Control function element

15.5 V5.2 re-provisioning procedures

The contents of this subclause are identical to subclause 14.5 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Page 46 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

16 Link control requirements and protocol

This Clause defines the link control requirements, protocols and procedures in the form of normative FSM specifications and supporting prose description of the procedures. The supplementary SDL diagrams are given in Annex L.


In the V5.2 interface there is a need for the following functions and requirements for each individual 2 048 kbit/s link:

- a) the 2 048 kbit/s layer 1 link status and link identification as relevant;
- b) the blocking and co-ordinated unblocking of a layer 1 link by the management;
- c) the verification of the link continuity by the link identification;
- d) the co-ordination of these link control functions; and
- e) the link control protocol for the communication between AN and LE on the co-ordination of these functions at both sides.

All these requirements are defined in this Clause, i.e.:

- subclause 16.1 covers item a);
- subclause 16.2 covers items b), c) and d); and
- subclause 16.3 covers item e).

Figure 11 shows the functional model for control of a single link of a V5.2 interface. Reference is made to Annex C for further information about assumptions for the management functions in the AN and LE.





The functional model shows that the layer 1 link FSM, which is directly related to the interface signals, reacts autonomously from the link control functions and procedures. It is the responsibility of the link control to co-ordinate the layer 1 link and the link control procedures so that the system management is always aware of the status of that link.

Communication of each link control FSM with its layer 1 link FSM is provided through Management Primitives (MPHs), while communication with the system management uses Management Data Units (MDUs). For communication with the remote link control FSM, FEs are conveyed by a layer 3 protocol specified in subclause 16.3. There are as well MDUs sent from the link control protocol entity to the system management for the support of the protocol error handling procedures.

Layer 1 link FSM acts autonomously on layer 1 signals and identifies the layer 1 link status to the link control FSM by MPH-DI and MPH-AI. The layer 1 condition will be detected at both sides of the layer 1 link interface. Due to the fact that the pre-defined persistence check timers may have different values in LE and AN the indication to the link control FSM may be at different points in time. The possible resulting problems have been taken into account in the definition of the link control FSM.

It is the responsibility of the LE system management to decide whether link operation should be initiated after layer 1 has recovered from a failure condition (link control FSM issues MDU-LAI) without link identification procedure applied or after successful link identification only.

16.1 2 048 kbit/s layer 1 link maintenance requirements

16.1.1 Events and failure reports

The requirements and specifications in this subclause are relevant for both the AN and LE because of the symmetry of the interface functions.

The 2 048 kbit/s layer 1 link specification is based upon the V5.1 layer 1 interface requirements and procedures. In order to make the upgrade path from V5.1 to V5.2 easier to understand, the parts common to V5.1 and V5.2 are shown first, and the extra parts for V5.2 are then shown. In table 10, the set of common events are shown first, with the V5.2-specific ones shown below the line within the table. In table 12, the V5.2-specific states shown as AN/LE5.1 and AN/LE5.2 are shown delineated by double lines.

Table 10 gives the identified events for each 2 048 kbit/s layer 1 link of a V5.2 interface.

	Event (signal)	AN/LE Management	Primitive			
operational si	gnal (normal frames, not RAI)	>	MPH-AI			
non-operation	nal condition	>	MPH-DI			
loss of signal		>	MPH-Ela			
loss of frame	alignment	>	MPH-Eia			
reception of r	emote alarm indication (RAI)	>	MPH-Elb			
reception of A	AIS (NOTE 1)	>	MPH-Elc			
internal failure	9	>	MPH-Eld			
CRC block re	ceived in error	>	MPH-Ele			
CRC error inf	ormation (i.e. E-bit set to ZERO) (NOTE 2)	>	MPH-Elf			
request to sto	p with error report (NOTE 2)	<	MPH-stop			
request to pro	bceed with error report (NOTE 2)	<	MPH-proceed			
link identificat	tion indication	>	MPH-IDI			
send link ider	ntification signal	<	MPH-ID			
remove link ic	dentification signal	<	MPH-NOR			
link identificat	tion request	<	MPH-IDR			
link identificat	tion failure	>	MPH-Elg			
NOTE 1:	AIS may be generated by the V5.2 interface link	in case it has detected an	internal failure preventing it			
	from generating the normal output signal. The r	eceiving side of the interfac	ce however shall detect this			
	event because the application alternative with a transparent digital link between the LE and the AN AI					
	may be generated by this link according to CCITT	Recommendations (see als	so Clause 4).			
NOTE 2:	These events have relevance for the interface an	nd the relation with the mar	agement system but do not			
	have impact on the FSM.		-			

Table 10: Events and primitives for the interface layer 1 link FSM

The FSMs AN (interface) and LE (interface) can both be regarded as being constructed from two fundamental states: operational and non-operational. The transition to these conditions shall be notified by MPH-AI or MPH-DI at the AN and MPH-AI or MPH-DI at the LE respectively.

The report mechanism available to the remote side of the interface is the RAI function and the CRC error report function (E-bit).

16.1.2 Detection algorithm for events and signals

The detection algorithm for events or signals is defined in table 11.

refers to CCITT Recommendation G.706 (1991), §§ 4.1.2 and 4.2). Loss of frame alignment: The algorithm shall be in accordance with the one given in ETS 300 167 [2] (w refers to CCITT Recommendation G.706 (1991), § 4.1.1). RAI: RAI is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - frame alignment condition; and - reception of one bit A with binary content ONE. Loss of signal: The equipment shall implement one or both of the following alternatives to de "loss of signal". The detection of this event shall not inhibit the operation of frame alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and		
Loss of frame alignment: The algorithm shall be in accordance with the one given in ETS 300 167 [2] (weight refers to CCITT Recommendation G.706 (1991), § 4.1.1). RAI: RAI is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - frame alignment condition; and - reception of one bit A with binary content ONE. Loss of signal: The equipment shall implement one or both of the following alternatives to determine alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, not than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROS. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and	Normal frames:	The algorithms shall be in accordance with those given in ETS 300 167 [2] (which
refers to CCITT Recommendation G.706 (1991), § 4.1.1). RAI: RAI is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: frame alignment condition; and reception of one bit A with binary content ONE. Loss of signal: The equipment shall implement one or both of the following alternatives to de "loss of signal". The detection of this event shall not inhibit the operation of frame alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: loss of frame alignment; and 		
RAI: RAI is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - frame alignment condition; and - reception of one bit A with binary content ONE. Loss of signal: The equipment shall implement one or both of the following alternatives to de "loss of signal". The detection of this event shall not inhibit the operation of frame alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and	Loss of frame alignment:	The algorithm shall be in accordance with the one given in ETS 300 167 [2] (which
 frame alignment condition; and reception of one bit A with binary content ONE. Loss of signal: The equipment shall implement one or both of the following alternatives to de "loss of signal". The detection of this event shall not inhibit the operation of frame alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROS. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: loss of frame alignment; and 		refers to CCITT Recommendation G.706 (1991), § 4.1.1).
 reception of one bit A with binary content ONE. Loss of signal: The equipment shall implement one or both of the following alternatives to de "loss of signal". The detection of this event shall not inhibit the operation of frame alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: loss of frame alignment; and 	RAI:	RAI is detected when both of the two following conditions occur:
Loss of signal: The equipment shall implement one or both of the following alternatives to de "loss of signal". The detection of this event shall not inhibit the operation of frame alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and		- frame alignment condition; and
"loss of signal". The detection of this event shall not inhibit the operation of frame alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and		 reception of one bit A with binary content ONE.
frame alignment procedure. a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and	Loss of signal:	The equipment shall implement one or both of the following alternatives to detect
 a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, n than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: loss of frame alignment; and 		"loss of signal". The detection of this event shall not inhibit the operation of the
than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 16 (which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and		frame alignment procedure.
(which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703). b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and		a) The incoming signal amplitude is, for a time duration of at least 1 ms, more
b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs. AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and		than 20 dB below the nominal output amplitude defined in ETS 300 166 [1]
AIS: AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur: - loss of frame alignment; and		(which refers to CCITT Recommendation G.703).
- loss of frame alignment; and		b) The input detects more than 10 consecutive HDB3 ZEROs.
	AIS:	AIS is detected when both of the two following conditions occur:
reportion of 540 bit noriginal posting loss them 0 bigging 7500 (the		 loss of frame alignment; and
		- reception of 512 bit periods containing less than 3 binary ZERO (this is
based on CCITT Recommendation O.162, § 3.3.2).		based on CCITT Recommendation O.162, § 3.3.2).
CRC error information: Reception of one E-bit set to ZERO.	CRC error information:	Reception of one E-bit set to ZERO.
Link identification signal: Normal frames received with 2 out of 3 Sa7 bits received set to ZERO.	Link identification signal:	Normal frames received with 2 out of 3 Sa7 bits received set to ZERO.

Table 11: Detection algorithm for layer 1 signals

16.1.3 V5.2 interface layer 1 link FSM

Three implementation alternatives have been identified concerning the reporting of detection of events from the FSM to the management and the decision on the consequent action with regard to service provision:

- a) immediate report of the detected event to the management for logging (MPH-Eie) and processing to evaluate the interface status with regard to consequent actions on the service and the other FSMs. In this case the management shall perform the necessary persistence check of the reported events to identify the operational or non-operational status of the interface; or
- b) immediate report of the detected event to the management for logging (MPH-Ele). The layer 1 performs the persistence check to evaluate the interface status resulting in a status report to the management (i.e. MPH-AI, MPH-DI at the AN and LE); or
- c) a combination of both alternatives a) and b).

Table 12 gives the interface FSM in the LE and the AN, symmetrical approach. It should be noted that this FSM allows all three approaches concerning the persistence check procedure implementation.

The persistence check timer(s) in AN and LE shall be pre-defined in steps of 100 ms, from 100 ms to 25 s. The persistence check timer(s) shall have a tolerance of \pm 50 ms for nominal values of 100 ms to 1 s and \pm 10 % above 1 s.

State n	umber	AN/LE1	AN/LE2	AN/LE3	AN/LE4	AN/LE5.1	AN/LE5.2
Condition		Normal	Locally	Remotely	Internal	Link ID	Link ID
			detected	detected	failure	sending	received
			failure	failure		_	
Signal sent	to remote	Normal	RAI	Normal	AIS	Normal	Normal
side		frames	Sa7 = ONE	frames		frames	frames
		Sa7 = ONE		Sa7 = ONE		Sa7 = ZERO	Sa7 = ONE
Normal fram Sa7 = ONE	es,	-	start timer; 1	start timer; 1	-	-	1
Loss of signa	al or loss of	start timer;	MPH-Ela;	MPH-Ela;	MPH-Ela;	start timer;	start timer;
frame alignm	nent	MPH-Ela; 2	-	MPH-Elbr; 2	-	MPH-Ela; 2	MPH-Ela; 2
RAI		start timer;	MPH-Eldr;	-	-	start timer;	start timer;
		MPH-Elb; 3	MPH-Elb; 3			MPH-Elb; 3	MPH-Elb; 3
AIS		start timer;	MPH-Elc;	MPH-Elc;	MPH-EIc;	start timer;	start timer;
		MPH-Elc; 2	-	MPH-Elbr; 2	-	MPH-Elc; 2	MPH-Elc; 2
Internal failure		MPH-DI;	MPH-DI;	MPH-DI;	-	MPH-DI;	MPH-DI;
		MPH-Eld; 4	MPH-Eld; 4	MPH-Eld; 4		MPH-Eld; 4	MPH-Eld; 4
Disappearan internal failu		/	/	/	MPH-Eldr; 3	/	/
Expiry of persistence		MPH-AI; -	MPH-DI; -	MPH-DI; -	-	/	MPH-AI; -
check timer							
MPH-ID		5.1	MPH-DI; -	MPH-DI; -	MPH-DI; -	-	5.1
MPH-NOR		-	MPH-DI; -	MPH-DI; -	MPH-DI; -	1	/
Normal frames,		5.2	start timer;	start timer;	-	-	-
Sa7 = ZERO			5.2	5.2			
MPH-IDR		MPH-Elg; -		MPH-DI; -	MPH-DI; -	/	MPH-IDI
Notation:	- no state change; / unexpected event, no state change;						
		H-EI error indication (the parameter "r" means recovery from a previously reported error					
	condition)						
NOTE 1:	The generation of AIS may not be possible in all internal failure conditions.						
NOTE 2:	The persistence check timer shall be started upon reception of the appropriate event a indicated by "start timer". If, due to reception of another event another timer is started,						
					notner event	another timer	is started, a
			to be stopped		oob overt st	مالهم محم طماني	od The time -
		for the timers		e specific for e	ach event, sha	all be pre-defir	iea. I ne timer
				anal condition	than for the L	E: and	
- greater for going into non-operational condition than for the LE: and							

greater for going into non-operational condition than for the LE; and
 smaller for going into operational condition than for the LE.

Layer 1 link FSM does not perform any action towards the link control FSM concerning the link identification procedure. The reason for this is that any possible misinformation has to be avoided if bit errors or co-ordination problems occur. Any action towards link control FSM required is controlled by an appropriate control function from the link control FSM. If layer 1 link FSM, when being in state 1, detects Sa7 bit set to ZERO (after successful performance of the persistence check procedure specified), the FSM goes to state 5.2, to keep the information available as long as the persistence check procedure result remains unchanged. If the link control FSM requests with MPH-IDR the link identification information, the layer 1 link FSM shall in this case respond with MPH-IDI, otherwise with MPH-EIg, which indicates link identification failure. If layer 1 link FSM is in one of the non-operational states 2 to 4 no link identification is possible and therefore it shall respond with MPH-DI to inform and align the link control FSM about this situation.

When the layer 1 link FSM receives MPH-ID being in state 1 or 5.2, it goes to state 5.1 and sets the Sa7 bit in the sending bitstream to ZERO. When in state 5.1, on receipt of MPH-NOR, the FSM shall return to state 1 (i.e. Sa7 bit set to one). It returns to the appropriate state on detection of a failure condition and shall send the relevant signal according to the current layer 1 link interface condition.

Page 50 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

16.1.4 Requirements and procedures for the additional functions

The CRC-4 multiframe alignment shall be established in states AN/LE1, AN/LE3 and AN/LE5.x and detected CRC blocks in error shall be reported to both the remote end by setting bit E to ZERO and to the system management by MPH-Ele. The system management may process the CRC error information according to pre-defined thresholds and may react towards the operation system. This is outside the scope of the interface FSM. A persistent error rate or worse than 1 in 10⁻³ shall be considered as non-operational.

CRC-4 error information may be received in states AN/LE1, AN/LE3, AN/LE4 and AN/LE5.x. E-bits set to ZERO, which may be received in state AN/LE1, shall be reported to the management by MPH-EIf. The management may process the CRC error information according to pre-defined thresholds and may react towards the operation system. This is outside the scope of the interface FSM. A persistent error rate of worse than 1 in 10⁻³ shall be considered as non-operational.

If the interface FSM receives the primitive MPH-Stop from the management the FSM continues to operate but shall not send any MPH-EI to the management. On receipt of the primitive MPH-Proceed it shall send the actual status (last generated MPH-EI to the management and any further one).

16.2 Link control requirements and procedures

16.2.1 The link blocking and unblocking

There are two different types of blocking requests from AN to LE: deferred and non-deferred blocking request.

The AN may request a non-deferred blocking of a link, but the LE, as master of the service decides. If the link carries one or more active C-channels, the LE management shall use the Protection protocol to switch the logical C-channel(s) onto standby physical C-channels. Then the LE shall release all switched connections on that link as appropriate to the service but shall re-establish the semi-permanent and AN-reserved connections onto other links within the same V5.2 interface and shall then send "block indication" to the AN. If however protection of logical C-channels is not possible, the LE shall reject the request by sending "unblock indication" to the AN.

The AN may also request a deferred blocking of a link. In this case the LE shall disable all non assigned bearer channels in this link from future assignment and shall wait until all bearer channels (assigned for on-demand services) become un-assigned. After that the LE shall continue with protection of logical C-channels and semi-permanent and AN-reserved connections, if required, and shall send "block indication" to the AN.

Only if the non-deferred blocking request was rejected by the LE but the link blocking is urgently necessary from the AN point of view, the AN can block a single link of the V5.2 interface immediately. It should be noted that this forced blocking by AN of a single link can move the whole V5.2 interface into a non-operational state, if it effects the primary or secondary link.

The link status indication of a single link of a V5.2 interface is based on the defined split of responsibilities between AN and LE.

Tests which interfere with any service via this link, shall only be performed when the link is in one of the non-operational states, either due to failure or on request to and permission by the LE. This requires two main states, relevant to the V5.2 interface protocol, at both sides:

- operational; and
- non-operational.

16.2.2 The link identification

This procedure is used in order to check the link identification for a specific link. If the other end can accept this request (notably, if it is not already performing a similar procedure a that time), then it sends a specific physical signal (bit Sa7 in TS 0 set to zero, while otherwise it shall be set to one) on the link with the address indicated in the message. This allows the requesting end to check that there is no mismatch between the ends of this link.

The procedure is symmetrical and may be applied from either end of the 2 048 kbit/s link. Link identification initiated from LE has priority over AN initiated procedure in case of collision of requests from AN and LE.

When the L1 interface FSM indicates to the link control FSM by MPH-AI that it has entered the Normal state the system management may request the Link identification procedure to be performed. This procedure is applicable to all links, including the primary and secondary link.

NOTE: The link identification procedure may also be performed by the system management on a timed basis. Link identification may be applied after re-provisioning. At system startup, system management or the OS may decide not to apply the link identification procedure.

The principle of the link identification procedure is provided in figure 12.




Figure 12: Link identification functional procedure, arrow diagram

Page 52 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

16.2.3 Events and function elements relevant for the control of the link state machines

Tables 13, 14 and 15 give the set of FEs and management primitives relevant for the link control procedures of the V5.2 interface and the management as well as the management data units primitives towards the layer 1 link FSM and the system management function in AN or LE.

FE	Name	AN LE	Description
FE-IDReq	link identification	<->	request
FE-IDAck	link identification	<->	acknowledge
FE-IDRel	link identification	<->	release request
FE-IDRej	link identification	<->	reject indication
FE301	link unblock	<	request or indication
FE302	link unblock	>	request or indication
FE303	link block	<	indication
FE304	link block	>	indication
FE305	link block	>	request, deferred
FE306	link block	>	request, non-deferred

Table 14: Set of primitives and data units for link control in the LE

Primitive	L1 FSM Link control	Link control System mng.	Description
MPH-AI	>		layer 1 link is operational
MDU-AI		>	link is operational
MPH-DI	>		layer 1 link is not operational
MDU-DI		>	link is not operational
MDU-LAI		>	link identification required
MDU-IDReq		<->	link identification request
MDU-IDAck		<	send link identification acknowledge
MPH-ID	<		send link identification
MPH-IDR	<		send link identification information
MPH-IDI	>		link identification indication
MPH-NOR	<		remove link identification
MDU-IDRel		>	link identification release indication
MDU-IDRej		<-> link identification request r	
MPH-Elg	>	link identification failure	
MDU-Elg		>	link identification failure indication
MPH-Ela-f	>		error indications from layer 1
MDU-LUBR		<-> link unblock request	
MDU-LUBI			link unblock indication
MDU-LBI		>	link block indication
MDU-LBR		<->	link block request, deferred
MDU-LBRN		>	link block request, non-deferred

Primitive	L1 FSM Link control	Link control System mng.	Description
MPH-AI	>		layer 1 link is operational
MDU-AI		>	link is operational
MPH-DI	>		layer 1 link is not operational
MDU-DI		>	link is not operational
MDU-LAI		>	link identification required
MDU-IDReq		<->	link identification requested
MDU-IDAck		<	send link identification acknowledge
MPH-ID	<		send link identification
MPH-IDR	<		send link identification information
MPH-IDI	>		link identification indication
MPH-NOR	<		remove link identification
MDU-IDRel		>	link identification release indication
MDU-IDRej		<->	link identification request rejected
MPH-Elg	>		link identification failure
MDU-Elg		>	link identification failure indication
MPH-Ela-f	>	error indications from layer 1	
MDU-LUBR		<-> link unblock request	
MDU-LUBI			link unblock indication
MDU-LBI		<-> link block indication	
MDU-LBR		<	link block request, deferred
MDU-LBRN		<	link block request, non-deferred

Table 15: Set of link control primitives and data units in the AN

16.2.4 Link control FSM, AN (link) and LE (link)

The primitives, data units, FEs and the state tables are given for the definition of the functional behaviour and co-operation between the various functional blocks. There shall be no restriction for the implementation of these functions as long as the implementation is in conformance with the functionality defined in this ETS over the V5.2 interface, the layer 1 link FSM and the system management.

16.2.4.1 Description of the states

The link control FSM in the AN and in the LE can both be regarded as being constructed from two fundamental states: operational and non-operational.

The non-operational state is divided into 5 substates:

- layer 1 link failure (0.1);
- layer 1 link failure and link blocked (0.2);
- link blocked (1.0);
- local link unblocked (1.1); and
- remote link unblocked (1.2).

This subdivision simplifies the co-ordination of both link control FSMs in the unblocking sequence and ensures that unblocking shall be acknowledged by both sides before going into the operational state.

The data units MDU-LUBI and MDU-LBI shall be used by the both link control FSMs to notify their managers of a transition into and out of the operational state respectively.

The mechanism for link unblocking is acknowledged, as is the mechanism for link blocking request for the AN side. The mechanism for immediate blocking is unacknowledged.

Page 54 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

The operational state is divided into 3 substates:

- link operational (2.0);
- remote link identification (2.1); and
- local link identification (2.2).

All three substates are considered from the link control point of view as operational. It is the responsibility of the relevant system management to initiate any consequent action required according to the system management link status e.g. to the protection protocol management and the bearer channel resource management.

16.2.4.2 Definition of link control states and general co-ordination requirements

The link control FSMs reflect the AN and LE view of the functional state of a single link of the V5.2 interface only.

In order to co-ordinate layer 1 link in failure condition and link blocked condition substate 0.2 has been inserted for the combined link status condition. If during layer 1 link failure a blocking is requested by system management this shall be indicated to the remote entity and the substate 0.2 shall be entered. On recovery of the layer 1 link the link control FSM shall go to the blocked state sending MDU-LBI to trigger system management to co-ordinate unblocking if desired. This procedure allows as well co-ordinated recovery from system management misalignment, e.g. loss of control data link due to failure of layer 1 link or loss of system management status data after restart.

A link unblocking request from either side while in layer 1 link failure condition will be considered as a system management misalignment and the link control FSM shall go to substate 0.2 to trigger co-ordinated unblocking after layer 1 link recovery. The same action is recommended when FE-IDReq is received while the FSM is in layer 1 link failure condition.

16.2.4.2.1 Link control FSM - AN (AN_Link)

Non-operational (AN_Link0 and AN_Link1): The link is forced into the layer 1 link failure or link blocked state. Therefore, physical C-channels on this link shall not be used to carry a logical C-channel or act as a standby. All time slots of this link are not available for call control as bearer channels. A Link identification request will be rejected.

Link failure (AN_Link0.1): Layer 1 link FSM has indicated persistent loss of layer 1 capability by MPH-DI.

Link failure and blocked (AN_Link0.2): Layer 1 link FSM has indicated persistent loss of layer 1 capability by MPH-DI while the link was blocked or due to actions requested from system management or the LE side which can be regarded as misalignment of the link control FSMs requiring co-ordination.

Link blocked (AN_Link1.0): The link is in the non-operational state and neither side has initiated unblocking.

Local link unblock (AN_Link1.1): The AN has initiated unblocking (by sending FE302) and is awaiting confirmation from the LE.

Remote link unblock (AN_Link1.2): The LE has initiated unblocking (by sending FE301) and is awaiting confirmation from the AN.

NOTE: States AN_Link1.1 and AN_Link1.2 provide a mechanism for the synchronized unblocking of links. The AN may remain in these states for an undetermined period of time.

Link Operational (AN_Link2.0): The link shall be considered ready from the layer 1 and link control point of view to support the provisioned capabilities. It may be required to perform the link identification procedure to verify the link continuity.

Remote link identification (AN_Link2.1): The LE has initiated link identification and on confirmation by the system management layer 1 link FSM has been requested to set the link identification bit Sa7 to ZERO. The AN link control is waiting for the link identification release function element.

Local link identification (AN_Link2.2): The AN system management has initiated link identification and is waiting either for the FE-IDAck from the LE or, if already received, for the link identification indication or link identification failure, in response to MPH-IDR, which will then result in the appropriate information to system management and release of link identification.

16.2.4.2.2 Link control FSM - LE (LE_Link)

Non-operational (LE_Link0 and LE_Link1): The link is forced into the layer 1 link failure or link blocked state. Therefore, physical C-channels on this link shall not be used to carry a logical C-channel or act as a standby. All time slots of this link are not available for call control as bearer channels. A Link identification request will be rejected.

Link failure (LE_Link0.1): Layer 1 link FSM has indicated persistent loss of layer 1 capability by MPH-DI.

Link failure and blocked (LE_Link0.2): Layer 1 link FSM has indicated persistent loss of layer 1 capability by MPH-DI while the link was blocked or due to actions requested from system management or the AN side which can be regarded as misalignment of the link control FSMs requiring co-ordination.

Link blocked (LE_Link1.0): The link is in the non-operational state and neither side has initiated unblocking.

Local link unblock (LE_Link1.1): The AN has initiated unblocking (by sending FE301) and is awaiting confirmation from the LE.

Remote link unblock (LE_Link1.2): The AN has initiated unblocking (by sending FE302) and is awaiting confirmation from the LE.

NOTE: States LE_Link1.1 and LE_Link1.2 provide a mechanism for the synchronized unblocking of links. The LE may remain in these states for an undetermined period of time.

Link Operational (LE_Link2.0): The link shall be considered ready from the layer 1 and link control point of view to support the provisioned capabilities. It may be required to perform the link identification procedure to verify the link continuity. This is the responsibility of the system management.

Remote link identification (LE_Link2.1): The AN has initiated link identification and on confirmation by the system management layer 1 link FSM has been requested to set the link identification bit Sa7 to ZERO. The LE link control is waiting for the link identification release function element.

Local link identification (LE_Link2.2): The LE system management has initiated link identification and is waiting either for the FE-IDAck from the AN or, if already received, for the link identification indication or link identification failure, in response to MPH-IDR, which will then result in the appropriate information to system management and release of link identification.

Page 56 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

16.2.4.3 Principles and procedures

16.2.4.3.1 General

The AN may request blocking of a specific link: Block request (deferred or non-deferred, both with Link ID information element). The LE shall grant this request (once it can do so) and sends a Block indication (with Link ID information element). The AN may also request to Unblock a specific (blocked) link: Unblock request (with Link ID information element). The LE either sends an Unblock indication (with Link ID information element) or a Block indication (with Link ID information element). The LE either sends an Unblock indication (with Link ID information element) or a Block indication (with Link ID information element). The LE either sends and therefore valid for the LE as well.

Only if the non-deferred blocking request is not successful but the link blocking is urgently necessary, the AN can block a single link of the V5.2 interface immediately. The immediate blocking of a single link forced by AN can move the whole V5.2 interface into a non-operational state.

All messages carrying a link control function element of a specific link shall contain the Link ID information element.

The following subclauses describe the mechanism implemented in the FSMs in AN and LE for single links of a V5.2 interface, which are presented in the relevant State Transition Tables.

The following mechanisms are described:

- link blocking;
- link blocking request from the AN (deferred or non-deferred);
- co-ordinated unblocking;
- link identification procedure.

16.2.4.3.2 Link blocking

A single link of a V5.2 interface can be blocked from both sides. The LE releases any switched connection on this link as appropriate to the service but re-establishes semi-permanent and AN-reserved connections onto other links within the same V5.2 interface. LE management shall use the protection protocol to move logical C-channels, if possible and necessary.

When LE-management issues MDU-LBI, the FSM sends FE303 (Link block indication) to the AN and goes to the Link Blocked state LE_Link1.0.

16.2.4.3.3 Link blocking request

The AN may request blocking of a specific link: link block request deferred or non-deferred. The LE shall grant this request (once it can do so and after completion of the consequent actions) and shall send a Link Block indication.

When AN-management issues MDU-LBR or MDU-LBRN and the link is in the operational state, the AN link FSM shall send FE 305 or FE 306 as relevant. This request shall be passed by the LE link control FSM to the LE system management with MDU-LBR or MDU-LBRN.

16.2.4.3.4 Co-ordinated link unblocking

Unblocking of a single link of a V5.2 interface needs to be co-ordinated at both sides. A link unblock request requires confirmation from the other side before the link shall be put into operation. To guarantee this co-ordination there are two separate Link Unblock states (Local & Remote Link Unblock) in both link control FSMs. This procedure is fully symmetrical between AN and LE.

If the LE system management wants to unblock the link, it issues MDU-LUBR, the link control FSM sends FE301 (Unblock request) and goes to "Local link unblock" state (LE_Link1.1). The AN on receipt of FE301 goes to "Remote link unblock" (AN_Link1.2) and sends MDU-LUBR to its system management. If the AN system management agrees, it responds with MDU-LUBI (link unblock indication), the AN link control FSM shall send FE302 and goes to "Link operational" state (AN_L2.0). For the LE link control FSM being in "Local link unblock" and receiving this FE302, the link control FSM goes to "Link Operational" (LE_L2.0) and issues MDU-LUBI to its management.

The AN system management may as well take the initiative, for which the same procedure applies.

For AN and LE link control FSM, when in "Remote link unblock" state and receiving FE304 or FE303 respectively, the state shall be reset to "Link blocked", and a MDU-LBI sent to management. This undoes a previous Link Unblock Request from the other side.

In the case of collision of FE301/2 and FE303/4 this may result in an unco-ordinated unblocking afterwards. This can be detected by system management by identification of the sequence of primitives. It is recommended that in this case the system management applies the verification procedure after unblocking to ensure co-ordination of both sides. Unco-ordinated unblocking may result in rejections in the BCC allocation procedure or protection switching procedure or the inefficient use of resources within the interface.

16.2.4.3.5 Link identification

Link identification may be required after link layer 1 failure recovery indicated by MPH-AI from the layer 1 link FSM and indicated to the system management by MDU-LAI. It is for the system management to invoke the link identification procedure or not. There may be other triggers within the system management to request this procedure. There shall be only one request for the link identification procedure from the system management at a time for all V5 interfaces of AN or LE.

If the primary or the secondary link was affected by a layer 1 failure the system management may not invoke this procedure if the link control data link is not (yet) in the operational state indicated by MDL-establish_indication or MDL-establish_confirmation. The establishment of the link control link has, under all circumstances, priority because the link identification procedure is based on the proper functioning of the link control data link.

In order to avoid internal blocking situations, the collision of link identification invoked from both sides at the same point in time is resolved by priority to the request from the LE which overrides the AN request if not yet acknowledged by the LE. The following description of the procedure is, except for the collision resolution, symmetrical and therefore described as performed from one side only.

