



EUROPEAN
TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARD

ETS 300 052-4

May 1997

Source: ETSI TC-SPS

Reference: DE/SPS-05061-B-4

ICS: 33.020

Key words: ISDN, DSS1, supplementary service, MSN, testing, ATS, PIXIT, user

**Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN);
Multiple Subscriber Number (MSN) supplementary service;
Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol;
Part 4: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol
Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma
specification for the user**

ETSI

European Telecommunications Standards Institute

ETSI Secretariat

Postal address: F-06921 Sophia Antipolis CEDEX - FRANCE

Office address: 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis - Valbonne - FRANCE

X.400: c=fr, a=atlas, p=etsi, s=secretariat - **Internet:** secretariat@etsi.fr

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 - Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Copyright Notification: No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 1997. All rights reserved.

Contents

Foreword	5
1 Scope	7
2 Normative references	7
3 Definitions and abbreviations	8
3.1 Definitions	8
3.2 Abbreviations	8
4 Abstract Test Method (ATM)	9
5 Untestable test purposes.....	9
6 ATS conventions	9
6.1 Declarations part.....	9
6.1.1 Type definitions	9
6.1.1.1 Simple type definitions.....	9
6.1.1.2 Structured type definitions	10
6.1.1.2.1 TTCN structured type definitions	10
6.1.1.2.2 ASN.1 structured type definitions.....	10
6.1.1.3 ASP type definitions.....	10
6.1.1.3.1 TTCN ASP type definitions	10
6.1.1.3.2 ASN.1 ASP type definitions	11
6.1.1.4 PDU type definitions	11
6.1.1.4.1 TTCN PDU type definitions.....	11
6.1.1.4.2 ASN.1 PDU type definitions	11
6.1.2 Test suite constants	11
6.1.3 Test suite parameters	11
6.1.4 Variables	11
6.1.4.1 Test suite variables.....	11
6.1.4.2 Test case variables.....	11
6.1.5 Test suite operation definitions.....	11
6.1.6 Alias definitions	11
6.2 Constraints part.....	12
6.2.1 Structured type constraint declaration.....	12
6.2.2 ASN.1 type constraint declaration	12
6.2.3 ASP type constraint declaration	12
6.2.3.1 ASN.1 ASP type constraint declaration	12
6.2.3.2 TTCN ASP type constraint declaration	12
6.2.4 PDU type constraint declaration	12
6.2.4.1 ASN.1 PDU type constraint declaration.....	12
6.2.4.2 TTCN PDU type constraint declaration	12
6.2.5 Chaining of constraints.....	12
6.2.5.1 Static chaining	12
6.2.5.2 Dynamic chaining	12
6.2.6 Derived constraints.....	13
6.2.7 Parameterized constraints.....	13
6.2.8 Value assignment.....	13
6.2.8.1 Specific values.....	13
6.2.8.2 Matching values.....	13
6.3 Dynamic part.....	13
6.3.1 Test cases.....	13
6.3.2 Test steps.....	13
6.3.3 Defaults	13
7 ATS to TP map.....	13

8	PCTR conformance	14
9	PIXIT conformance	14
10	ATS conformance	14
	Annex A (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma	15
A.1	Identification summary	15
A.1.1	Protocol conformance test report	15
A.1.2	IUT identification	15
A.1.3	Testing environment	15
A.1.4	Limits and reservations	16
A.1.5	Comments	16
A.2	IUT conformance status	16
A.3	Static conformance summary	16
A.4	Dynamic conformance summary	16
A.5	Static conformance review report	17
A.6	Test campaign report	17
A.7	Observations	17
	Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma	18
B.1	Identification summary	18
B.2	Abstract test suite summary	18
B.3	Test laboratory	18
B.4	Client (of the test laboratory)	19
B.5	System Under Test (SUT)	19
B.6	Protocol information	20
B.6.1	Protocol identification	20
B.6.2	IUT information	20
B.6.2.1	Parameters	20
B.6.2.2	Parameter values	20
B.6.2.3	Procedural information	20
	Annex C (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS)	21
C.1	The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR)	21
C.1.1	ATS for basic access	21
C.1.2	ATS for primary rate access	21
C.2	The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP)	21
C.2.1	ATS for basic access	21
C.2.2	ATS for primary rate access	21
	Annex D (informative): General structure of ATS	22
	History	23

Foreword

This European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) has been produced by the Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS) Technical Committee of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI).

