



Source: ETSI TC-SPS

ICS: 33.080

Key words: ISDN, SS7, MTP, testing

# FINAL DRAFT pr ETS 300 336

June 1996

Reference: T/S 43-17

# Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Signalling System No.7; Message Transfer Part (MTP); Test specification

[ITU-T Recommendations Q.781 and Q.782 (1993), modified]

# ETSI

European Telecommunications Standards Institute

### **ETSI Secretariat**

**Postal address:** F-06921 Sophia Antipolis CEDEX - FRANCE **Office address:** 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis - Valbonne - FRANCE **X.400:** c=fr, a=atlas, p=etsi, s=secretariat - **Internet:** secretariat@etsi.fr

Tel.: +33 92 94 42 00 - Fax: +33 93 65 47 16

**Copyright Notification:** No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 1996. All rights reserved.

\*

### Page 2 Final draft prETS 300 336: June 1996

### Foreword

This final draft European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) has been produced by the Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS) Technical Committee of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), and is now submitted for the Voting phase of the ETSI standards approval procedure.

Proposed transposition dates	
Date of latest announcement of this ETS (doa):	3 months after ETSI publication
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this ETS (dop/e):	6 months after doa
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	6 months after doa

## Endorsement notice

The text of ITU-T Recommendations Q.781 and Q.782 (1993) was approved by ETSI as an ETS with agreed modifications as given below.

NOTE: New or modified text is indicated using sidebars. In addition, underlining and/or strikeout are used to highlight detailed modifications where necessary. For the tests, bold font is used in addition to increase legibility.

Whilst every care has been taken in the preparation and publication of this document, errors in content, typographical or otherwise, may occur. If you have comments concerning its accuracy, please write to "ETSI Editing and Committee Support Dept." at the address shown on the title page.

### Global modifications to ITU-T Recommendations Q.781 and Q.782

Insert the following two clauses (scope and normative references):

### Scope

This European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) defines a set of detailed tests of the Signalling System No.7 Message Transfer Part (MTP) level 2 and level 3 protocol. These tests intend to validate the protocol specified in ETS 300 008-1 [1].

This ETS conforms to ITU-T Recommendation Q.780 [2] which describes the basic rules of the test specifications, however, it contains additional general principles specific to level 2 and level 3 tests, respectively.

### Normative references

This ETS incorporates by dated and undated reference, provisions from other publications. These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this ETS only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

[1] ETS 300 008-1: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Signalling System No.7; Message Transfer Part (MTP) to support international interconnection; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendations Q.701 (1993), Q.702 (1988), Q.703 to Q.706 (1993), Q.707 (1988) and Q.708 (1993), modified]".
 [2] ITU-T Recommendation Q.780 (1993): "Signalling System No.7 test

#### Throughout the text of ITU-T Recommendations Q.781 and Q.782

specification general description".

Replace references as shown below.

Reference in ITU-T Recommendations Q.781 and Q.782	Modified reference
ITU-T Recommendation Q.701	ITU-T Recommendation Q.701 as modified by ETS 300 008-1 [1]
ITU-T Recommendation Q.702	ITU-T Recommendation Q.702 as modified by ETS 300 008-1 [1]
ITU-T Recommendation Q.703	ITU-T Recommendation Q.703 as modified by ETS 300 008-1 [1]
ITU-T Recommendation Q.704	ITU-T Recommendation Q.704 as modified by ETS 300 008-1 [1]
ITU-T Recommendation Q.707	ITU-T Recommendation Q.707 as modified by ETS 300 008-1 [1]