Link identification can successfully be started only when the link control FSM is in state 2.0 by MDU-IDReq. In all other cases the response to the system management gives a direct or indirect rejection with the information about the link control status. On receipt of MDU-IDReq the FSM send FE-IDReq to the remote side, goes to state 2.2 and waits for the acknowledgement of the request, which is indicated by FE-IDAck. On receipt of FE-IDAck it is implied that the remote link control FSM has requested the relevant layer 1 link FSM to set the Sa7 bit to ZERO (by MPH-ID) which is detected then by the local layer 1 link FSM. This information is not passed directly to the link control FSM to avoid overlapping requests for the link identification.

Page 58 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

The remote side receiving FE-IDReq when being in state 2.0 it informs the system management by MDU-IDReq. If the system management can comply to this request it responds with MDU-IDAck and the link control FSM sends FE-IDAck and goes to state 2.1.

On receipt of FE-IDAck the link control FSM requests the link identification information by issuing MPH-IDR to the layer 1 link FSM which then returns the relevant information, either MPH-IDI or MPH-Elg, which is present at that point in time at the layer 1 link FSM. The link control FSM informs the system management by the appropriate MDU, either MDU-AI, which is the successful link identification indication, or by MDU-Elg or MDU-DI, if the layer 1 link FSM is in failure condition at this point in time, which are the unsuccessful link identification indications. Irrespective of the information sent to the system management the link control FSM will request the release of the link identification at the remote side and goes to state 2.0. This is done by FE-IDRel, which causes the resetting of Sa7 bit to ONE (by MPH-NOR from the remote link control FSM to the Layer 1 link FSM).

If the remote system management cannot comply to the request for the link identification it issues MDU-IDRej to the link control FSM, which shall reject the request with FE-IDRej. This causes subsequent information from the local link control FSM to the system management through MDU-IDRej.

It is the responsibility of the system management to take the appropriate action on receipt of any information from the link control FSM, e.g. MDU-IDRej, MDU-IDRel, MDU-AI, MDU-EIg, MDU-DI, as a result of a link identification procedure the system management has requested from the link control FSM.

16.2.4.4 Link control FSM at the AN

Table 16 gives the link control FSM of the AN.

State		4 N/0 2	ANI4 0	A 14 4	AN1.2	412.0		AN2.2
State State name	AN0.1 link failure	AN0.2 link failure	AN1.0 link blocked	AN1.1 local link	remote link	AN2.0 link	AN2.1 remote link	AN2.2 local link
Event MPH-AI	MDU-LAI; 2.0	MDU-LAI; MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LAI; -	unblock -	unblock -	operational -	-	identification
MPH-DI	-	- -	MDU-DI; 0.2	MDU-DI; 0.2	MDU-DI; 0.2	MDU-DI; 0.1	MDU-DI;MPH -NOR; 0.1	MDU-DI; FE-IDRel; 0.1
	u							,
MDU-IDReq	MDU-DI; -	MDU-DI; -	MDU-LBI; -	MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LUBR; MDU-IDRej: -	FE-IDReq; 2.2	MDU-IDRej; -	-
FE-IDAck	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	MPH-IDR; -
MPH-IDI	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	MDU-AI; FE-IDRel; 2.0
MPH-Elg	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	FE-IDRel; MDU-Elg; 2.0
FE-IDReq	FE304; 0.2	FE304; -	FE304; -	FE-IDRej; -	FE-IDRej; -	MDU-IDReq; -	-	MDU-IDRej; MDU-IDReq; 2.0
MDU-IDAck	/	/	/	/	/	MPH-ID; FE-IDAck; 2.1	-	/
FE-IDRel	-	/	/	-	/	/	MDU-IDRel; MPH-NOR; 2.0	/
MDU-IDRej	/	/	/	/	/	FE-IDRej; -	FE-IDRej; MPH-NOR; 2.0	/
FE-IDRej	-	/	/	-	/	/	MDU-IDRej; -	MDU-IDRej; 2.0
FE301	FE304; 0.2	FE304; -	MDU-LUBR; 1.2	MDU-LUBI; 2.0	MDU-LUBR; -	FE302; MDU-LUBI; -	FE302; MDU-LUBI; MDU-IDReI; MPU-NOR; 2.0	FE302; MDU-IDRej; 2.0
FE303	0.2	-	-	MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LBI; MPH-NOR; 1.0	MDU-LBI; 1.0
MDU-LUBR	FE304; MDU-DI; 0.2	FE304; MDU-DI; -	FE302; 1.1	FE302; -	FE302; MDU-LUBI; 2.0	FE302; MDU-LUBI; -	FE-IDRej; MDU-LUBI; MPH-NOR; 2.0	FE-IDRel; MDU-LUBI; 2.0
MDU-LBI	FE304; 0.2	FE304; -	FE304; -	FE304; 1.0	FE304; 1.0	FE304; 1.0	FE304; MPH-NOR; 1.0	FE304; 1.0
MDU-LBR	FE304; MDU-LBI; 0.2	FE304; MDU-LBI; -	FE304; MDU-LBI; -	FE304; MDU-LBI; 1.0	FE304; MDU-LBI; 1.0	FE305; -	FE305; -	FE305; -
MDU-LBRN	FE304; MDU-LBI; 0.2	FE304; MDU-LBI; -	FE304; MDU-LBI; -	FE304;	FE304; MDU-LBI; 1.0	FE306; -	FE306; -	FE306; -
Notation: NOTE 1:	- no state cha The MPH-Ela use of MPH-I	ange; / unexpec a-f shall be log Elstop and proc	ted event, no s ged but the rep eeded by use o	tate change; ort of those ev of MPH-Elproce	ents from the in ed.		I FSM may be	suppressed by
NOTE 2: NOTE 3: NOTE 4:	The second s		LÉ-IDrej) is	the availability of used for the line rocedure.				

Table	16:	AN	link	control	FSM

The AN link control FSM provides a mechanism which allows the system manager of the AN to verify that the link control FSM is in the Link Operational state, without having to go through the sequence of blocking and unblocking. This mechanism is internal to the AN. To do so the AN system management issues MDU-LUBR and receives the information whether the link control FSM is in a non-operational state.

16.2.4.5 Link control FSM at the LE

Table 17 gives the link control FSM of the LE.

Table	17:	LE	link	control	FSM
1 4 5 1 0				00110101	

State	LE0.1	LE0.2	LE1.0	LE1.1	LE1.2	LE2.0	LE2.1	LE2.2
State name Event	link failure	link failure and blocked	link blocked	local link unblock	remote link unblock	link operational	remote link identification	local link identification
MPH-AI	MDU-LAI; 2.0	MDU-LAI; MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LAI; -	-	-	-	-	-
MPH-DI	-	-	MDU-DI; 0.2	MDU-DI; 0.2	MDU-DI; 0.2	MDU-DI; 0.1	MDU-DI; MPH-NOR; 0.1	MDU-DI; FE- IDRel; 0.1
MDU-IDReq	MDU-DI; -	MDU-DI; -	MDU-LBI; -	MDU.LBI; 1.0	MDU-LUBR; MDU-IDRej; -	FE-IDReq; 2.2	MDU-IDRej; -	-
FE-IDAck	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	MPH-IDR; -
MPH-IDI	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	MDU-AI; FE- IDRel; 2.0
MPH-Elg	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	FE-IDRel; MDU-Elg; 2.0
FE-IDReq	FE303; 0.2	FE303;-	FE303; -	FE-IDRej; -	FE-IDRej; -	MDU-IDReq; -	-	FE-IDRej; -
MDU-IDAck	/	/	/	/	/	MPH-ID; FE- IDAck; 2.1	-	/
FE-IDRel	-	/	-	-	/	/	MDU-IDRel; MPH-NOR; 2.0	/
MDU-IDRej	/	/	/	/	/	FE-IDRej; -	FE-IDRej; MPH-NOR; 2.0	/
FE-IDRej	-	/	-	-	/	/	/	MDU-IDRej; 2.0
MDU-LUBR	MDU-DI; FE303; 0.2	MDU-DI; FE303; -	FE301; 1.1	FE301; -	FE301; MDU-LUBI; 2.0	FE301; -	FE301; MPH-NOR; 2.0	FE301; 2.0
MDU-LBI	FE303; 0.2	FE303; -	FE303; -	FE303; 1.0	FE303; 1.0	FE303; 1.0	FE303; MPH-NOR; 1.0	FE303; 1.0
FE302	FE303; 0.2	FE303; -	MDU-LUBR; 1.2	MDU-LUBI; 2.0	MDU-LUBR; -	MDU-LUBI; -	MDU-IDRel; MDU-LUBI; MPH-NOR; 2.0	MDU-IDRej; MDU-LUBI; 2.0
FE304	0.2	-	-	MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LBI; MPH-NOR; 1.0	MDU-LBI; 1.0
FE305	FE303; 0.2	FE303; -	FE303; -	FE303; MDU-LBI; 1.0	FE303; MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LBR; -	MDU-LBR; -	MDU-LBR; -
FE306	FE303; 0.2	FE303; -	FE303; -		FE303; MDU-LBI; 1.0	MDU-LBRN; -	MDU-LBRN; -	MDU-LBRN; -
Notation: NOTE 1:	The MPH-Ela use of MPH-I	Elstop and proc	ged but the rep eeded by use o	tate change; ort of those ev of MPH-Elproce	ents from the ine	nterface layer 1	FSM may be	suppressed by
NOTE 2: NOTE 3: NOTE 4:	The second s	of event (MPH- set of event MD of events is use	Ú-IDreq - FE-I	Drej) is used for	the link identifi	cation procedu	re.	

The LE link control FSM provides a mechanism which allows the system manager of the LE to verify that the link control FSM is in the Link Operational state by issuing MDU-LUBR, without having to go through the sequence of blocking and unblocking.

Unlike the corresponding situation for the AN, this mechanism is not internal to the LE and requires the co-operation of the AN link control FSM, and confirms the alignment of both link control FSMs when receiving MDU-LUBI.

The asymmetry here reflects the responsibility of the LE for supporting the service.

16.3 Link control protocol

16.3.1 Link control protocol message definition and content

The format of the link control protocol messages shall correspond to the generic message structure defined in Clause 13.

The complete set of messages for the link control protocol is given in table 18. The following subclauses give the detailed message structure for each of the messages.

Table 18: Messages for	V5.2 link control protocol
------------------------	----------------------------

	Coding within the message type information element						Message types	Reference	
7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	LINK CONTROL	16.3.1.1	
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	LINK CONTROL ACK	16.3.1.2	

16.3.1.1 LINK CONTROL message

This message is sent by the AN or the LE to convey information required for control functions for each individual 2 048 kbit/s link (see table 19).

Table 19: LINK CONTROL message content

Message Type: LINK CONTROL Direction: both

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	both	М	1
Layer 3 Address	16.3.2.1	both	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	both	М	1
Link Control Function	16.3.2.2	both	М	3

16.3.1.2 LINK CONTROL ACK message

This message is sent by the AN or the LE as an immediate acknowledgement of the receipt of a LINK CONTROL message (see table 20).

Table 20: LINK CONTROL ACK message content

Message Type: LINK CONTROL ACK Direction: both

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	both	М	1
Layer 3 Address	16.3.2.1	both	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	both	М	1
Link Control Function	16.3.2.2	both	М	3

Page 62 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

16.3.2 Link control protocol information element definition, structure and coding

The link control protocol information elements are defined in the following subclauses and summarized in table 21, which also gives the coding of the information element identifier bits. For each of the information elements the coding of their different fields is provided.

Table 21: Information element identifier coding

	Bits							Information element	Reference
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	VARIABLE LENGTH	
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	Link control function	16.3.2.2

16.3.2.1 Layer 3 address information element

The purpose of the layer 3 address information element is to identify the 2 048 kbit/s link to which the link control message refers.

The L3 address information element is the second part of every message and is coded as shown in figure 13.

The layer 3 address information element is coded in binary.

For a particular V5 2 048 kbit/s link the L3 address field (low) of the L3 address information element shall have the same value as the V5 2 048 kbit/s link identifier field of the V5 time slot identification information element which is used for the BCC protocol.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	octet 1
			L3 address					octet 2

Figure 13: The Layer 3 address information element for 2 048 kbit/s link identification

16.3.2.2 Link control function information element

This information element identifies the link control function to be conveyed by the message.

The structure of the link control function information element shall be as indicated by figure 14.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	octet ?
Length of link control function content								octet 2
1	Link control function						octet 3	
ext.								

Figure 14: Link control function information element

The coding of the content of this information element shall be as specified in table 22.

	Bits (octet 3)						Link control function
7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	FE-IDReq
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	FE-IDAck
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	FE-IDRel
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	FE-IDRej
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	FE301/302 (link unblock)
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	FE303/304 (link block)
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	FE305 (deferred link block request)
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	FE306 (non-deferred link block request)
NOT	NOTE: All other values are reserved.						

Table 22: Coding of link control function

16.3.3 Definitions of the link control protocol states

OUT OF SERVICE:

This state shall be entered when the system is started or MDU-stop_traffic is received from the system management.

IN SERVICE:

This state shall be entered when the control protocol entity is in the OUT OF SERVICE state and receives a MDU-start_traffic from the system management.

AWAIT LINK CONTROL ACK:

This state shall be entered when a LINK CONTROL message has been sent to the LINK CONTROL-DL.

16.3.4 Link control protocol procedure

16.3.4.1 General

This subclause specifies the procedures for the link control protocol. The link control protocol is symmetrical, i.e. that the procedures apply to both the AN and the LE side of the V5.2 interface.

A link-related link control protocol entity exists for each 2 048 kbit/s layer 1 link.

In addition to the above procedures, each message received by a link control protocol entity shall pass the error handling procedures specified in subclause 16.3.5 before being further processed.

The description of the procedure is for a single event (FE or MDU-CTRL) only to be handled at the same point in time. There shall be a memory per link control protocol entity in the AN and LE to store further events to be transmitted in the order received from the FSM. The next event shall be transmitted when the relevant link control protocol FSM has entered state 1.

Each link control protocol message contains a Layer 3 address to identify the particular layer 1 link control protocol entity.

Link control protocol messages shall be sent to the data link using a DL-Data-Request primitive; the data link service is specified in Clause 10.

Detailed SDL diagrams are contained in Annex L.

Page 64 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

16.3.4.2 Start traffic indication

16.3.4.2.1 Normal operation

If a link control layer 3 protocol entity receives in the OUT OF SERVICE state a MDU-start_traffic from the system management entity, the IN SERVICE state shall be entered.

16.3.4.2.2 Exceptional procedures

If a link control layer 3 protocol entity receives in the OUT OF SERVICE state any LINK CONTROL or any FE, a MDU-error-indication shall be generated. No state change occurs.

16.3.4.3 Stop traffic indication

16.3.4.3.1 Normal operation

If a link control layer 3 protocol entity receives in the IN SERVICE or the AWAIT LINK CONTROL ACK state a MDU-stop_traffic from the system management entity, the OUT OF SERVICE state shall be entered.

16.3.4.3.2 Exceptional procedures

None.

16.3.4.4 Link control layer 3 protocol entity procedure

When the link control layer 3 protocol entity is in the "in service" state and receives a LINK CONTROL message a LINK CONTROL ACK message shall be sent and a FE primitive containing the link control function and the L3 address shall be sent to the system management entity.

When the link control layer 3 protocol entity is in the "in service" state and receives from the link control management entity a FE primitive, a LINK CONTROL message containing the link control function and the L3 address shall be sent, Timer LCT01 shall be started and the state "await link control ack" shall be entered.

If a LINK CONTROL message is received in the "await link control ack" state a LINK CONTROL ACK message shall be sent and a FE primitive containing the link control function and the layer 3 address shall be sent to the link control management entity.

Upon reception of a LINK CONTROL ACK message in the "await link control ack" state, Timer LCT01 shall be stopped and the "in service" state shall be entered.

If a FE primitive is received from the link control management entity in the "await link control ack" state, the FE primitive shall be saved.

If Timer LCT01 expires the first time in the "await link control ack" state, the LINK CONTROL message shall be retransmitted and Timer LCT01 shall be restarted. If Timer LCT01 expires the second time in the "await link control ack" state, a MDU-link_control (error indication) primitive shall be sent to the system management entity and the "in service" state shall be entered.

16.3.5 Handling of error conditions

Before acting upon a message, the receiving entity, either the AN V5 link control protocol entity or the LE V5 link control protocol entity, shall perform the procedures specified in this subclause.

As a general rule, all messages shall contain, at least: the Protocol discriminator, the L3 address and the message type information elements. These information elements, acting as a header for all link control protocol messages, are specified in subclause 13.2. When receiving a message having less than 4 octets, the receiving link control protocol entity in the AN or LE shall issue a MDU-link_control (protocol_error_indication) primitive to the system management and ignore the message.

Each receipt of a link control protocol message shall activate the checks described in subclauses 16.3.5.1 to 16.3.5.7 by order of precedence. No state change occurs during these checks.

The error handling procedures in the AN and in the LE are symmetrical.

After the message has been checked using the error handling procedures following and if the message is not to be ignored, then link control protocol procedures (see subclause 16.3.4) shall follow.

NOTE: Within this subclause, the term "ignore the message" means to leave the message contents unchanged.

16.3.5.1 Protocol discriminator error

When a message is received in a V5 link control protocol entity with a protocol discriminator coded different to the specification of the protocol discriminator in subclause 13.2.1, the V5 link control protocol entity shall issue a MDU-link_control (protocol_error_indication) primitive to the system management and ignore the message.

16.3.5.2 Layer 3 address error

If the layer 3 address is:

- a) not coded as specified in subclause 16.3.2.1; or
- b) the value is not recognized or does not correspond to an existing V5 2 048 kbit/s link, then:
 - the V5 link control protocol entity shall issue a MDU-link_control (protocol_error_indication) primitive to the system management and ignore the message.

16.3.5.3 Message type error

Whenever an unrecognized message is received, the V5 link control protocol entity shall issue a MDU-link_control (protocol_error_indication) primitive to the system management and ignore the message.

16.3.5.4 Repeated information elements

If a mandatory information element is repeated in a message, the receiving V5 link control protocol entity shall issue a MDU-link_control (protocol_error_indication) primitive to the system management and ignore the message.

Page 66 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

16.3.5.5 Mandatory information element missing

When a message is received with a mandatory information element missing the V5 link control protocol entity shall issue a MDU-link_control (protocol_error_indication) primitive to the system management and ignore the message.

16.3.5.6 Unrecognized information element

When a message is received with one or more information elements unrecognized the V5 link control protocol entity shall remove all the unrecognized information elements and continue with the processing of the message; it shall also issue a MDU-link_control (protocol_error_indication) primitive to the system management.

For the purpose of the error handling procedures unrecognized information elements shall be those that are not defined within this ETS.

16.3.5.7 Content error of mandatory information elements

When a message is received with a mandatory information element having a content error either:

- a) the length does not conform to the length specified in subclause 16.3.1; or
- b) the content is not known, then:
 - the V5 link control protocol entity shall issue a MDU-link_control (protocol_error_indication) primitive to the system management and ignore the message.
 - NOTE: For the purpose of the error handling procedures information element content errors are codepoints included within a particular information element that are not defined within this ETS.

16.3.6 Timers for the link control protocol

The timers for the link control protocol in the AN and the LE are specified in table 23. The timer tolerances shall be \pm 10 %.

Timer Number	Timeout value	State	Cause for start	Normal stop
LCTO1	1 s	AN1(CTRL link) LE1(CTRL link)	LINK CONTROL message sent	LINK CONTROL ACK message received

Table 23: Timers for the link control protocol

16.3.7 AN and LE side layer 3 protocol entity state tables

Table 24 defines the state transition table of the link control layer 3 protocol entity for the AN side of the V5.2 interface.

State	ANO	AN1	AN2	
Event	OUT OF SERVICE	IN SERVICE	AWAIT LINK CONTROL ACK	
MDU-start_traffic	AN1	-	-	
MDU-stop_traffic	-	stop LCT01; AN0	stop LCT01; AN0	
FE or saved FE	send MDU-link_control (error indication); -	send LINK CONTROL; start LCT01; AN2	save new received FE; -	
LINK CONTROL	send MDU-link_control (error indication); -	send FE; send LINK CONTROL ACK; -	send FE; send LINK CONTROL ACK; -	
LINK CONTROL ACK	send MDU-link_control (error indication); -	/	stop LCT01; AN1	
First expiry LCT01	/	/	repeat LINK CONTROL; start LCT01; -	
Second expiry LCT01	/	/	send MDU-link_control (error indication); AN1	
Notation: UPPER CASE = external message or event; lower case = internal message or event; - no state change; / unexpected message, no state change.				

Table 24: Link control L3 protocol entity state transition table - AN

Table 25 defines the state transition table of the link control layer 3 protocol entity for the LE side of the V5.2 interface.

Table 25: Link control L3 protocol enti	ty state transition table - LE
---	--------------------------------

State	LE0	LE1	LE2		
Event	OUT OF SERVICE	IN SERVICE	AWAIT LINK CONTROL ACK		
MDU-start_traffic	LE1	-	-		
MDU-stop_traffic	-	stop LCT01; LE0	stop LCT01; LE0		
FE or saved FE	send MDU-link_control (error indication); -	send LINK CONTROL; start LCT01; LE2	save new received FE; -		
LINK CONTROL	send MDU-link_control (error indication); -	send FE; send LINK CONTROL ACK; -	send FE; send LINK CONTROL ACK; -		
LINK CONTROL ACK	send MDU-link_control (error indication); -	/	stop LCT01; LE1		
First expiry LCT01	/	/	repeat LINK CONTROL; start LCT01; -		
Second expiry LCT01	1	/	send MDU-link_control (error indication); LE1		
Notation: UPPER CASE = external message or event; lower case = internal message or event; - no state change; / unexpected message, no state change.					

17 BCC protocol elements and procedures

17.1 General

The V5.2 BCC protocol provides the means for the LE to request the AN to establish and release connections between specified AN user ports and specified V5.2 interface time slots. It enables V5.2 interface bearer channels to be allocated or de-allocated by independent processes (on a per call, preconnected or semi-permanent basis). There may be more than one process active at any one time for a given user port.

The following processes have been defined to be supported by the BCC protocol:

Allocation process

The procedure used by the BCC protocol which defines the interactions between the AN and the LE in order to allocate a defined number of bearer channels, over the V5.2 interface, to a particular user port. The process has a finite life and shall terminate either when:

- a) the BCC protocol reports back to the LE resource manager that it has had confirmation from the AN resource manager that the proposed channels have been allocated; or
- b) the allocation has not been successful.

In the second case, all relevant information is returned to the resource manager in the LE.

De-allocation process

The procedure used by the BCC protocol which defines the interactions between the AN and the LE in order to de-allocate a defined number of bearer channels, over the V5.2 interface, from a particular user port. The process has a finite life and shall terminate either when:

- a) the BCC protocol reports back to the LE resource manager that it has had confirmation from the AN resource manager that the proposed channels have been de-allocated; or
- b) the de-allocation has not been successful.

In the second case, all relevant information is returned to the resource manager in the LE.

Audit process

The procedure used by the BCC protocol which defines the interactions between the AN and the LE in order to check the routing of a bearer channel over the V5.2 interface and its subsequent connection at a user port. Any routing in between cannot be assumed to be fully checked (in general). The process shall be considered terminated when the response to the Audit is sent to the resource manager.

In order to identify a process, a BCC reference number will be allocated to that process.

V5.2 interfaces shall have the capability to support the following three types of bearer connection:

- a) connections switched on a per call basis in the LE and on the V5.2 interface, in order to support PSTN and ISDN switched services, with traffic concentration in the AN;
- b) connections switched on a per call basis in the LE but pre-connected on the V5.2 interface and the AN, in order to support PSTN and ISDN switched services (without traffic concentration in the AN), for high traffic lines (e.g. PBX lines) and situations where call blocking in the AN or on the V5 interface is unacceptable (e.g. emergency service lines);
- c) connections semi-permanently established in the LE and the AN, in order to support semipermanent leased line services (with no associated logical or physical C-channel signalling).

For connection type a), the BCC procedure shall be applied at the beginning and end of each call, under LE PSTN or ISDN call control.

For connection types b) and c), the BCC procedure shall be applied under LE management control (e.g. from the Q_{LE} interface), as required for provisioning or ceasing of the switched or leased line service. The LE management shall not specify a particular V5 interface time slot or 2 Mbit/s link but shall be informed of the time slot and link selected.

For connection types b) and c), the LE management shall specify the user port and user port time slot.

V5.2 interfaces shall have the capability to establish and release multi-slot connections, $n \times 64$ kbit/s where n equals 1 to 30, in order to support H0, H12 and future multi-rate services. Such connections can be type a), type b) or type c).

DSS1 channel types shall not be visible to the V5 interface but shall be handled transparently as $n \times 64$ kbit/s connections. Multi-media calls shall not be visible to the V5 interface but shall be handled transparently as several independent connections.

Only connections between AN user ports and the V5.2 interface are supported by the BCC protocol. Intraswitching (i.e. user port to user port connection) is not be supported by the protocol. This does not preclude intra-switching entirely under AN control, e.g. when an AN is isolated from its parent LE due to V5 interface failure.

NOTE: Annex E gives additional information on how the BCC protocol is used by the LE and the AN.

Figure 15 shows the functional model for the BCC protocol.




Figure 15: The functional model for the BCC protocol

Page 70 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.2 BCC protocol entity definition

17.2.1 Definition of BCC protocol states

17.2.1.1 BCC states in the AN

BCC OPERATIONAL state (ANBcc0):

The AN BCC protocol entity is a slave of the LE for the purpose of the BCC protocol processes initiated by the LE (allocation, de-allocation and audit processes). For all these processes only one operational state ("Bcc operational" state) is defined within the AN BCC protocol entity.

BCC AN FAULT REPORT state (ANBcc1):

The BCC protocol entity in the AN considers a process in this state when an AN FAULT message has been sent. The AN is now waiting for the reception of an AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE message before the expiration of timer Tbcc5.

17.2.1.2 BCC states in the LE

BCC NULL state (LEBcc0):

The BCC protocol entity in the LE considers a process in this state when it is not yet related to any allocation or de-allocation procedure.

BCC WAITING ALLOCATION state (LEBcc1):

The BCC protocol entity in the LE considers a process in this state when an ALLOCATION message has been sent. The LE is now waiting for the reception of an ALLOCATION COMPLETE message or an ALLOCATION REJECT message before the expiration of timer Tbcc1.

When being in this state an internal request for the initiation of a de-allocation (allocation abort) may also occur.

BCC ALLOCATION ABORT state (LEBcc2):

The BCC protocol entity in the LE considers a process in this state when a DE-ALLOCATION message has been sent while being in the BCC waiting allocation state. The LE is now waiting for the reception of a DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message or a DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message before the expiration of timer Tbcc2.

BCC WAITING DE-ALLOCATION state (LEBcc3):

The BCC protocol entity in the LE considers a process in this state when a DE-ALLOCATION message has been sent. The LE is now waiting for the reception of a DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message or a DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message before the expiration of timer Tbcc3.

BCC WAITING AUDIT state (LEBcc4):

The BCC protocol entity in the LE considers a process in this state when an AUDIT message has been sent. The LE is now waiting for the reception of an AUDIT COMPLETE message before the expiration of timer Tbcc4.

17.2.2 Definition of BCC protocol primitives, messages and timers

Table 26 defines the BCC protocol primitives, messages and timers at the LE side of the V5.2 interface. These protocol events are used in the LE state transition table shown in table 46 of subclause 17.7.

	Direction	Description
MDU-BCC(Allocation request)	RM>BCC_PE	Initiation of bearer channel allocation process
MDU-BCC(Allocation confirmation	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Completion of bearer channel allocation process</td></bcc_pe<>	Completion of bearer channel allocation process
MDU-BCC(Allocation reject indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Completion of bearer channel allocation process is not possible</td></bcc_pe<>	Completion of bearer channel allocation process is not possible
MDU-BCC(Allocation error indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>After retransmissions of the ALLOCATION message no response is</td></bcc_pe<>	After retransmissions of the ALLOCATION message no response is
		received from the AN side
MDU-BCC(De-allocation request)	RM>BCC_PE	Initiation of bearer channel de-allocation process
MDU-BCC(De-allocation confirmation)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Completion of bearer channel de-allocation process</td></bcc_pe<>	Completion of bearer channel de-allocation process
MDU-BCC(De-allocation reject indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Completion of bearer channel de-allocation process is not possible</td></bcc_pe<>	Completion of bearer channel de-allocation process is not possible
MDU-BCC(De-allocation error indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>After retransmissions of the DE-ALLOCATION message no response is received from the AN side</td></bcc_pe<>	After retransmissions of the DE-ALLOCATION message no response is received from the AN side
MDU-BCC(Audit request)	RM>BCC PE	Initiation of audit procedure process
MDU-BCC(Audit confirmation)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Completion of audit procedure process</td></bcc_pe<>	Completion of audit procedure process
MDU-BCC(Audit error indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>After retransmissions of the AUDIT message no response is received</td></bcc_pe<>	After retransmissions of the AUDIT message no response is received
		from the AN side
MDU-BCC(AN fault indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Initiation of AN internal failure procedure process</td></bcc_pe<>	Initiation of AN internal failure procedure process
MDU-BCC(Protocol error indication)	SYS <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Protocol error detected by the error handling checking</td></bcc_pe<>	Protocol error detected by the error handling checking
ALLOCATION	LE>AN	Initial message in a bearer channel allocation process
ALLOCATION COMPLETE	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in a bearer channel allocation process successfully</td></an<>	Final message in a bearer channel allocation process successfully
		completed
ALLOCATION REJECT	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in a bearer channel allocation process unsuccessfully completed</td></an<>	Final message in a bearer channel allocation process unsuccessfully completed
DE-ALLOCATION	LE>AN	Initial message in a bearer channel de-allocation process
DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in a bearer channel de-allocation process successfully</td></an<>	Final message in a bearer channel de-allocation process successfully
		completed
DE-ALLOCATION REJECT	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in a bearer channel de-allocation process unsuccessfully completed</td></an<>	Final message in a bearer channel de-allocation process unsuccessfully completed
AUDIT	LE>AN	Initial message in an audit procedure process
AUDIT COMPLETE	LEAN	Final message in an audit procedure process successfully completed
AN FAULT	LE<-AN	Initial message in an AN internal failure notification process
AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE	LE>AN	Final message in an AN internal failure notification process
		successfully completed
PROTOCOL ERROR	LE <an< td=""><td>Notification of a BCC protocol error</td></an<>	Notification of a BCC protocol error
Timeout Tbcc1	LE BCC internal	
		received
Timeout Tbcc2	LE_BCC internal	When in the Bcc allocation abort state, no proper message is received
Timeout Tbcc3	LE_BCC internal	When in the Bcc waiting de-allocation state, no proper message is
		received
Timeout Tbcc4	LE_BCC internal	When in the Bcc waiting audit state, no proper message is received
Notation: RM = L	E Resource Manage	ement entity;
	E BCC Protocol Ent	
	ternal to the LE BC	
SYS = L	E System managen	nent.