This ETS is part 4 of a multi-part standard covering the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol specification for the Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) Multiple Subscriber Number (MSN) supplementary service, as described below:

Part 1: "Protocol specification";

Part 2: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification";

Part 3: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the user";

Part 4: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification for the user";

Part 5: "TSS&TP specification for the network";

Part 6: "ATS and partial PIXIT proforma specification for the network".

Transposition dates	
Date of adoption:	23 May 1997
Date of latest announcement of this ETS (doa):	31 August 1997
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this ETS (dop/e):	28 February 1998
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	28 February 1998

Blank page

1 Scope

This fourth part of ETS 300 052 specifies the Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma for the User side of the T reference point or coincident S and T reference point (as defined in ITU-T Recommendation I.411 [10]) of implementations conforming to the stage three standard for the Multiple Subscriber Number (MSN) supplementary service for the pan-European Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) by means of the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol, ETS 300 052-1 [2].

ETS 300 052-3 [4] specifies the Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) related to this ATS and partial PIXIT proforma specification. Other parts specify the TSS&TP and the ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for the Network side of the T reference point or coincident S and T reference point of implementations conforming to ETS 300 052-1 [2].

2 Normative references

This ETS incorporates by dated and undated reference, provisions from other publications. These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this ETS only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

- [1] ETS 300 102-1: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); User-network interface layer 3; Specifications for basic call control".
- [2] ETS 300 052-1 (1991): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Multiple Subscriber Number (MSN) supplementary service; Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol; Part 1: Protocol specification".
- [3] ETS 300 052-2 (1995): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Multiple Subscriber Number (MSN) supplementary service; Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol; Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification".
- [4] ETS 300 052-3: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Multiple Subscriber Number (MSN) supplementary service; Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol; Part 3: Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the user".
- [5] ISO/IEC 9646-1: "Information technology - OSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework; Part 1: General Concepts".
- [6] ISO/IEC 9646-2: "Information technology - OSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework; Part 2: Abstract Test Suite Specification".
- [7] ISO/IEC 9646-3: "Information technology - OSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework; Part 3: The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation".
- [8] ISO/IEC 9646-4: "Information technology - OSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework; Part 4: Test realization".
- [9] ISO/IEC 9646-5: "Information technology - OSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework; Part 5: Requirements on test laboratories and clients for the conformance assessment process".
- [10] ITU-T Recommendation I.411 (1993): "ISDN user-network interfaces - Reference configurations".

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of this ETS, the following definitions apply:

Abstract Test Suite (ATS): See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

Implementation Under Test (IUT): See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

Lower Tester (LT): See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

Point Of Control And Observation (PCO): See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS): See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

PICS proforma: See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

Protocol Implementation Extra Information For Testing (PIXIT): See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

PIXIT proforma: See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

System Under Test (SUT): See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

Upper Tester (UT): See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [5].

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this ETS, the following abbreviations apply:

ASP	Abstract Service Primitive
ATM	Abstract Test Method
ATS	Abstract Test Suite
BER	Basic Encoding Rules
ExTS	Executable Test Suite
IUT	Implementation Under Test
LT	Lower Tester
MOT	Means Of Testing
MSN	Multiple Subscriber Number
PCO	Point of Control and Observation
PCTR	Protocol Conformance Test Report
PDU	Protocol Data Unit
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
PIXIT	Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing
SUT	System Under Test
TCP	Test Co-ordination Procedures
TP	Test Purpose
TTCN	Tree and Tabular Combined Notation
UT	Upper Tester

4 Abstract Test Method (ATM)

The remote test method is applied for the MSN user ATS. The Point of Control and Observation (PCO) resides at the service access point between layers 2 and 3. This PCO is named "L" (for Lower). The L PCO is used to control and observe the behaviour of the Implementation Under Test (IUT) and test case verdicts are assigned depending on the behaviour observed at this PCO.




Figure 1: Remote test method

ISO/IEC 9646-2 [6] allows the informal expression of Test Co-ordination Procedures (TCP) between the System Under Test (SUT) upper layer(s) and the Lower Tester (LT). In the ATS contained in annex C, TCP is achieved by use of a second "informal" PCO, called "O" (for Operator). This PCO is used to specify control but not observation above the IUT and consequently, events at this PCO are never used to generate test case verdicts. The use of this O PCO is regarded as a preferred alternative to the use of the implicit send event, in that it allows the ATS to specify in a clear and meaningful way what actions are required to be performed on the IUT.