# **Modifications to ITU-T Recommendation Q.781**

### Test number 1.5

FEST :	NUMBER: 1.5		PAGE: 1	OF 1	
REFEI	RENCE: Q.703 Clause 7	STD: Fig. 8; Fig. 9	l		
FITLE	: Link State Control – Experience	cted signal units/orders			
SUB T	TTLE: Normal alignment – o	correct procedure (FISU)			
PURP	OSE: To check normal align	ment procedure			
PRE-T	EST CONDITIONS: Link o	ut of service			
CONF	IGURATION: 1		TYPE OF	TEST: VAT, CPT	
MESS	AGE SEQUENCE:		I		
	SP B			SP A	
Link			Link		
		<	1 - 0	SIOS	
- 0	SIOS	>			
				: start	
		<	1 - 0	SIO	
- 0	SIO	>			
		<	1 - 0	SIN	
- 0	SIN	>			
		<	1 - 0	FISU	
- 0	FISU	>			
EST	DESCRIPTION				
1.	Start normal alignment proce	edure.			
2.	Check link aligns and enters	"In service" state.			
3.	Check that "In service" state	is maintained.			
4.	<ul> <li>use LSSU in point B wi</li> </ul>	ible to perform a normal alignment proce th a status field of 8 bits; th a status field of 16 bits.	dure in the followir	ig cases:	

### Test number 1.7

TEST NUMBER: 1.7	T NUMBER: 1.7 PAGE: 1 OF 1				
REFERENCE: Q.703	Clauses 7, 10.3	STD: Fig. 9; Fig. 17			
TITLE: Link State Co.	ntrol – Expected si	gnal units/orders			
SUB TITLE: SIO rece	ived during norma	l proving period			
PURPOSE: To test the	e response to the re	ception of an SIO during the no	rmal proving period	l	
PRE-TEST CONDITIC	ONS: Link out of s	service			
CONFIGURATION:	l		TYPE	OF TEST: VA	Т
EXPECTED SIGNAL	UNIT SEQUENCE	2:			
SP	В			SP	А
Link			Link		
		<	1 - 0	SIOS	
1-0 SIOS		>			
				: start	
		<	1 - 0	SIO	
1 – 0 SIO		>			
		<	1 - 0	SIN	
1-0 SIN		>			
					T4 Stopped
1-0 SIO (one	only)	>			Stopped
				L_	_
1-0 SIN		>			
		<	1 - 0		SIN
		<	1 - 0	FISU	T4(Pn)
TEST DESCRIPTION					
1. Send an SIO at	B during normal p	roving period.			
2. Check that new	normal period is e	ntered.			
I					

### Page 6 Final draft prETS 300 336: June 1996

# Test number 4.1

TEST NU	MBER: 4.1		PAGE: 1	OF 1
REFEREN	ICE: Q.703 Clause 8 S	ГD: Fig. 10	·	
TITLE: F	Processor outage control			
SUB TITL	E: Set and clear LPO while	ink in service		
PURPOSE	To check the ability to per	form correctly when LPO is set and re	ecovered	
	Γ CONDITIONS: Link in set	·		
PKE-IES	I CONDITIONS: Link in set	vice		
CONFIGU	JRATION: 1		TYPE OF	TEST: VAT
EXPECTE	D SIGNAL UNIT SEQUEN	CE:		
	SP B			SP A
Link			Link	
		<	1 - 0	FISU (FSN = 7F, BSN = 7F)
1 - 0	FISU (FSN = 7F, BSN = 7F)	>		
		accepted		
		<	1 - 0	MSU (1) (FSN = 0, BSN = 7F)
		<	1 - 0	MSU (2) (FSN = 1, BSN = 7F)
				: set LPO
1 - 0	MSU (FSN = 0, BSN = 0)	>		
		<	1 – 0	SIPO (FSN = <u>1</u> <del>0</del> , BSN = 7F)
<u>1 – 0</u>	$\frac{\mathbf{FISU}}{(\mathbf{FSN}=0, \mathbf{BSN}=0)}$	<u>&gt;</u>		
				: clear LPO
		<	1 - 0	MSU (3) (FSN = 1 <del>, BSN = 5</del> )
TEST DES	SCRIPTION			
1. Se	t LPO at A while link in servi	ce.		
2. Ch	eck that MSU from B is disca	rded.		
3. Cl	ear LPO at A <u>after at least 1,</u>	<u>2 s</u> .		
	eck that "old" messages are fl nt correctly.	ushed from level 2 buffers and not tra	insmitted on the lin	k. Check that new MSUs are