Table 26: LE side BCC protocol primitives, messages and timers

Page 72 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

Table 27 defines the BCC protocol primitives, messages and timers at the AN side of the V5.2 interface. These protocol events are used in the AN state transition table shown in table 47 of subclause 17.7.

	Direction	Description		
MDU-BCC (Allocation indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Initiation of bearer channel allocation process</td></bcc_pe<>	Initiation of bearer channel allocation process		
MDU-BCC (Allocation response (Complete))	RM>BCC_PE	Completion of bearer channel allocation process		
MDU-BCC (Allocation response (Reject))	RM>BCC_PE	Completion of bearer channel allocation process is not possible		
MDU-BCC (De-allocation indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Initiation of bearer channel de-allocation process</td></bcc_pe<>	Initiation of bearer channel de-allocation process		
MDU-BCC (De-allocation response (Complete))	RM>BCC_PE	Completion of bearer channel de-allocation process		
MDU-BCC (De-allocation response (Reject))	RM>BCC_PE	Completion of bearer channel de-allocation process is not possible		
MDU-BCC (Audit indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Initiation of audit procedure process</td></bcc_pe<>	Initiation of audit procedure process		
MDU-BCC (Audit response)	RM>BCC_PE	Completion of audit procedure process		
MDU-BCC (AN fault request)	RM>BCC_PE	Initiation of AN internal failure notification process		
MDU-BCC (AN fault confirmation))	RM<-BCC_PE	Completion of AN internal failure notification process		
MDU-BCC (AN fault error indication)	RM <bcc_pe< td=""><td>After retransmissions of the AN FAULT message no response is received from the LE side</td></bcc_pe<>	After retransmissions of the AN FAULT message no response is received from the LE side		
MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication)	SYS <bcc_pe< td=""><td>Protocol error detected by the error handling checking</td></bcc_pe<>	Protocol error detected by the error handling checking		
ALLOCATION	LE>AN	Initial message in a bearer channel allocation process		
ALLOCATION COMPLETE	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in a bearer channel allocation process successfully completed</td></an<>	Final message in a bearer channel allocation process successfully completed		
ALLOCATION REJECT	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in a bearer channel allocation process unsuccessfully completed</td></an<>	Final message in a bearer channel allocation process unsuccessfully completed		
DE-ALLOCATION	LE>AN	Initial message in a bearer channel de-allocation process		
DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in a bearer channel de-allocation process successfully completed</td></an<>	Final message in a bearer channel de-allocation process successfully completed		
DE-ALLOCATION REJECT	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in a bearer channel de-allocation process unsuccessfully completed</td></an<>	Final message in a bearer channel de-allocation process unsuccessfully completed		
AUDIT	LE>AN	Initial message in an audit procedure process		
AUDIT COMPLETE	LE <an< td=""><td>Final message in an audit procedure process successfully completed</td></an<>	Final message in an audit procedure process successfully completed		
AN FAULT	LE <an< td=""><td>Initial message in an AN internal failure notification process</td></an<>	Initial message in an AN internal failure notification process		
AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE	LE>AN	Final message in an AN internal failure notification process successfully completed		
PROTOCOL ERROR	LE <an< td=""><td>Notification of a BCC protocol error</td></an<>	Notification of a BCC protocol error		
Timeout Tbcc5	AN_BCC internal	When in the Bcc fault report state, no proper message is received		
Notation: RM = AN Resource Management entity; BCC_PE = AN BCC Protocol Entity; AN_BCC internal = internal to the AN BCC protocol entity; SYS = System management.				

Table 27: AN side BCC protocol primitives, messages and timers

17.3 BCC protocol message definition and content

The format of the BCC protocol messages shall correspond to the generic message structure defined in Clause 13.

The complete set of messages for the BCC protocol is given in table 28. The following subclauses give the detailed message structure for each of these messages.

	Coding within the message type information element		-	Messages of the BCC protocol	Reference			
7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	ALLOCATION	17.3.1
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	ALLOCATION COMPLETE	17.3.2
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	ALLOCATION REJECT	17.3.3
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	DE-ALLOCATION	17.3.4
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE	17.3.5
0	1	0	0	1	0	1	DE-ALLOCATION REJECT	17.3.6
0	1	0	0	1	1	0	AUDIT	17.3.7
0	1	0	0	1	1	1	AUDIT COMPLETE	17.3.8
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	AN FAULT	17.3.9
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE	17.3.10
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	PROTOCOL ERROR	17.3.11

Table 28: Set of the BCC protocol messages

17.3.1 ALLOCATION message

This message is used by the local exchange to request from the access network the allocation of one or multiple bearer channels to a particular user port by the identification and use of a particular V5 time slot within the V5.2 interface.

Table 29: ALLOCATION message content

Message Type: ALLOCATION

Direction: LE to AN				
Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	LE to AN	М	1
BCC Reference Number	17.4.1	LE to AN	M	2
Message Type	17.3	LE to AN	М	1
User Port Identification	17.4.2.1	LE to AN	М	4
ISDN Port Channel Identification	17.4.2.2	LE to AN	O (NOTE 1)	3
V5 Time Slot Identification	17.4.2.3	LE to AN	O (NOTE 2)	4
Multi-Slot Map	17.4.2.4	LE to AN	O (NOTE 3)	11
NOTE 1: The ISON Dort Channel Ider	tification information	alamant has to k	a included when	ollogating

NOTE 1: The ISDN Port Channel Identification information element has to be included when allocating a single time slot in order to support a bearer channel related to an ISDN Port. This information element shall specify the user port time slot within the ISDN user/network interface (basic or primary) to which the bearer channel has to be through-connected.

NOTE 2: The Time Slot Identification information element has to be included when allocating a single time slot in order to identify the relevant V5.2 interface time slot.

NOTE 3: The Multi-Slot Map information element has to be included when allocating multiple time slots in order to support multirate (n × 64 kbit/s) ISDN bearer services. This information element shall also specify the user port time slots within the ISDN user/network interface (basic or primary) to which the bearer channel has to be through-connected.

Page 74 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

In the case of bearer channel allocations to an ISDN port for the purpose of through-connection, the local exchange shall also indicate the user port time slot in the ISDN interface to be used.

This message also allows the in-block allocation of multirate bearer channels (multiple V5 time slots) to support multirate ($n \times 64$ kbit/s) services.

17.3.2 ALLOCATION COMPLETE message

This message is used by the access network to indicate to the local exchange that the allocation of the requested bearer channel(s) to a particular user port has been successfully completed.

Table 30: ALLOCATION COMPLETE message content

Message Type: ALLOCATION COMPLETE Direction: AN to LE

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1
BCC Reference Number	17.4.1	AN to LE	M	2
Message Type	17.3	AN to LE	М	1

17.3.3 ALLOCATION REJECT message

This message is used by the access network to indicate to the local exchange that the allocation of the requested bearer channel(s) to a particular user port has not been completed.

Table 31: ALLOCATION REJECT message content

Message Type: ALLOCATION REJECT

Direction: AN to LE				
Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1
BCC Reference Number	17.4.1	AN to LE	М	2
Message Type	17.3	AN to LE	М	1
Reject Cause	17.4.2.5	AN to LE	М	3 to 14

17.3.4 DE-ALLOCATION message

This message is used by the local exchange to request from the access network the de-allocation of one or multiple bearer channels from a particular user port. The particular V5 time slot within the V5.2 interface is explicitly identified.

Table 32: DE-ALLOCATION message content

Message Type: DE-ALLOCATION Direction: LE to AN

element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
	13.2.1	LE to AN	М	1
BCC Reference Number		LE to AN	М	2
	17.3	LE to AN	М	1
	17.4.2.1	LE to AN	М	4
ntification	17.4.2.2	LE to AN	O (NOTE 1)	3
V5 Time Slot Identification		LE to AN	O (NOTE 2)	4
Multi-Slot Map		LE to AN	O (NOTE 3)	11
ng a single time slot nation element shall pasic or primary) from Slot Identification info	in order to support specify the user p which the bearer c prmation element ha	t a bearer chant port time slot w hannel has to be as to be included	nel related to ar ithin the ISDN use disconnected.	n ISDN Port. user/network
	ntification ion I Port Channel Ide ng a single time slot nation element shall pasic or primary) from Slot Identification info	13.2.1ar17.4.117.317.4.2.1ntification17.4.2.2ion17.4.2.317.4.2.4I Port Channel Identification information element shall specify the user possic or primary) from which the bearer of Slot Identification information element has a support the state of the	13.2.1LE to ANar17.4.1LE to AN17.3LE to AN17.4.2.1LE to AN17.4.2.2LE to ANion17.4.2.2LE to AN17.4.2.3LE to AN17.4.2.4LE to AN17.4.2.4LE to AN17.4.2.4LE to ANa single time slot in order to support a bearer channelnation element shall specify the user port time slot wpasic or primary) from which the bearer channel has to be	13.2.1 LE to AN M er 17.4.1 LE to AN M 17.3 LE to AN M 17.4.2.1 LE to AN M 17.4.2.2 LE to AN O (NOTE 1) ion 17.4.2.3 LE to AN O (NOTE 2) 17.4.2.4 LE to AN O (NOTE 3) A Port Channel Identification information element has to be inc ng a single time slot in order to support a bearer channel related to ar nation element shall specify the user port time slot within the ISDN to basic or primary) from which the bearer channel has to be disconnected. Slot Identification information element has to be included when de-allocation element h

NOTE 3: The Multi-Slot Map information element has to be included when de-allocating multiple time slots in order to support multirate (n × 64 kbit/s) ISDN bearer services. This information element shall also specify the user port time slot within the ISDN user/network interface (basic or primary) from which the bearer channel has to be disconnected.

This message also allows the enbloc de-allocation of multirate bearer channels (multiple V5 time slots) supporting multirate ($n \times 64$ kbit/s) services.

17.3.5 DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message

This message is used by the access network to indicate to the local exchange that the de-allocation of the requested bearer channel(s) from a particular user port has been successfully completed.

Table 33: DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message content

Message Type: DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE

Direction: AN to LE				
Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1
BCC Reference Number	17.4.1	AN to LE	М	2
Message Type	17.3	AN to LE	М	1

Page 76 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.3.6 DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message

This message is used by the access network to indicate to the local exchange that the de-allocation of the requested bearer channel(s) from a particular user port has not been completed.

Table 34: DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message content

Message Type: DE-ALLOCATION REJECT

Direction: AN to LE

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1
BCC Reference Number	17.4.1	AN to LE	М	2
Message Type	17.3	AN to LE	М	1
Reject Cause	17.4.2.5	AN to LE	М	3 to 14

17.3.7 AUDIT message

This message is used by the local exchange to request from the access network the provision of the complete information that identifies a 64 kbit/s bearer channel connection.

This message allows the local exchange to request the bearer channel connection information on the basis of the partial information available in certain circumstances such as the User port identification, together with the ISDN port channel identification when applicable or the V5 time slot identification.

Table 35: AUDIT message content

Message 7							
Direction:	LE to AN	1					
Information element		Reference	Direction	Туре	Length		
Protocol Dis	scriminator	13.2.1	LE to AN	М	1		
BCC Refere	ence Number	17.4.1	LE to AN	М	2		
Message Ty	/pe	17.3	LE to AN	М	1		
User Port Id	lentification	17.4.2.1	LE to AN	O (NOTE 1)	4		
ISDN Port Channel Identification		17.4.2.2	LE to AN	O (NOTE 2)	3		
V5 Time Slo	ot Identification	17.4.2.3	LE to AN	O (NOTE 3)	4		
NOTE 1:	When auditing on the basis of				he user port		
	terminating the bearer channel of						
NOTE 2:	When auditing on the basis of t	the user port, and the port is an ISDN user port, this information					
	element identifies the user port	t time slot terminati	ing the bearer c	hannel connecti	on on which		
	the audit has to be done. This	information eleme	ent shall appear	together with th	he user port		
	identification information elemer	nt.		-			
NOTE 3:	When auditing on the basis of the V5 time slot, this information element identifies the V5 time						
	slot within the V5.2 interface su	pporting the beare	r channel conne	ction on which t	he audit has		
	to be done.						

17.3.8 AUDIT COMPLETE message

This message is used by the access network to indicate to the local exchange the result of the auditing requested by the provision of the information identifying the bearer channel connection or indicating that no connection is available on the reference provided.

Table 36: AUDIT COMPLETE message content

Message Type: AUDIT COMPLETE Direction: AN to LE

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1
BCC Reference Number	17.4.1	AN to LE	М	2
Message Type	17.3	AN to LE	М	1
User Port Identification	17.4.2.1	AN to LE	O (NOTE 1)	4
ISDN Port Channel Identification	17.4.2.2	AN to LE	O (NOTE 1)	3
V5 Time Slot Identification	17.4.2.3	AN to LE	O (NOTE 1)	4
Connection Incomplete	17.4.2.7	AN to LE	0	3
-			(NOTE 2)	

NOTE 1: The User port identification information element shall be included, together with the ISDN port channel identification information element, when applicable, and the V5 time slot identification information element, if the result of the auditing reflects an existent complete connection.

NOTE 2: This information element shall be included when the result of an auditing process is not successful because no connection exists associated with the provided reference information of the audit process.

17.3.9 AN FAULT message

This message is used by the access network to notify to the local exchange about a single 64 kbit/s bearer channel connection that has been broken in the access network due to an internal failure.

Table 37: AN FAULT message content

Message T Direction:	ype: AN FAULT AN to LE					
Information element		Reference	Direction	Туре	Length	
Protocol Dis	criminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1	
BCC Refere	nce Number	17.4.1	AN to LE	М	2	
Message Ty	ре	17.3	AN to LE	М	1	
User Port Identification		17.4.2.1	AN to LE	O (NOTE 1)	4	
ISDN Port Channel Identification		17.4.2.2	AN to LE	O (NOTE 2)	3	
V5 Time Slot Identification		17.4.2.3	AN to LE	O (NOTE 3)	4	
NOTE 1:	E 1: When an internal AN connection fails, this information element shall be included, if available, together with the ISDN port channel identification information element, when applicable, in order to notify to the LE the user port affected by the AN failure.					
NOTE 2: When an internal AN connection fails, this information element shall be used when the failure notification refers to an ISDN port identified by the User port identification information element.						
NOTE 3:	When an internal AN connection order to notify to the LE the V5.2	n fails, this informat	ion element sha	II be included, if		

When notifying an internal failure, the AN has to provide the information needed in order to allow the LE to identify all the data related to that connection.

17.3.10 AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE message

This message is used by the local exchange to acknowledge to the access network the reception of an AN FAULT message.

NOTE: The sending of this message is an acknowledgment of the received AN FAULT message and not a notification that the proper actions have been taken.

Table 38: AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE message content

Message Type: AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE Direction: LE to AN

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	LE to AN	М	1
BCC Reference Number	17.4.1	LE to AN	М	2
Message Type	17.3	LE to AN	М	1

17.3.11 PROTOCOL ERROR message

This message is used by the access network to indicate to the local exchange that a protocol error has been identified in a received message.

Table 39: PROTOCOL ERROR message content

Message Type: PROTOCOL ERROR

Direction: AN to LE				
Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	M	1
BCC Reference Number	17.4.1	AN to LE	М	2
Message Type	17.3	AN to LE	М	1
Protocol Error Cause	17.4.2.6	AN to LE	М	3 to 5

17.4 BCC information element definition, structure and coding

This subclause defines the coding of the information elements that are specific for the BCC protocol, being used within the BCC protocol specific messages. For each of the information elements, the coding of their different fields is provided.

The BCC protocol specific information elements are listed in table 40 which also gives the coding of the information element identifier.

Table 40: BCC protocol specific information elements
--

			Bi	ts				Information element	Reference
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	VARIABLE LENGTH INFORMATION ELEMENTS	
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	User port identification	17.4.2.1
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	ISDN port channel identification	17.4.2.2
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	V5 time slot identification	17.4.2.3
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	Multi-slot map	17.4.2.4
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	Reject cause	17.4.2.5
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	Protocol error cause	17.4.2.6
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	Connection incomplete	17.4.2.7
NOTE: All other values are reserved.						are r	eser	ved.	

17.4.1 BCC Reference Number information element

This information element is specific for the BCC protocol and uses the location of the Layer 3 address information element within the general message structure as defined in Clause 13.

The purpose of the BCC Reference Number information element is to identify the BCC protocol process, within the V5.2 interface, to which the transmitted or received message applies.

The BCC reference number value shall be a random value generated by the entity (AN or LE) creating the new BCC protocol process (this random value may be implemented as a sequential generation of values). It is essential that values are not repeated in messages for which a different BCC process is required (in the same direction), until the old BCC process has been finished and the number deleted. The BCC Reference Number information element, being part of the message header, shall be the second part of every message (located after the Protocol Discriminator information element). In the case of any process renerating error indications, the BCC reference number should not be reused until sufficient time has elapsed for delayed arrival of messages containing the same BCC reference number.

The length of the BCC Reference Number information element shall be 2 octets.

The structure of the BCC Reference Number information element shall be as indicated by figure 16.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	_
Source ID	BCC reference number value							
0	0 BCC reference number value (low)							

Figure 16: BCC Reference Number information element

The source identification is a field of one bit specifying the entity (LE or AN) that has created the BCC reference number (i.e. the entity that has created the BCC protocol process). The coding of this field shall be ZERO for an LE created process and ONE for an AN created process.

The BCC reference number value field consists of 13 bits and is used for providing the binary coding that identifies the BCC process.

Page 80 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.4.2 Other information elements

Within this subclause the information elements that may appear in the different messages are described.

These information elements may appear in the different messages being optional or mandatory depending on the message semantics and/or the process application of the message.

17.4.2.1 User Port Identification information element

The purpose of the User Port Identification information element is to identify, via the V5.2 interface, the PSTN or ISDN port to which the BCC protocol process related message applies.

The length of the User Port Identification information element shall be 4 octets.

The structure of the User Port Identification information element shall be as indicated by figure 17 and figure 18.

The coding of the User Port Identification information element shall be in binary. For the coding of the User Port Identification information element two structures have been defined, one for the PSTN ports application (see figure 17) and the other for the ISDN ports application (see figure 18).

For the case of the PSTN ports application, the user port identification value (15 bits) shall have the same value as the Layer 3 address information element contained within the PSTN protocol messages related to that PSTN user port for which the process related message applies.

For the case of the ISDN ports application, the user port identification value (13 bits) shall have the same value as the Envelope Address contained within the envelope function frames used for relaying the DSS1 messages related to that ISDN user port for which the process related message applies.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	octet 1		
	Information element identifier									
	Length of the information element content									
	User Port Identification Value 1									
	User Port Identification Value (lower)									

Figure 17: User Port Identification information element (PSTN port application)

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	octet 1			
	Information element identifier										
		Length o	of the informa	ation elemen	nt content			octet 2			
	User Port Identification Value 0										
	User Port Identification Value (lower) 1										

Figure 18: User Port Identification information element (ISDN port application)

17.4.2.2 ISDN Port Time Slot Identification information element

The purpose of the ISDN Port Time Slot Identification information element is to indicate, only in the case of a single V5 time slot BCC protocol related to an ISDN user port, the user port time slot within the ISDN user/network interface (basic or primary rate access) to which the V5 time slot within the 2 048 kbit/s link of the V5.2 interface has to be through-connected, or from which the identified V5 time slot has to be disconnected.

The length of the ISDN Port Time Slot Identification information element shall be 3 octets.

The structure of the ISDN Port Time Slot Identification information element shall be as indicated by figure 19.

	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	octet 1	
Information element identifier										
	Length of the information element content									
	1	0 0 ISDN user port time slot number o							octet 3	

Figure 19: ISDN Port Time Slot Identification information element

The ISDN user port TS number is a field of five bits used for providing the binary coding that identifies the user port time slot within the ISDN user port. For the case of ISDN-PRA user ports, channels B1 to B31 shall be referred to as ISDN user port time slot number 1 (00001) to 31 (11111). For the case of ISDN basic access user port, channel B1 shall be referred to as ISDN user port time slot number 1 (00001) and channel B2 as ISDN user port time slot number 2 (00010).

17.4.2.3 V5 Time Slot Identification information element

The purpose of the V5 Time Slot Identification information element is to identify, in the case of a single V5 time slot BCC protocol process, the V5 time slot within a particular 2 048 kbit/s link to which the process applies.

The length of the V5 Time Slot Identification information element shall be 4 octets.

The structure of the BCC Reference Number information element shall be as indicated by figure 20.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	octet 1			
	Information element identifier										
	Length of the information element content										
	V5 2 048 kbit/s link Identifier										
0	0 Override V5 Time Slot Number or										

Figure 20: V5 Time Slot Identification information element

The V5 2 048 kbit/s Link Identifier is a field of eight bits used for providing the binary coding that identifies a particular 2 048 kbit/s link out of those that comprise the V5.2 interface, where the selected V5 time slot to be used as the bearer channel is located. A maximum of 256 (2 048 kbit/s links) can be explicitly identified.

The V5 Time Slot Number is a field of five bits used for providing the binary coding that identifies the V5 time slot, or the first V5 time slot of a block of V5 time slots (within the 2 048 kbit/s link identified in the previous octet) to be used, or being used, as the bearer channel.

The Override bit specifies the request from the LE for overriding the existing bearer channel connection over the identified V5 time slot when establishing the requested bearer channel connection. The coding of this field shall be a ZERO for "Override not requested" and a ONE for "Override requested".

Page 82 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.4.2.4 Multi-Slot Map information element

The purpose of the Multi-Slot Map information element is to identify, in the case of en-bloc allocation or de-allocation of multiple V5 time slots, all the V5 time slots within a particular V5 2 048 kbit/s link to which the allocation or de-allocation process applies.

The Multi-Slot Map information element shall also identify the user port time slots within the ISDN user/network interface, to which the identified V5 time slots have to be through-connected, or from which the identified V5 time slots have to be disconnected.

The relationship between the identified V5 time slots and user port time slot shall be one-to-one in the same order of appearance within the respective coding maps.

NOTE: When several V5 time slots have been allocated as one block, these may or may not be de-allocated en-bloc.

The number of V5 time slots affected by a de-allocation process shall be determined by the resource management system on the basis of the ISDN service being provided.

Under certain circumstances (e.g. ISDN interface restart) a de-allocation process affecting several V5 time slots may be requested by the resource management system, even when those V5 time slots were allocated individually.

The length of the Multi-Slot Map information element shall be 11 octets.

The structure of the Multi-Slot Map information element shall be as indicated in figure 21.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	octet 1			
Information element identifier											
	Length of the information element content										
		VS	5 2 048 kbit/s	s Link Identif	ier			octet 3			
V5TS31	V5TS30	V5TS29	V5TS28	V5TS27	V5TS26	V5TS25	V5TS24	octet 4			
V5TS23	V5TS22	V5TS21	V5TS20	V5TS19	V5TS18	V5TS17	V5TS16	octet 5			
V5TS15	V5TS14	V5TS13	V5TS12	V5TS11	V5TS10	V5TS9	V5TS8	octet 6			
V5TS7	V5TS6	V5TS5	V5TS4	V5TS3	V5TS2	V5TS1	0	octet 7			
UPTS31	UPTS30	UPTS29	UPTS28	UPTS27	UPTS26	UPTS25	UPTS24	octet 8			
UPTS23	UPTS22	UPTS21	UPTS20	UPTS19	UPTS18	UPTS17	UPTS16	octet 9			
UPTS15	UPTS14	UPTS13	UPTS12	UPTS11	UPTS10	UPTS9	UPTS8	octet 10			
UPTS7	UPTS6	UPTS5	UPTS4	UPTS3	UPTS2	UPTS1	0	octet 11			

Figure 21: Multi-Slot Identification information element

The V5 2 048 kbit/s Link Identifier is a field of eight bits used for providing the binary coding that identifies the 2 048 kbit/s link/system (out of those that may compose the V5.2 interface) where the selected V5 time slots to be used as the bearer channels are located. A maximum of 256 (2 048 kbit/s) links can be explicitly identified.

Octets 4 to 7 identify multiple V5 time slots within the V5.2 interface being allocated or de-allocated enbloc. The bits corresponding to the V5 time slots affected by the process shall be coded as binary "1", the bits corresponding to the V5 time slots non-affected by the process shall be coded as binary "0". Octets 8 to 11 identify multiple user port time slots within the ISDN user port (basic or primary) to which the specified V5 time slots in octets 4 to 7 have to be through connected or disconnected. The relationship between the V5 time slots and the user port time slots shall be one-to-one in the specified numbering order. The bits corresponding to the user port time slots affected by the process shall be coded as binary "1", the bits corresponding to the user port time slots non-affected by the process shall be coded as binary "0".

For the case of ISDN basic access user port, the two B-channels shall be referred to as user port time slot UPTS1 and user port time slot UPTS2 in the map, UPTS3 to UPTS31 shall never be made active in this case.

17.4.2.5 Reject Cause information element

The purpose of the Reject Cause information element is to indicate from the access network to the local exchange the reason for which the allocation/de-allocation of the requested bearer channel(s) has not been completed.

The Reject Cause information element for some reject cause types shall include a diagnostic field in order to provide additional information related to these reject cause values. This diagnostic field, when present, shall always be a copy of the received information element containing the information that triggered the sending of the reject message.

The length of the Reject cause information element may be between 3 and 14 octets. For reject cause types not including a diagnostic information, the length of the information element shall be 3 octets. For reject cause types including a diagnostic information, the length of the information element shall be between 6 and 14 octets (6, 7 and 14 octets are the valid values).

The structure of the Reject Cause information element shall be as indicated by figure 22.




Figure 22: Reject Cause information element

The coding of the reject cause type field shall be as specified in table 41.

Table 41: Coding of reject cause type

7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Reject cause
0							Unspecified
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Access network fault
0							Access network blocked (internally)
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	Connection already present at the PSTN user port to a different V5 time slot
0							Connection already present at the V5 time slot(s) to a different port or ISDN user port time slot
0							Connection already present at the ISDN user port time slot(s) to a different V5 time slot(s)
0							User port unavailable (blocked)
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	De-allocation cannot be completed due to incompatible data content
							De-allocation cannot be completed due to V5 time slot(s) data incompatibility
							De-allocation cannot be completed due to port data incompatibility
0							De-allocation cannot be completed due to user port time slot(s) data incompatibility
0							User port not provisioned
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	Invalid V5 time slot(s) identification(s)
0	0	0	1	1	0	1	Invalid V5 2 048 kbit/s link identification
							Invalid user port time slot(s) identification(s)
0	0						V5 time slot(s) being used as physical C-channel(s)
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	V5 link unavailable (blocked)
NC	DTE	Ξ:			All	ot	her values reserved.

Annex E, table E.1, provides further information on when to use the different reject cause types in the BCC protocol procedures.

The diagnostic field is a field of multiple octets (number of octets dependent of the cause value) providing the relevant diagnostic for each of the reject cause types according to table 42.

Cause	Diagnostic	Length
Unspecified	Not present	0
Access network fault	Not present	0
Access network blocked (internally)	Not present	0
Connection already present at the PSTN user port to a different V5 time slot	User port identification information element	4
Connection already present at the V5.2 interface V5 time slot(s) to a different port or ISDN user port time slot(s)	V5 time slot identification or Multi-slot map information element	4 or 11
Connection already present at the ISDN user port time slot(s) to a different V5 time slot(s)	ISDN port channel identification or Multi-slot map information element	3 or 11
User port unavailable (blocked)	User port identification information element	4
De-allocation can not be completed due to incompatible data content	Not present	0
De-allocation can not be completed due to V5 time slot(s) data incompatibility	V5 time slot identification or Multi-slot map information element	4 or 11
De-allocation can not be completed due to port data incompatibility	User port identification information element	4
De-allocation can not be completed due to user port time slot(s) data incompatibility	ISDN port channel identification or Multi-slot map information element	3 or 11
User port not provisioned	User port identification information element	4
Invalid V5 time slot(s) identification(s)	V5 time slot identification or Multi-slot map information element	4 or 11
Invalid V5 2 048 kbit/s link identification	V5 time slot identification or Multi-slot map information element	4 or 11
Invalid user port time slot(s) identification(s)	ISDN port channel identification or Multi-slot map information element	3 or 11
V5 time slot(s) being used as physical C-channel(s)	V5 time slot identification or Multi-slot map information element	4 or 11

Table 42: Diagnostic for the reject cause types

Page 86 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.4.2.6 Protocol Error Cause information element

The purpose of the Protocol Error Cause information element is to indicate from the access network to the local exchange the type of protocol error detected in a given BCC protocol process.

The Protocol Error Cause information element shall, for some protocol error cause types, include a diagnostic field in order to provide additional information related to these protocol error cause types. This diagnostic field of one or two octets, when present, shall be a copy of the received message type identifier that has triggered the sending of the message containing the Protocol Error Cause information element, and when needed the relevant information element identifier within that message.

The length of the Protocol Error Cause information element may be between 3 and 5 octets. For reject cause types not including a diagnostic information, the length of the information element shall be 3 octets. For reject cause types including a diagnostic information, the length of the information element shall be 4 or 5 octets.

The structure of the Protocol Error Cause information element shall be as indicated by figure 23.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	octet 1		
	Information element identifier									
	Length of the information element content									
1			Protoc	ol error caus	se type			octet 3		
0	0 Diagnostic (message type identifier) od									
		Diagnos	tic (informati	on element i	dentifier)			octet 5		

Figure 23: Protocol Error Cause information element

The coding of the protocol error cause type field shall be as specified in table 43.

Table 43: Protoco	l error cause type
-------------------	--------------------

7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Protocol error cause
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Protocol discriminator error
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Message type unrecognized
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	Out of sequence information element
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	Repeated optional information element
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	Mandatory information element missing
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	Unrecognized information element
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Mandatory information element content error
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	Optional information element content error
0	0	0	1	0	1	1	Message not compatible with the BCC protocol state
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	Repeated mandatory information element
0	0	0	1	1	0	1	Too many information elements
NOT							

Subclause 16.5.8 specifies when to use the different protocol error cause type values.

The diagnostic field is a field of multiple octets (number of octets dependent of the cause value) providing the relevant diagnostic for each protocol error cause value according to table 44.

Cause	Diagnostic	Length
Protocol discriminator error	Not present	0
Message type unrecognized	Message type identifier	1
Out of sequence information element	Message type identifier Information element identifier	2
Repeated optional information element	Message type identifier Information element identifier	2
Mandatory information element missing	Message type identifier Information element identifier	2
Unrecognized information element	Message type identifier Information element identifier	2
Mandatory information element content error	Message type identifier Information element identifier	2
Optional information element content error	Message type identifier Information element identifier	2
Message not compatible with the BCC protocol state	Message type identifier	1
Repeated mandatory information element	Message type identifier Information element identifier	2
Too many information elements	Message type identifier	1

Table 44: Diagnostic for the protocol error types

17.4.2.7 Connection Incomplete information element

The purpose of the Connection Incomplete information element is to indicate from the access network to the local exchange that the result of an auditing process is not successful because no AN connection exists.

Within the reason field, this information element gives information about the reason for that connection being incomplete.

The length of the Connection Incomplete information element shall be 3 octets.