5 Untestable test purposes

There are no untestable test purposes associated with this ATS.

6 ATS conventions

This clause is structured similarly to the structure of a TTCN ATS. However, the names of the subclauses are arranged in a way more suitable to this ETS.

6.1 Declarations part

6.1.1 Type definitions

6.1.1.1 Simple type definitions

Where appropriate, simple types have a length, a value list or a range restriction attached.

Simple types defined as being of some string type (e.g. BIT STRING, OCTET STRING), have a length restriction or a value list attached.

Simple types, defined as being of INTEGER type, have a value list or a range restriction attached.

6.1.1.2 Structured type definitions

6.1.1.2.1 TTCN structured type definitions

All structured type definitions are provided with a full name.

All elements in every structured type definition, defined as being of some string type (e.g. BIT STRING, OCTET STRING), have a length restriction attached.

If an element in a structured type definition is defined as being of a referenced type, the (possible) restriction is defined in that referenced type.

For information elements the identifier, which is unique for each element, has its type defined as a simple type where the value list is restricted to the single value which is the identifier itself. This has the advantage that it allows a test system derived from this ATS to easily identify information elements embedded in messages. An ATS where information element identifiers are represented as unrestricted types can present difficulties for a derived test system in the case where it needs to find one information element embedded in a number of others and the constraints for the other elements have the any-or-omit value. In such a case the test system cannot easily find the beginning of each information element.

6.1.1.2.2 ASN.1 structured type definitions

There are no ASN.1 structured type definitions in the ATS.

6.1.1.3 ASP type definitions

6.1.1.3.1 TTCN ASP type definitions

TTCN ASP type definitions only contain one PDU or no PDU at all. The relationship between an ASP type and a PDU type is one-to-one. That is, there exists one ASP type definition for each PDU type definition (if that ASP type contains a PDU).

All TTCN ASP type definitions are provided with a full identifier.

Some ASPs are not parameterized as shown in the example in table 1. Such ASPs are only used for requesting or receiving service from the lower layer.

Table 1: TTCN ASP type definition DL_REL_IN

TTCN ASP Type Definition		
ASP NAME : DL_REL_IN (DL_RELEASE_INDICATION)		
PCO Type : SAP		
Comments :		
Parameter Name	Parameter Type	Comments
Detailed Comments :		

Table 2 shows an example of a parameterized ASP. All ASPs containing PDUs contain only that PDU and no other parameters.

Table 2: TTCN ASP type definition DL_DATA_RQ

TTCN ASP Type Definition		
ASP NAME : DL_DATA_RQ (DL_DATA_Request)		
PCO Type : SAP		
Comments : CEId: = (SAPI,CES) mapped onto DLCI: = (SAPI,TEI) ASP is used to request the transmission of layer 3 PDUs		
Parameter Name	Parameter Type	Comments
mun (MessageUnit)	PDU	
Detailed Comments :		

6.1.1.3.2 ASN.1 ASP type definitions

There are no ASN.1 ASP type definitions in the ATS.

6.1.1.4 PDU type definitions

6.1.1.4.1 TTCN PDU type definitions

The TTCN PDU type reflects the actual data being transferred or received. All PDUs are embedded in ASPs.

If a specific PDU type definition contains elements defined in terms of a pre-defined type, that element has a restriction attached to it.

6.1.1.4.2 ASN.1 PDU type definitions

There are no ASN.1 PDU type definitions in the ATS.

6.1.2 Test suite constants

No test suite constants are used or defined in this ATS.

6.1.3 Test suite parameters

Each test suite parameter is defined in terms of a predefined type or a referenced type. A referenced type is used when it is necessary to attach restrictions to these type definitions (it is not allowed to include restrictions directly in the test suite parameter table). The referenced type can have a length or value restriction attached to it in its declaration table.

6.1.4 Variables

6.1.4.1 Test suite variables

No test suite variables are used or defined in this ATS.

6.1.4.2 Test case variables

Each test case variable is defined in terms of a predefined type or a referenced type. A referenced type is used when it is necessary to attach restrictions to these type definitions (it is not allowed to include restrictions directly in the test case variable table). The referenced type can have a length or value restriction attached to it in its declaration table.

Where test case variables are used in constraints, they are passed as formal parameters.

