ETSI GR CIM 022 V1.1.1 (2022-12)



Context Information Management (CIM); NGSI-LD/oneM2M interworking proxy proposal

Disclaimer

The present document has been produced and approved by the cross-cutting Context Information Management (CIM) ETSI Industry Specification Group (ISG) and represents the views of those members who participated in this ISG. It does not necessarily represent the views of the entire ETSI membership. Reference

2

DGR/CIM-0022

Keywords

interworking, IoT, NGSI-LD, oneM2M

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - APE 7112B Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° w061004871

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from: <u>http://www.etsi.org/standards-search</u>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the prevailing version of an ETSI deliverable is the one made publicly available in PDF format at www.etsi.org/deliver.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at <u>https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx</u>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services: https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx

If you find a security vulnerability in the present document, please report it through our Coordinated Vulnerability Disclosure Program: https://www.etsi.org/standards/coordinated-vulnerability-disclosure

Notice of disclaimer & limitation of liability

The information provided in the present deliverable is directed solely to professionals who have the appropriate degree of experience to understand and interpret its content in accordance with generally accepted engineering or other professional standard and applicable regulations.

No recommendation as to products and services or vendors is made or should be implied.

No representation or warranty is made that this deliverable is technically accurate or sufficient or conforms to any law and/or governmental rule and/or regulation and further, no representation or warranty is made of merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose or against infringement of intellectual property rights.

In no event shall ETSI be held liable for loss of profits or any other incidental or consequential damages.

Any software contained in this deliverable is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to, the warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and non-infringement of intellectual property rights and ETSI shall not be held liable in any event for any damages whatsoever (including, without limitation, damages for loss of profits, business interruption, loss of information, or any other pecuniary loss) arising out of or related to the use of or inability to use the software.

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2022. All rights reserved.

Content

| Intelle | ectual Property Rights | 5 |
|----------------|--|--------|
| Forew | vord | 5 |
| Moda | l verbs terminology | 5 |
| Exect | itive summary | 5 |
| Introd | luction | 6 |
| 1 | Scope | 7 |
| 2 | References | 7 |
| 2.1 | Normative references | 7 7 |
| 3 | Definition of terms symbols and abbreviations | 7 |
| 3.1 | Terms | 7 |
| 3.2 | Symbols | 8 |
| 3.3 | Abbreviations | 8 |
| 4 | A rahitaatura Madal | 0 |
| 4 | Arcmiecture Model | ð |
| 4.1 | Information Model | 8 |
| 4.2 | Kelerence Model | 9 |
| 4.3 | Types of Interworking Floxy Entity | 9 |
| 5 | Solution 1 - labels based dynamic interworking | 10 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 10 |
| 5.2 | oneM2M features | 10 |
| 5.3 | Resource mapping | 11 |
| 5.4 | Procedures | 12 |
| 5.4.1 | Pre-configuration | 12 |
| 5.4.2 | IPE initialization | |
| 5.4.3 | Resource synchronization | 13 |
| 6 | Solution 2 - Mapping ontology based dynamic interworking | 14 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 14 |
| 6.2 | oneM2M features | 14 |
| 6.2.1 | Overall semantic capabilities | 14 |
| 6.2.2 | semanticDescriptor resource type | 15 |
| 6.2.3 | Semantic discovery and query | 15 |
| 6.2.4 | Semantic discovery | 15 |
| 6.2.5 | Semantic query | 15 |
| 6.3 | Resource mapping | 16 |
| 6.3.1 | Introduction | 16 |
| 6.3.2 | Mapping ontology | 16 |
| 6.3.3 | Attribute addressing | |
| 6.3.4 | Semantic annotation example | 17 |
| 6.3.5 | Translation Guidelines | |
| 6.4 | Semantic Interworking Procedures. | |
| 0.4.1 6 4 2 | RGSI-LD entity creation | |
| 0.4.2 | Resource synchronization | 20 |
| 7 | Solution 3 - Annotation ontology based static interworking | 27 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 27 |
| 7.2 | oneM2M features | |
| 7.3 | Resource mapping | |
| 7.3.0 | Introduction | |
| 7.3.1 | Resource Mapping from NGSI-LD to oneM2M | |
| 7.3.2 | Resource Mapping from oneM2M to NGSI-LD. | 30 |
| 7.4 | Procedures for Interworking from NGSI-LD to oneM2M | |
| 7.4.1 | Pre-configuration | 33 |

| 7.4.2 | IPE initialization | |
|-------|--|--|
| 7.4.3 | Resource synchronization | |
| 7.5 | Procedures for Interworking from oneM2M to NGSI-LD | |
| 7.5.1 | Pre-configuration | |
| 7.5.2 | IPE initialization | |
| 7.5.3 | Resource synchronization | |
| 8 | Conclusions and future considerations | |
| Histo | ory | |

4

Intellectual Property Rights

Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The declarations pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, are publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (https://ipr.etsi.org/).