### Test number 5.3

			<u> </u>	
TEST NU	MBER: 5.3		PAGE: 1 C	0F 1
REFEREN	NCE: Q.703 Subclause 4.1	STD: Fig. 11		
TITLE: S	SU delimitation, alignment, er	ror detection and correction		
SUB TITL	E: Below minimum signal u	init length		
PURPOSE	E: To test the signal unit deli minimum length	mitation, alignment and error detectio	n action on receipt of	signal unit less than the
PRE-TES	T CONDITIONS: Link in se	rvice		
CONFIGU	JRATION: 1		TYPE OF 1	EST: VAT
EXPECTE	ED SIGNAL UNIT SEQUEN	CE:		
	SP B			SP A
Link			Link	
		<	1 - 0	FISU (BIB + BSN = FF)
1 – 0	FISU	>		
1-0	corrupt MSU (FIB + FSN = 80) (signal unit less than 6 octets)	>		
		<	1 - 0	FISU (BSN unchanged)
1 – 0	FISU	>		
TEST DES	SCRIPTION			
1. Ge	enerate a corrupt MSU at B of	Eless than 6 octet (i.e. less than 5 octet	s between flags).	
2. Ch	neck A discards the signal uni	t, and <u>may go goes</u> into octet counting	, mode.	
3. Or		check that A leaves the octet counting		red and remains in the "in

### Page 8 Final draft prETS 300 336: June 1996

# Test number 9.7

TEST NUN	/IBER: 9.7		PAGE: 10	OF 1
		11102.11		
REFEREN	CE: Q.703 Subclause 6.2	STD: Fig. 15		
TITLE: T	ransmission and reception contr	ol (PCR)		
SUB TITL	E: MSU transmission while RF	PO set		
PURPOSE	: To ensure correct performance	e while RPO is set		
PRE-TEST	CONDITIONS: Link in service	ce		
CONFIGU	RATION: 1		TYPE OF	TEST: VAT
EXPECTE	D SIGNAL UNIT SEQUENCE	:		
	SP B			SP A
Link		<	Link 1 – 0	FISU (FSN = 7F, BSN = 7F)
1 – 0	FISU (FSN = 7F, BSN = 7F)	> <	1 – 0	MSU
	: set LPO			(FSN = 0, BSN = 7F) : :
1 – 0	SIPO (FSN = 7F, BSN = 7F)	>		
	: clear LPO	<	1 - 0	FISU (FSN = 0, BSN = 7F) :
1 – 0	MSU (FSN = 0, BSN = 7F)	>		:
1 – 0	MSU	<>	1 - 0	FISU (FSN = <u><b>7F</b></u> <del>0</del> , BSN = 0)
1	(FSN = 0, BSN = 7F)	<	1 – 0	FISU (FSN = <u>7F</u> <del>0</del> , BSN = 0)
TEST DES	CRIPTION			
1. Ger	nerate an MSU at A.			
2. Ins	tead of sending positive acknow	ledgement, set and keep PO at B f	f <mark>or at least 1,2 s</mark> .	
3. Ch	eck A stops a retransmission of	the MSU and sends FISUs, and do	es not detect link fail	lure by the expiration of T7.
4. Cea	ase PO <u>after at least 1,2 s</u> and s	end an MSU with no positive ackn	nowledgement at B.	
5. Ch	eck A flushed its buffer and no o	old MSU is sent.		
6. Gei	nerate an MSU at B.			
7. Ch	eck A receives the MSU and res	ponds correctly.		

# Modifications to ITU-T Recommendation Q.782

### Test number 2.3

TEST NUMBER: 2.3		PAGE: 1 of 1				
REFERENCE: Q.704 subclause 2.4 Fig. 24, Fig. 25						
TITLE: Signalling message handling	TITLE: Signalling message handling					
SUBTITLE: Message received with an er	roneous SI (distribution function)					
PURPOSE: To check the response to a m	essage received with an erroneous SI					
PRE-TEST CONDITIONS: Signalling li	nkset activated					
CONFIGURATION: A	TYPE OF TEST: VAT	TYPE OF SP: ALL				
MESSAGE SEQUENCE:						
SP A		SP B				
Link	Link					
	< 1 – 1	:Invalid SLTM ( <u>erroneous</u> <del>invalid</del> SI)				
		· /				
TEST DESCRIPTION						
1. Send an SLTM message with a	n invalid SI.					
2. Check that no response is recei	ved <u>except perhaps a UPU (cause unequi</u>	pped) when the SI used does not exist.				