The structure of the Connection Incomplete information element shall be as indicated by figure 24.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	octet 1		
	Information element identifier									
Length of the information element content										
1/ext	Reason									

Figure 24: Connection Incomplete information element

Page 88 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

The coding of reason field of the connection incomplete information element shall be as specified in table 45.

7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Reason		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Incomplete normal		
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Access network fault		
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	User port not provisioned		
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	Invalid V5 time slot identification		
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Invalid V5 2 048 kbit/s link identification		
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	Time slot being used as physical C-channel		
NOT	NOTE: All other values are reserved.								

Table 45: Coding of reason field

17.5 Description of the BCC protocol and the BCC procedures

Annex E provides further information on the interaction of switched calls with the BCC protocol.

17.5.1 General

Because of the transparency of the AN and the V5.2 interface to the ISDN and PSTN call control protocols, the relevant procedure of this BCC Protocol has to be triggered from the resource management entity in the LE as a consequence of analysis of the ISDN/PSTN call control procedures.

From the BCC point of view, every V5 time slot allocation or de-allocation is considered as an independent process that shall be concluded with the successful completion or abortion of the V5 time slot allocation or de-allocation.

Each of the processes shall be identified by a different BCC reference number. The BCC protocol entity and the resource management entity shall allow multiple BCC processes running in parallel.

NOTE: For the purpose of the BCC Protocol (bearer channel control procedures) it is assumed that an individual FSM has to be implemented for each of the allocation or de-allocation request related to one or more of the V5.2 time slots available to be used as bearer channels.

The procedures composing the BCC protocol, and described in the following subclauses are:

- bearer channel allocation: normal procedure;
- bearer channel allocation: exceptional procedures;
- bearer channel de-allocation: normal procedure;
- bearer channel de-allocation: exceptional procedures;
- audit procedure;
- AN internal failure notification procedure;
- handling of error conditions.

17.5.2 Bearer channel allocation - normal procedure

The BCC protocol entity in the LE, being in the "Bcc null" state, when receiving the MDU-BCC (Allocation request) primitive shall initiate the bearer channel allocation by sending to the AN an ALLOCATION message indicating the V5 time slot(s) in the V5.2 interface to be used. In the case of the ISDN port related allocations, the LE shall also indicate the ISDN user port time slot(s) in the ISDN user/network interface to be through-connected to the selected V5 time slot.

With the sending of the ALLOCATION message the LE shall start timer Tbcc1 and enter the "Bcc waiting allocation" state.

When the BCC protocol entity in the AN receives the ALLOCATION message, it shall notify the event to the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (Allocation indication) primitive. When possible, the AN shall allocate the specified V5 time slot(s) to the specified port. After the reception of the MDU-BCC (Allocation response (complete)) primitive, the BCC protocol entity in the AN shall send to the LE the ALLOCATION COMPLETE message.

With the reception of a ALLOCATION COMPLETE message that, by the analysis of the BCC Reference Number information element, the LE considers as the answer to a ALLOCATION message previously sent, the LE shall stop timer Tbcc1, notify the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (Allocation confirmation) primitive, and enter the "Bcc null" state.

If timer Tbcc1 expires for the first time prior to the reception of the ALLOCATION COMPLETE or ALLOCATION REJECT message, the LE shall retransmit the ALLOCATION message, restart timer Tbcc1 and remain in the "Bcc waiting allocation" state.

If timer Tbcc1 expires for the second time prior to the reception of the ALLOCATION COMPLETE or ALLOCATION REJECT message, the process shall be concluded, entering the "Bcc null" state. The event shall also be notified to the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (Allocation error indication) primitive, for the proper maintenance action be taken.

17.5.3 Bearer channel allocation - exceptional procedures

17.5.3.1 Bearer channel allocation

The BCC protocol entity in the LE, being in the NULL state and receiving an ALLOCATION COMPLETE message shall inform the resource management by issuing MDU_BCC (Allocation confirmation) and remain in the NULL state. This situation may occur due to loss of messages and expiry of layer 3 timers but retransmission of the message by layer 2. It is the responsibility of the resource manager to perform any necessary action.

17.5.3.2 Bearer channel allocation reject

When the controlling entity in the AN receives the ALLOCATION message, and the AN resource manager detects that the requested V5 time slot(s) can not be allocated to the identified port (and user port time slot if applicable) in the requested conditions the resource management entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Allocation response (reject)) primitive, and the AN shall notify the event by the sending to the LE the ALLOCATION REJECT message, specifying within the Reject Cause information element the reason for this rejection.

With the reception of a ALLOCATION REJECT message that, by the analysis of the BCC Reference Number information element, the LE considers as the answer to a ALLOCATION message previously sent, the LE shall conclude the bearer channel allocation process, stop timer Tbcc1, notify the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (Allocation reject) indication primitive, and enter the "Bcc null" state.

The BCC protocol entity in the LE, being in the NULL state and receiving an ALLOCATION REJECT message shall inform the resource management by issuing MDU_BCC (Allocation reject indication) and remain in the NULL state. This situation may occur due to loss of messages and expiry of layer 3 timers but retransmission of the message by layer 2. It is the responsibility of the resource manager to perform any necessary action.

Page 90 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.5.3.3 Bearer channel allocation abort

While waiting for the reception of an ALLOCATION COMPLETE or ALLOCATION REJECT message, if the LE BCC protocol entity receives a MDU-BCC (De-allocation request) primitive requesting for the release of the bearer channel being established (for instance as a consequence of a premature call clearing), the LE shall proceed with the bearer channel de-allocation and shall stop timer Tbcc1, send the DE-ALLOCATION message and start timer Tbcc2 and enter the "Bcc allocation abort" state.

When in the "Bcc allocation abort" state, the LE shall discard any ALLOCATION COMPLETE or ALLOCATION REJECT message received.

When the BCC protocol entity in the AN receives the DE-ALLOCATION message, the event is notified to the resource management entity by a MDU-BCC (De-allocation indication) primitive, then the AN shall de-allocate the specified V5 time slot(s) from the relevant port, and send to the LE the DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message.

With the reception of a DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message that, by the analysis of the BCC Reference Number information element, the BCC controlling entity in the LE considers as the answer to a DE-ALLOCATION message previously sent, the event shall be notified to the resource management entity in the LE by a MDU-BCC (De-allocation confirmation) primitive, then timer Tbcc2 shall be stopped and the "Bcc null" state entered.

If timer Tbcc2 expires for the first time prior to the reception of the DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE or DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message, the LE shall retransmit the DE-ALLOCATION message, restart timer Tbcc2 and remain in the "Bcc allocation abort" state.

If timer Tbcc2 expires for the second time prior to the reception of the DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE or DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message, the procedure shall be concluded, entering the "Bcc null" state. The event shall also be notified to the resource management entity by a MDU-BCC (De-allocation error indication) primitive, for the proper maintenance action be taken.

17.5.3.4 Bearer channel allocation request received for existing connection

When the resource management entity at the AN receives an ALLOCATION message requesting a bearer channel allocation already set-up, the AN shall transmit an ALLOCATION COMPLETE message.

17.5.3.5 Bearer channel allocation, connection override requested

Under certain service circumstances (e.g. as a consequence of the DSS1 user port time slot negotiation at the called ISDN user network interface) the LE shall start a BCC bearer channel allocation process over a V5.2 interface V5 time slot already involved in a connection to the same user port. The LE will notify the request by means of the "override" indicator field contained in the V5 time slot identification information element of the transmitted ALLOCATION message.

When receiving an ALLOCATION message, containing an override request, the AN will proceed with the completion of the bearer channel completion overriding the previous connection sending an ALLOCATION COMPLETE message according to the normal procedure for the bearer channel allocation described in subclause 17.5.2. In the event that the LE requests for the overriding of a connection that is not completed over the user port specified in the ALLOCATION message, the AN will reject the allocation procedure sending an ALLOCATION REJECT message according to the bearer channel allocation reject procedure described in subclause 17.5.3.2.

17.5.4 Bearer channel de-allocation - normal procedure

The resource management entity in the LE shall notify the need for a bearer channel to be de-allocated by a (MDU-BCC (De-allocation request) primitive. Then the BCC protocol entity in the LE, being in the "Bcc null" state, shall initiate the bearer channel de-allocation by sending to the AN a DE-ALLOCATION message indicating the V5 time slot(s) in the V5.2 interface to be released.

With the sending of the DE-ALLOCATION message the LE shall start timer Tbcc3 and enter the "Bcc waiting de-allocation" state.

When the BCC protocol entity in the AN receives the DE-ALLOCATION message, the event shall be notified to the resource management entity by a MDU-BCC (De-allocation indication) primitive. Then, the AN shall de-allocate the specified V5 time slot(s) from the relevant port, and send to the LE the DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message.

With the reception of a DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message that, by the analysis of the BCC Reference Number information element, the BCC protocol entity in the LE considers as the answer to a DE-ALLOCATION message previously sent, the event shall be notified by a MDU-BCC (De-allocation confirmation) primitive, then the LE shall stop timer Tbcc3 and enter the "Bcc null" state.

If timer Tbcc3 expires for the first time prior to the reception of the DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE or DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message, the LE shall retransmit the DE-ALLOCATION message, restart timer Tbcc3 and remain in the "Bcc waiting de-allocation" state.

If timer Tbcc3 expires for the second time prior to the reception of the DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE or DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message, the procedure shall be aborted, entering the "Bcc null" state. The event shall also be notified to the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (De-allocation error) primitive, for the proper maintenance action be taken.

17.5.5 Bearer channel de-allocation - exceptional procedures

17.5.5.1 Bearer channel de-allocation

The BCC protocol entity in the LE, being in the NULL state, and receiving a DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message shall inform the resource management by issuing MDU-BCC (De-allocation confirmation) and remain in the NULL state. This situation may occur due to loss of messages and expiry of layer 3 timers but retransmission of the message by layer 2. It is the responsibility of the resource manager to perform the necessary action.

17.5.5.2 Bearer channel de-allocation reject

After the reception of a DE-ALLOCATION message, when the resource management entity entity in the AN detects that the requested V5 time slot(s) can not be de-allocated from the identified port (and user port time slot if applicable), or can not be de-allocated on the conditions requested by the LE, a MDU-BCC (De-allocation response (reject)) primitive shall be generated, and the AN shall notify the event by the sending to the LE the DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message, specifying within the Reject Cause information element the reason for this rejection.

With the reception of a DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message that, by the analysis of the BCC Reference Number information element, the BCC protocol entity in the LE considers as the answer to a DE-ALLOCATION message previously sent, the LE shall conclude the bearer channel de-allocation procedure, stop timer Tbcc3, notify the resource management entity by a MDU-BCC (De-allocation reject indication) primitive, and enter the "Bcc null" state.

The BCC protocol entity in the LE, being in the NULL state, and receiving a DE-ALLOCATION REJECT message shall inform the resource management by issuing MDU-BCC (De-allocation reject indication) and remain in the NULL state. This situation may occur due to loss of messages and expiry of layer 3 timers but retransmission of the message by layer 2. It is the responsibility of the resource manager to perform the necessary action.

Page 92 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.5.5.3 Bearer channel de-allocation process message missing

When the resource management entity at the AN receives a DE-ALLOCATION message, that refers to V5 time slot and port (and user port time slot when applicable) considered free, the AN shall transmit a DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE message.

17.5.6 Audit procedure

The BCC protocol entity in the LE, being in the "Bcc null" state, when receiving the MDU-BCC (Audit request) primitive shall initiate the audit procedure by sending to the AN an AUDIT message indicating the single 64 kbit/s V5 time slot or user port and user port time slot, when applicable, on which the audit has to be done.

With the sending of the AUDIT message, the LE shall start timer Tbcc4 and enter the "Bcc waiting audit" state.

When the BCC protocol entity in the AN receives the AUDIT message, it shall notify the event to the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (Audit indication) primitive. Then, the AN resource manager has to check the received information with its internal information regarding the established bearer channel connections in the AN. After this checking, the AN shall notify to the LE the bearer connection related to the information provided by the LE or the absence of connection matching the information provided by the LE. After the reception of the MDU-BCC (Audit response) primitive, the BCC protocol entity in the AN shall send to the LE the AUDIT COMPLETE message.

With the reception of a AUDIT COMPLETE message that, by the analysis of the BCC Reference Number information element, the LE considers as the answer to an AUDIT message previously sent, the LE shall stop timer Tbcc4, notify the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (Audit confirmation) primitive, and enter the "Bcc null" state.

If timer Tbcc4 expires for the first time prior to the reception of the AUDIT COMPLETE message, the LE shall retransmit the AUDIT message, restart timer Tbcc4 and remain in the "Bcc waiting audit" state.

If timer Tbcc4 expires for the second time prior to the reception of the AUDIT COMPLETE message, the process shall be concluded, entering the "Bcc null" state. The event shall also be notified to the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (Audit error indication) primitive, for the proper maintenance action be taken.

17.5.7 AN internal failure notification procedure

The BCC protocol entity in the AN, being in the "Bcc operational" state, when receiving the MDU-BCC (AN fault request) primitive shall initiate the AN internal failure notification procedure by sending to the LE an AN FAULT message indicating the single 64 kbit/s bearer connection affected by the AN internal failure, specifying the V5 time slot or user port and user port time slot, when applicable, or both.

With the sending of the AN FAULT message the AN shall start timer Tbcc5 and enter the "Bcc AN fault report" state.

When the BCC protocol entity in the LE receives the AN FAULT message, it shall notify the event to the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (AN fault indication) primitive and shall send to the AN the AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE message.

With the reception of a AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE message that, by the analysis of the BCC Reference Number information element, the AN considers as the answer to an AN FAULT message previously sent, the AN shall stop timer Tbcc5, notify the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (AN fault confirmation) primitive, and enter the "Bcc operational" state.

If timer Tbcc5 expires for the first time prior to the reception of the AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE message, the AN shall retransmit the AN FAULT message, restart timer Tbcc5 and remain in the "Bcc AN fault report" state.

If timer Tbcc5 expires for the second time prior to the reception of the AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE message, the process shall be concluded, entering the "Bcc operational" state. The event shall also be notified to the resource management entity by the MDU-BCC (AN fault error indication) primitive, for the proper maintenance action be taken.

17.5.8 Handling of error conditions

Before acting upon a message, the receiving entity, either the AN V5.2 BCC Protocol entity or the LE V5.2 BCC Protocol entity, shall perform the procedures specified in this subclause.

As a general rule, all messages shall contain, at least: the Protocol Discriminator, the BCC Reference Number and the Message Type information elements. These information elements, acting as a header for all BCC messages, are specified in subclause 13.2. When receiving a message having less than 4 octets, the receiving BCC protocol entity in the AN or LE shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

If more than 2 optional information elements are detected within a message, then the message shall be considered as too long and shall be truncated after the second optional information element. All the truncated information is assumed to be repeated optional information elements. When doing the truncation, the entity shall shall react according to subclause 17.5.8.4 for repeated information elements.

Each receipt of a message, of the set of messages of the BCC Protocol, shall activate the checks described in subclause 17.5.8.1 through subclause 17.5.8.10 by order of precedence. No state change occurs during these checks.

After the message has been checked using the error handling procedures following, if the message is not to be ignored, then:

- bearer channel allocation procedures (see subclauses 17.5.2 and 17.5.3); or
- bearer channel de-allocation procedures (see subclauses 17.5.4 and 17.5.5); or
- audit procedure (see subclause 17.5.6); or
- AN internal failure notification procedure (see subclause 17.5.7),

shall follow.

NOTE: Within this subclause, the term "ignore the message" means to leave the message contents unchanged.

Page 94 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.5.8.1 Protocol discriminator error

When a message is received by a layer 3 BCC protocol entity with a protocol discriminator coded other than the one specified in subclause 13.2.1 for use in the V5 Protocols:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Protocol discriminator error";
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

17.5.8.2 Message type error

Whenever an unrecognized message is received:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Message type unrecognized" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication primitive) to the management system and ignore the message.

17.5.8.3 Information element out of sequence

An information element which has a information element identifier code value lower than the code value of the preceding information element shall be considered as an out of sequence information element.

Whenever an out of sequence information element is received:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, remove the out of sequence information element and continue with the processing of the message, it shall also send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Out of sequence information element" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and remove the out of sequence information element and continue with the processing of the message.

If the removed information element is mandatory, this shall be reflected in a mandatory information element missing error situation that shall be treated according to subclause 17.5.8.5.

17.5.8.4 Repeated information elements

Whenever a mandatory information element is repeated in a message, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be as follows:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Repeated mandatory information element" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

Whenever an optional information element is repeated in a message the reaction of the receiving entity shall be as follows:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, remove the repeated optional information element and continue with the processing of the message, it shall also send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Repeated optional information element" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and remove the repeated optional information element and continue with the processing of the message.

17.5.8.5 Mandatory information element missing

Whenever a message is received with a mandatory information element missing, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be as follows:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Mandatory information element missing" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

In the event of more than one mandatory information elements missing, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be on the basis of the first mandatory information element identified as missing.

17.5.8.6 Unrecognized information element

Whenever a message is received with one or more information elements unrecognized, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be as follows:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, remove all the unrecognized information elements and continue with the processing of the message, it shall also send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Unrecognized information element" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and remove all the unrecognized information elements and continue with the processing of the message.

In the event of more than one unrecognized information elements, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be on the basis of the first unrecognized information element identified.

For the purpose of the BCC protocol error handling procedures unrecognized information elements are those that are not defined within subclauses 13.2 and 17.4 of this ETS.

Page 96 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

17.5.8.7 Content error of mandatory information element

When a message is received with a mandatory information element having a content error, either:

- a) the length does not conform to the length specified in subclauses 13.2 and 17.4; or
- b) the content is not known, then:
 - the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Mandatory information element content error" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
 - the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

17.5.8.8 Content error of optional information element

When a message is received with an optional information element having a content error, either:

- a) the length does not conform to the length specified in subclause 17.4; or
- b) the content is not known or can not be interpreted, then:
 - the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, remove the information element with a content error and continue with the processing of the message, it shall also send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Optional information element content error" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
 - the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and remove the information element with a content error and continue with the processing of the message.

17.5.8.9 Unexpected message

A message flow error occurs when an unexpected message is received. The state transition tables give the appropriate action on receipt of any event.

Whenever an unexpected message is received no state change occurs, then:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Message not compatible with BCC protocol state" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

17.5.8.10 Optional information element not allowed

When a message is received containing more optional information elements than needed, then:

- the AN BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Too many information elements" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 17.4.2.6;
- the LE BCC protocol entity shall generate a MDU-BCC (protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

17.6 List of system parameters (timers)

The definition of the timers used in the BCC protocol is given in table 46. The mentioned timers are maintained in the LE or AN BCC protocol entity. The timer tolerances shall be \pm 10 %.

Timer	Timeout	State	Cause for start	Normal stop	At first expiry	At second expiry	Reference
number	value						
Tbcc1	500 to 1500 ms (NOTE)	LE Bcc0 LE Bcc1	ALLOCATION sent	After reception of ALLOCATION COMPLETE, ALLOCATION REJECT, or a Allocation Abort Request	Repeat ALLOCATION and restart Tbcc1	Allocation process concluded and notify resource management	17.5.2
Tbcc2	2 s	LE Bcc1 LE Bcc2	DE-ALLOCATION sent	After reception of DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE, or DE-ALLOCATION REJECT	Repeat DE-ALLOCATION and restart Tbcc2	De-allocation process concluded and notify resource management	17.5.3
Tbcc3	2 s	LE Bcc2 LE Bcc3	DE-ALLOCATION sent	After reception of DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE, or DE-ALLOCATION REJECT	Repeat DE-ALLOCATION and restart Tbcc3	De-allocation process concluded and notify resource management	17.5.4
Tbcc4	500 to 1500 ms (NOTE)	LE Bcc3 LE Bcc4	AUDIT sent	After reception of AUDIT COMPLETE	Repeat AUDIT and restart Tbcc4	Audit process concluded and notify resource management	17.5.6
Tbcc5	500 to 1500 ms (NOTE)	AN Bcc0 AN Bcc1	AN FAULT sent	After reception of AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE	Repeat AN FAULT and restart Tbcc5	AN fault process concluded and notify resource management	17.5.7
NOTE:		se time out valu		able of being predef	ined in steps of 100	ms and shall all hav	ve the same

Table 46: BCC protocol timers

17.7 LE side and AN side state transition tables

Table 47 defines the state transition table for one process at the LE side of the V5.2 BCC protocol entity.

State	Bcc null	Bcc waiting	Bcc allocation abort	Bcc waiting	Bcc waiting audit	
oluio	Dooman	allocation		de-allocation	Doo halling adalt	
Event	(LEBcc0)	(LEBcc1)	(LEBcc2)	(LEBcc3)	(LEBcc4)	
MDU-BCC	ALLOCATION;	/	/	/		
(Allocation	Start Tbcc1; LEBcc1;					
request)	-					
ALLOCATION	MDU-BCC (Allocation	MDU-BCC (Allocation	_	/	/	
COMPLETE	confirmation);	confirmation);	_			
	-	Stop Tbcc1;				
		LEBcc0				
ALLOCATION		MDU-BCC (Allocation	_	/	/	
REJECT	reject indication);	reject indication);				
	-	Stop Tbcc1;				
		LEBcc0				
MDU-BCC	DE-ALLOCATION;	DE-ALLOCATION;	/	/	/	
(De-allocation	Start Tbcc3; LEBcc3	Stop Tbcc 1;				
request)		Start Tbcc2;				
		LEBcc2				
DE-ALLOCATION	MDU-BCC	/	MDU-BCC	MDU-BCC	/	
COMPLETE	(Deallocation		(De-allocation	(De-allocation		
	confirmation); -		confirmation);	confirmation);		
	MDU DOO	1	Stop Tbcc2; LEBcc0	Stop Tbcc3; LEBcc0	1	
DE-ALLOCATION	MDU-BCC	/	MDU-BCC	MDU-BCC	/	
REJECT	(Deallocation reject		(De-allocation reject indication);	(De-allocation reject		
	indication); -		Stop Tbcc2; LEBcc0	indication); Stop Tbcc3; LEBcc0		
MDU-BCC (Audit	AUDIT;	1			1	
request)	Start Tbcc4; LEBcc4	/	/	/	1	
AUDIT		1	1	1	MDU-BCC (Audit	
COMPLETE	/	/	/	/	confirmation);	
					Stop Tbcc4; LEBcc0	
Expiry Tbcc1 (first)	/	ALLOCATION;	/	/	/	
	,	Restart Tbcc1;	,	,	,	
		-				
Expiry Tbcc1	/	MDU-BCC (Allocation	/	/	/	
(second)		error indication);		,		
· · ·		LEBcc0				
Expiry Tbcc2 (first)	/	/	DE-ALLOCATION;	/	/	
			Restart Tbcc2; -			
Expiry Tbcc2	/	/	MDU-BCC	/	/	
(second)			(De-allocation error			
			indication); LEBcc0			
Expiry Tbcc3 (first)	/	/	/	DE-ALLOCATION;	/	
				Restart Tbcc3; -		
Expiry Tbcc3	/	/	/	MDU-BCC	/	
(second)				(De-allocation error		
				indication); LEBcc0		
Expiry Tbcc4 (first)	/	/	/	/	AUDIT;	
					Restart Tbcc4; -	
Expiry Tbcc4	/	/	/	/	MDU-BCC (Audit	
(second)					error indication);	
					LEBcc0	
AN FAULT	AN FAULT ACK;	/	/	/	/	
	MDU-BCC (AN Fault					
PDOTOOO!	indication); -					
PROTOCOL	/	MDU-BCC (Protocol Error indication);	MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication);	MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication);	MDU-BCC (Protocol error indication);	
ERROR						

Table 47: LE state transition table

Notation:- no state change; / unexpected event, no state change.

Table 48 defines the state transition table for one process at the AN side of the V5.2 BCC protocol entity.

State Event	Bcc operational (ANBcc0)	Bcc AN fault report (ANBcc1)
ALLOCATION	MDU-BCC (Allocation indication); ANBcc0	/
MDU-BCC (Allocation response (complete))	ALLOCATION COMPLETE; ANBcc0	/
MDU-BCC (Allocation response (reject))	ALLOCATION REJECT; ANBcc0	/
DE-ALLOCATION	MDU-BCC (De-allocation indication); ANBcc0	/
MDU-BCC (De-allocation response (complete))	DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE; ANBcc0	/
MDU-BCC (De-allocation response (reject))	DE-ALLOCATION REJECT; ANBcc0	/
AUDIT	MDU-BCC (Audit indication); ANBcc0	/
MDU-BCC (audit response)	AUDIT COMPLETE; ANBcc0	/
MDU-BCC (AN fault request)	AN FAULT, Start Tbcc5; ANBcc1	/
AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE	/	MDU-BCC (AN fault confirmation), Stop Tbcc5; ANBcc0
Expiry Tbcc5 (first)	/	AN FAULT, Restart Tbcc5; ANBcc1
Expiry Tbcc5 (second)	/	MDU-BCC (AN fault error indication); ANBcc0

Table 48: AN state transition table

Notation:- no state change; / unexpected event, no state change.

18 Protection protocol specification

18.1 General

18.1.1 Introduction

A single V5.2 interface may consist of up to sixteen (16) 2 048 kbit/s links. According to the protocol architecture and multiplexing structure (see Clause 8) a communication path may carry information associated to several 2 048 kbit/s links (non-associated information transfer). The failure of a communication path could therefore impact the service of a large number of customers in an unacceptable way. This is in particular true for the BCC protocol, the control protocol, and the link control protocol, where all user ports are affected in case of a failure of the relevant communication path.

In order to improve the reliability of the V5.2 interface, protection procedures for the switch-over of communication paths under failure are provided.

The protection mechanisms will be used to protect all active C-channels. The protection mechanism will also protect the protection protocol C-path (itself) which is used to control the protection switch-over procedures.

The protection protocol does not protect bearer channels, or allow the reconfiguration of bearer channels in the event of failure of their associated 2 048 kbit/s link. In the event of such failures, customers connections on these bearer channels will fail. This is deemed acceptable, given the low predicted level of such failures.

Page 100 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

The primary event for which protection is required is failure of 2 048 kbit/s links. The protection protocol will also protect against persistent V5-data links failures (i.e. persistent failure of one of the data links for the control, link control, BCC, PSTN, or protection protocol). In addition flags shall be continuously monitored on all physical C-channels (active and standby C-channels) in order to protect against failures which are not already detected by Layer 1 detection mechanisms. If a failure is detected on a standby C-channel the system management shall be notified and, as a result, shall not switch a logical C-channel to that non-operational standby C-channel. Other equipment failures (at other layers, or inside the AN or LE) will be dealt with separately, in the particular implementation, and are outside the scope of the V5 specification.

No protection for logical C-channels will be available in the single 2 048 kbit/s link case. This implies that there will be no protection protocol on time slot 16 or any other physical C-channel, and during system startup the data link for protection will not be established.

After switch-over, all affected LAPV5-data links, except the data links of the protection protocol (time slots 16 on primary and secondary links), shall be reestablished. In the event of the failure of TS 16 of the primary or secondary link after recovery from the failure the failed data link for protection shall be automatically reestablished. As a result of a protection switch-over procedure, which may also include the reestablishment of LAPV5 data links layer 2 messages and/or layer 3 messages may get lost. It is the responsibility of the relevant layer 3 protocol entities to cover these situations.

This Clause provides the principles and the specification of the protection protocol.

18.1.2 Provisioning of physical and logical C-channels

Mappings of C-path to logical C-channels shall be provisioned, in both the LE and the AN.

Initial mappings of logical C-channels to physical C-channels shall be provisioned, in both the LE and the AN.

The two C-paths for the protection protocol shall always be provisioned in time slots 16 of the primary and secondary links, and shall not be switched by the protection mechanism.

The control, link control, and BCC protocol C-paths will start up in time slot 16 of the Primary Link. Time slot 16 of the Secondary Link will be used for protection of the control, link control, and BCC protocol C-paths.

On frame transmission the protection protocol messages shall be given priority over other messages in the same physical C-channel. The contention resolution is based on the Envelope address, which is unique for protection protocol messages, giving priority to EFaddr = 8 179.

Each V5.2 interface, consisting of more than one 2 048 kbit/s link, shall have protection group 1 and, if provisioned, protection group 2.

Protection group 1 shall always consist of time slot 16 of the Primary and time slot 16 of the Secondary Link. Thus, for protection group 1 the following fixed values are used (refer to definitions):

N1 = 1; and K1 = 1.


If protection group 2 is provisioned, N2 logical C-channels (and contained C-paths) will be provisioned, and a group of K2 standby C-channels will be provisioned with:

 $\label{eq:result} \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq K2 \leq 3 \text{; and} \\ 1 \leq N2 \leq (3xL \mbox{ - } 2 \mbox{ - } K2) \text{,} \end{array}$

where L is the number of 2 048 kbit/s links on the V5.2 interface. K2 shall be chosen such, that it is equal to or greater than the maximum number of physical C-channels on any single 2 048 kbit/s link of that V5.2 interface. For this rule time slots 16 of the Primary and Secondary links are not considered. This rule ensures that all active C-channels can be protected in case of a single 2 048 kbit/s link failure.

NOTE: The network operator may provision no standby C-channel for protection group 2 (K2=0), if protection is not required for the logical C-channels of protection group 2. However, in that case some single 2 048 kbit/s link failures may have impact on the services related to the failed logical C-channels.

Figure 25 shows the mapping of C-paths to logical C-channel, hence to physical C-channels.



- NOTE 1: Control protocol, link control and BCC protocol C-paths plus optionally other C-paths.
- NOTE 2: Allocation of C-path to physical C-channel.

Figure 25: Mapping of C-paths to logical C-channels and hence to physical C-channels

18.1.3 Separation of responsibilities

A protection switch-over may either be triggered autonomously by the system management in the LE or AN as result of a fault detection or link blocking procedure, or by the operator(s) via the Q_{LE} and Q_{AN} interfaces. For protection group 1 the system management shall not allow switch-over initiated by the operator(s) via Q_{AN} or Q_{LE} interfaces.

The LE shall be the master for purposes of protection switching, in that the LE shall assign another physical C-channel to that logical C-channel.

The AN may request a switch-over of any one logical C-channel at any time. If the switch-over was initiated by the operator of the AN via Q_{AN} the operator may request switch-over to a preferred physical C-channel. The LE shall then comply with the request if possible. If no preference is given by the AN-side (this is always the case if failure is detected in AN and autonomous switch-over is initiated by the AN-system management) the LE system management will choose an available standby C-channel.

The AN may reject a protection switch-over command from LE, if for any reason it is unable to comply. If LE or AN cannot comply with the request this shall be notified via the Q_{LE} and Q_{AN} interface with cause.

Page 102 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

18.1.4 Management of C-channel resources after failure

The LE system management shall decide which physical C-channel shall be used for protecting a logical C-channel. With respect to the management and control of the available resources the following rules shall be followed.

If protection switch-over is triggered autonomously by the system management in the LE or AN as result of a failure detection, active C-channels shall not be pre-empted in order to protect another logical C-channel. This principle shall also be applied to a switch-over initiated via the Q_{AN} interface.