6.1.5 Test suite operation definitions

No test suite operations are used or defined in this ATS.

6.1.6 Alias definitions

Alias definitions are used to highlight the exchange of PDUs embedded in ASPs.

EXAMPLE: Alias "SETUP" is expanded with "DL_DATA_RQ" to send a SETUP PDU constraint by ASP constraint Ms(SU_{nn}(Par,Par)).

6.2 Constraints part

6.2.1 Structured type constraint declaration

For every structured type definition there exists one or more structured type constraint.

6.2.2 ASN.1 type constraint declaration

No ASN.1 type constraint declaration exists in this ATS.

6.2.3 ASP type constraint declaration

6.2.3.1 ASN.1 ASP type constraint declaration

No ASN.1 ASP type constraint declaration exists in this ATS.

6.2.3.2 TTCN ASP type constraint declaration

For TTCN ASP constraint declarations there is a one-to-one relationship between its type and the constraint. That is, there is only one constraint for each TTCN ASP type declaration. The reason for this is that the ASPs are used only for carrying PDU values.

6.2.4 PDU type constraint declaration

6.2.4.1 ASN.1 PDU type constraint declaration

No ASN.1 PDU type constraint declaration exists in this ATS.

6.2.4.2 TTCN PDU type constraint declaration

PDU constraints are used for assigning values or patterns to the data being sent or received.

6.2.5 Chaining of constraints

6.2.5.1 Static chaining

Static chaining, that is a fixed reference to a specific constraint, is used in this ATS. The static chaining is used for static binding of both variables and sub-structures.

6.2.5.2 Dynamic chaining

Dynamic chaining is achieved when having a reference to a value which is unknown. The only thing known (before run-time) is the type of that reference. The reference is passed as a parameter. Strict dynamic chaining is not used in this ATS. What is used is something that is called "semi-dynamic chaining". The definition of semi-dynamic chaining is that the fixed reference is parameterized with an unknown value. That value is received as a parameter.

Table 3: TTCN ASP constraint declaration Ms

TTCN ASP Constraint Declaration		
Constraint Name : Ms(PARAM: PDU)		
ASP Type : DL_DATA_RQ		
Derivation Path :		
Comments :		
Parameter Name	Parameter Value	Comments
mun	PARAM	
Detailed comments :		

Table 3 is an example of semi-dynamic chaining. The TTCN ASP constraint is parameterized with metatype PDU value named PARAM. That value is passed further down in the structure as a value to the message unit.

6.2.6 Derived constraints

No derivation of any constraints is used. All constraints are considered to be base constraints.

6.2.7 Parameterized constraints

Parameterized constraints are used in this ATS.

6.2.8 Value assignment

6.2.8.1 Specific values

For specific value assignment both explicit values and references to explicit values are used.

6.2.8.2 Matching values

As matching values the following mechanisms are used:

Instead of Value:

AnyOrOmit	"**"
AnyValue	"?"
Omit	"_"

Inside value:

AnyOne	"?"
AnyOrNone	"**"

6.3 Dynamic part

6.3.1 Test cases

Each test case contains the test purpose text from ETS 300 052-3 [4]. To be able to read and understand the test case dynamic behaviour it is recommended that the test steps are understood first.

6.3.2 Test steps

Much use has been made of test steps to avoid needless repetition of dynamic behaviour. Many test steps are based on those used for the ISDN basic call ATS.

6.3.3 Defaults

The RETURN statement which is defined in DAM1 of ISO 9646-3 [7] is not used. This statement allows valid background behaviour to be handled in the default tree with a possibility to return to the original set of alternatives in the test case.

7 ATS to TP map

The identifiers used for the TPs are reused as test case names. Thus there is a straightforward one-to-one mapping.

8 PCTR conformance

A test laboratory, when requested by a client to produce a PCTR, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-5 [9], to produce a PCTR conformant with the PCTR template given in annex B of ISO/IEC 9646-5 [9].

Furthermore, a test laboratory, offering testing for the ATS specification contained in annex C, when requested by a client to produce a PCTR, is required to produce a PCTR conformant with the PCTR proforma contained in annex A of this ETS.

A PCTR which conforms to this PCTR proforma specification shall preserve the content and ordering of the clauses contained in annex A. Clause A.6 of the PCTR may contain additional columns. If included, these shall be placed to the right of the existing columns. Text in italics may be retained by the test laboratory.