### Page 10 Final draft prETS 300 336: June 1996

# Test number 3.21

TEST NUI	TEST NUMBER: 3.21			PAGE: 1 of 1		
REFEREN	CE: Q.704 clause 5 Fig. 2	28, Fig. 29, Fig. 30				
TITLE: C	nangeover					
SUBTITLE	: Reception of a changeover of	rder on an available link				
PURPOSE:	To check the changeover proc	cedure on reception of a COO or ECO for a	link in servi	ce		
PRE-TEST	CONDITIONS: Linkset with	two available links				
СС	ONFIGURATION: A	TYPE OF TEST: VAT		TYPE OF SP: ALL		
MESSAGE	SEQUENCE:		1			
	SP A			SP B		
Link			Link			
:Start traffic	2					
1 – 1	TRAFFIC	>				
		<	1 - 1	TRAFFIC		
1 – 2	TRAFFIC	>				
		<	1 – 2	TRAFFIC		
		<	1 – 2	COO, SLC $1-1$ (FSN corresponding to the last received message)		
1 - 2	COA, SLC 1 – 1	>				
1 - 2	TRAFFIC (from 1 – 1)	>				
		<	1 – 2	TRAFFIC (from $1 - 1$ )		
:Wait						
:Stop traffi	с					
TEST DES	CRIPTION					
1.	Start traffic to B and C on all th	ne links.				
2.	Send a COO from B to A for 1	– 1 on link 1 – 2 and check that the COA is	received.			
3.	Check that the link 1 – 1 becom	nes unavailable.				
4.	Stop traffic and check that the changeover procedure has been performed.					
5.	Check that there was no loss of	messages, no duplication and no misseque	ncing.			
6.	Repeat the test but send an EC Some messages may be lost.	CO (instead of a COO) and check that <u>a C</u>	COA an EC/	A is received (instead of a COA).		

### Test number 4.5

TEST NUMBER: 4.5		PAGE: 1 of 1	l
REFERENCE: Q.704 clause 6, Fig. 2	28, Fig. 29, Fig. 31		
TITLE: Changeback			
SUBTITLE: No acknowledgement of re	epeat changeback declaration		
PURPOSE: To check that traffic is char	nged back after a repeat changeback declarati	on is not ackno	wledged
PRE-TEST CONDITIONS: Linkset wi	ith one available link		
CONFIGURATION: A	TYPE OF TEST: VAT	Ţ	ГҮРЕ OF SP: ALL
MESSAGE SEQUENCE:			
SP A			SP B
Link :Start traffic		Link	
1 – 2 TRAFFIC	>	1 – 2	TRAFFIC
1 – 1 :Activate 1 – 2 CBD, SLC 1 – 1	>		
$1 - 2 \qquad CBD, SLC 1 - 1$ $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ $1 - 2 \qquad CBD, SLC 1 - 1$ $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	>		
1 – 1 TRAFFIC (from 1 – 2	2)> <>	1 – 1	TRAFFIC (from 1 – 2, see Note)
1 – 2 TRAFFIC	> <>	1 - 2	TRAFFIC
:Wait :Stop traffic NOTE – B may perform a changeback or	r not.		
TEST DESCRIPTION			
<ol> <li>Start traffic to B and C on linl</li> <li>Check that a CBD is received</li> </ol>			
3. Check that after T4, a CBD is	repeated and not acknowledged by a CBA.		
	c is changed back on link 1 – 1.		
-	ere were no lost messages, no duplication and	no missequenc	ing.
6. Check that the duration of T5	is inside the specified range.		