Only the operator of the LE (via Q_{LE}) may request the allocation of a failed logical C-channel to an active C-channel (physical C-channel that already carries a logical C-channel). In this case a dedicated command shall be sent to the AN and the AN shall not reject switch-over due to the fact that a logical C-channel has already been allocated to this physical C-channel. The AN shall de-allocate the previously assigned logical C-channel s and allocate the new logical C-channels, that shall be protected. The de-allocated logical C-channel shall then be protected by the normal protection mechanism as long as resources are available. This mechanism allows the operator of the LE to protect manually protocols with higher priority (e.g. PSTN protocol) in the case of multiple 2 048 kbit/s link failures even in situations where the autonomous protection procedure was not successful due to a lack of resources (operational standby C-channels).

When protection is required, an available standby C-channel of the same protection group shall be chosen and used. If more than one standby C-channel is available the resource manager shall follow the following allocation sequence. First all available standby C-channels on time slots 16 shall be used, then time slots 15 shall be used, and finally time slots 31 shall be used. Once the link is restored all physical C-channel provisioned on that link will become standby C-channels (protection switching is not revertive).

In addition, re-provisioning would enable priority to be manually imposed, if necessary due to serious failure conditions (e.g. failure of the Primary and Secondary links). Services supported by the V5 interface are unavailable during reprovisioning of the V5 interface and system startup. Priority, manually imposed during initial provisioning, may change after a protection switch-over, e.g. as a result of a 2 048 kbit/s link failure.

In case of a 2 048 kbit/s link failure, the resource manager for the protection protocol shall first switch the logical C-channel in TS16, then the one in TS15 and then the one in TS31, as long as resources are still available. If not all logical C-channels can be switched to physical C-channels this shall be notified to the network operator via Q_{LE} and Q_{AN} .

Loss of protection, BCC, control, and link control C-paths, due to failure of both the Primary and Secondary 2 048 kbit/s links, can only be overcome by re-provisioning onto another 2 048 kbit/s link.

Switch-over actions shall be sequential, i.e. a second switch-over shall only be issued when the first one has been completed.

There shall be only one action invoked by one protection protocol message (e.g. switch logical C-channel X to standby C-channel Y).

A switch-over request from the AN or a switch-over command from the LE may only be either acknowledged or rejected by the peer entity. The reject message shall not include any alternative switch-over proposal. A new switch-over action may be initiated by either side as a result of a switch-over rejection.

18.1.5 Monitoring functions and detection of failures

The primary event for which protection is required is failure of 2 048 kbit/s links.

In addition to Layer 1 monitoring, two other monitoring functions shall be used to detect C-channel failures and to trigger an autonomous protection switch-over. These methods are flag monitoring and data link monitoring.

18.1.5.1 Failure of a 2 048 kbit/s link

On receipt of a MDU-DI primitive from the link control FSM in the AN or LE (see subclause 16.1), the system management in the AN or LE shall trigger an autonomous switch-over for all active C-channels on that 2 048 kbit/s link.

18.1.5.2 Flag monitoring

Flags shall be continuously monitored on both active and standby C-channels.

If no flag is received on a physical C-channel for a time period of 1 second, the physical C-channel shall be regarded as non-operational and an error indication shall be issued to the system management. This indication shall have the same meaning as the receipt of a MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION primitive from the V5-data link FSM. This condition shall be notified continuously, at a rate of one per second, to the system management as long as the situation persists.

If at least one flag is received on a physical C-channel during a time period of 1 second the physical C-channel shall be regarded as operational.

18.1.5.3 Data link monitoring

Data Link (Layer 2) monitoring will be used in the AN and in the LE on those channels carrying C-paths where there is a full V5 data link terminated in the AN (i.e. protection, control, link control, BCC, and PSTN-protocols).

If a MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION primitive is received by the AN or LE system management from one of the LAPV5-DLs, the physical C-channel carrying that C-path shall be regarded as non-operational. The system management shall trigger a protection switch-over of that logical C-channel.

After switch-over has been performed the LE side will attempt to re-establish the affected LAPV5 data links. If another MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION is received by the system management as result of a failure in the C-path that has caused the switch-over, no further switch-over shall be initiated by the relevant system management unless a MDL-ESTABLISH-INDICATION or MDL-ESTABLISH-CONFIRM has been received in the meantime. This means that the data link FSM of the failed C-path shall first enter the Multiple-frame-established state (at least once) before a second switch-over shall be performed triggered by the receipt of a MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION primitive. Otherwise it is assumed that an internal failure has occurred from which recovery is not possible with the V5 protection mechanism. In this case the system management shall initiate appropriate actions.

18.1.6 Functional model for the protection protocol

One independent data link will be permanently established on each TS16 of the Primary and Secondary Link. The procedures for the data link layer are specified in subclause 10.4.

The EFaddr and the corresponding V5DLaddr for the protection protocol in TS16 of the Primary link and TS16 of the Secondary link shall have the same value and shall be coded according to subclauses 9.2 and 10.3.2.3.

The two data links are used to convey information between the protection protocol entities in the AN and LE. Each L3 message shall be broadcast over both data links. The peer Layer 3 entity receiving the messages from both data links shall process the message on its first occurrence and shall then ignore the identical message received via the other data link. Sequence numbers shall be used to distinguish between a message that was received for the first time and a message that has already been received via the other data link.

Page 104 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

On detection of a failure, which makes protection switching necessary, the LE or AN-system management shall invoke a switch-over using Management Data Units (MDUs).

The Q_{AN} and Q_{LE} interfaces will be notified in the event of a protection switch-over, giving the current status of the affected logical and physical C-channels.

The operation systems of the LE and the AN may retrieve the current mapping of logical C-channels to physical C-channels on request via Q_{AN} and Q_{LE} .

Figure 26 shows the functional model for the protection protocol.




Figure 26: Functional model for the protection protocol

18.2 Other principles

Protection switching shall effectively be done on a logical C-channel basis, i.e. no change of the C-path to logical C-channel allocation due to protection switching.

When protecting a logical C-channel, all C-paths of that logical C-channel leave the active C-channel and are switched to a single standby C-channel.

Whether the implementation switches the logical C-channels or the individual C-paths of a logical C-channel is outside the scope of this ETS.

After switch-over of a logical C-channel the following LAPV5 data links shall be re-established, if they are carried on that logical C-channel: BCC, link control, control, and PSTN protocol. The protection protocol data links shall not be re-established automatically after switch-over. Re-establishment of a protection protocol data link shall only be attempted in the case of failure of that data link.

18.3 **Protection protocol entity definition**

18.3.1 Definition of protection protocol states

18.3.1.1 States in the AN

NULL state (SOAN0):

Switch-over has neither been initiated by AN-side nor by LE-side.

SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOAN1):

Switch-over has been requested by AN system management through a dedicated Management Data Unit (MDU).

SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOAN2):

A SWITCH-OVER COM or OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message has been received from the LE-side. The AN system management has now to decide whether switch-over is possible or not.

18.3.1.2 States in the LE

NULL state (SOLE0):

Switch-over has neither been initiated by AN-side nor by LE-side.

SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1):

Switch-over has been requested by LE system management through a dedicated MDU.

SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOLE2):

A SWITCH-OVER REQ message has been received from the AN-side. The LE system management has now to decide whether switch-over is possible or not.

Page 106 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

18.3.2 Definition of protection protocol events

Tables 49 and 50 define the MDUs, messages and timers used in the AN and LE protection FSM.

	Direction	Description
MDU-Protection (switch-over req)	PROTECT_AN < SYS	The system management has detected a failure and
		requests switch-over, a switch-over was initiated by the OS-AN via Q _{AN}
MDU-Protection (switch-over ack)	PROTECT_AN < SYS	The system management acknowledges a switch-
		over in the AN
MDU-Protection	PROTECT_AN < SYS	The system management rejects a switch-over and
(switch-over reject; cause)		indicates the cause
MDU-Protection (switch-over com)	PROTECT_AN> SYS	The Protection protocol entity has received a switch-over
		command from the LE
MDU-Protection (OS-switch-over com)	PROTECT_AN> SYS	The Protection protocol entity has received a switch-over command from the OS-LE
MDU-Protection	PROTECT_AN> SYS	The Protection protocol entity indicates the receipt of a
(switch-over reject ind; cause)		switch-over reject message to the system management and indicates the cause
MDU-Protection	PROTECT_AN> SYS	The Protection protocol entity indicates the expiry of timer
(switch-over error ind)		TSO2 to the system management
MDU-Protection (reset SN com)	PROTECT_AN> SYS	The protection protocol entity indicates to the system
		management that reset of SN has been initiated
MDU-Protection (reset SN ind)	PROTECT_AN> SYS	The protection protocol entity indicates the receipt of a
		RESET SN COM message to the system management
MDU-Protection (reset SN ack)	PROTECT_AN> SYS	The protection protocol entity indicates to the system
		management that reset of SN has been acknowledged by
		the peer entity
MDU-Protection (reset SN error ind)	PROTECT_AN> SYS	An error with the SN reset procedure is indicated by the
MDLL mesta ation (magent CNL man)		protection protocol entity to the system management
MDU-protection (reset SN req)	PROTECT_AN> SYS	The protection protocol entity indicates to system management that reset of SN has been requested by the
		peer entity
SWITCH-OVER COM	PROTECT_AN <protect_le< td=""><td>Initiation by LE to switch-over</td></protect_le<>	Initiation by LE to switch-over
OS-SWITCH-OVER COM	PROTECT AN <protect le<="" td=""><td>Initiation by OS-LE to switch-over</td></protect>	Initiation by OS-LE to switch-over
SWITCH-OVER REQ	PROTECT AN>PROTECT LE	
SWITCH-OVER ACK	PROTECT AN>PROTECT LE	Positive response to a switch-over command
SWITCH-OVER REJECT (Cause)	PROTECT_AN<->PROTECT_LE	
RESET SN COM		reset sequence number command
RESET SN ACK	PROTECT AN<->PROTECT LE	
MDU-Protection	PROTECT_AN>SYS	Protocol error detected by the error handling procedure
(Protocol error indication)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
expiry TSO3	AN internal	Timer TSO3 has expired
expiry TSO4	AN internal	Timer TSO4 has expired
expiry TSO5	AN internal	Timer TSO5 has expired
	ction protocol entity in the AN;	
PROTECT_LE = Protect	tion protocol entity in the LE;	
	n management.	

	Direction	Description
MDU-Protection (switch-over com)	PROTECT_LE < SYS	The system management has detected a failure and
		initiates switch-over, or the switch-over was initiated either
		by the OS-LE via Q _{LE} or by the AN via V5.2
MDU-Protection (OS-switch-over com)	PROTECT_LE < SYS	The OS-LE has initiated a switch-over, this command
		may cause pre-emption of a Physical C-channel which
		currently carries a Logical C-channel
MDU-Protection (switch-over ack)	PROTECT_LE> SYS	The Protection protocol entity indicates the receipt of a
		positive switch-over response from the AN to the system
		management
MDU-Protection	PROTECT_LE < SYS	The system management rejects a switch-over and
(switch-over reject; cause) MDU-Protection (switch-over req)	PROTECT LE> SYS	indicates the cause The Protection protocol entity indicates the receipt of a
MDU-Protection (Switch-over req)	PROTECT_LE> STS	switch-over request from the AN to the system
		management
MDU-Protection (switch-over reject ind)	PROTECT_LE> SYS	The Protection protocol entity indicates the receipt of a
		switch-over reject message to the system management
MDU-Protection (switch-over error ind)	PROTECT_LE> SYS	The Protection protocol entity indicates the expiry of timer
		TSO1 to the system management
MDU-Protection (reset SN ind)	PROTECT_LE> SYS	The Protection protocol entity indicates the receipt of a
		RESET SN COM message
MDU-Protection (reset SN com)	PROTECT_LE> SYS	The Protection protocol entity indicates to the system
	_	management that reset of SN has been initiated
MDU-Protection (reset SN req)	PROTECT_LE < SYS	The system management initiates reset of SN during
		system startup procedure
MDU-Protection (reset SN ack)	PROTECT_LE> SYS	The Protection protocol entity indicates to the system
		management that reset of SN has been acknowledged by
		the peer entity
MDU-Protection (reset SN error ind)	PROTECT_LE> SYS	An error with the rest procedure is indicated to the system
MDLL Desta dia (Desta sel seren		management
MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication)	PROTECT_LE> SYS	Protocol error detected by the error handling procedure
SWITCH-OVER COM	PROTECT_LE>PROTECT_AN	Initiation by LE to switch-over
OS-SWITCH-OVER COM	PROTECT_LE>PROTECT_AN	Initiation by OS-LE to switch-over, pre-emption of Active
US-SWITCH-OVER COM	PROTECT_LE>PROTECT_AN	C-channel may be necessary
SWITCH-OVER REQ	PROTECT_LE <protect_an< td=""><td>Request by AN to switch-over</td></protect_an<>	Request by AN to switch-over
SWITCH-OVER ACK		Positive response to a switch-over command
SWITCH-OVER REJECT (Cause)		Rejection of a switch over command with cause
PROTOCOL ERROR	PROTECT_LE <protect_an< td=""><td>Protocol error detected by the error handling procedure in</td></protect_an<>	Protocol error detected by the error handling procedure in
		AN, indication is given to LE side
RESET SN COM	PROTECT LE<->PROTECT AN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RESET SN ACK		
expiry TSO1	LE internal	Timer TSO1 has expired
expiry TSO2	LE internal	Timer TSO2 has expired
expiry TSO4	LE internal	Timer TSO4 has expired
expiry TSO5	LE internal	Timer TSO5 has expired
	tion protocol entity in the AN;	
	tion protocol entity in the LE;	
	n management.	
,	~	

Table 50: MDUs, messages and timers used in the LE-protection FSM

Page 108 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

18.4 Protection protocol message definition and content

The complete set of messages for the protection protocol is given in table 51. This subclause gives the detailed message structure for each of these messages.

Coding within the message type information element							Messages of the protection protocol	Reference
7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	SWITCH-OVER REQ	18.4.1
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	SWITCH-OVER COM	18.4.2
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	OS-SWITCH-OVER COM	18.4.3
0	0	1	1	0	1	1	SWITCH-OVER ACK	18.4.4
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	SWITCH-OVER REJECT	18.4.5
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	PROTOCOL ERROR	18.4.6
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	RESET SN COM	18.4.7
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	RESET SN ACK	18.4.8

Table 51: Set of protection protocol messages

18.4.1 SWITCH-OVER REQ message

This message is used by the AN to request a switch-over of a logical C-channel to a particular physical C-channel. The message includes a proposal for the allocation of the failed logical C-channel to a new physical C-channel.

The SWITCH-OVER REQ message content is defined in table 52.

Table 52: SWITCH-OVER REQ message content

Message Type: SWITCH-OVER REQ

Direction:	AN to LE
------------	----------

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1
Logical C-channel identification	18.5.1	AN to LE	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	AN to LE	М	1
Sequence Number	18.5.2	AN to LE	М	3
Physical C-channel identification	18.5.3	AN to LE	М	4

18.4.2 SWITCH-OVER COM message

This message is used by the LE to initiate a switch-over of a logical C-channel to a particular physical C-channel. The message includes the new allocation of the logical C-channel to the particular standby C-channel which shall carry the logical C-channel after successful switch-over.

The SWITCH-OVER COM message content is defined in table 53.

Table 53: SWITCH-OVER COM message content

SWITCH-OVER COM Message Type: Direction: LE to AN

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	LE to AN	М	1
Logical C-channel identification	18.5.1	LE to AN	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	LE to AN	М	1
Sequence Number	18.5.2	LE to AN	М	3
Physical C-channel identification	18.5.3	LE to AN	М	4

18.4.3 **OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message**

This message is used by the LE to initiate a switch-over of a logical C-channel to a particular physical C-channel on request of the operator via Q_LF. The message includes the new allocation of the logical C-channel to a particular physical C-channel which shall carry the logical C-channel after successful switch-over.

The OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message content is defined in table 54.

Table 54: OS-SWITCH-OVER COM

OS-SWITCH-OVER COM Message Type:

Message Type:

Direction: LE to AN				
Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	LE to AN	М	1
Logical C-channel identification	18.5.1	LE to AN	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	LE to AN	М	1
Sequence Number	18.5.2	LE to AN	М	3
Physical C-channel identification	18.5.3	LE to AN	М	4

18.4.4 SWITCH-OVER ACK message

This message is used by the AN to acknowledge a switch-over of a logical C-channel to a particular physical C-channel as the result of a switch-over command received from the LE.

The SWITCH-OVER ACK message content is defined in table 55.

SWITCH-OVER ACK

Table 55: SWITCH-OVER ACK

Direction: AN to LE				
Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1
Logical C-channel identification	18.5.1	AN to LE	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	AN to LE	М	1
Sequence Number	18.5.2	AN to LE	М	3
Physical C-channel identification	18.5.3	AN to LE	М	4

Page 110 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

18.4.5 SWITCH-OVER REJECT message

This message is used by the AN or the LE to indicate to the peer entity that switch-over cannot be performed.

The SWITCH-OVER REJECT message content is defined in table 56.

Table 56: SWITCH-OVER REJECT

Message Type: SWITCH-OVER REJECT Both

Direction:

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	both	М	1
Logical C-channel identification	18.5.1	both	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	both	М	1
Sequence Number	18.5.2	both	М	3
Physical C-channel identification	18.5.3	both	М	4
Rejection Cause	18.5.5	both	М	3

18.4.6 **PROTOCOL ERROR message**

This message is used by the AN to indicate to the LE side that a protocol error has been identified in a received message. A protocol error cause is given.

The PROTOCOL ERROR message content is defined in table 57.

Table 57: PROTOCOL ERROR

Message Type: **PROTOCOL ERROR**

Direction:	AN to LE

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	AN to LE	М	1
Logical C-channel identification	17.5.1	AN to LE	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	AN to LE	М	1
Sequence Number	17.5.2	AN to LE	М	3
Protocol Error Cause	17.5.5	AN to LE	М	3 to 5

18.4.7 RESET SN COM message

This message is used by the LE or AN to indicate to the peer entity that a misalignment of send and receive state variables on the sending and receiving side has occurred and that all state variables shall be set to zero.

The RESET SN COM message content is defined in table 58.

Table 58: RESET SN COM

Message Type: RESET SN COM Direction: Both

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	both	М	1
Logical C-channel identification	18.5.1	both	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	both	М	1

18.4.8 RESET SN ACK message

This message is used by the LE or AN to acknowledge to the peer entity that the send and receive state variables have been set to zero.

The RESET SN ACK message content is defined in table 59.

Table 59: RESET SN ACK

Message Type: RESET SN ACK Direction: Both

Information element	Reference	Direction	Туре	Length
Protocol Discriminator	13.2.1	both	М	1
Logical C-channel identification	18.5.1	both	М	2
Message Type	13.2.3	both	М	1

18.5 Protection protocol information element definition, structure and coding

This subclause defines the coding of the information elements that are specific for the protection protocol messages. For each of the information elements, the coding of their different fields is provided.

All protection protocol specific information elements, except the logical C-channel identification information element, are listed in table 60, which also gives the coding of the information element identifier.

Table 60: Protection protocol specific information elements

I	Information element coding						g	Messages of the protection protocol	Reference			
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1					
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	VARIABLE LENGTH				
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	sequence number	18.5.2			
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	physical C-channel identification	18.5.3			
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	rejection cause	18.5.4			
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	protocol error cause	18.5.5			
NOTE: All other values are reserved.							reserv	ved.				

Page 112 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

18.5.1 Logical C-channel identification information element

Both the AN and LE side shall maintain a provisioned list of logical C-channels. A logical C-channel is uniquely identified by a dedicated logical C-channel identification number.

The logical C-channel identification number shall have a length of 16 bit and shall be coded in binary. All numbers from 0 to 65535 shall be valid. Up to 44 different logical C-channel identification numbers may be provisioned for a single V5.2 interface.

NOTE: The value 44 corresponds to the maximum number of logical C-channels on a V5.2 interface. It is equal to the maximum number of physical C-channels (= 3x16 = 48) minus 1 standby C-channel for protection group 1 and minus 3 standby C-channels for protection group 2 (48-1-3 = 44).

The length of the logical C-channel identification information element shall be 2 octets.

In the RESET SN COM and RESET SN ACK messages the value of the logical C-channel identification shall be 0 (i.e. all bits shall be set to zero).

The coding of the logical C-channel identification information element shall be according to figure 27.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	_
Logical C-channel identification (upper)							octet 1	
Logical C-channel identification (lower)							octet 2	

Figure 27: Logical C-channel identification information element

18.5.2 Sequence-number information element

The sequence number information element is used by the receiving side to distinguish between a message received for the first time and a message that has already been received via the other data link for the protection protocol.

The length of this information element shall be 3 octets.

The sequence number information element contains a 7 bit sequence number field. The sequence number is coded in binary and may have values from 0 up to 127.

The coding of this information element shall be according to figure 28.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	octet 1
Information element identifier								
Length of sequence number content								octet 2
1 Sequence number							octet 3	
ext.				-				

Figure 28: Sequence number	r information element
----------------------------	-----------------------

18.5.3 Physical C-channel identification information element

This information element identifies the time slot within a V5.2 interface which is assigned to a particular physical C-channel. The system management in the LE shall ensure that only those time slots provisioned as physical C-channels shall be referred to in this information element.

The length of the Physical C-channel identification information element shall be 4 octets.

The structure of the Physical C-channel identification information element shall be as indicated by figure 29.

	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	octet 1
				Informat	tion element	identifier			
			Length c	f the inform	ation elemen	t content			octet 2
Ī			V	5 2 048 kbit/	's link Identifi	ier			octet 3
Ī	0	0	0		V5 T	ime Slot Nu	mber		octet 4

Figure 29: Physical C-channel identification information element

The V5 2 048 kbit/s link Identifier is a field of eight bits used for providing the binary coding that identifies a particular 2 048 kbit/s link out of those that comprise the V5.2 interface, where the selected V5 time slot to be used as the physical C-channel is located. A maximum of 256 (2 048 kbit/s links) can be explicitly identified.

The V5 Time Slot Number is a field of five bits used for providing the binary coding that identifies the V5 time slot (within the 2 048 kbit/s link identified in the previous octet) to be used as the physical C-channel.

18.5.4 Rejection Cause information element

The purpose of the Rejection Cause information element is to indicate to the peer entity the reason for which the switch-over of a particular logical C-channel to another physical C-channel has been rejected.

The length of the Rejection Cause information element shall be 3 octets.

The coding of the Rejection Cause information element shall be according to figure 30.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	octet 1
			Informat	ion element	identifier			
	Leng	th of the Re	jection Caus	e informatio	n element co	ntent		octet 2
1 ext.			Reje	ection Cause	e type			octet 3

Figure 30: Rejection Cause information element

Table 61 provides the complete list of rejection cause types and the corresponding codings. The table also indicates for which directions the rejection cause type may be used.

Page 114 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Meaning	Direction
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No standby C-channel available	LE to AN
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Target physical C-channel not operational	both
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	Target physical C-channel not provisioned	both
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	Protection switching impossible (AN/LE failure)	both
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Protection group mismatch	both
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	Requested allocation exists already	both
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	Target physical C-channel already has logical C-channel	both
NOT	NOTE: All other values are reserved.							

Table 61: Coding of the rejection cause type field

18.5.5 Protocol Error Cause information element

The purpose of the Protocol Error Cause information element is to indicate from the AN to the LE the type of protocol error detected in a given process.

The Protocol Error Cause information element, for some protocol error cause types shall include a diagnostic field in order to provide additional information related to these protocol error cause types. This diagnostic field of one or two octets, when present, shall be a copy of the received message type identifier that has triggered the sending of the message containing the Protocol Error Cause information element, and when needed, the relevant information element identifier within that message.

The length of the Protocol Error Cause information element may be from 3 through 5 octets. For protocol error cause types not including a diagnostic information, the length of the information element shall be 3 octets. For protocol error types including a diagnostic information, the length of the information element shall be 4 or 5 octets.

The structure of the Protocol Error Cause information element shall be as indicated by figure 31.

0	1	6	5	4	3	2	1	
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	octet 1
			Informat	ion element	identifier			
		Length o	of the informa	ation elemen	t content			octet 2
1			Protoc	ol Error Cau	se type			octet 3
0			Diagnostic (Message typ	pe identifier)			octet 4
÷		Diagnos	tic (Informati	on element i	dentifier)			octet 5

Figure 31: Protocol Error Cause information element

A field of seven bits is used to specify the protocol error cause type as specified in table 62.

7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Protocol error cause type			
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Protocol discriminator error			
0	0	0	0	1	0	0				
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	Mandatory information element missing			
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	Unrecognized information element			
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Mandatory information element content error			
0	0	0	1	0	1	1	Message not compatible with protection protocol state			
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	Repeated mandatory information element			
0	0	0	1	1	0	1	Too many information elements			
NOT	E:	A	II oth	er va	alues	are	reserved.			

Table 62: Coding of the protocol error cause type

Subclause 18.6.6 specifies when to use the different protocol error cause type values.

The diagnostic field is a field of multiple octets (number of octets dependent of the cause value) providing the relevant diagnostic for each protocol error cause value according to table 63.

Cause	Diagnostic	Length
Protocol discriminator error	not present	0
Message type unrecognized	message type identifier	1
Mandatory information element missing	message type identifier information element identifier	2
Unrecognized information element	message type identifier information element identifier	2
Mandatory information element content error	message type identifier information element identifier	2
Message not compatible with protection protocol state	message type identifier	1
Repeated mandatory information element	message type identifier information element identifier	2
Too many information elements	message type identifier	1

Table 63: Diagnostic field for the protocol error types

18.6 Protection protocol procedures

18.6.1 General

The protection protocol is a functional protocol. Both, a switch-over request from the AN-side and a switch-over command from the LE-side are explicitly acknowledged by the peer entities either by SWITCH-OVER COM or by SWITCH-OVER ACK messages, respectively. The receipt of an acknowledgement shall be supervised by timers. On the first expiry of a timer without acknowledgment from the peer entity, the message shall be retransmitted. On the second expiry an error indication shall be given to the system management and the protection protocol entity shall enter the NULL state without retransmitting the message again. The system management has then the responsibility to take the necessary maintenance actions.

It is the responsibility of the LE system management to control to which physical C-channel a logical C-channel shall be allocated to by means of the protection protocol. The LE system management derives this information either autonomously from the protection resource manager in the LE system management in case of a failure detected by the LE, or this information is provided by the operator of the LE via Q_{LE}.

Page 116 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

If the switch-over is initiated by the operator via Q_{LE} and if the operator has decided that pre-emption of an active C-channel is required, then LE system management shall indicate this to the protection protocol entity with a dedicated primitive (MDU-Protection (OS-switch-over com)). Pre-emption shall not be used for protection group 1.

The AN system management may initiate a switch-over due to the detection of an internal failure or triggered by the operator of the OS via Q_{AN} . The operator may indicate a preference for a standby C-channel to be used.

On receipt of a MDU-Protection (switch-over com) or MDU-Protection (OS-switch-over com) primitive the AN system management shall only verify whether the required resources for switch-over are available or not. The result of that verification shall be indicated to the LE by a SWITCH-OVER ACK or SWITCH-OVER REJECT message. This means, that the successful switch-over, itself, will not be acknowledged. If problems with switch-over are identified later by either side a new switch-over action has to be initiated.

18.6.2 Broadcast of protection protocol messages on the two data links of the primary and secondary link

18.6.2.1 Transmission of protection protocol messages

The protection protocol entities in the AN and LE shall pass every protection protocol message via DL-DATA primitives to the corresponding data link layers in time slots 16 of the Primary and Secondary link. Each protection protocol entity shall have a send state variable VP(S). After system start-up, the send state variable VP(S) shall be set to zero. Whenever a protection protocol message, containing a sequence number information element, shall be sent, the Sequence Number (SN) within the sequence number information element shall be set equal to the send state variable on the sending side. The message is then issued to the two data link entities via DL-DATA primitives and the send state variable on the sending side shall be incremented by one modulus 128.

NOTE: SN and VP(S) may have values from 0 through 127 and the modulus is 128.

18.6.2.2 Receipt of protection protocol messages

Each protection protocol entity shall have a receive state variable VP(R). VP(R) denotes the sequence number of the next in-sequence message expected to be received. After system start-up, the receive state variable VP(R) shall be set to zero.

A message received by a layer 3 protection protocol entity shall be checked first by the error handling procedure specified in subclause 18.6.6.

If the protection protocol message contains a sequence number information element, the protection protocol entity of the receiving side shall decide on the basis of SN in conjunction with the receive state variable VP(R) whether this message has already been received via the other data link, whether it is a new valid message received for the first time, or whether there is a misalignment between send and receive state variables on the sending and receiving side, respectively.

NOTE: VP(R) may have values from 0 through 127 and the modulus is 128.

The receiving side shall:

- ignore the message, if SN is in the range $VP(R)-5 \le SN \le VP(R)-1$, without notification to the system management;
 - NOTE: The above inequalities take into account modulus 128.
- regard the message as a valid new message, if SN is in the range $VP(R) \le SN \le VP(R)+4$. In this case VP(R) shall first be set equal to SN and shall then be incremented by one modulus 128;
- otherwise, the receiving side shall assume that there is a misalignment between the state variables on the sending and receiving side. The protocol entity shall then start the sequence number reset procedure, which is described in subclause 18.6.2.3.

18.6.2.3 Sequence number reset procedure

18.6.2.3.1 Normal procedure

The sequence number reset procedure is a symmetrical procedure which shall be started from that entity detecting a misalignment of state variables. The procedure will also be started during system startup after at least one of the two data links for protection has been established. In this case the procedure shall be initiated by LE system management, which will issue a MDU-Protection (reset SN req) primitive to the LE protection protocol entity. This procedure makes use of the messages RESET SN COM and RESET SN ACK, which do not contain a sequence number information element.

The entity, initiating the reset procedure, shall send a RESET SN COM to the peer entity, reset the send state variable VP(S) to zero and the receive state variable VP(R) to zero, start timer TSO4, and issue a MDU-Protection (reset SN com) primitive to the system management. If the LE has triggered the SN reset and if the LE protection protocol entity is not in the NULL state (SOLE0) the timers TSO1 and TSO2, if running, shall be stopped and the LE protection protocol entity is not in the NULL state (SOANO) the timer TSO3, if running, shall be stopped and the AN protection protocol entity shall return to the NULL state.

The side receiving the RESET SN COM message shall, if timer TSO5 is not running, respond with a RESET SN ACK message, reset the send state variable VP(S) and the receive state variable VP(R) to zero, start timer TSO5, and issue a MDU-Protection (reset SN ind) primitive to the system management. If the LE has received the RESET SN COM message and if the LE protection protocol entity is not in the NULL state (SOLE0) the timers TSO1 and TSO2, if running, shall be stopped and the LE protection protocol entity shall return to the NULL state. If the AN has received the RESET SN COM message and if the AN protection protocol entity is not in the NULL state (SOANO) the timer TSO3, if running, shall be stopped and the AN protection protocol entity shall return to the NULL state.