Pursuant to the ETSI Directives including the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation regarding the essentiality of IPRs, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

DECTTM, **PLUGTESTSTM**, **UMTSTM** and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPPTM** and **LTETM** are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners. **oneM2MTM** logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the oneM2M Partners. **GSM**[®] and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Foreword

This Group Report (GR) has been produced by ETSI Industry Specification Group (ISG) cross-cutting Context Information Management (CIM).

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the <u>ETSI Drafting Rules</u> (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Executive summary

The present document presents ideas and state-of-the-art solutions, based on the NGSI-LD eco-system (specifically the NGSI-LD API [i.2], information model [i.3] and security and privacy [i.4]), in the area of smart cities, i.e. the area of software platforms which, based on real-time data flows, make it possible to better manage cities and communities.

Introduction

The present document aims to find candidate interworking solutions between oneM2M and NGSI-LD technologies.

oneM2M is one of the most widely used middleware platform standard for IoT/M2M devices/gateways and servers. oneM2M, as the name stands for, is the standards for communicating IoT data among IoT things and IoT applications so oneM2M provides IoT data and things related functions such as collecting IoT data, to actuating IoT things, managing IoT things. NGSI-LD is the standard focusing on exchanging data from data providers and consumers. Therefore, in NGSI-LD stand point, what kind of data to be exchanged is out of scope and it does not provide any IoT specific functions.

As stated above, two technologies have its own speciality so the present document investigates the complementary use of the two technologies as follows:

- 1) NGSI-LD context broker can make use of oneM2M for actuating IoT things.
- 2) NGSI-LD context broker can make use of oneM2M for collecting IoT data.
- 3) oneM2M can make use of NGSI-LD for collecting data from external systems so oneM2M applications can access that with oneM2M protocols.
- 4) oneM2M can make use of NGSI-LD for collecting linked data which can be leveraged with its semantic features.

In the end of 2022, there are 4 versions of oneM2M standards and compliant products in the deployments. In the case of NGSI-LD, there are release 1 compatible deployments. One important requirement for the candidate solutions in the present document is the version compatibility of any of the oneM2M and NGSI-LD standards. Therefore, the solutions do not propose any changes of features or APIs of both NGSI-LD and oneM2M specifications and use as-is features.

The scope of the present document is limited to data synchronization between oneM2M and NGSI-LD. Other interworking for example querying information of NGSI-LD context broker from oneM2M system or querying information of oneM2M system from NGSI-LD context broker is out-of-scope of the present document.

To be able to synchronize data between NGSI-LD and oneM2M, the interworking solutions relies on the oneM2M Subscription/Notification and NGSI-LD Subscription/Notification.

1 Scope

The present document provides several NGSI-LD/oneM2M interworking proxy solutions using existing oneM2M features and analyses those to find which one is suitable for a certain condition.

2 References

2.1 Normative references

Normative references are not applicable in the present document.

2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

- [i.1] ETSI TS 118 101: "oneM2M; Functional Architecture (oneM2M TS-0001)".
- [i.2] ETSI GS CIM 009 (V1.6.1): "cross-cutting Context Information Management (CIM); NGSI-LD API".
- NOTE 1: The interworking scheme uses the NGSI-LD ontology (e.g. ngsi-ld:Entity) in ETSI GS CIM 009.
- NOTE 2: Available at https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gs/CIM/001_099/009/01.06.01_60/gs_CIM009v010601p.pdf.
- [i.3] FED4IoT project.
- NOTE 2: Available at https://fed4iot.org/.
- [i.4] ETSI TS 118 123 (V3.7.3): "oneM2M; Home Appliances Information Model and Mapping (oneM2M TS-0023 version 3.7.3 Release 3)".
- [i.5] ETSI TS 118 104: "oneM2M; Service Layer Core Protocol Specification (oneM2M TS-0004)".
- [i.6] oneM2M TR-0007 (V2.11.1): "Study of Abstraction and Semantics Enablements".
- [i.7] Smart Data Models About Web Page.
- NOTE: Available at: https://smartdatamodels.org/index.php/about/.
- [i.8] JSONPath: Query expressions for JSON.
- NOTE: Available at https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-jsonpath-base/.