### Page 12 Final draft prETS 300 336: June 1996

# Test number 4.11

TEST NUMBER: 4.11				PAGE: 1 of 1		
REFEREN	REFERENCE: Q.704 clause 6, Fig. 28, Fig. 29, Fig. 31					
TITLE: C	hangeback					
SUBTITLE	E: Time controlled diversion	procedure				
PURPOSE	: To check the correct operati	on of the time controlled di	version procedu	re		
PRE-TEST	CONDITIONS: Linksets 1,	2 and 4 unavailable				
CC	ONFIGURATION: B	TYPE OF TEST:	VAT, CPT	T	YPE OF SP: ALL	
MESSAGE	E SEQUENCE:			·		
	SP A		SP B		SP C	
Link		Link		Link		
:Start traffi	с					
3 – 1	TRAFFIC		>			
	(to D and E)					
		<		3 – 1	TRAFFIC (from D and E)	
3 – 2	TRAFFIC (to D and E)		>			
	(10 2 4110 2)	<		3 - 2	TRAFFIC (from D and E)	
2 - 1	:Activate (depending of	of the deactivation mean pre	viously used)			
	1/2 T21	Ĩ	<b>,</b>			
	1/2 TRA	>				
	1/2	< 2-1	«TRA»			
3 – 1,	2 TRAFFIC STOPPED					
	1/2					
	1/2 T3					
2 – 1	<sup>1</sup> ∕₂ TRAFFIC	\[         \]     \[         \[         \]     \[				
2-1	(from  3 - 1, 2)	>				
		< 2-1	TRAFFIC (fr	om D, see Note)		
2 - 1,	2 TRAFFIC					
		<		3 - 1, 2	TRAFFIC (from E)	
:Wait						
:Stop traffi	с					
_	performs the point restart pro	cedure and D on reception	of a TFA for A	reroutes its traffic	c to A. These procedures are	
	ed to simplify the test descript			reroutes his truth	to m. mese procedures are	
TEST DE	SCRIPTION					
		T) on linkset 3				
	<ol> <li>Start traffic to E (and D in VAT) on linkset 3.</li> <li>Activate link 2 – 1.</li> </ol>					
	Check that traffic on linkset 3	ceased in A and that after e	xpiration T3 tra	ffic diverts to link	2 - 1 in accordance with the	
	load sharing rules in A. Stop traffic and check that the	re were no lost messages no	duplication and	d no missequenci	ισ	
	Check that the duration of T3			a no missequenen	·o·	
7.	Repeat the test (in VAT) with			hat the time cont	rolled diversion is performed	
	when T21 expires.					