If a RESET SN COM is received while timer TSO5 is running, there shall be no action and no state change.

On receipt of a RESET SN ACK message, while timer TSO4 is running, timer TSO4 shall be stopped and a MDU-Protection (reset SN ack) primitive shall be issued to the system management. On receipt of a RESET SN ACK message, if timer TSO4 is not running, there shall be no action and no state change.

As long as timer TSO4 is running, all received messages, which contain a sequence number information element, shall be discarded without notification to the system management. In this case, the SN check procedures described in subclause 17.6.2.2 are not processed. No state change shall occur.

As long as timer TSO4 in the AN is running, on receipt of a MDU-Protection (switch-over request) primitive in the AN a MDU-Protection (reset SN error ind) primitive shall be issued to the system management. No state change shall occur.

Page 118 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

As long as timer TSO4 in the LE is running, on receipt of a MDU-Protection (switch-over com) primitive or a MDU-Protection (OS switch-over com) primitive in the LE a MDU-Protection (reset SN error ind) primitive shall be issued to the system management. No state change shall occur.

On expiry of timer TSO5 there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.2.3.2 Exceptional procedures

On the first expiry of timer TSO4 a RESET SN COM message shall be sent to the peer entity, the send state variable VP(S) and the receive state variable VP(R) shall be reset to zero, a MDU-Protection (reset SN com) primitive shall be issued to the system management, and timer TSO4 shall be restarted.

On the second expiry of timer TSO4 a MDU-Protection (reset SN error ind) shall be issued to the system management. It is then the responsibility of the system management to take the proper actions.

In case of an unexpected expiry of timer TSO4 (i.e. when not being in the NULL state) there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.3 Standard protection switch-over procedure initiated by LE-side

18.6.3.1 Normal procedure

This procedure shall be used if either a failure is detected by the LE-side or if a switch-over is initiated via Q_{LE} . It uses the SWITCH-OVER command which does not allow to pre-empt allocated C-channels.

The protection protocol in the LE, being in the NULL state (SOLE0) or the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOLE2), when receiving a MDU-Protection (switch-over com) primitive shall send a SWITCH-OVER COM message, start timer TSO1, and enter the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1). The SWITCH-OVER COM message shall indicate the logical C-channel to be switched and the target standby C-channel.

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER COM message by the AN protection protocol entity, being in the NULL state (SOAN0), the AN shall enter the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOAN2) and issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over com) primitive to the system management of the AN.

The AN system management shall, if it is able to comply with the switch over command, initiate the switch-over action in the AN and shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over ack) primitive to the AN protection protocol entity, which shall then send a SWITCH-OVER ACK message to the LE and enter the NULL state (SOAN0).

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER ACK message from the AN the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over ack) primitive to the LE system management stop timer TSO1, and enter the NULL state (SOLE0).

On receipt of a SWITCH-OVER REQ message from the AN, when being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1), there shall be no action and no state change.

The LE shall continue to perform the initiated switch-over.

18.6.3.2 Exceptional procedures

The AN system management shall, if it is not able to comply with the switch over command, issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject) primitive to the AN protection protocol entity, which shall then send a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message to the LE and enter the NULL state (SOAN0). The message shall indicate to the LE the cause why switch-over was not possible.

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER REJECT message from the AN the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject ind) primitive to the LE system management, stop timer TSO1, and enter the NULL state (SOLE0).

If an unexpected MDU-Protection primitive is received by the AN or LE protection protocol entity there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.3.3 Procedure on expiry of timer TSO1

If timer TSO1 expires for the first time, while the LE protection protocol entity being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1), the LE protection protocol entity shall send a SWITCH-OVER COM message to the AN, and restart timer TSO1.

On receipt of a SWITCH-OVER ACK message from the AN in state SOLE0, a MDU-Protection (switchover ack) primitive shall be issued to the system management. It is the responsibility of the system management to take the proper action according to the sequence of previously received messages (i.e. the system management may trigger switch-over in the LE or may initiate a new switch-over process).

On receipt of a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message from the AN in state SOLE0 a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject ind) primitive shall be issued from the LE protection protocol entity to the system management. It is the responsibility of the system magement to take the proper action according to the sequence of previously received messages and according to the content of the Rejection Cause information element (i.e. the system management may initiate a new switch-over process).

If timer TSO1 expires for the second time, while the LE protection protocol entity being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1), the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over error ind) primitive to the system management and enter the NULL state (SOLE0).

In case of an unexpected expiry of timer TSO1 (i.e. expiry when not being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state) there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.4 Dedicated protection switch-over procedure initiated by OS LE

18.6.4.1 Normal procedure

This procedure shall be used only if switch-over is initiated by the operator of the LE via Q_{LE} . If the target physical C-channel is an active C-channel the physical C-channel shall be pre-empted. The procedure is mainly used to rearrange the allocation of logical C-channels in case of multiple 2 048 kbit/s failures. This procedure shall only be used for protection group 2.

The protection protocol in the LE, being in the NULL state (SOLE0) or the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOLE2), when receiving a MDU-Protection (OS-switch-over com) primitive shall send an OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message, start timer TSO2, and enter the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1). The OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message shall indicate the logical C-channel to be switched and the target physical C-channel.

On receipt of the of the OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message by the AN protection protocol entity, being in the NULL state (SOAN0), the AN shall enter the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOAN2) and issue a MDU-Protection (OS-switch-over com) primitive to the system management of the AN.

The AN system management shall, if it is able to comply with the switch over command, initiate the switch-over action in the AN and shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over ack) primitive to the AN protection protocol entity, which shall then send a SWITCH-OVER ACK message to the LE and enter the NULL state (SOAN0).

Page 120 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER ACK message from the AN the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over ack) primitive to the LE system management stop timer TSO2, and enter the NULL state (SOLE0).

On receipt of a SWITCH-OVER REQ message from the AN, when being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1), there shall be no action and no state change.

The LE shall continue to perform the initiated switch-over.

18.6.4.2 Exceptional procedures

The AN system management shall, if it is not able to comply with the switch over command, issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject) primitive to the AN protection protocol entity, which shall then send a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message to the LE and enter the NULL state (SOAN0). The message shall indicate to the LE the cause why switch-over was not possible. The switch-over command shall not be rejected due to the fact that the target physical C-channel already carried a logical C-channel. Thus the rejection cause "Target physical C-channel already has a logical C-channel" is not allowed as response to an OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message.

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER REJECT message from the AN the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject ind) primitive to the LE system management, stop timer TSO2, and enter the NULL state (SOLE0).

If an unexpected MDU-Protection primitive is received by the AN or LE protection protocol entity there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.4.3 Procedure on expiry of timer TSO2

If timer TSO2 expires for the first time, while the LE protection protocol entity being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1), the LE protection protocol entity shall send a OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message to the AN, and restart timer TSO2.

If timer TSO2 expires for the second time, while the LE protection protocol entity being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1), the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over error ind) primitive to the system management and enter the NULL state (SOLE0).

On receipt of a SWITCH-OVER ACK message from the AN in state SOLE0, a MDU-Protection (switchover ack) primitive shall be issued to the system management. It is the responsibility of the system management to take the proper action according to the sequence of previously received messages (i.e. the system management may trigger switch-over in the LE or may initiate a new switch-over process).

On receipt of a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message from the AN in state SOLE0 a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject ind) primitive shall be issued from the LE protection protocol entity to the system management. It is the responsibility of the system management to take the proper action according to the sequence of previously received messages and according to the content of the Rejection Cause information element (i.e. the system management may initiate a new switch-over process).

In case of an unexpected expiry of timer TSO2 (i.e. expiry when not being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state) there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.5 Protection switch-over procedure requested by AN-side

18.6.5.1 Normal procedure

This procedure shall be used if either a failure is detected by the AN-side or if a switch-over is initiated via Q_{AN}. The LE can only respond by either a SWITCH-OVER COM message (no pre-emption allowed) or a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message.

The protection protocol in the AN, being in the NULL state (SOAN0), when receiving a MDU-Protection (switch-over req) primitive shall send a SWITCH-OVER REQ message, start timer TSO3, and enter the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOAN1). If the switch-over was initiated by the operator of the OS via Q_{AN} the SWITCH-OVER REQ message shall indicate the logical C-channel to be switched and optionally the preferred target physical C-channel (standby C-channel). If the switch-over was autonomously triggered by the AN system management due to detection of a failure the SWITCH-OVER REQ message shall only indicate the logical C-channel to be switched and no preference for a particular standby C-channel shall be given.

In those cases where no preference is given, all bits of both the 2 048 kbit/s Link identifier and the Time Slot Number in the physical C-channel information element shall be coded to zero.

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER REQ message the LE protection protocol entity, being in the NULL state (SOLE0), the LE shall enter the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOLE2) and issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over req) primitive to the system management of the LE.

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER REQ message by the LE protection protocol entity, being in the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1), the LE shall ignore the message and shall not change the state.

The LE system management shall, if it is able to comply with the switch over request, initiate the switch-over action by issuing a MDU-Protection (switch-over com) primitive to the LE protection protocol entity, which shall then send a SWITCH-OVER COM message to the AN, enter the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE state (SOLE1), and start timer TSO1.

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER COM message by the AN protection protocol entity, being in the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOAN1), the AN shall enter the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE (SOAN2) state, issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over com) primitive to the system management of the AN, and stop timer TSO3.

On receipt of the OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message by the AN protection protocol entity, being in the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOAN1), the AN shall enter the SWITCH-OVER INITIATED BY LE (SOAN2) state, issue a MDU-Protection (OS-switch-over com) primitive to the system management of the AN, and stop timer TSO3.

The AN system management shall, if it is able to comply with the switch over command, initiate the switch-over action in the AN and shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over ack) primitive to the AN protection protocol entity, which shall then send a SWITCH-OVER ACK message to the LE and enter the NULL state (SOAN0).

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER ACK message from the AN the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over ack) primitive to the LE system management, stop timer TSO1, and enter the NULL state (SOLE0).

The LE shall then perform the switch-over. If, for any reason, the LE cannot perform the switch-over, the LE system management has the responsibility to initiate a new switch-over action.

Page 122 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

18.6.5.2 Exceptional procedure, AN cannot comply with switch-over command from LE

The AN system management shall, if it is not able to comply with the switch over command, issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject) primitive to the AN protection protocol entity, which shall then send a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message to the LE and enter the NULL state (SOAN0). The message shall indicate to the LE the reason why switch-over was not possible.

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER REJECT message from the AN the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject ind) primitive to the LE system management, stop timer TSO1, and enter the NULL state (SOLE0).

If an unexpected MDU-Protection primitive is received by the AN or LE protection protocol entity there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.5.3 Exceptional procedure, LE cannot comply with switch-over request from AN

The LE system management, being in the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOLE2) shall, if it is not able to comply with the switch over command, issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject) primitive to the LE protection protocol entity, which shall then send a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message to the AN and enter the NULL state (SOLE0). The message shall indicate to the AN the cause why switch-over was not possible.

On receipt of the SWITCH-OVER REJECT message from the LE, when being in state SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN, the AN protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over reject ind) primitive to the AN system management, stop timer TSO3, and enter the NULL state (SOAN0).

If an unexpected MDU-Protection primitive is received by the AN or LE protection protocol entity there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.5.4 Procedure on expiry of timer TSO3

If timer TSO3 expires for the first time, while the AN protection protocol entity being in the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOAN1), the AN protection protocol entity shall send a SWITCH-OVER REQ message to the LE, and restart timer TSO3.

If timer TSO3 expires for the second time, while the AN protection protocol entity being in the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state (SOAN1), the AN protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (switch-over error ind) primitive to the system management and enter the NULL state (SOAN0).

In case of an unexpected expiry of timer TSO3 (i.e. expiry when not being in the SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN state) there shall be no action and no state change.

18.6.6 Handling of error conditions

Before acting upon a message, the receiving entity, either the AN V5.2 protection Protocol entity or the LE V5.2 protection Protocol entity, shall perform the procedures specified in this subclause.

As a general rule, all messages, except the RESET SN COM and RESET SN ACK messages, shall contain, at least the Protocol Discriminator, the Logical C-channel Identification and the Message Type information elements. When receiving a message having less than 4 octets, the receiving protection protocol entity in the AN or LE shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

A message received shall be checked as described in subclauses 18.6.6.1 to 18.6.6.7 in order of precedence. No state change occurs during these checks.

If more than 2 optional information elements are detected within a message, then the message shall be considered as too long and shall be truncated after the second optional information element. All the truncated information is assumed to be repeated optional information elements. When doing the truncation, the entity shall react according to subclause 18.6.6.3 for repeated optional information elements.

If a protocol error is detected in the AN while timer TSO4 is running, no PROTOCOL ERROR message shall be sent to the LE side.

After the message has been checked using the error handling procedures following, if the message is not to be ignored, then the protection protocol procedures as specified in subclauses 18.6.2 to 18.6.5 shall follow.

NOTE: Within this subclause, the term "ignore the message" means to leave the message contents unchanged.

18.6.6.1 Protocol discriminator error

When a message is received by a layer 3 protection protocol entity with a protocol discriminator coded other than the one specified in subclause 13.2.1 for the use in the V5 Protocols, then:

- the AN protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Protocol discriminator error";
- the LE protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

18.6.6.2 Message type error

Whenever an unrecognized message type is received, then:

- the AN protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Message type unrecognized" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 18.5.5;
- the LE protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

18.6.6.3 Repeated information elements

Whenever a mandatory information element is repeated in a message, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be as follows:

- the AN protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Repeated mandatory information element" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 18.5.5;
- the LE protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

Page 124 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

18.6.6.4 Mandatory information element missing

Whenever a message is received with a mandatory information element missing, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be as follows:

- the AN protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Mandatory information element missing" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 18.5.5;
- the LE protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

In the event of more than one mandatory information elements missing, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be on the basis of the first mandatory information element identified as missing.

18.6.6.5 Unrecognized information element

Whenever a message is received with one or more information elements unrecognized, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be as follows:

- the AN protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, remove all the unrecognized information elements and continue with the processing of the message, it shall also send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Unrecognized information element" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 18.5.5.
- the LE protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and remove all the unrecognized information elements and continue with the processing of the message.

In the event of more than one unrecognized information elements, the reaction of the receiving entity shall be on the basis of the first unrecognized information element identified.

For the purpose of the protection protocol error handling procedures unrecognized information elements are those that are not defined within subclauses 13.2 and 18.5 of this ETS.

18.6.6.6 Content error of mandatory information element

When a message is received with a mandatory information element having a content error, either:

- a) the length does not conform to the length specified in subclauses 13.2 and 18.5; or
- b) the content is not known, then:
 - the AN protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system, ignore the message and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "Mandatory information element content error" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 18.5.5;
 - the LE protection protocol entity shall generate a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the management system and ignore the message.

For the purpose of the error handling procedures, information element content errors are codepoints included within a particular information element that are not defined within subclauses 13.2 and 18.5 of this ETS.

18.6.6.7 Unexpected message

A message flow error occurs when an unexpected message is received. The unexpected messages are those explicitly qualified as unexpected (/) messages in the state transition tables of the LE and AN side V5.2 protection protocol entities (table 65 and table 66). The state transition tables give the appropriate actions on receipt of any event.

Whenever an unexpected message is received, no state change occurs. In addition:

- the AN protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the system management, ignore the message, and send a PROTOCOL ERROR message indicating the protocol error cause "message not compatible with protection protocol state" including the corresponding diagnostic as specified in subclause 18.5.5;
- the LE protection protocol entity shall issue a MDU-Protection (Protocol error indication) primitive to the system management, ignore the message.

18.7 List of system parameters

The definition of the timers used in the protection protocol is given in table 64. The mentioned timers are maintained in the LE or AN protection protocol entities. The timer tolerances shall be \pm 10 %.

Timer	Timeout	Cause of start	Normal stop	At first expiry	At second expiry	Reference
name	value					
TSO1	1 500 ms	SWITCH-OVER	receipt of	retransmissions of	error indication to	18.6
		COM sent, SOLE1	SWITCH-OVER ACK	SWITCH-OVER	system management	
		state is entered		COM		
TSO2	1 500 ms		receipt of	retransmissions of	error indication to	18.6
		COM sent, SOLE1	SWITCH-OVER ACK	OS-SWITCH-OVER	system management	
		state is entered		COM		
TSO3	1 500 ms	SWITCH-OVER REQ		retransmissions of	error indication to	18.6
		sent, SOAN1 state is	SWITCH-OVER	SWITCH-OVER REQ	system management	
		entered	COM			
TSO4	20 s	RESET SN COM	receipt of	retransmission of	error indication to	18.6
		sent, NULL state	RESET SN ACK	RESET SN COM	system management	
		entered				
TSO5	10 s	receipt of RESET SN	TSO5 will always	no action, no state	not applicable	18.6
		COM, NULL state	expire	change		
		entered				

Table 64: Protection protocol timers

18.8 AN and LE side state tables

18.8.1 Protection protocol FSM in the AN

The state transition table for the FSM of the protection protocol in the AN is given in table 65.

State	SOAN0	SOAN1	SOAN2
State name Event	NULL	SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY AN	SWITCH-OVER REQUESTED BY LE
MDU-Prot.(switch-over ack)	/	/	SWITCH-OVER ACK; SOAN0
MDU-Prot.(switch-over req) (NOTE 1)	SWITCH-OVER REQ; start TSO3; SOAN1 MDU-Prot.(reset SN error ind); -	/	1
MDU-Prot.(switch-over reject)	/	/	SWITCH-OVER REJECT; SOAN0
SWITCH-OVER COM (NOTE 1)	MDU-Prot.(switch-over com); SOAN2	MDU-Prot.(switch-over com); stop TSO3; SOAN2	1
OS-SWITCH-OVER COM (NOTE 1)	MDU-Prot.(OS switch-over com); SOAN2	MDU-Prot.(OS switch-over com); stop TSO3; SOAN2	/
SWITCH-OVER REJECT (NOTE 1)	/ 	MDU-Prot.(switch-over reject ind.); stop TSO3; SOAN0	1
Expiry of timer TSO3 (first)	1	SWITCH-OVER REQUEST; start TSO3: -	/
Expiry of timer TSO3 (second)	/	MDU-Prot.(switch-over error ind.); SOAN0	/
VP(S), VP(R) misalignment detected	RESET SN COM; start TSO4; MDU-Prot.(reset SN com); set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; -	RESET SN COM; start TSO4; stop TSO3; MDU-Prot.(reset SN com); set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; SOAN0	RESET SN COM; start TSO4; MDU-Prot.(reset SN com); set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; SOAN0
RESET SN COM (NOTE 2)	RESET SN ACK; set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; start TSO5; MDU-Prot.(reset SN ind.); -	RESET SN ACK; set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; start TSO5; stop TSO3; MDU-Prot.(reset SN ind.); SOAN0	RESET SN ACK; set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; start TSO5; MDU-Prot.(reset SN ind.); SOAN0
RESET SN ACK (NOTE 1)	- - stop TSO4;		
Expiry of timer TSO4 (first)	MDU-Prot.(reset SN ack); - RESET SN COM; start TSO4; MDU-Prot.(reset SN com); set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; -	/	/
Expiry of timer TSO4 (second)	MDU-Prot.(reset SN error ind.); -	/	/
Expiry of timer TSO5	-	-	-
Detection of protocol error (NOTE 1)	MDU-Prot.(Protocol error ind.); PROTOCOL ERROR; - MDU-Prot.(Protocol error ind.); -	MDU-Prot.(Protocol error ind.); PROTOCOL ERROR; -	MDU-Prot.(Protocol error ind.); PROTOCOL ERROR; -
NOTE 1: The lower option	e, no action; / unexpected event, r n shall be chosen if timer TSO4 is r n shall be chosen if timer TSO5 is r	running.	

Table 65: AN Protection protocol FSM

18.8.2 Protection protocol FSM in the LE

The state transition table for the FSM of the protection protocol in the LE is given in table 66.

State	SOLE0	SOLE1	SOLE2
State name	NULL	SWITCH-OVER INITIATED	SWITCH OVER REQUESTED
Event		BY LE	BY AN
MDU-Prot.(switch-over com)	SWITCH-OVER COM;	/	SWITCH-OVER COM;
(NOTE 1)	start TSO1; SOLE1		start TSO1; SOLE1
	MDU-Prot.(reset SN error ind); -		
MDU-Prot.(OS switch-over	OS SWITCH-OVER REQ;	/	OS SWITCH-OVER REQ;
com)	start TSO2; SOLE1		start TSO2; SOLE1
(NOTE 1)			
	-		
MDU-Prot.(switch-over reject)	1	7	SWITCH-OVER REJECT; SOLE0
SWITCH-OVER ACK	MDU-Prot.(switch-over ack); -	MDU-Prot.(switch-over ack); stop	/
(NOTE 1)		TSO1; stop TSO2; SOLE0	,
(-		
SWITCH-OVER REQ	MDU-Prot.(switch-over req);	-	/
(NOTE 1)	SOLE2		
	-		
SWITCH-OVER REJECT	MDU-Prot.(switch-over reject	MDU-Prot.(switch-over reject	/
(NOTE 1)	ind); -	ind); stop TSO1; stop TSO2;	
		SOLE0	
	-		
Expiry of timer TSO1 (first)	/	SWITCH-OVER COM;	/
Expiry of timer TSO1 (second)	1	start TSO1; - MDU-Prot.(switch-over error	/
Expiry of timer 1301 (second)	7	ind.); SOLE0	/
Expiry of timer TSO2 (first)	/	OS SWITCH-OVER COM;	/
	,	start TSO2; -	,
Expiry of timer TSO2 (second)	/	MDU-Prot.(switch-over error	/
		ind.); SOLE0	
VP(S), VP(R)	RESET SN COM; start TSO4;	RESET SN COM; start TSO4;	RESET SN COM; start TSO4;
misalignment detected	MDU-Prot.(reset SN com);	stop TSO1; stop TSO2;	MDU-Prot.(reset SN com);
or	set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; -	MDU-Prot.(reset SN com);	set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; SOLE0
MDU-Prot.(reset SN req)		set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; SOLE0	
RESET SN COM (NOTE 2)	RESET SN ACK;	RESET SN ACK; set VP(S)=VP(R)=0;	RESET SN ACK; set VP(S)=VP(R)=0;
(NOTE 2)	set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; start TSO5;	start TSO5; stop TSO1;	start TSO5;
	MDU-Prot.(reset SN ind.); -	stop TSO2;	MDU-Prot.(reset SN ind.);
		MDU-Prot.(reset SN ind.);	SOLE0
		SOLE0	00110
	-	-	-
RESET SN ACK	-	-	-
(NOTE 1)			
	stop TSO4;		
F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MDU-Prot.(reset SN ack); -	,	,
Expiry of timer TSO4 (first)	RESET SN COM; start TSO4;	/	/
	MDU-Prot.(reset SN com); set VP(S)=VP(R)=0; -		
Expiry of timer TSO4 (second)	MDU-Prot.(reset SN error ind.); -	/	/
Expiry of timer TSO5	-	-	-
PROTOCOL ERROR (Cause)	MDU-Prot.(Protocol error ind); -	MDU-Prot.(Protocol error ind); -	MDU-Prot.(Protocol error ind); -
(NOTE 1)			
l` í	-		
	e, no action; / unexpected event, r		
	n shall be chosen if timer TSO4 is i		
NOTE 2: The lower option	n shall be chosen if timer TSO5 is i	running.	

Table 66: LE protection protocol FSM

Annex A (normative): Requirements for the support of the PL capability through an ISDN port

A.1 Requirements for the support of the PL capability through an ISDN basic access

The contents of this Clause are identical to Annex A of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

A.2 Requirements for the support of the PL capability through an ISDN primary rate access

Permanent lines bypass the LE and are outside the scope of the V5.2 interface specification. As the ISDN-PRA port is permanently active, an FSM is not required in the LE in order to support the function.

In order for the BCC protocol to function correctly, it shall be controlled via two resource managers, one in the LE and the other in the AN. This ETS assumes that these resource managers exist but does not attempt to limit their functionality.

In order for the resource managers to function correctly, the resource manager in the LE shall be informed of demands made on the user port time slots it is controlling. This information shall be passed into the system via Q_{LE} .

Annex B (normative):

Assumptions and requirements for the support of semipermanent leased lines

B.1 General

Semi-permanent leased lines pass through the V5.2 interface.

For the V5.2 interface, where the connection for all bearer channels is established between the user port of the AN and the LE by the BCC, no additional procedure between the LE and the AN is required for the support of semi-permanent leased lines. These are provisioned via Q_{LE} .

Provisioning of the user port according to the requirements of the user is under the responsibility of the AN and therefore outside the scope of the V5.2 interface specification.

B.2 Signalling associated to semi-permanent leased lines

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause B.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

B.3 User ports

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause B.3 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

B.4 Requirements for non-ISDN user ports for semi-permanent leased lines

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause B.4 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Annex C (normative): Basic requirements of the system management functions in the AN and the LE

1) Procedure for the ISDN basic access continuity test.

ETS 300 297 [4] defines a continuity test procedure for the verification of the status of the ISDN basic access, for example, a certain time without activity. The procedure is based on the requirements defined in ETR 001. The test uses the elements of the activation procedure and is to be initiated by the LE on the knowledge of the service activity and service provision. If the test fails the mechanism to verify the situation is the failure localization under the responsibility of the AN.

In order to support the split of control functions between LE and AN for the ISDN basic access the AN shall operate the timer T1 function as specified in subclause 14.1 of ETS 300 324-1 [8]. Timer T1 is not required in the LE. The information about an unsuccessful activation, which is relevant for the identification of the appropriate cause to be sent to reject an incoming call, can be taken from the receipt of FE106 when being in state LE2.1.

Timer T1 is defined in ETS 300 012 [3].

MPH-T1 may be used in the AN to initiate the necessary verification tests which requires blocking of the user port. The AN does not know whether the activation attempt from the LE was initiated for delivery of an incoming call or for the continuity test. The LE considers the port operational even after unsuccessful activation and it shall be the responsibility of the AN to clarify the port status.

2) The AN management shall not send MPH-BR when the port is in one of the non-operational substates.

The LE management may respond with MPH-BI within an appropriate time frame according to the service conditions of this user port. See also subclause 7.1.1, item 3). In case of semi-permanent connections the LE-management shall issue MPH-UBI.

If the AN management has erroneously sent a blocking request to the LE the AN management may cancel the blocking request by issuing MPH-UBR. The LE management may then receive MPH-UBI and cancel the blocking request (i.e. ignore the previously received request) if the port has not yet been blocked. In the latter case the LE may start the unblock procedure by issuing MPH-UBR.

- 3) Collision between primitives sent from the FSM to the management and vice versa at the same time are resolved in the relevant FSM.
- 4) MPH-BI shall only be issued by the AN management in case of hard failure or unacceptable error performance in AN internal links used and affecting the service provision at the user port significantly. The MPH-BI will not be acknowledged and leads directly to the termination of calls in progress or in set up phase. It is required that the AN checks whether the situation persists longer than typical intermittent effects.
- 5) Unblocking of a port requires acknowledgement by the other side to establish co-ordinated transition to the operational state. If the reaction from the remote side on MPH-UBR is a MPH-BI, this should be interpreted only as an indication that the other side does not agree currently to move to the operational state and the FSM goes back to the fully blocked state. No response to MPH-UBR shall be interpreted that the other side does not agree to go to the operational state at this point in time but may react later, the FSM remains in local unblock state.
- 6) Reference is made to subclause 7.1.1, items 2), 4), 6), 8) and 9).
- 7) Reference is made to subclause 15.3.3.4 and ETS 300 324-1 [8] (subclauses 14.1.3.4 and 14.2.3.4) for the AN verification mechanism and to subclause 15.3.3.5 and ETS 300 324-1 [8] (subclauses 14.1.3.5. and 14.2.3.5) for the LE verification mechanism using MPH-UBR.

- 8) Reference is made to subclause 15.3.3.3.6 and to NOTE 1 of table 36 of ETS 300 324-1 [8] concerning permanent activation of the ISDN access.
- 9) Communication of an FSM or layer 2 protocol entity is only towards the system management. Since there is no direct communication between the different FSMs or layer 2 protocol entity in the AN or the LE, the system management shall co-ordinate the FSMs or layer 2 protocol entity by use of the appropriate primitives, also taking into account the information received from various functional blocks in the AN or LE about the status and failures.
- 10) Error performance in the access digital section below a certain minimum level over a period of time shall be considered as unacceptable from any service point of view. The AN management shall block the relevant user port if this condition has been detected.
- 11) Provisioning verification.

The procedure for provisioning verification uses the messages defined in subclause 14.5 of ETS 300 324-1 [8] and the protocol elements, coding and procedures are defined in subclauses 14.3 and 14.4 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Before re-provisioning, it is suggested that the verification mechanism be used to verify that the new provisioning variant is available in both the AN and LE. To do so, the side wishing to do re-provisioning issues the VERIFY RE-PROVISIONING message, and receives either:

- READY FOR RE-PROVISIONING; or
- NOT READY FOR RE-PROVISIONING.

In the latter case it shall be the responsibility of the management to take any necessary action.

12) Re-provisioning synchronization

The procedure for provisioning synchronization shall only be applied at the agreed re-provisioning time. The procedure uses the messages defined in subclauses 14.3 and 14.5 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Re-provisioning initiated from the LE management:

The procedure is shown in figure C.1.




Figure C.1: Procedure for re-provisioning initiated from LE

The LE blocks all relevant ports. The LE issues the SWITCH-OVER TO NEW VARIANT message, and receives either:

- RE-PROVISIONING STARTED; or
- CANNOT RE-PROVISION with cause.

Page 132 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

In the former case, the AN then begins re-provisioning upon sending the RE-PROVISIONING STARTED message and the LE begins re-provisioning upon reception RE-PROVISIONING STARTED message and both ends initiate unblocking of ports when ready using the defined unblocking mechanism. In the latter case, the LE only informs its management and may unblock the ports.

The AN and LE may delay the start of the re-provisioning to ensure the delivery of the RE-PROVISIONING STARTED ACK message to the AN.

In the latter case it shall be the responsibility of the management to take any necessary action.

Re-provisioning initiated by the AN management:

The procedure is shown in figure C.2.




Figure C.2: Procedure for re-provisioning initiated from AN

The AN sends the SWITCH-OVER-TO-NEW-VARIANT message. If the LE can support reprovisioning it starts blocking of the relevant ports and responds with BLOCKING STARTED. The procedure is then the same as for LE-initiated re-provisioning. If there are no ports to be blocked or blocked already the LE may proceed immediately with SWITCH-OVER TO NEW VARIANT.

If the LE cannot re-provision, it responds to the SWITCH-OVER-TO-NEW-VARIANT message with the CANNOT RE-PROVISION message. In this case no other action shall be taken at the LE.

Re-provisioning verification:

It may be required to request variant & interface ID before starting to unblock the ports. This procedure avoids having ports in operation but with a mismatch of variant or interface after reprovisioning.