9 PIXIT conformance

A test realizer, producing an executable test suite for the ATS specification contained in annex C, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-4 [8], to produce an augmented partial PIXIT proforma conformant with this partial PIXIT proforma specification.

An augmented partial PIXIT proforma which conforms to this partial PIXIT proforma specification shall, as a minimum, have contents which are technically equivalent to annex B. The augmented partial PIXIT proforma may contain additional questions that need to be answered in order to prepare the Means Of Testing (MOT) for a particular IUT.

A test laboratory, offering testing for the ATS specification contained in annex C, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-5 [9], to further augment the augmented partial PIXIT proforma to produce a PIXIT proforma conformant with this partial PIXIT proforma specification.

A PIXIT proforma which conforms to this partial PIXIT proforma specification shall, as a minimum, have contents which are technically equivalent to annex B. The PIXIT proforma may contain additional questions that need to be answered in order to prepare the test laboratory for a particular IUT.

10 ATS conformance

The test realizer, producing MOT and ExTS for this ATS specification, shall comply with the requirements of ISO/IEC 9646-4 [8]. In particular, these concern the realization of an ExTS based on each ATS. The test realizer shall provide a statement of conformance of the MOT to this ATS specification.

An ExTS which conforms to this ATS specification shall contain test groups and test cases which are technically equivalent to those contained in the ATS in annex C. All sequences of test events comprising an abstract test case shall be capable of being realized in the executable test case. Any further checking which the test system might be capable of performing is outside the scope of this ATS specification and shall not contribute to the verdict assignment for each test case.

Test laboratories running conformance test services using this ATS shall comply with ISO/IEC 9646-5 [9].

A test laboratory which claims to conform to this ATS specification shall use an MOT which conforms to this ATS.

Annex A (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of this ETS, ETSI grants that users of this ETS may freely reproduce the PCTR proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PCTR.

A.1 Identification summary

A.1.1 Protocol conformance test report

PCTR number:	
PCTR date:	
Corresponding SCTR number:	
Corresponding SCTR date:	
Test laboratory identification:	
Test laboratory manager:	
Signature:	

A.1.2 IUT identification

Name:	
Version:	
Protocol specification:	ETS 300 052-1
PICS:	
Previous PCTRs (if any):	

A.1.3 Testing environment

PIXIT reference number:	
ATS specification:	ETS 300 052-4
Abstract test method:	Remote test method (see ISO/IEC 9646-2)
Means of testing identification:	
Dates of testing:	
Conformance log reference(s):	
Retention date for log reference(s):	

A.1.4 Limits and reservations

Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or to the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report.

.....
.....
.....
.....

A.1.5 Comments

Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties.

.....
.....
.....
.....

A.2 IUT conformance status

This IUT has / has not been shown by conformance assessment to be non-conforming to the specified protocol specification.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this IUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements (as specified in clause A.3 of this report) and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause A.6) strike the words "has", otherwise strike the words "has not".

A.3 Static conformance summary

The PICS for this IUT is / is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.

A.4 Dynamic conformance summary

The test campaign did / did not reveal errors in the IUT.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause A.6 of this report) strike the word "did", otherwise strike the words "did not".

Summary of the results of groups of tests:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of this ETS, ETSI grants that users of this ETS may freely reproduce the partial PIXIT proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PIXIT.

B.1 Identification summary

PIXIT number:

.....

Test laboratory name:

.....

Date of issue:

.....

Issued to:

.....

B.2 Abstract test suite summary

Protocol specification: ETS 300 052-1

ATS specification: ETS 300 052-4

Abstract test method: Remote test method (see ISO/IEC 9646-2)

B.3 Test laboratory

Test laboratory identification:

.....

Accreditation status of the test service:

.....

Accreditation reference:

.....

Test laboratory manager:

.....

Test laboratory contact:

.....

Means of testing:

.....

Test laboratory instructions for completion:

.....

B.4 Client (of the test laboratory)

Client identification:

.....

Client test manager:

.....

Client contact:

.....

Test facilities required:

.....

B.5 System Under Test (SUT)

Name:

.....

Version:

.....

SCS reference:

.....

Machine configuration:

.....

Operating system identification:

.....

IUT identification:

.....

PICS (all layers):

.....

.....

Limitations of the SUT:

.....

Environmental conditions:

.....