### Test number 8.2

TEST NUMBER: 8.2		PAGE:	1 of 1		
REFERENCE: Q.704 clause 11, subclause 12.6, Fig. 46A					
TITLE: Signalling traffic flow control					
SUBTITLE: Sending of TFCs					
PURPOSE: To check the detection of a	level 3 congestion				
PRE-TEST CONDITIONS: All links	wailable				
CONFIGURATION: C	TYPE OF TEST:	VAT	TYPE	OF SP: STP	
MESSAGE SEQUENCE:		<b>i</b>			
SP B SP	A			SP C	
Link Link :Start traffic			Link		
	/2 E )> 2 - 1 (n 1 - 1 <		2 – 1	TRAFFIC (< n E)	
``````````````````````````````````````	,	E)>	2 – 1	TRAFFIC (< n E)	
:Wait					
<	1 – X TFC,	DPC = C			
	•				
		ne TFC each 8 messa one TFC each 256 c	-		
<	1 – X TFC,				
	•				
	•				
	<u>2 E)</u> > 2 − 1 1 − 1 <		0 1		
	$\frac{1-1}{2E} = \frac{2-1}{2-1} = \frac{2-1}{2-1}$		2 - 1	TRAFFIC	
E)	<u>2</u> L)> 2-1				
	1-2 <		2 - 1	TRAFFIC (< n E)	
:Wait					
:Stop traffic					
NOTE – n is the maximum load capacity of linkset 2. The traffic model used in this test is described in Table 2/Q.706.					
TEST DESCRIPTION					
1. Start traffic to C with a load	exceeding n/2 erlang on links	1 - 1 and $1 - 2$ (n is	the maximum	load that the link 2 may	
2. carry without congestion).	ffic flow control procedure is	started in A Check	that a TFC m	essage concerning C is	
received for each 8 messages	received or each 256 octects re	ceived in B during the		essage concerning e is	
4. Check that the congestion dis	lang or less on links 1 – 1 and 1 appears and that no TFC is rece				
<ol> <li>Stop traffic.</li> <li>Check that the traffic from C</li> </ol>	to B has not been disturbed.				

### Page 14 Final draft prETS 300 336: June 1996

# Test number 9.1.1

TEST NUMBER: 9.1.1			PAGE: 1 of 1			
REFEREN	VCE: Q.704 clause 13, Fig. 29	9, Fig. 44				
TITLE: S	Signalling route management					
SUBTITL	E: Sending of a TFP on an alte	ernative route – Failure of normal linkset				
PURPOSE	E: To check the sending of a T	FP on the alternative route when the normal	linkset becomes unavailable			
PRE-TEST	Γ CONDITIONS: All linksets	available				
CO	ONFIGURATION: D	TYPE OF TEST: VAT, CPT	TYPE OF SP: STP			
MESSAG	E SEQUENCE:					
	SP A	SP B	SPC SP•			
Link Start traff:		Link L	ink Link			
1 – 1		> 5-1				
	(from A and F)	6 – 1				
2 - 1	1 TRAFFIC (from A and F)	> 7-1	> SP E			
1 – 1	l :Deactivate (MML co	mmand or failure)				
2 –	1 TFP, $PC = B$	>				
2		(this TFA is sent via C)				
2 – 2	1 TFP, $PC = D$	>				
2	$\frac{1}{1}$ TFA, PC = D	(this TFA is sent via C)				
2 – 2	1 TRAFFIC	> 7-	1> SP E			
	(from 1 – 1)	8 -	1> SP D			
:Wait :Stop traff	ic					
NOTE – A changeover procedure is performed after deactivation of link $1 - 1$ but is not described in this transfer prohibited test.						
TEST DESCRIPTION						
1. Start traffic to D and E on linkset 1 and 2						
2.	Deactivate link $1 - 1$ and check that TFPs concerning B and D are sent from A to C (alternative route to reach B and D). Check that no TFP concerning E is sent from A to C (load sharing between linksets 1 and 2 in A to reach E). Check that TFAs concerning B and D are sent from A to B (via C).					
3.	Check that time out T8 is started for each TFP sent.					
4.	Check that traffic to D and E is	diverted to C.				
5.	Stop traffic and check that it w	as not disturbed.				

### Test number 9.1.2

TEST NUMBER: 9.1.2			PAGE: 1 of 1				
REFERENCE: Q.704 clause 13, Fig. 29, Fig. 44							
TITLE: S	ignalling route management						
SUBTITL	E: Sending of a TFP on an alte	ernative route – On reception	on of a TFP				
PURPOSE	C: To check the sending of a TFP	TFP on the alternative rout	e when the norm	nal route become	s unavailable oi	n recep	tion of a
PRE-TEST	Γ CONDITIONS: Linkset 4 u	navailable					
CONFIGURATION: D TYPE OF TEST: VAT, CPT				FYPE OF SP:	STP		
MESSAG	E SEQUENCE:	•					
	SP A	SI	P B	SP C		SP	•
Link Start traff:		Liı	nk I	Link	Link		
1 - 2 2 - 2	(from A and F)	> 5 - 6 -	- 1	>		SP SP SP	D E E