3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations

ETSI

3.1 Terms

Void.

3.2 Symbols

Void

3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

| AE | Application Entity |
|---------|---|
| API | Application Programming Interface |
| ASN | Application Service Node |
| CSE | Common Services Entity |
| ID | Identifier |
| IN | Infrastructure Node |
| IoT | Internet of things |
| IPE | Interworking Proxy Entity |
| JSON | JavaScript Object Notation |
| M2M | Machine to machine |
| MN | Middle Node |
| NGSI-LD | Next Generation Service Interface Linked Data |
| OWL | Web Ontology Language |
| RDF | Resource Description Framework |
| RDFS | Resource Description Framework Schema |
| SAREF | Smart Applications REFerence ontology |
| SDT | Smart Device Template |
| TS | Technical Specifications |
| URI | Uniform Resource Identifier |
| URL | Uniform Resource Locator |
| | |

4 Architecture Model

4.1 Information Model

The notion of data synchronization between oneM2M and NGSI-LD systems can be realized by data mapping as well as interface interworking. The two standards have a different view on information and data modelling and it is important to understand this difference.

8

oneM2M takes two approaches regarding information models. One is the modelling and corresponding models' standardization with Smart Device Template (SDT) (ETSI TS 118 123 [i.4]). Figure 4.1-1 shows the hierarchy of SDT in oneM2M standard. It is a template to represent device and functional modules. It is a good fit for oneM2M as a model for M2M/IoT device management and data collection from devices. On the other hand, any service-specific or user-defined data can be exchanged by oneM2M platforms with *<container>* and *<contentInstance>* resources, for example. It allows flexibility to use any preferred data models for IoT services, but the downside of this is lack of data interoperability to 3rd party application providers, because there is no common, cross-domain data model.



Figure 4.1-1: oneM2M Smart Device Template (SDT) [i.4]

In NGSI-LD systems, it is up to service or data providers to define their domain-specific information models. Indeed, NGSI-LD standard does not define any domain-specific information models but, instead, defines a common, cross-domain data model based on the Entity, Property and Relationship concepts that form a Property Graph. Even though there is no schemas for service implementations, by means of the Linked Data concept, each NGSI-LD Entity instance and its attributes (Properties and Relationships) can be identified uniquely, so that 3rd party application providers, willing to conform to the underlying cross-domain model, can use data from other data providers.

On top of the cross-domain model, NGSI-LD advocates for higher level models that are domain-specific, to be constructed and adopted on top of the cross-domain one, to enable full interoperability (oneM2M TR-0007 [i.6]).

4.2 Reference Model

To be able to interwork between two technologies, the application called IPE (Interworking Proxy Entity) which understands the technologies need existing.

IPE on oneM2M side, acts as Application Entity (AE) and interacts with a Common Services Entity (CSE). The CSE can be IN (Infrastructure), Middle Node (MN), or Application Service Node (ASN). Even though IPE interact with the CSE, depending on address of oneM2M resource, IPE can get or manipulate resources in other CSEs via Mcc or Mcc'.

IPE on NGSI-LD side, acts as NGSI-LD application and interacts with a NGSI-LD context broker. Even though IPE interacts with the NGSI-LD context broker, IPE can get or manipulate entities in other NGSI-LD context brokers using CSourceRegistration information.



Figure 4.2-1: NGSI-LD - oneM2M Interworking Reference Model

4.3 Types of Interworking Proxy Entity

Depending on the use case, oneM2M data needs synchronizing to NGSI-LD context broker or NGSI-LD data needs synchronizing to oneM2M. Therefore, in the present document, each solution specifies direction of data synchronization.

• oneM2M to NGSI-LD: This can be used when services are already implemented and data are already being stored in oneM2M platform. The goal is for users to get data of oneM2M services using the NGSI-LD API.

9

• NGSI-LD to oneM2M: This can be used when device management or actuation is required from NGSI-LD enabled system (e.g. city operation centre) as well as when oneM2M applications make use of NGSI-LD data.

5 Solution 1 - labels based dynamic interworking

5.1 Introduction

Interworking between oneM2M and NGSI-LD systems requires interfaces and data mapping between the two. It is realized by the Interworking Proxy Entity (IPE) which understand two standard interfaces and application logics including data models.