Fallback procedure:

It may be possible to "undo" the re-provisioning using the re-provisioning synchronization mechanism if the control protocol link is still active. In this case, the variant used would label a data set corresponding to the old data set.

13) System startup.

Within the system startup procedure the variant&id shall be checked after establishing the data link for the various protocols: protection link in primary and secondary link (if provisioned), control protocol, link control protocol, BCC protocol, and PSTN protocol (if provisioned). If the variant&id corresponds to the own variant&id, the link identification procedure may be started for the primary and secondary link, and the link carrying the PSTN protocol (if provisioned). After successful completion of the link-identification procedure for those links carrying the BCC, control and PSTN

protocols, the PSTN restart procedure shall be invoked. Link identification for any further links can then be completed. If the C-path carrying the PSTN signalling is not provisioned, the PSTN data link will not be established. At system startup, system management or the OS may decide not to apply the link identification procedure.

Subclauses L.1.8.1 and L.2.8.1 in Annex L provide the SDL description of the system startup procedure.

14) Restart procedure.

The restart procedure shall be invoked by the system management or the OS. Subclauses L.1.8.4 and L.2.8.4 in Annex L provide the SDL description of the restart procedure. Restart shall be invoked after either PSTN-V5DL failure as described in item 17) or by system startup as described in item 13) of this Annex. Only for the PSTN protocol is a specific restart procedure defined. For the control protocol, the system management shall use the port blocking procedure, if required. For the link control protocol, the system management shall use the link blocking procedure if required:

 a) within the system startup procedure, or if a restart request is generated internally, a MDU-CTRL (restart request) shall be sent to the control protocol entity and to all PSTN protocol state machines and timers TR1 and TR2 started.

Upon reception of the MDU-CTRL (restart complete) from the control protocol entity, timer TR2 shall be stopped; upon reception of the MDU-CTRL (restart ack) indication from the PSTN protocol entities, timer TR1 shall be stopped. When the MDU-CTRL (restart complete) indication from the control protocol and the MDU-CTRL (restart ack) indication from all PSTN protocol entities have been received, a MDU-CTRL (restart complete) shall be sent to all PSTN protocol entities.

Upon expiry of timer TR1 or TR2 a notification of the unsuccessful restart shall be given to the maintenance entity and the process shall be stopped. A system integrity process shall ensure that the system management is put into the SYSTEM STARTUP state repeatedly (e.g. every 5 minutes);

 b) if a MDU-CTRL (restart request) is received from the control protocol entity a MDU-CTRL (restart request) indication shall be sent to all PSTN protocol state machines and timer TR1 shall be started.

Upon reception of the MDU-CTRL (restart ack) indications from all PSTN protocol state machines, timer TR1 shall be stopped and a MDU-CTRL (restart complete) indication shall be sent to the control protocol entity and to all PSTN protocol state machines.

Upon expiry of Timer TR1 an error indication shall be sent to the maintenance entity.

15) Data link activation procedure.

The system management shall request during the system startup procedure the activation of the CONTROL_DL, LINK_CONTROL_DL, BCC_DL, and, if the C-path for the PSTN protocol is provisioned, the PSTN_DL, (and if the secondary link is provisioned, the PROTECTION_DLs 1 and 2) by sending a MDL-Establish-Request to both data links.

When a MDL-Establish-Confirm or a MDL-Establish-Indication is received from the CONTROL_DL or LINK_CONTROL DL, a MDU-start_traffic shall be sent to all relevant protocol entities.

The system startup was successful if the data links for these protocols indicate the activation by MDL-Establish-Confirm or a MDL-Establish-Indication.

16) Data link reset.

If a MDL-Establish-Indication is received from the CONTROL_DL after system initialization or in the SYSTEM STARTUP state, the system management shall sent a MDU-start_traffic to all control protocol entities, request the variant&id and await the MDU_CTRL (restart ack) indications.

Any unexpected (i.e. not forced by the management entity by, for example, protection switching) MDL_release indication of a layer 2 entity (PSTN_DL, CONTROL_DL, LINK_CONTROL_DL, BCC_DL, PROTECT_DL_1, or PROTECT_DL_2, may be used by the system management to check the interface ID and/or the link ID of the relevant links.

17) Data link failure.

If a MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION primitive is received by the AN or LE system management from the LAPV5-DL for the control or link control or BCC or PSTN protocol, the physical C-channel carrying that C-path shall be regarded as non-operational. The system management shall as a consequence trigger a protection switch-over of that logical C-channel and an error indication shall be sent to the maintenance entity.

After switch-over has been performed in the AN or the LE, respectively, the system management shall issue MDL-ESTABLISHMENT-REQUEST primitives to all affected LAPV5-DLs. After a switch-over the system management shall continuously try to establish failed data links even if another MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION primitive is issued from the data link to system management. No further switch-over shall be performed as a result of that MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION primitive, since presumably an internal failure has occurred for which recovery by switch-over is not possible. This means that the data link FSM of the failed C-path shall first enter the MULTIPLE-FRAME-ESTABLISHED state (at least once) before a second switch over shall be performed, triggered by the receipt of a MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION primitive.

After switch-over has been performed and after the first MDL-ESTABLISH-REQUEST primitive has been sent to the LAPV5-DL for the control protocol, timer TC1 shall be started.

After switch-over has been performed and after the first MDL-ESTABLISH-REQUEST primitive has been sent to the LAPV5-DL for the PSTN protocol, timer TC3 shall be started.

After switch-over has been performed and after the first MDL-ESTABLISH-REQUEST primitive has been sent to the LAPV5-DL for the link control protocol, timer TC4 shall be started.

After switch-over has been performed and after the first MDL-ESTABLISH-REQUEST primitive has been sent to the LAPV5-DL for the BCC protocol, timer TC6 shall be started.

If no MDL-Establish-Confirm or MDL-Establish-Indication is received from the PSTN_DL within 15 seconds (Timer TC3), the blocking of all PSTN ports shall be invoked by sending a MDU-CTRL (port blocked) to all PSTN protocol state machines. A MDU-CTRL (port unblocked) shall be sent to the appropriate PSTN protocol state machines after the re-establishing of the PSTN_DL.

If no MDL-Establish-Confirm or MDL-Establish-Indication is received from the CONTROL_DL within 15 seconds (Timer TC1), a MDU-stop_traffic shall be sent to all control protocol entities, the blocking of the ISDN ports shall be invoked by the relevant system management and Timer TC2 (1 minute), shall be started. Upon expiry of Timer TC2 the system startup procedure shall be invoked.

If no MDL_ESTABLISH_CONFIRM or MDL_ESTABLISH_INDICATION is received from the LINK_CONTROL_DL within 15 seconds (timer TC4), a MDU_stop_traffic shall be sent to the link control entities (but there is no blocking of the links) and timer TC5 (1 minute) shall be started. Upon expiry of timer TC5, the system startup procedure shall be invoked.

If no MDL_ESTABLISH_CONFIRM or MDL_ESTABLISH_INDICATION primitive is received from the BCC-DL within 15 seconds (timer TC6) it is the responsibility of the system management to take the appropriate actions to recover from that failure situation.

A data link failure of only one of the PROTECT_DL_1 or PROTECT_DL_2 shall only be indicated to the management entity. Data link failures of both PROTECT_DL_1 and PROTECT_DL_2 shall block the protection mechanism.

18) Control protocol layer 3 protection mechanism error.

On "error indication" from the layer 3 protection mechanism for the control protocol, the relevant user port FSMs in AN and LE may be miss-aligned. Following management actions may be required:

- flush queue of messages for this port;
- verify current (operational) state by sending "unblock";
- if not clarified, enforce re-alignment through "block/unblock" sequence.
- 19) Timers in the system management entity.

The timers in the system management of the AN and the LE are specified in table C.1. All the timers defined in table C.1 shall have a tolerance of better than ± 5 %.

Timer	Timeout value	Cause for start	Normal stop
TR1	100 s	MDU-CTRL(restart request) to all	MDU-CTRL(restart ack) from all PSTN
		PSTN protocol states machines	protocol state machines
TR2	2 minutes	MDU-CTRL(restart request) to	MDU-CTRL(restart complete)
		CONTROL-DL	from CONTROL-DL
TC1	15 s	CONTROL-DL establishment	reception of
		requested	MDL-ESTABLISH-CONFIRM or
			MDL-ESTABLISH-INDICATION
			from CONTROL-DL
TC2	1 minute	CONTROL-DL establishment	reception of
		requested	MDL-ESTABLISH-CONFIRM or
			MDL-ESTABLISH-INDICATION
			from CONTROL-DL
TC3	15 s	PSTN-DL establishment requested	reception of
			MDL-ESTABLISH-CONFIRM or
			MDL-ESTABLISH-INDICATION
			from PSTN-DL
TC4	15 s	LINK_CONTROL_DL establishment	reception of
		requested	MDL_ESTABLISH_CONFIRM or
			MDL_ESTABLISH_INDICATION
			from LINK_CONTROL_DL
TC5	1 minute	LINK_CONTROL_DL establishment	reception of
		requested	MDL_ESTABLISH_INDICATION
			from LINK_CONTROL_DL
TC6	15 s	BCC_DL establishment requested	reception of
			MDL_ESTABLISH_CONFIRM or
			MDL_ESTABLISH_INDICATION
			from BCC_DL

Table C	.1: Timers	in the s	vstem	management	entitv
			yotom	management	CITCLY

Page 136 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

- 20) Link identification may be required after link layer 1 failure recovery indicated by MPH-AI from the layer 1 link FSM and indicated to the system management by MDU-LAI. It is for the system management to invoke the link identification procedure. There may be other triggers within the system management to request this procedure. There shall be only one request for the link identification procedure from the system management at a time for all V5 interfaces of AN or LE.
- 21) It is the responsibility of the system management to take the appropriate action on receipt of any information from the link control FSM, e.g. MDU-IDRej, MDU-AI, MDU-EIg, as a result of a link identification procedure the system management has requested from the link control FSM.
- 22) There is no need for blocking of 2 048 kbit/s links before re-provisioning. After re-provisioning completed the 2 048 kbit/s links may go into operational state and may not require link unblocking.
- 23) In a V5.2 interface with a single link only, the protection protocol will not be implemented. The system management shall not invoke the establishment of the protection data link and shall ignore a MDL-RELEASE-INDICATION from a protection data link, if it occurs.
- 24) In case of protection switching of C-paths for PSTN, port and common control, link control or BCC the LE system management shall request re-establishment of the relevant data link(s) by issuing MDL-ESTABLISH-REQUEST.
- 25) During V5.2 initialization, i.e. during or after re-provisioning, all data for the protection, BCC, link control and common control protocol shall be reset to the default. This is not required for the port control part because all the ports shall be blocked before starting re-provisioning and need to be unblocked individually later. For the PSTN protocol, the restart procedure shall be applied as defined in ETS 300 324-1 [8].
- 26) The treatment of BCC allocation rejections by system management.

System management shall log the information provided by the BCC resource manager which may be retrieved by the operations system for identification of the performance level. Frequent allocation rejections may also cause an autonomous indication to the operation system in order to bring the situation to the service provider's attention. Further action can then be taken at this higher level.

27) Link control protocol layer 3 protection mechanism error.

On "error indication" from the layer 3 protection mechanism for the link control protocol, the relevant Link Control FSMs in the AN and the LE may be miss-aligned. The following management actions may then be required:

- flush message queue for the link control protocol;
- verify current (operational) state by sending "unblock";
- for those links for which the state could not be clarified, enforce re-alignment through the normal block/unblock sequences.

Annex D (normative):

Use of the protocol information elements for national PSTN protocols

The contents of this annex are identical to Annex D of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Annex E (normative): BCC protocol application principles

E.1 Introduction

This annex gives normative information on how the BCC protocol shall be used by the LE and the AN, in order to meet the service demands on the V5.2 interface.

The resource management entities manage the resources involved in the support of bearer channel connections (time slots, user ports and ISDN user port channels) by means of the BCC protocol. The functionality is shared among different entities as follows:

- the LE and AN resource management entities are responsible for the maintenance of the resources available for supporting bearer channel connections and their status (e.g. allocated or de-allocated);
- the control of the BCC protocol (message interchange between the LE and the AN) is under the responsibility of the BCC protocol entity;
- the resource management entities will receive service requests from different entities in the LE (e.g. PSTN national protocol, DSS1 national protocol, management system), however the relationship between the resource management entities and the entities requesting BCC services is outside the scope of this ETS.

The BCC protocol provides the means in order to support different types of user services:

- a) switched service, where the resource management entity shall allocate switched connections for the support of the user calls, these connections will be available for the lifetime of the call. The allocation and de-allocation processes under the control of the resource management entity shall be triggered from the national PSTN or DSS1 entities;
- b) semi-permanent leased line service, where the resource management entity shall allocate switched connections for the support of these long period user connections. The allocation and de-allocation processes under the control of the resource management entity shall be triggered from the management system entity due to a request via the Q_{LE} interface.

The use of the BCC protocol for the establishment of this type of connections guarantees that the resource management entity is fully informed as to the status of these bearer channel connections. In the event of the 2 048 kbit/s link on which the semipermanent line is provided becoming faulty, the resource management entity shall establish another path;

c) pre-connected bearer channel service, where the resource management entity shall allocate switched connections in order to provide to the user bandwidth in the form of 64 kbit/s bearer channels or their multiples. The allocation and de-allocation processes under the control of the resource management entity shall be triggered from the management system entity due to a request via the Q_{LE} interface.

This service provides to user permanent connections between the LE and the user port via the V5.2 interface. This service should be used when it is important that the concentration factor provided by the V5.2 interface may not lead to the blocking of crucial services (e.g. the telephony service for a fire station).

The use of the BCC protocol for the establishment of this type of connections guarantees that the resource management entity is fully informed as to the status of these bearer channel connections. In the event of the 2 048 kbit/s link on which the pre-connected bearer channel is provided becoming faulty, the resource management entity shall establish another path and report what it has done via Q_{LE} .

E.2 Time slot usability

Time slots 1 to 14 and 17 to 30 of all 2 048 kbit/s links of a V5.2 interface shall be available for allocation as bearer channels.

Where time slots 15, 16 or 31 of any 2 048 kbit/s link are not provisioned for use as a physical C-channel, they shall be available for use as a bearer channel.

Bearer channels on a V5.2 interface shall be available for use for any service (e.g. PSTN bearer, ISDN B-channel, ISDN H-channel). There shall be no dedication of bearer channels, bearer channel groups or 2 048 kbit/s links to service/channel types.

E.3 Time slot allocation and de-allocation rules

E.3.1 General

The following rules shall be applied by the LE and, where appropriate, the AN, in allocating V5.2 interface time slots to bearer connections:

- a) the LE shall have sole responsibility for time slot allocation;
- b) the AN may reject a connection request due to a fault or error or due to AN internal blocking;
- c) the LE national PSTN protocol entity or the national ISDN protocol entity may request a new time slot allocation;
- d) it is not possible to proceed with a de-allocation process of a bearer channel connection for which all the data required within the DE-ALLOCATION message is not included.

When the LE does not know all the relevant data identifying a bearer channel connection, before starting the de-allocation process, it shall request for the remaining information from the AN by using the audit procedure.

If the result of the audit procedure is a notification that such a connection does not exist, the LE shall clear internally the BCC bearer channel connection record;

e) ISDN-BA or ISDN-PRA user port B-channel(s), required for a call, shall have been internally reserved by the DSS1 protocol entity, before the V5 interface time slot(s) is set up using the BCC protocol. Then, using the DSS1 procedures, the B-channel(s) will be allocated and notified to the ISDN subscriber within the appropriate DSS1 message. A further rearrangement of B-channels may be necessary under subscriber control.

This maintains DSS1 service capability and enables the BCC connection request to convey the full identity of both ends of the AN connection;

f) in allocating time slots, the LE shall apply connection packing, i.e. allocate connections to the 2 048 kbit/s links of a V5.2 interface in a preferential order. 2 048 kbit/s links having more than one physical C-channel shall be given appropriate preference. These rules shall be applied to all connections, in order to minimize congestion probability for multi-slot connections.

Connection packing increases the service impact of undetected faults, particularly at times of low traffic. This can be ameliorated by not having a single fixed preference. The effect is generally a trade-off between failure performance and multi-slot connection congestion performance. LE implementation for support of V5.2 interfaces should take this trade-off into account.

Page 140 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

g) AN semi-permanent connections and pre-connected bearer channels shall be re-allocated by LE management on other 2 048 kbit/s links (if available), in the event of failure of the 2 048 kbit/s link carrying them, or in the event of AN internal failure reported by the BCC protocol.

Switched bearer connections shall not be reallocated to other V5.2 time slots, in the event of failure;

h) in the case of terminating ISDN calls (calls offered by the LE to the AN), the LE has to indicate in the DSS-1 SETUP message to be sent to the ISDN access, the identification of the B or H-channel to be used for the call.

Hence, before sending the SETUP message, the LE has to ensure the availability of the necessary time slots in the interface to be used as bearer channels, and that these time slots are properly allocated to the ISDN port. This represents a need for protocol synchronization in such a way that the allocation process has to be completed before sending the DSS1 SETUP message.

In the case of the reception of an ALLOCATION REJECT message, the BCC protocol entity in the LE shall notify the event to the resource management entity by the MDU.BCC (allocation reject indication) primitive, which shall also send the proper notification to the ISDN protocol entity. Upon reception of this indication, the ISDN protocol entity may request another bearer channel allocation before sending the RELEASE COMPLETE to the ISDN subscriber. The number of these reattempts, if any, will depend upon implementation decisions and DSS1 timing constraints controlled by the ISDN protocol entity;

i) in the case of originating ISDN calls (calls offered by the AN to the LE), the LE has to indicate in the DSS-1 message sent as the answer to the received SETUP message (i.e. ALERTING, CALL PROCEEDING, CONNECT) the identification of the B- or H-channel to be used for the call.

Hence, before sending the proper answer to the received SETUP message the LE has to ensure the availability of the necessary time slots in the interface to be used as bearer channels, and that these time slots are properly allocated to the ISDN port. This represents a need for protocol synchronization in such a way that the allocation process has to be completed before sending the DSS1 message in response to the received SETUP message;

- j) in the case of terminating PSTN calls (calls offered by the LE to the AN), in general the LE before sending the "initial ring signal", has to ensure the availability of a bearer channel for the call. However there are some cases in which a PSTN signalling path is established and no allocation of a bearer channel is required;
- k) in the case of originating PSTN calls (calls offered by the AN to the LE), in general the LE before sending the "dialling tone", has to ensure the availability of a bearer channel for the call. However there are some cases in which a PSTN signalling path is established and no allocation of a bearer channel is required;
- I) when clearing ISDN or PSTN calls (either initiated by the user or the network), the LE shall initiate the proper action towards the AN in order to clear the V5.2 resources allocated to that particular call.

When initiating an ISDN port related de-allocation process, the LE may disconnect the bearer channel (V5 time slot) from the call connection and proceed with the ISDN call clearing before the completion of the de-allocation process (i.e. synchronization between the DSS1 protocol and the BCC de-allocation process is not required);

m) table E.1 gives information on when to use the different reject cause types in the BCC protocol procedures;

Unspecified Access network fault Access network blocked (internally)	A fault not otherwise covered by this table has been found The allocation or de-allocation process can not be completed because an internal AN fault has been identified The allocation process cannot be completed because
	The allocation or de-allocation process can not be completed because an internal AN fault has been identified
	completed because an internal AN fault has been identified
Access network blocked (internally)	identified
Access network blocked (internally)	
Access network blocked (internally)	The allocation process cannot be completed because
	an internal AN blocking has been discovered
Connection already present at the PSTN user port to	The allocation process cannot be completed because
a different V5 time slot	a connection already exists on the selected PSTN
	port to a different time slot
Connection already present at the time slot(s) to a	The allocation process cannot be completed because
different port or ISDN user port time slot	a connection already exists on the selected V5.2 time
	slot(s) to a different user port or user port time slot
Connection already present at the ISDN user port	The allocation process cannot be completed because
ime slot(s) to a different time slot(s)	a connection already exists on a selected user port
	time slot(s) to a different time slot(s)
Jser port unavailable (blocked)	The allocation process cannot be completed because
	the selected user port is not available for service
De-allocation can not be completed due to	The de-allocation process cannot be completed
ncompatible data content	because the provided data regarding the time slot,
	user port and user port time slot does not match any
	user port connection
De-allocation can not be completed due to V5 time	The de-allocation process cannot be completed
slot(s) data incompatibility	because the provided data regarding the V5 time
	slot(s) does not match the AN data
De-allocation can not be completed due to port data	The de-allocation process cannot be completed
ncompatibility	because the provided data regarding the user port
	does not match an AN user port
De-allocation can not be completed due to user port	The de-allocation process cannot be completed
ime slot(s) data incompatibility	because the provided data regarding the user port
	time slot(s) does not match the AN user port(s)
Jser port not provisioned	The allocation process cannot be completed because
	the identified user port has not been provisioned
nvalid V5 time slot(s) identification(s)	The identification of the V5 time slot(s) does not
	match the one(s) available for use as bearer channels
nvalid 2 048 kbit/s link identification	The identification of the 2 048 kbit/s link at the V5.2
	interface does not match any available link
nvalid user port time slot(s) identification(s)	The identification of the User port time slot(s) does
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	not match the one(s) available at the selected ISDN
	user port
/5 Time slot(s) being used as physical C-channel(s)	The process cannot be completed because the
	identified V5 time slot is being used as a physical
	C-channel
NOTE: No other value is applicable.	

Table E.1: Use of the reject cause types

n) other than the possible allocation/de-allocation of bearer channels, the provision of DSS1 supplementary services shall not require any other functions from the BCC protocol.

Page 142 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

E.3.2 Multi-slot connections

The following rules shall be applied by the LE and, where appropriate, the AN, in allocating V5.2 interface time slots to multi-slot (i.e. $n \times 64$ kbit/s) bearer connections:

- a) at the beginning of a call (or semi-permanent or pre-connected bearer channel allocation), all time slots for a multi-slot connection shall be allocated simultaneously by one single BCC allocation process;
- b) during a call (or semi-permanent or pre-connected allocation), it shall be possible for time slots constituting a multi-slot connection to be released individually or for any proportion of the time slots to be released simultaneously. This capability enables the bandwidth allocation to be reduced for the remaining part of a call (or semi-permanent or pre-connected allocation);
- c) at the end of a call (or semi-permanent or pre-connected allocation), all time slots constituting a multi-slot connection shall be released simultaneously;
- d) the multiple time slots required for a multi-slot connection shall be selected from any free time slots (within a single 2 048 kbit/s link) and need not be in a block of contiguous time slots;
- e) the structural attribute of time slot sequence integrity (TSSI) shall apply to the connection element between the user-network interface and the V5 interface. Thus:

- at the user-network interface and the V5 interface, time slots are implicitly or explicitly demarcated for each channel of an aggregate of channels;

- the information parts delivered from the time slots at the receiving end are in the same order as submitted at the transmitted end;
- all time slots used at the user side shall be in the same ISDN-BA or ISDN-PRA interface;
- all time slots used at the V5 interface shall be in the same 2 048 kbit/s link,
- f) the structural attribute of 8 kHz integrity shall apply to the connection element between the usernetwork interface and the V5 interface. Thus:

- at the user-network interface and the V5 interface, intervals of $125 \,\mu s$ are implicitly or explicitly demarcated (e.g. by frame boundaries); and

- all bits submitted within a single demarcated $125 \,\mu s$ interval are delivered within a corresponding single demarcated $125 \,\mu s$ interval,
- g) if a pre-connected bearer channel is required to support multi-rate switched services (e.g. H0 or H12), as opposed to 64 kbit/s services alone, it shall be set up as an n × 64 kbit/s connection, to ensure TSSI and 8 kHz integrity for such services.

E.3.3 Override capability

In order to better support some user service capabilities, the LE, when allocating bearer channel connections, may use the override capability. This allows the bearer channel that was connected to one B-channel of an ISDN user port to be connected to another B-channel on the same ISDN user port.

The override capability can only be used on single 64 kbit/s bearer channel allocation processes.

E.4 Audit procedure rules

The BCC protocol includes the necessary means in order to allow the LE to obtain from the AN information regarding certain connection for which the information is partially unknown for the LE. This procedure shall comply with certain rules such as:

- a) the LE shall start an auditing only when no other process (allocation or de-allocation) is pending of completion;
- b) when an auditing process has been started, no other allocation or de-allocation process shall be started by the LE;
- c) several auditing processes may be running simultaneously, using different BCC reference numbers;
- d) auditing processes shall be started by the resource management entity in the LE, or by the request from the system management entity;
- e) table E.2 gives information on when to use the different reason values given in the BCC protocol.

Reason	Use
Incomplete normal	The audit process cannot be completed because the
	connection does not exist
User port not provisioned	The audit process cannot be completed because the
	identified user port has not been provisioned
Invalid V5 time slot identification	The identification of the bearer channel does not
	match the one available for the bearer channel under
	audit
Invalid 2 048 kbit/s link	The identification of the 2 048 kbit/s link at the V5.2
	interface does not match the one supporting the
	bearer channel under audit
Time slot used as physical C-channel	The process cannot be completed because the
	identified time slot is being used as a physical
	C-channel

Table E.2: Use of the reason values

E.5 AN internal failure notification rules

The BCC protocol includes the necessary means in order to allow the AN to notify to the LE internal failures affecting internal connections supporting bearer channels. For the use of this procedure the following rules apply:

a) the AN shall notify all the internal connections supporting bearer channel connection when an internal failure happens.

Internal failures not affecting allocated bearer channels will not be notified via the BCC protocol;

- b) the AN internal failure notification shall be done on single 64 kbit/s connection basis starting an individual process for each of them;
- c) when notifying an internal failure, the AN shall provide as much information as possible in order to allow the LE to identify the bearer connection. However, if the AN is not able to provide all the required information, the LE will obtain the complete information from its internal data on the basis of the partial information received.

E.6 AN internal failure rules

When an AN internal failure is notified by the AN to the LE, the resource management entity in the LE shall initiate the de-allocation procedure for the notified bearer channel connection. The LE resource management entity shall also notify the event to the PSTN/ISDN protocol entity for the proper service action to be taken.

In the case that the LE resource management entity identifies that the affected bearer channel connection is part of a multi-slot arrangement, no action shall be taken by the resource management entity on the rest of the bearer channel connections. The triggering of the proper action to be taken (for example: de-allocating the rest of the bearer channel connections) is an ISDN protocol entity responsibility on the basis of the service requirements.

E.7 BCC protocol errors

The BCC protocol entities shall be able to detect three different categories of protocol errors:

- a) errors referring to an alive BCC process (e.g. due to the absence of response to a retransmitted ALLOCATION message). These errors shall be notified to the resource management entity;
- errors referring to a non existent BCC process (e.g. due to the reception of an ALLOCATION COMPLETE message when the LE is in the Bcc0 state). These errors shall be notified to the system management entity;
- c) errors referring to the protocol error handling procedures (see 17.5.8) shall be notified to the system management.

E.8 Arrow diagrams: examples of BCC protocol and DSS1 co-ordination

E.8.1 ISDN call initiated by the subscriber

E.8.1.1 Normal procedure

The arrow diagram showing the interaction of the BCC protocol with DSS1 for the case: Call initiated by the subscriber (normal procedure) is given in figure E.1.

In the case of an ISDN call setup and bearer channel allocation, the need for protocol synchronization is shown; the allocation process has to be completed before sending the DSS1 message in response to the received SETUP message.




Figure E.1: ISDN call initiated by subscriber, normal procedure

E.8.1.2 Exceptional procedure

The arrow diagram showing the interaction of the BCC protocol with DSS1 for the case: Call initiated by the subscriber (exceptional procedure) is given in figure E.2.




Figure E.2: ISDN call initiated by the subscriber, exceptional procedure

E.8.1.3 Simultaneous ISDN call set-up (from the same ISDN port)

The arrow diagram showing the interaction of the BCC protocol with DSS1 for the case: Simultaneous ISDN call set-up from one user port is given in figure E.3.




Figure E.3: Simultaneous ISDN call set-up from one ISDN user port

Page 146 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

E.8.2 ISDN call initiated by the network

E.8.2.1 B-channel negotiation not allowed (e.g. passive bus configuration)




Figure E.4: ISDN call initiated by the network, B-channel negotiation not allowed

The arrow diagram showing the interaction of the BCC protocol with DSS1 for the case: ISDN call initiated by the network (B-channel negotiation not allowed) is given in figure E.4.

E.8.2.2 B-channel negotiation allowed (e.g. point-to-point configuration)

The arrow diagram showing the interaction of the BCC protocol with DSS1 for the case: ISDN call initiated by the network (B-channel negotiation allowed) is given in figure E.5.





Figure E.5: ISDN call initiated by the network, B-channel negotiation allowed

E.8.2.3 ISDN call waiting supplementary service support

The arrow diagram showing the interaction of the BCC protocol with DSS1 for the case where no B-channel is available on the UNI: is given in figure E.6.



Note: At this point, an internal reallocation takes place in the LE, the resources (time slot and B-channel) being used by a port for a call are reallocated to a new call that has to be terminated at the very same end-point. The support of this ISDN supplementary service is an internal function of the LE (BCC resource management entity) involved, without any implication in the BCC protocol entity.

Figure E.6: ISDN call initiated by the network, call waiting supplementary service support

E.8.3 ISDN call release initiated by the subscriber

The arrow diagram showing the interaction of the BCC protocol with DSS1 for the case: Call release initiated by the subscriber is given in figure E.7.




Figure E.7: ISDN call release initiated by the subscriber

In the case of ISDN call clearing and bearer channel de-allocation, the protocols synchronization is not needed, therefore the sending of the DSS1 response to the DISCONNECT message is decoupled from the sending of the DE-ALLOCATION message.

Page 148 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

E.8.4 ISDN call release initiated by the network

The arrow diagram showing the interaction of the BCC protocol with DSS1 for the case: Call release initiated by the network is given in figure E.8.

In the case of ISDN call clearing and bearer channel de-allocation, the protocol synchronization is not needed, therefore the sending of the DE-ALLOCATION message is decoupled from the receipt of the DSS1 RELEASE message.




Figure E.8: ISDN call release initiated by the network

E.8.5 Terminal portability supplementary service support

The arrow diagram showing how the DSS1 messages SUSPEND and RESUME should be supported is given in figure E.9.




Figure E.9: Terminal portability supplementary service

E.9 Arrow diagrams: examples of BCC and PSTN protocol co-ordination

This Clause demonstrates the expected co-ordination between the BCC and the national PSTN entities. It does not give a complete list of the possibilities and is informative only.

E.9.1 PSTN call initiated by the subscriber

E.9.1.1 Normal procedure

The arrow diagram showing an example for the interaction of the BCC protocol with the PSTN protocol for the case: Call initiated by the subscriber (normal procedure) is given in figure E.10.