See No	ote	5 1 -	– 1 :Deactiva – 1 TFP, PC				
$2 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}$ 1 - 1	$\frac{1}{TFA, PC = D}$	> 6	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	>		SP	Е
2 – 1		m 1 – 1 to D)		1> 1>		SP SP	D E
:Wait :Stop traff	c forced rerouting is performed	after the recention of TEP	for D in A but it	is not described	in this transfer i	prohibi	ted
test.				is not described		promo	
	CRIPTION						
1. 2.	Start traffic to D and E.						
3.	Deactivate link 5 – 1 and check that a TFP concerning D is sent to A. Check that a TFP concerning D is received from A and that traffic to D is diverted via C. Check that a TFA concerning D is sent from A to B.						
4.	Check that a time out T8 is started.						
5.	Stop traffic and check that traffic to E has not been disturbed. Some messages to D may have been lost.						

## Page 16 Final draft prETS 300 336: June 1996

### Test number 10.3

Delete test number 10.3. It is not part of this ETS.

### Test number 13.7

REFERENCE:       Q.704 clause 15         TITLE:       Unusual Invalid messages					
TITLE: Unusual Invalid messages					
SUBTITLE: Unusual Invalid signalling route management messages					
PURPOSE: To check the actions of the system on reception of unusual invalid TFA or TFP					
PRE-TEST CONDITIONS: Link 1 – 1 available 2 – 1 available					
CONFIGURATION: A TYPE OF TEST: VAT TYPE OF SP: ALL					
MESSAGE SEQUENCE:					
SP A SP B					
Link Link					
:Start traffic					
1 – 1 TRAFFIC>					
< 1 – 1 TRAFFIC < 1 – 1 TFP, PC = X					
(non-existing PC)					
< 1 – 1 TFA, PC = X (non-existing PC)					
< 1 - 1  TFP, PC = C  (non-existing OPC)					
$< 1 - 1   TFP, PC = C   (spare bits \neq 00)$					
2-1 :Deactivate					
< 1 – 1 TFP, PC = C < 1 – 1 TFA, PC = C					
< 1 - 1   TFA, PC = C   (non-existing OPC)					
$< 1 - 1   TFA, PC = C   (spare bits \neq 00)$					
1 – 1 TRAFFIC>					
< 1 – 1 TRAFFIC					
:Wait					
:Stop traffic					
TEST DESCRIPTION					
1. Start traffic to B and C.					
Send TFPs and TFAs with <u>unusual</u> invalid values to A (as described above). Check that these messages are discarded without impact on the traffic (except for spare bits $\neq 0$ ).					
3. Deactivate linkset 2 and check that $\underline{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{B}$ becomes inaccessible.					
4. Send TFAs concerning C with <u>unusual</u> invalid values to A (as described above) and check that these mes discarded without impact on the traffic.	Send TFAs concerning C with <u>unusual</u> invalid values to A (as described above) and check that these messages are discarded without impact on the traffic.				
Check the indications are given by the system (except for SLC and spare bits 0).					
6. Stop traffic.					

### Page 18 Final draft prETS 300 336: June 1996

# Test number 13.8

TEST NU	TEST NUMBER: 13.8 PAGE: 1 of 1				
REFERENCE: Q.704 clause 15					
TITLE: Unusual Invalid messages					
SUBTITLE: <u>Unusual</u> Invalid Signalling-Route-Set-Test messages					
PURPOSE: To check the actions of the system on reception of unusual invalid RST messages					
PRE-TEST	Γ CONDITIONS: Link 1 – 1				
C	ONFIGURATION: A	TYPE OF TEST: VAT		TYPE OF SP: STP	
MESSAG	E SEQUENCE:				
	SP A			SP B	
Link :Start traff			Link		
1 – 1		>			
		<	1 - 1 1 - 1	TRAFFIC RST, PC = X	
		<	1 – 1	(non-existing PC) RST, PC = C (non-existing OPC)	
ĺ		<	1 – 1	RST, PC = C (spare bits $\neq 00$ )	
1 – 1	I TRAFFIC	>			
		<	1 – 1	TRAFFIC	
:Wait :Stop traff	ic				
-					
TEST DESCRIPTION					
1.	Start traffic to B and C.				
2.	Send to A the <u>unusual</u> invalid the traffic <u>(except for spare b</u> i	messages described above and check that t its $\neq 0$ .	hese mess	ages are discarded without impact on	
3.	Stop traffic.				

# History

Document history				
May 1993	Public Enquiry	PE 42:	1993-05-24 to 1993-10-15	
June 1996	Vote	V 106:	1996-06-24 to 1996-08-30	