The proposed interworking solution retrieves information on the interworking via labels attribute, which is the oneM2M resource universal attribute, because all oneM2M resource can have labels. This means that any of the oneM2M resource in any resource type, can be mapped with NGSI-LD Entity instances. Since NGSI-LD Entity represents a logical entity, while oneM2M resource can represent a measurement of a device, which is a small portion of a device model, NGSI-LD Entity can be mapped with one or more oneM2M resource instances.





The given examples in the clause 5.1 only use *<container>* resource type from the oneM2M standard, but *<flexContainer>* or *<timeSeries>* resource types can also be applied for this label-based interworking mechanism.

5.2 oneM2M features

This solution uses labels attribute, one of the common attributes in all resource types. Normally in oneM2M labels attribute is used for discovery purposes like hash tag, but this solution uses for storing mapping rules.

Labels attribute is a list of individual labels and each of them is either a standalone label-key, used as a simple "tag", that can be used for example for discovery purposes when looking for particular resources that one can "tag" using that label-key or a composite element made of a label-key and a label-value, separated by a special character defined in ETSI TS 118 101 [i.1].

5.3 Resource mapping

In this interworking scheme, the labels attribute contains mapping information between oneM2M resources and NGSI-LD Entities. The labels attribute has string array data type in ETSI TS 118 104 [i.5], so it is proposed to use key-value pair in a single token string and there are one or more pairs in the labels attribute on the oneM2M resource instance. The keys that represent interworking information are defined in the Table 5.3-1.

11

| Label Key Name | Data Type | Limitation | Multiplicity | Description |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---|
| lwked-Technology | String | "NGSI-LD" | 1 | "NGSI-LD" |
| lwked-Entity-Type | String | NGSI-LD Entity Type | 01 | Type of the NGSI-LD Entity |
| lwked-mapping-rule | List of MappingRule | Mapping Rule | 01 | Data mapping rules for oneM2M resource and NGSI-LD Entities |
| lwked-Entity-ID | String | n/a | 01 | The id attribute of NGSI-LD Entity instance. If not exists, it is decided by "oneM2M platform ID" + ":" + "ri of oneM2M resource" |
| lwked-Related- Resources | List of String | n/a | 01 | Other oneM2M resources instances to be combined for the NGSI-LD Entity instance |
| NGSI-LD-Context | List of String | n/a | 1 | @context attribute of NGSI-LD Entity instance |

Table 5.3-1: Interworking mapping labels keys

Table 5.3-2 defines the Mapping Rule data type that is used by Iwked-mapping-rule.

| Name | Data Type | Limitation | Multiplicity | Description |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--|
| oneM2MResourceId String | | n/a | 01 | Identifier of a oneM2M resource instance |
| oneM2MAttribute Name | String | n/a | 1 | Attribute name of the instance |
| oneM2MJsonPath | String | n/a | 01 | JSONPath [i.8], this is valid when the resource is serialized in JSON |
| oneM2MDataType | String | n/a 01 | | Data type for the attribute or the element referred by JSONPath. Allowed values are: "String", "Integer", "Double", "Boolean", "Date", "ArrayString", "ArrayInteger", "ArrayDouble", or "ArrayBoolean" |
| ngsi- ldQueryTermAttributePath | String | n/a | 01 | Attribute or element of Attribute referred by QueryTerm in Query Language [i.2] |
| ngsi-ldAttributeType | List of String | "Property" or "Relationship" | 1 | Type of NGSI-LD attribute |
| ngsi-IdAttribute DataType | List of String | n/a | 1 | Data type of ngsi- IdQueryTermAttributePath. Allowed values are: "String", "Integer", "Double", "Boolean", "Date", "ArrayString", "ArrayInteger", "ArrayDouble", or "ArrayBoolean" |
| ngsi-IdAttribute ParentInformation | Array of ParentInformation | n/a | 01 | Since there is no schema information of the NGSI-LD Entity, information on parent attributes is required |

| Name | Data Type | Limitation | Multiplicity | Description |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|---|
| oneM2MArrayIndex | Integer | n/a | 01 | Indicates an index of an array item. This is valid when oneM2MDataType is in array |
| ngsi-IdArrayIndex | Integer | n/a | 01 | Indicates an index of an array item. This is valid when Ngsi- IdAttributeDataType is in array |

Table 5.3-3 defines the ParentInformation data type introduced in Table 5.3-2.