Figure E.10: PSTN call initiated by the subscriber, normal procedure

E.9.1.2 Exceptional procedure

The arrow diagram showing an example for the interaction of the BCC protocol with the PSTN protocol for the case: Call initiated by the subscriber (exceptional procedure) is given in figure E.11. After a ALLOCATE REJECT message from the AN, there can be subsequent attempts to allocate a bearer channel (e.g. controlled by a timer in the national protocol).




Figure E.11: PSTN call initiated by the subscriber, exceptional procedure

E.9.2 PSTN call initiated by the network

The arrow diagram showing an example for the interaction of the BCC protocol with the PSTN protocol for the case: Call initiated by the network is given in figure E.12.




Figure E.12: PSTN call initiated by the network

E.9.3 Call collision

E.9.3.1 Call Collision: Originating call has priority

The arrow diagram showing an example for the interaction of the BCC protocol with the PSTN protocol for the case: Call collision (originating call has priority) is given in figure E.13.




Figure E.13: PSTN call collision, originating call has priority

E.9.3.2 Terminating call has priority

The arrow diagram showing an example for the interaction of the BCC protocol with the PSTN protocol for the case: Call collision (terminating call has priority) is given in figure E.14.




Figure E.14: PSTN call collision, terminating call has priority

E.9.4 Call release

E.9.4.1 Call release initiated by the subscriber

The arrow diagram showing an example for the interaction of the BCC protocol with the PSTN protocol for the case: Call release initiated by the subscriber is given in figure E.15.




Figure E.15: PSTN call release initiated by the subscriber

E.9.4.2 Call release initiated by the network

The arrow diagram showing an example for the interaction of the BCC protocol with the PSTN protocol for the case: Call release initiated by the network is given in figure E.16.




Figure E.16: PSTN call release initiated by the network

Annex F (informative): Service scenarios, architecture and functional definition of access arrangements with AN at the LE

F.1 Conclusions on multiple V5 interface applications

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause E.1 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

F.2 Conclusions on architecture aspects

Any V5.2 interface may have a minimum of one, and a maximum of sixteen physical 2 048 kbit/s links.

The number and mix of V5.1 and V5.2 interfaces between any particular AN and LE is unlimited.

The ET layer 1 functions for the ISDN-BA service as defined in ETS 300 297 [4] are split amongst the AN and the LE (see figure 3 of this ETS).

The ET layer 1 functions for the ISDN-PRA service as defined in ETS 300 233 [10] are controlled by the AN.

Additional channel switching between the AN and the LE, e.g. by a separate cross connect, is allowed but without impact on the functionality of the V5.2 interface specified in this ETS. Cascading of ANs (i.e. by connecting them with a "V5 type" interface) has no impact on the functions of the V5.2 interface.

The scope of the V5 interface is not limited to ANs exclusively and should be independent of their architecture. Cross connect(s) between an AN and the LE are seen from the V5 interface as being an integral part of the AN.

The co-existence of interfaces V5.1, V5.2 and V3 is possible.

F.3 Implementation of QAN

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause E.3 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Annex G (informative): The concept and requirements for the upgrade of a V5.1 interface to a V5.2 interface

The contents of this annex are identical to Annex F of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Annex H (informative): PSTN protocol; explanatory notes and information flow

The contents of this annex are identical to Annex H of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Annex J (informative): AN requirements for pulse dialling

The contents of this annex are identical to Annex J of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Annex K (informative): Layer 3 error detection procedures

The contents of this annex are identical to Annex K of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Annex L (informative): SDL diagrams

These SDL diagrams reflect the procedures as described in the relevant Clauses. They do not cover all exceptional procedures, especially those for the system management procedures.

L.1 SDL diagrams for the AN side

L.1.1 System description




Figure L.1.1: V5.2 system overview AN-side (sheet 1 of 2)

Page 159 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.1.2: V5.2 system overview AN side (sheet 2 of 2)

Page 160 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.1.2 Block descriptions




Figure L.2: ISDN-PRA port status block AN-side





Figure L.3: Control protocol block AN-side

Page 162 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994



MPH-ID, MPH-IDR, MPH-NOR




Figure L.5: BCC protocol block AN-side

Page 164 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.6: Protection protocol block AN-side

Block AN_DL_BLK			1(1)
MDL-Establish-Conf MDL-Establish-Ind MDL-Release-Ind	SR_BCCDL_SYSMGT	[MDL-Establish-Req]	NOTE: see ETS 300 324-1 [8]
[see SR_BCC_DL]	SR_BCCDL_BCC	AN_BCC_DL	SR_BCCDL_LSTAT
MDL-Establish-Conf MDL-Establish-Ind MDL-Release-Ind	SR_PROTECTDL1_SYSMGT	[MDL-Establish-Req]	
Esee SR_PROTECT_DL	SR_PROTECTDL1_PROTECT	AN_PROTECT_DL_1	SR_PROTECTDL1_LSTAT
MDL-Establish-Conf MDL-Establish-Ind MDL-Release-Ind	SR_PROTECTDL2_SYSMGT	[MDL-Establish-Req]	
<	SR_PROTECTDL2_PROTECT	AN_PROTECT_DL_2	SR_PROTECTDL2_LSTAT
	SR_PPROTDL_SYSMGT	[(NOTE)]	
([(NOTE)]	SR_PPROTDL_PPROT	AN_PSTN_DL	SR_PPROTDL_LSTAT
[(NOTE)]	SR_CPROTDL_SYSMGT		
CL-DATA-IND(COMMON CT DL-DATA-IND(COMMON CT DL-DATA-IND(PORT CTRL),	RLACK), DL-DATA-REQ(COMMON CTRLACK), DL-DATA-REQ(PORT CTRL),	AN_CONTROL_DL	SR_CPROTDL_LSTAT
DL-DATA-IND(PORT CTRL')	ACK)DL-DATA-REQ(PORT CTRL'ACK) SR_LNKCTRLDL_SYSMGT	[MDL-Establish-Req]	
(SR_LNKCTRLDL_CPROT	AN_LNKCTRL_DL	SR_LNKCTRLDL_LSTAT
			,

Figure L.7: Data link block AN-side




Figure L.8: AN system management block

L.1.3 ISDN-PRA port status




Figure L.9.1: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (1 of 11)




Figure L.9.2: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (2 of 11)

Page 169 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.9.3: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (3 of 11)

Page 170 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.9.4: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (4 of 11)

Page 171 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.9.5: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (5 of 11)




Figure L.9.6: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (6 of 11)

Page 173 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.9.7: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (7 of 11)




Figure L.9.8: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (8 of 11)

Page 175 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.9.9: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (9 of 11)




Figure L.9.10: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (10 of 11)

Page 177 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.9.11: ISDN-PRA port status FSM AN-side (11 of 11)

Page 178 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.1.4 Link control protocol




Figure L.10.1: Link control protocol AN-side (1 of 3)

Page 179 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.10.2: Link control protocol AN-side (2 of 3)




Figure L.10.3: Link control protocol AN-side (3 of 3)

L.1.5 Link control FSM




Figure L.11.1: Link control FSM AN-side (1 of 8)

Page 182 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.11.2: Link control FSM AN-side (2 of 8)




Figure L.11.3: Link control FSM AN-side (3 of 8)

Page 184 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.11.4: Link control FSM AN-side (4 of 8)




Figure L.11.5: Link control FSM AN-side (5 of 8)

Page 186 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.11.6: Link control FSM AN-side (6 of 8)




Figure L.11.7: Link control FSM AN-side (7 of 8)

Page 188 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.11.8: Link control FSM AN-side (8 of 8)

L.1.6 BCC protocol




Figure L.12.1: BCC protocol FSM AN-side (1 of 3)




Figure L.12.2: BCC protocol FSM AN-side (2 of 3)

Page 191 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.12.3: BCC protocol FSM AN-side (3 of 3)

Page 192 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.1.7 Protection protocol




Figure L.13.1: Protection protocol FSM AN-side (1 of 7)

Page 193 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.13.2: protection protocol FSM AN-side (2 of 7)

Page 194 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.13.3: Protection protocol FSM AN-side (3 of 7)

Page 195 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994





Figure L.13.4: Protection protocol FSM AN-side (4 of 7)

Page 196 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994



Figur L.13.5: Protection protocol FSM AN-side (5 of 7)

Page 197 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.13.6: Protection protocol FSM AN-side (6 of 7)




Figure L.13.7: Protection protocol FSM AN-side (7 of 7)

Page 199 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.14: SDL procedures for protection protocol (1 of 1)

Page 200 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.1.8 System management procedures

L.1.8.1 Startup procedure




Figure L.15.1: Startup procedure AN-side (sheet 1 of 2)

Page 201 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.15.2: Startup procedure AN-side (2 of 2)

Page 202 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.1.8.2 Data link activation procedure




Figure L.16.1: DL activation procedure AN-side (1 of 2)

Page 203 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.16.2: DL activation procedure AN-side (2 of 2)

Page 204 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.1.8.3 Link identification procedure




Figure L.17.1: Link identification procedure AN-side (1 of 2)

Page 205 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.17.2: Link identification procedure AN-side (2 of 2)

Page 206 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.1.8.4 Restart procedure




Figure L.18.1: Restart procedure AN-side (1 of 2)




Figure L.18.2: Restart procedure AN-side (2 of 2)

L.1.8.5 Data link failure procedure




Figure L.19: Procedure for failure of control-DL (1 of 1)




Figure L.20: Procedure for failure of PSTN-DL (1 of 1)




Figure L.21: Procedure for failure of BCC-DL AN-side (1 of 1)




Figure L.22: Procedure for failure of Link-Control-DL (1 of 1)

Page 212 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.1.8.6 Re-provisioning procedure




Figure L.23.1: Reprovisioning procedures AN-side (1 of 3)

Page 213 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.23.2: Reprovisioning procedures AN-side (2 of 3)

Page 214 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.23.3: Reprovisioning procedures AN-side (3 of 3)

L.2 SDL diagrams for the LE side

L.2.1 System description




Figure L.24.1: System overview LE-side (sheet 1 of 2)

Page 216 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.24.2: System overview LE-side (sheet 2 of 2)

L.2.2 Block descriptions




Figure L.25: ISDN-PRA port status block




Figure L.26: Control protocol block LE-side




Figure L.27: Link control management block LE-side




Figure L.28: BCC protocol block LE-side




Figure L.29: Protection protocol block LE-side

Page 222 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.30: Data link block LE-side

Page 223 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.31: LE system management block

L.2.3 ISDN-PRA port status control




Figure L.32.1: ISDN-PRA port procedures LE-side (1 of 5)

Page 225 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.32.2: ISDN-PRA port procedures LE-side (2 of 5)




Figure L.32.3: ISDN-PRA port procedures LE-side (3 of 5)

Page 227 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.32.4: ISDN-PRA port procedures LE-side (4 of 5)




Figure L.32.5: ISDN-PRA port procedures LE-side (5 of 5)

L.2.4 Link control protocol




Figure L.33.1: Link control protocol procedure LE-side (1 of 3)




Figure L.33.2: Link control protocol procedure LE-side (2 of 3)




Figure L.33.3: Link control protocol procedure LE-side (3 of 3)

Page 232 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.2.5 Link control FSM




Figure L.34.1: Link control management procedures LE-side (1 of 6)




Figure L.34.2: Link control management procedures LE-side (2 of 6)

Page 234 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.34.3: Link control management procedures LE-side (3 of 6)




Figure L.34.4: Link control management procedures LE-side (4 of 6)

Page 236 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.34.5: Link control management procedures LE-side (5 of 6)




Figure L.34.6: Link control management procedures LE-side (6 of 6)

Page 238 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.2.6 BCC protocol




Figure L.35.1: The BCC protocol procedures LE-side (1 of 6)




Figure L.35.2: The BCC protocol procedures LE-side (2 of 6)




Figure L.35.3: The BCC protocol procedures LE-side (3 of 6)

Page 241 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.35.4: The BCC protocol procedures LE-side (4 of 6)

Page 242 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.35.5: The BCC protocol procedures LE-side (5 of 6)

Page 243 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.35.6: The BCC protocol procedures LE-side (6 of 6)

Page 244 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.2.7 Protection protocol




Figure L.36.1: The protection protocol procedure LE-side (1 of 8)

Page 245 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.36.2: The protection protocol procedure LE-side (2 of 8)

Page 246 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.36.3: The protection protocol procedure LE-side (3 of 8)




Figure L.36.4: The protection protocol procedure LE-side (4 of 8)

Page 248 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.36.5: The protection protocol procedure LE-side (5 of 8)

Page 249 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.36.6: The protection protocol procedure LE-side (6 of 8)




Figure L.36.7: The protection protocol procedure LE-side (7 of 8)

Page 251 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.36.8: The protection protocol procedure LE-side (8 of 8)

Page 252 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

L.2.8 System management procedures

L.2.8.1 Startup procedure

See subclause L.1.8.1.

L.2.8.2 Data link activation procedure

See subclause L.1.8.2.

L.2.8.3 Link identification procedure

See subclause L.1.8.3.


L.2.8.4 Restart procedure


See subclause L.1.8.4.

L.2.8.5 Data link failure procedure

See subclause L.1.8.5.







Page 254 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.37.2: Reprovisioning procedures LE-side (2 of 4)




Figure L.37.3: Reprovisioning procedures LE-side (3 of 4)

Page 256 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994




Figure L.37.4: Reprovisioning procedures LE-side (4 of 4)

Annex M (informative): Frame structures, message codepoints and addressing scheme for V5.2

V5-Envelope ISDN (NOTE 1) PSTN Control Frame LAPD-frame LAPF-frame concerning a concerning an ISDN port PSTN port or common V5 control function Flag Flag Flag Flag Flag Flag 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 Envelope 0 0 0 111111 11111100 111111 XXXXXX 0 0 0 0 0 0 (NOTE 2) (NOTE 2) Function * * * * * * * * * * * * * * 1110000 1110001 1110001 Address V5 SAPI DLCI C 0 111111 111111 111111 0 10 Data Link TEI 1110000 1110001 1110001 Address DLCI FECN BECN DE 1 Control Control Control Control Control Field Field Field Field Field Protocol Discrimin. Protocol Discrimin. Protocol Discrimin. 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 L3 Address 00 L3 Address L3 Address NOTE 3) NOTE 3) (NOTE 2) L3 Address L3 Address L3 Address message type message type message type ん ん ん ん ん λ А. ん A 2 \sim \sim \sim other other other information information information information information elements field field elements elements FCS FCS Flag 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0

Figures M.1 and M.2 show the possible structures of frames carried in the various communication channels and protocols.

NOTE 1: For the ISDN case, the address, control and information fields of the ISDN layer 2 frames are not changed at the V5.1 interface.

NOTE 2: For a given ISDN port, these address fields have the same values.

NOTE 3: For a given PSTN port, these address fields have the same value.

Figure M.1: Frame formats used in the V5.2 interface

Page 258 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

V5-Envelope				L10 000
	BCC protocol	Protection protocol	Link control protocol	
Frame				
Flag 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	Flag 0 1 1 1 1 1 0	Flag 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	Flag 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	
Envelope 0 0 Function				
Address 1	1110000 1	1110001 1	1110001 1	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1			o ata Link Idress
	Control Field	Control Field	Control Field	
	Protocol Discrimin. 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0	Protocol Discrimin. 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0	Protocol Discrimin. 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0	
	sid BCC Ref No	logical channel id	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	0 0 BCC Ref No 0 message type	logical channel id	L3 address field	
\downarrow \downarrow	\downarrow \downarrow	\downarrow \downarrow		
$\gamma \gamma$	$\gamma \gamma$			
	other	other	other	
	information	information	information	
	elements	elements	elements	
FCS				
FCS				
Flag				
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0				
	sid = source ID			
· ·		'	ļ	

Figure M.2: Additional frame formats used in the V5.2 interface

Table M.1 shows the message types allocated to the V5.2 interface.

Bits							Massaga types	
7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Message types	
0	0	0	-	-	-	-	PSTN protocol message types	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ESTABLISH	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	ESTABLISH ACKNOWLEDGE	
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	SIGNAL	
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	SIGNAL ACKNOWLEDGE	
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	DISCONNECT	
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	DISCONNECT COMPLETE	
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	STATUS ENQUIRY	
0	0	0	1	1	0	1	STATUS	
0	0	0	1	1	1	0	PROTOCOL PARAMETER	
0	0	1	0	-	-	-	Control protocol message types	
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	PORT CONTROL	
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	PORT CONTROL ACKNOWLEDGE	
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	COMMON CONTROL	
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	COMMON CONTROL ACKNOWLEDGE	
0	0	1	1	-	-	-	Protection protocol message types	
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	SWITCH-OVER REQUEST	
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	SWITCH-OVER COMMAND	
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	SWITCH-OVER ACKNOWLEDGE	
0	0	1	1	0	1	1	SWITCH-OVER REJECT	
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	OS SWITCH-OVER COMMAND	
0	1	0	-	-	-	-	BCC protocol message types	
0	1	0	0	-	-	0	ALLOCATION	
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	ALLOCATION COMPLETE	
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	ALLOCATION REJECT	
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	DE-ALLOCATION	
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	DE-ALLOCATION COMPLETE	
0	1	0	0	1	0	1	DE-ALLOCATION REJECT	
0	1	0	0	1	1	0	AUDIT	
0	1	0	0	1	1	1	AUDIT COMPLETE	
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	AN FAULT	
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	AN FAULT ACKNOWLEDGE	
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	PROTOCOL ERROR	
	•					-		
0	1	1	0	-	-	-	Link control protocol message types	
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	LINK CONTROL	
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	LINK CONTROL ACK	
NOT	E:	A	ll oth	er va	lues	are	reserved.	

Table M.1: Message codepoints used within the V5.2 interface

Page 260 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

Table M.2 shows the information elements allocated to the V5.2 interface.

Bits								Protocol	Information element	lement Reference	
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	V	ARIABLE LENGTH INFORMATION	ELEMENTS	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	PSTN S	sequence number	14	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		adenced ringing	14	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	PSTN P	ulsed signal	14	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	PSTN S	teady signal	14	
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	PSTN D	Vigit signal	14	
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		Recognition time	14	
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	PSTN E	nable autonomous acknowledge	14	
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		visable autonomous acknowledge	14	
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	PSTN C	ause	14	
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	PSTN R	lesource unavailable	14	
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	Control C	Control function element	15.4	
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	Control C	Control function identification	15.4	
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	Control V	'ariant	15.4	
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	Control In	nterface identification	15.4	
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	Link control Li	ink control function	16.3.2.2	
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	BCC U	Iser port identification	17.4.2.1	
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	BCC	SDN port channel identification	17.4.2.2	
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	BCC V	5 time slot identification	17.4.2.3	
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	BCC M	1ulti-slot map	17.4.2.4	
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	BCC R	leject cause	17.4.2.5	
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	BCC P	rotocol error cause	17.4.2.6	
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	BCC C	Connection incomplete	17.4.2.7	
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	Protection S	Sequence number	18.5.2	
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	Protection P	hysical C-channel identification	18.5.3	
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	Protection R	lejection cause	18.5.4	
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1		rotocol error cause	18.5.5	
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	INGLE OCTET INFORMATION ELE	MENTS	
1	0	0	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	PSTN Li	ine information	14	
1	0	0	1	Х	Х	Х	Х		itate	14	
1	0	1	0	Х	Х	Х			utonomous signalling sequence	14	
1	0	1	1	Х	Х	Х	Х	PSTN S	equence response	14	
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	PSTN E	nd of pulse	14	
1	1	1	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Control P	Performance grading	15.4	
1	1	1	1	Х	Х	Х	Х	Control R	ejection cause	15.4	
NOT	NOTE: All other values are reserved.										

Table M.2: Information elemements allocated to the V5.2 interface

Annex N (informative): Protocol architecture for PSTN and ISDN (BA and PRA) user port control

N.1 Scope

This annex describes the protocol architecture for the ISDN-BA and ISDN-PRA user port and PSTN user port status control information transfer.

N.2 ISDN-BA port status control

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause N.2 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

N.3 ISDN-PRA user port status control

N.3.1 Functional split between LE and AN

For those ISDN-PRAs which are not directly connected to the LE but remotely accessed via an AN, the ET layer 1 functionality is split between the LE and the AN.

In principle, the LE will only be informed about the layer 1 availability of the user port (operational/non-operational).

Since maintenance of the Access Digital Section and customer lines is the responsibility of the AN the operation of loopbacks or other tests of the digital section only will be controlled by the AN. Thus no information related to these functions shall be transmitted to the LE (FE-A-FE-Y). The correct identification of the port status is the responsibility of the AN port FSM which shall indicate this status to the LE.

N.3.2 Information transfer between LE and AN

Figure N.1 shows the protocol architecture model for ISDN-PRA user port control functions.




Figure N.1: Protocol architecture for ISDN-PRA port control functions

the bidirectional information transfer between the two user port FSMs, AN (ISDN-PRA) and LE (ISDN-PRA), function elements (FE20x) are used. They are carried on a layer 3 control protocol. This protocol includes an acknowledgement procedure to protect against loss of individual frames.

Page 262 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

N.3.3 Activation/deactivation

Since ISDN-PRAs are permanently activated there is no activation/deactivation procedure, i.e. the function elements related to activation/deactivation (FE10x) are not used in the V5.2 interface for ISDN primary rate user ports.

Layer 2 in the LE and the LE system management are only informed about operational status of the ISDN-PRA user port by PH-AI/DI and MPH-AI/DI primitives, respectively.

N.4 PSTN user port control

The contents of this Clause are identical to Clause N.3 of ETS 300 324-1 [8].

Annex P (informative): Protection protocol; explanatory notes and information flow

P.1 Additional information on the principles of the protection protocol

The AN may only request a switch-over, but the switch-over command (SWITCH-OVER COM or OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message) will always come from the LE side. On receipt of the switch-over command, the AN system management will only verify whether resources for a successful switch-over are available or not. The result will be notified to the LE by either a SWITCH-OVER ACK or a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message. The AN cannot check whether switch-over will be successful. If, for any reason, problems related to the switch-over procedure are identified later on, the AN may indicate this to the LE by issuing a new request to the LE.

Before a SWITCH-OVER command is sent from the LE to the AN, the LE system management/resource manager shall verify whether switch-over is, in principle, possible. If, for any reason, problems related to the switch-over procedure are identified later on, the LE may initiate a new switch-over by sending a new SWITCH-OVER command to the AN.

If a SWITCH-OVER ACK message, sent from the AN side, gets lost timer TSO1 or TSO2 will expire and the LE side will retransmit the SWITCH-OVER COM or OS-SWITCH-OVER COM message. Since switchover in the AN has already been performed, the AN will respond with a SWITCH-OVER REJECT message with the cause "requested allocation exists already". The LE system management shall regard this message as an acknowledgement of the switch-over in the AN and shall as a result perform switch-over in the LE.

Switch-over processes shall not be processed simultaneously. Thus, if a switch-over command is sent from the LE to the AN, the LE side has to wait for a response before a new SWITCH-OVER command may be sent, even if problems are identified in the meantime by the LE-side related to the previous SWITCH-OVER command.

If a failure is detected almost simultaneously, both LE and AN side may request a switch-over procedure at the same time. In this case contention is resolved in the LE since the LE is the master for the protection switch-over (see figure P.7).

P.2 Information flow

Figures P.1 to P.7 show some examples for the information flow of the Protection protocol.

Page 264 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

LE-initiated switch-over triggered autonomously by detected failure or by intervention of the operator is shown in figure P.1.




Figure P.1: LE initiated autonomous switch-over between physical C-channels

AN-initiated switch-over triggered autonomously by detected failure or by intervention of operator is shown in figure P.2.




Figure P.2: AN-initiated autonomous switch-over

Rejection of LE-initiated switch-over by AN is shown in figure P.3.





Figure P.3: Rejection of LE-initiated switch-over by AN


Rejection of AN-initiated switch-over by LE is shown in figure P.4.





Page 266 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994


initiated switch-over, retransmissions due to loss of a message, is shown in figure P.5.



NOTE: The figure shows an example, where no re-transmission in L2 occurs due to the nature of the failure condition.


Figure P.5: LE-initiated switch-over with retransmissions (message loss)

LE initiated switch-over, retransmissions due to loss of message is shown in figure P.6.



NOTE: The figure shows an example, where no re-transmission in L2 occurs due to the nature of the failure condition.

Figure P.6: LE initiated switch over (retransmissions due to message loss)



Switch-over initiated simultaneously by LE and AN side is shown in figure P.7.

Figure P.7: Switch-over initiated simultaneously by LE and AN

Annex Q (informative): Bibliography

- 1) CCITT Recommendation G.703 (1991): "Physical/electrical characteristics of hierarchical digital interfaces".
- 2) CCITT Recommendation G.704 (1991): "Synchronous frame structures used at primary and secondary hierarchical levels".
- 3) CCITT Recommendation G.706 (1991): "Frame alignment and cyclic redundancy check (CRC) procedures relating to basic frame structure defined in Recommendation G.704".
- 4) CCITT Recommendation G.921 (1988): "Digital sections based on the 2 048 kbit/s hierarchy".
- 5) CCITT Recommendation O.162 (1992): "Equipment to perform in-service monitoring on 2 048, 8 448, 34 368 and 139 264 kbit/s signals".
- 6) CCITT Recommendation Q.922 (1992): "ISDN data link layer specification for frame mode bearer services".
- 7) ITU-T Recommendation Q.933 (1993): "Layer 3 signalling specification for frame mode bearer service".
- 8) CCITT Recommendation Z.100 (1988): "Specification and description language (SDL)".
- 9) ETS 300 376-1: "Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS); Q3 interface at the Access Network (AN) for configuration management of V5 interfaces and associated user ports; Part 1: Q3 interface specification".
- 10) ETS 300 377-1: "Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS); Q3 interface at the Local Exchange (LE) for configuration management of V5 interfaces and associated customer profiles; Part 1: Q3 interface specification".
- 11) ETS 300 378-1: "Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS); Q3 interface at the Access Network (AN) for fault and performance management of V5 interfaces and associated user ports; Part 1: Q3 interface specification".
- 12) ETS 300 379-1: "Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS); Q3 interface at the Local Exchange (LE) for fault and performance management of V5 interfaces and associated customer profiles; Part 1: Q3 interface specification".
- 13) ETR 001 (1990): "ISDN subscriber access and installation maintenance".
- 14) ETR 080 (1993): "Transmission and Multiplexing (TM); ISDN basic rate access; Digital transmission system on metallic local lines".
- 15) ETR 150 (1994): "Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS); V5 interface; Sample mappings of national PSTN protocols".

Α

Access digital section 39, 42, 45, 131 Activation 39, 45, 130, 131, 133, 202, 203, 262 Anomalies 23 Auditing 76, 77, 87, 143

В

BCC 17, 18, 25-35, 57, 62, 68-81, 86-104, 128, 129, 132-136, 138-152, 163, 189, 190, 191, 210, 220, 238-243, 259, 260

Bearer channel 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24-29, 50, 54, 55, 68, 71-77, 81-83, 88-93, 99, 129, 138-144, 148, 150

Bearer service 21, 73, 75, 268

Block 24, 37-39, 41, 45, 47, 50, 52, 53, 56, 63, 74, 81, 82, 131, 135, 142, 160, 162-164, 217, 219-221 Blocking 19, 20, 22, 23, 39, 41-46, 50, 53, 54, 56, 57, 59, 60, 68, 101, 130, 132-134, 136, 138, 139, 141

С

Call collision 151 Communication channel 17, 28, 257 Communication path 17, 26, 29, 99 Continuity test 130 Control 15, 17-19, 22-36, 38-42, 45-47, 49, 51-67, 69, 88, 99-104, 115, 130, 132-136, 138, 139, 161, 162, 178-188, 208, 211, 218, 219, 229-237, 257, 259-261 Control protocol 17-19, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30-35, 46, 61-63, 65, 66, 88, 99, 101, 132-136, 161, 178-180, 218, 229-231, 259, 261 CRC 37, 45, 47, 48, 50, 268

D

Data link 17, 27, 30, 33, 54, 57, 100, 103, 104, 112, 116, 117, 132-136, 165, 222, 268

Ε

EFaddr 100, 103 Envelope function 27, 80

F

Failure localization 23, 42, 130 Fallback procedure 132 Finite state machine 19, 22, 23, 35, 38-60, 63, 88, 103, 106, 107, 126-128, 130, 131, 134, 136, 167-177, 181-198, 261 Frame relay 28, 40 Function element 37, 38, 45, 52, 55, 56, 260-262

G

Grading 23, 37, 38, 39, 45, 260

1

Interface ID 132, 134

Μ

Management 15, 22-24, 26, 27, 36, 37-42, 44, 46-48, 50-59, 63-66, 69, 82, 88-97, 100-107, 113, 115-125, 130-136, 138, 140, 143, 144, 147, 158, 162, 166, 219, 223, 232-237, 262, 263, 268 Manager 22, 44, 59, 60, 68, 89, 91, 92, 102, 128, 136, 263

Message type 15, 32, 34, 35, 61, 65, 73, 86, 87, 94, 108, 114, 115, 123, 259

Monitoring 23, 37, 45, 103, 268

Ν

National PSTN protocol 15, 139 NT1 15, 21, 23, 37, 42, 45

Ο

Operational 19, 24, 36, 38-44, 47-50, 52-57, 59, 60, 70, 92, 93, 99, 100, 102, 103, 114, 130, 134-136, 261, 262

Ρ

Permanent line 15, 21, 41, 128 Port maintenance 22 Port test 36 Primitive 38, 39, 44, 47, 50, 52, 53, 64-66, 89, 90-97, 103, 116-125, 134, 135, 140 Protocol discriminator 32, 65, 86, 87, 94, 115, 123 Provisioned 17, 24-26, 28, 45, 55, 84, 85, 88, 100, 102, 112-114, 129, 132, 133, 139, 141, 143 Provisioning 15, 20, 23, 25, 28, 51, 69, 102, 129, 131, 132, 136

R

Restart 54, 82, 89-93, 97-99, 119, 120, 122, 133-136, 206, 207

S

Startup 51, 100, 102, 107, 117, 132-134, 201 State transition table 41, 67, 71, 72, 96, 98, 99, 125-127 Supplementary service 147 System management 24, 44, 46, 47, 50-59, 63-66, 100-107, 113, 115-122, 125, 131, 133-136, 143, 144, 158, 166, 223, 262, 263

Т

Time slot 17, 18, 25, 27-29, 54, 55, 62, 68, 69, 73-78, 81-85, 88-92, 100, 102, 113, 116, 128, 138-143, 147, 260 Timer 48, 49, 64, 70, 89-93, 97, 106, 107, 115, 117-123, 125, 126, 127, 130, 133-135, 150, 263 Transmission quality 23

U

Unblock 37-40, 42-45, 50, 52-57, 59, 60, 63, 130, 132, 135 Upgrade 47

Page 270 ETS 300 347-1: September 1994

V

V3 37, 43, 153 V5 data link 33, 103 V5.1 20, 28, 47, 153, 257 V5DLaddr 31, 103 Variant 23, 131, 132, 134, 260

History

Document history					
September 1994	First Edition				
March 1996	Converted into Adobe Acrobat Portable Document Format (PDF)				