B.6 Protocol information

B.6.1 Protocol identification

Specification reference: ETS 300 052-1

Protocol version:

PICS reference:

NOTE: The PICS reference should reference a completed PICS which is conformant with the PICS proforma contained in ETS 300 052-2.

B.6.2 IUT information

B.6.2.1 Parameters

Table B.1: Parameters

Item	Question	Supported? (Y/N)	Value
1.1	Does the IUT support MSN for basic access point-to-multipoint?		N/A
1.2	Does the IUT support MSN for basic access point-to-point?		N/A
1.3	Does the IUT support MSN for primary rate access?		N/A

B.6.2.2 Parameter values

Table B.2: Parameter values

Item	Description	Supported? (Y/N)	Value
2.1	Length of MSN number, MSN = least significant n digits of subscriber number		
2.2	Length of MSN number, MSN = national number		
2.3	Length of MSN number, MSN = international number		
2.4	Length of MSN number, MSN = subscriber number		
2.5	Length of MSN number with more digits than necessary, TON = unknown		
2.6	MSN digits, MSN = least significant n digits of subscriber number		
2.7	MSN digits, MSN = national number		
2.8	MSN digits, MSN = international number		
2.9	MSN digits, MSN = subscriber number		
2.10	MSN digits with more digits than necessary, TON = unknown		

B.6.2.3 Procedural information

No items requiring response.

Annex C (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS)

This ATS has been produced using the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) according to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [7].

The ATS was developed on a separate TTCN software tool and therefore the TTCN tables are not completely referenced in the contents table. The ATS itself contains a test suite overview part which provides additional information and references (see also annex D).

C.1 The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR)

C.1.1 ATS for basic access

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in a Postscript file (MSN_BA.PS¹⁾) which accompanies this ETS.

This ATS shall be used where the IUT claims to support MSN and basic access. It is specified for **point-to-multipoint** configuration. To re-configure for **point-to-point** operation it is necessary to make the following modification:

In the "Alias Definitions" table locate the expansion DL_UDAT_RQ and replace with DL_DAT_RQ.

C.1.2 ATS for primary rate access

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in a Postscript file (MSN_PA.PS¹⁾) which accompanies this ETS.

This ATS shall be used where the IUT claims to support MSN and primary rate access.

C.2 The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP)

NOTE: According to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [7], in case of a conflict in interpretation of the operational semantics of TTCN.GR and TTCN.MP, the operational semantics of the TTCN.GR representation takes precedence.

C.2.1 ATS for basic access

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII file (MSN_BA.MP¹⁾) which accompanies this ETS.

This ATS shall be used where the IUT claims to support MSN and basic access. It is specified for **point-to-multipoint** configuration. To re-configure for **point-to-point** operation it is necessary to make the following modification:

Replace the pattern "\$ExpandedId DL_UDAT_RQ" with "\$ExpandedId DL_DAT_RQ".

C.2.2 ATS for primary rate access

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII file (MSN_PA.MP¹⁾) which accompanies this ETS.

This ATS shall be used where the IUT claims to support MSN and primary rate access.

1) This file is located in an archive file named 0524_E1.LZH.

Annex D (informative): General structure of ATS

This annex gives a simple listing of the order of types of tables which appear in a typical supplementary service ATS. This is intended as an aid in helping readers find particular sections quickly.

Test Suite Overview

Test Suite Structure

Test Case Index

Test Step Index

Default Index

Declarations Part

Simple Type Definitions

Structured Type Definitions

ASN.1 Type Definitions

Test Suite Operation Definitions

Test Suite Parameter Declarations

Test Case Selection Expression Definitions

Test Suite Constant Declarations

Test Case Variable Declarations

PCO Declarations

Co-ordination Point Declarations

Timer Declarations

Test Component Declarations

Test Components Configuration Declarations

TTCN ASP Type Definition

TTCN PDU Type Definition

TTCN CM Type Definition

Alias Definitions

Constraints Part

Structured Type Constraint Declarations

ASN.1 Type Constraint Declarations

TTCN ASP Constraint Declarations

TTCN PDU Constraint Declarations

TTCN CM Constraint Declarations

Dynamic Part

Test Case Dynamic Behaviour

Test Step Dynamic Behaviour

Default Dynamic Behaviour

History

Document history			
March 1996	Public Enquiry	PE 103:	1996-03-04 to 1996-06-28
March 1997	Vote	V 9720:	1997-03-18 to 1997-05-16
May 1997	First Edition		