Table 5.3-3: Data type of ParentInformation

| Name | Data Type | Limitation | Multiplicity | Description |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------|---|
| ngsi- IdQueryTermAttributePath | String | n/a | 1 | Attribute of QueryTerm in Query Language |
| ngsi-IdAttributeType | String | "Property" or "Relationship" | 1 | Type of NGSI-LD attribute |

5.4 Procedures

5.4.1 Pre-configuration

An NGSI-LD system user updates the labels attribute with mapping information as specified in clause 5.3. It is iterated for all the oneM2M resources for NGSI-LD Entity mapping.

5.4.2 IPE initialization



Figure 5.4.2-1: Procedures for IPE initialization

Step 1. IPE discovers *<container>* and *<flexContainer>* resource identifiers which contains the interworking information in the labels attribute.

Step 2. Retrieve the resources returned in step 1. and check the labels attribute.

Step 3. Parse the mapping rules in the labels attribute for oneM2M - NGSI-LD interworking and save it.

Step 4. Per mapping rules in step 3., retrieve *<latest>* resource, which is the lastly created *<contentInstance>* resource, in case of *<container>* resource. If it is *<flexContainer>* resource, then retrieve the *<flexContainer>* resource. If the mapping rule contains relatedResource, then corresponding resources are also retrieved.

Step 5. Convert the resource instances from step 4. into NGSI-LD Entity instances and store them.

Step 6. Create *<subscription>* resources for the oneM2M resources in step 5.

5.4.3 Resource synchronization



Figure 5.4.3-1: Procedures for Interworking Data

Step 1. IPE receives a notification requests per subscription.

- Step 2. Check if there is a corresponding mapping rule for the subscriptionReference in the notification.
- Step 3. Per corresponding mapping rule, convert oneM2M resource representation into NGSI-LD Entity instance.
- Step 4. Merge NGSI-LD Entity instance with the updated one(s) from step 3.

Step 5. Provide updates to the NGSI-LD Entity instance via Batch Entity Creation of Update (Upsert) interface.

6 Solution 2 - Mapping ontology based dynamic interworking

6.1 Introduction

oneM2M and NGSI-LD both supports semantic data and this is the main motivation to define the semantics based interworking scheme. In case of oneM2M, non-semantic data can be annotated for an ontology and RDF triples can be stored and (query, reasoning, mash-up, etc.) used by oneM2M applications. In NGSI-LD, the core meta-model is defined upon semantic groundings such as RDFS and cross-domain ontologies. Therefore, it is feasible to map semantic data representations in both systems and the procedures for this semantic interworking is derived in this clause.

14

Since typical use case for oneM2M and NGSI-LD interworking would be to collect and manage city data from IoT infrastructures, the present document elaborates oneM2M to NGSI-LD interworking method. However, the other directional interworking can be done leveraging the mapping ontology in clause 6.3.2.

6.2 oneM2M features

6.2.1 Overall semantic capabilities

The basic of oneM2M-supported semantic features are storing RDF triple data that is defined by W3C[®] (World Wide Web Consortium) and get the result of SPARQL (SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language) queries. Storing a set of RDF triples does not mean creating an arbitrary semantic resource in oneM2M resource tree, but putting semantic annotation to the parent resource. For instance, when creating a *<semanticDescriptor>* resource under a *<container>* resource, the semantic resource contains the semantic annotation of the parent *<container>* resource.



Figure 6.2.1-1: A resource tree with semanticDescriptor resources and its usage

Figure 6.2.1-1 depicts the oneM2M resource tree containing semantic descriptor resources. By the definition in ETSI TS 118 101 [i.1], it can be created under several resource type instances (e.g. *container*).

Unlikely the normal oneM2M discovery requests, semantic discovery includes SPARQL query. When a *<semanticDescriptor>* resource has a matching result with the query, then the oneM2M platform returns the parent resource identifier of the semantic resource.

6.2.2 semanticDescriptor resource type

Table 6.2.2-1 shows some resource specific attributes of the *<semanticDescriptor>* resource type from o ETSI TS 118 101 [i.1]. The semantic Descriptor defines descriptor (drp) attribute to store RDF triples. Since there are several standard serialization formats for RDF triples, the descriptorRepresentation (dcrp) attribute indicates which format is used for the descriptor attribute. The ontologyRef (or) attribute refers to the ontology by its URI that is used in the descriptor.

By the parent-child resource type definition in oneM2M, a *<semanticDescriptor>* resource describes the parent resource in RDF. In case of Figure 6.2.1-1, each semantic resource annotates the parent *container* resource.

| Attribute Name | Short Name | Description |
|--------------------------|------------|---|
| descriptorRepresentation | dcrp | Indicates the type used for the serialization of the descriptor attribute, e.g. RDF/XML, OWL/XML. |
| descriptor | drp | Stores a semantic description pertaining to a resource and potentially sub-resources. Such a description need to be according to subject predicate-object triples as defined in the RDF graph-based data model. Examples of such descriptors in RDF can be found in oneM2M TR-0007 [i.6]. |
| ontologyRef | or | A reference (URI) of the ontology used to represent the information that is stored in the <i>descriptor attribute</i> . If this attribute is not present, the <i>ontologyRef</i> from the parent resource is used if present. |

Table 6.2.2-1: Resource specific attributes of <semanticDescriptor> resource type

6.2.3 Semantic discovery and query

With the SPARQL query execution, oneM2M specifies semantic discovery and semantic query. As depicted in Figure 6.2.1-1, oneM2M semantic discovery results are resource identifiers of the parent *<semanticDescriptor>* resources that match with SPARQLs.

On the other hand, when semantic queries are requested, the SPARQL query results from the execution gets returned to the Originators. Depending on the application scenario, semantic discovery can be used to further retrieve non-semantic service data (e.g. *contentInstance*) or get the SPARQL results as processed data.

SPARQL query statements in oneM2M request is included in the *semanticsFilter* condition of the *Filter Criteria* request parameter. To distinguish semantic discovery and query, the *Semantic Query Indicator* request parameter is used. When its value is true, it is considered as the semantic query, while false indicates semantic discovery.

6.2.4 Semantic discovery

Per oneM2M parent-child relationship specification, a oneM2M semantic discovery returns parent resource identifiers of the matching *<semanticDescriptor>* resources to the SPARQL query. For example, when a semantic discovery wants to find thermometers with a specific smart home ontology, the oneM2M platform gets *<semanticDescriptor>* resources that matches with the SPARQL having that specific ontology and returns the parent temperature data containing *<container>* resource identifier to the Originator.

This discovery interface is quiet useful when an application discovers data in oneM2M platform with specific semantics. When that specific data resource (e.g. *container* with a semantic description) is discovered, the application can use the child *<contentInstance>* resources. In this case, content of *<contentInstance>* resource should be latest temperature readings from the thermometer. To get the latest sensor readings, the application also can use the subscription/notification feature from the oneM2M platform.

6.2.5 Semantic query

As highlighted in clause 6.2.3, the semantic query returns SPARQL query execution results. This can be helpful for applications that want a sort of processed data like count. With the semantic discovery, the application needs to send subsequent requests to get the information, but it could be composed as the SPARQL query so the answer can be directly derived for the semantic descriptions.

6.3 Resource mapping

6.3.1 Introduction

It is assumed that a (sub-)resource tree in oneM2M platform consists an NGSI-LD Entity instance. This also means that in a (sub-)resource tree there is no more one NGSI-LD Entity instance map-able representation. When a oneM2M resource represents an NGSI-LD Entity, the child resources refers NGSI-LD Attributes (i.e. Property of Relationship).

There are a list of requirements for oneM2M - NGSI-LD interworking:

- The interworking scheme uses the NGSI-LD ontology (e.g. ngsi-ld:Entity) in the ETSI GS CIM 009 [i.2].
- The interworking scheme defines resource mapping rules between oneM2M (e.g. *<container>* resource) and NGSI-LD (e.g. Entity).
- The interworking scheme specifies addressing method to indicate an attribute of oneM2M and NGSI-LD systems.
- The interworking scheme defines the method to annotate a *<contentInstance>* resource attribute to create the *<semanticDescriptor>* resource as the child of a *<container>* resource (i.e. sibling to the *<contentInstance>* resource). This avoids unnecessarily duplicated *<semanticDescriptor>* resource creation at every *<<contentInstance>* resource creation.
- The interworking scheme specified data type definitions to support data type conversion.



6.3.2 Mapping ontology

Figure 6.3.2-1: oneM2M - NGSI-LD Mapping Ontology

As depicted in Figure 6.2.1-1, the mapping ontology between oneM2M and NGSI-LD basically defines source key/value from oneM2M resources and target key/value of NGSI-LD Entity instances from NGSI-LD context brokers. The concept definitions having the URIs with prefix "ngsi-ld:" are the core concepts of NGSI-LD meta-model, whereas the ones having the URIs with prefix "annotation:" represent the concept from the mapping ontology. The properties "annotation:sourceKey" and "annotation:sourceValue" are used to map the oneM2M resource attributes as JSON key-value pairs, whereas the properties "annotation:targetKey" and "annotation:targetValue" are used to map the respective NGSI-LD Entity instance attributes as JSON-LD key-value pairs. When a value from oneM2M resource is used as an address or reference, it needs to be handled separately, so the data type "annotation:reference " is added.

Table 6.3.2-1 describes the mapping ontologies for semantic annotations.

| Ontology | Description | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| annotation:ngsi-IdContext | Indicates the @context URIs of the NGSI-LD Entity. | | | |
| annotation:sourceValueType | Data type of a oneM2M resource attribute value in W3C defined data type URL (e.g. http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float). | | | |
| annotation:sourceValue | Attribute value of a oneM2M resource. | | | |
| annotation:sourceKey | Attribute address of a oneM2M resource. | | | |
| annotation:sourceTargetType | Data type of an NGSI-LD Entity's Attribute (e.g. a Property) value in W3C defined data type URL (e.g. http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float). | | | |
| annotation:targetValue | Attribute value of an NGSI-LD Entity. | | | |
| annotation:targetKey | Attribute address of an NGSI-LD Entity. | | | |
| annotation:hasDomainType | Specify the type of the Entity instance as a direct/indirect subclass of ngsi- Id:Entity. (e.g. Parking being a subclass of Entity, specified in a user-defined @context). | | | |
| annotation:hasEntitvId | Specify the @type of the Entity instance if its value is not in a URI format. | | | |

Table 6.3.2-1: Mapping Ontologies

6.3.3 Attribute addressing

When refers to an attribute address, there should be a fixed addressing scheme. In Table 6.3.2-1, sourceKey and targetKey use this addressing scheme.

The attribute address notation uses JSON and Unix file system conventions. For instance, "../cnt.rn" refers the rn (resourceName) attribute of the parent *<container>* resource. In the same sense, "./la.con.loc.PointSystem.long" refers the sibling la (latest) *<contentInstance>* resource. In the *<contentInstance>* resource, location \rightarrow PointSystem \rightarrow long property of the con (content) attribute is referred. In this case the value type of the address should be "annotation:reference", in order for it to be properly dereference during the translation.

In some cases, the attribute value may involve both address and a static value. In order for a system to distinguish between the two, the address part should be enclosed in "{}" (curly braces) inside which, same addressing scheme need to be followed as described above. In this case, the translation system will treat the attribute value as one of the specified XSD data type, until it locates the address enclosed in "{}". Therefore "{}" are reserved for this purpose. In case of attribute value type being "annotation:reference", the complete value should be considered as address.

6.3.4 Semantic annotation example

The semantic annotation examples are based on a parking spot data stored as a oneM2M resource, which is required to be mapped using the Mapping ontology, into the *<semanticDescriptor>* resource. The example resource content is as follows:

```
"m2m:cin": {
    "rn": "cin_01",
    "ty": 4,
    "pi": "SkmnDBfeHX",
"ri": "SymEIuUHrQ",
    "ct": "20180806T051036",
    "et": "20210806T051036",
    "lt": "20180806T051036",
    "con": {
         "name": "spot_001",
        "Datelog": {
        "creation": "2018-11-15T20:10:00,000+09:00"
    },
        "category": [
             "forDisabled"
             1.
        "loc": {
"type": "PointSystem",
           "PointSystem": {
             "lat": -2.558246945,
             "long": 50.378546923
         ì,
    "Dimensions": {
         "width": 2.5,
         "length": 5.1
```

```
"unit": "m"
}
"availability": {
    "value": "free",
    "observation": "2018-11-15T20:09:55,000+09:00",
}
}
```

In this case, *contentInstance* resource is considered for the parking spot data. The main attributes to be mapped reside under "con" attribute.

Other attributes may also be required for mapping purposes, such as "rn", which in this example, is required to defined the Entity Identifier. This attribute is defined in the parent *<container>* resource. The parent *<container>* resource contains conventional attributes based on oneM2M specifications, as shown below:

```
"m2m:cnt": {
    "pi": "3-20201104210538537018",
    "ri": "3-20201104210928149670",
    "tv": 3,
    "ct": "20201104T210928",
    "st": 21876,
    "rn": "spot_001"
    "lt": "20201104T210928",
    "et": "20221104T210928",
    "lbl": [
        "sc"
    ],
    "cr": "SW001",
    "mni": 3153600000,
    "mbs": 3153600000,
    "mia": 31536000,
    "cni": 21875,
    "cbs": 94052
}
```

Per the mapping ontology definition with the addressing scheme, semantic annotations for oneM2M *<container>* resource can be:

```
<rdf:RDF xmlns:annotation="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm annotation#"
    xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
    xmlns:ngsi-ld="http://uri.etsi.org/ngsi-ld/">
    <annotation:Mapping rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr#example_graph_uri">
       <annotation:ngsi-ldContext
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">http://uri.citydatahub.kr/ngsi-
ld/parking.jsonld</annotation:ngsi-ldContext>
    </annotation:Mapping>
    <ngsi-ld:Entity
rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#iotParking">
        <annotation:hasEntityId
rdf:datatype="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#reference">urn:datahub:Par
kingSpot:{../cnt.rn}</annotation:hasEntityId>
        <annotation:hasDomainType rdf:resource="http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#ParkingSpot"/>
    </ngsi-ld:Entity>
</rdf:RDF>
```

Its resource involves two main mapping definitions: one is the @context definition, and other is the Entity definition. The Entity mappings involves the mapping for its Id and the domain type. Furthermore, the URI "http://www.citydatahub.kr#example_graph_uri" defines the identifier of the mapping resource, which can be used to locate it in the oneM2M system as well as in the triple store (if required).

With the different addressing notation, specifically for *<contentInstance>* resources, example semantic description for NGSI-LD mapping can be:

```
<rdf:RDF xmlns:annotation="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#"
xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
xmlns:ngsi-ld="http://uri.etsi.org/ngsi-ld/">
<annotation:Mapping rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr#example_graph_uri">
```

```
<annotation:ngsi-ldContext
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">http://uri.citydatahub.kr/ngsi-
ld/parking.jsonld</annotation:ngsi-ldContext>
    </annotation:Mapping>
    <ngsi-ld:Entity
rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#iotParking">
        <ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
            <ngsi-ld:Property rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#status">
                <annotation:sourceValueType
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string</an
notation:sourceValueType>
                <annotation:sourceKey
rdf:datatype="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#reference">./la.con.availa
bility.value</annotation:sourceKey>
                <ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
                    <ngsi-ld:observedAt
rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#observation_1">
                        <annotation:sourceValueType
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTimeSt
amp</annotation:sourceValueType>
                        <annotation:sourceKey
rdf:datatype="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#reference">./la.con.availa
bility.observation</annotation:sourceKey>
                    </nqsi-ld:observedAt>
                </ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
            </ngsi-ld:Property>
        </ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
        <ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
            <ngsi-ld:Property rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#width">
                <annotation:sourceValueType
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float</ann
otation:sourceValueType>
                <annotation:sourceKey
rdf:datatype="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#reference">./la.con.Dimens
ions.width</annotation:sourceKey>
            </ngsi-ld:Property>
        </ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
        <ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
            <ngsi-ld:Property rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#length">
                <annotation:sourceValueType</pre>
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float</ann
otation:sourceValueType>
                <annotation:sourceKey</pre>
rdf:datatype="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#reference">./la.con.Dimens
ions.length</annotation:sourceKev>
                <ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
                    <ngsi-ld:Property rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#unit">
                        <annotation:targetValue>meter</annotation:targetValue>
                        <annotation:sourceValueType
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string</an
notation:sourceValueType>
                        <annotation:sourceValue>m</annotation:sourceValue>
                        <annotation:sourceKey
rdf:datatype="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#reference">./la.con.Dimens
ions.unit</annotation:sourceKey>
                    </ngsi-ld:Property>
                </ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
            </ngsi-ld:Property>
        </ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
        <ngsi-ld:hasProperty>
            <ngsi-ld:GeoProperty rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#location">
                <annotation:targetValueType>Point</annotation:targetValueType>
                <ngsi-ld:hasValue>
                    <ngsi-ld:Value rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#value_2">
                        <annotation:targetKey
rdf:datatype="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#reference">location.value.
coordinates[1]</annotation:targetKey>
                        <annotation:sourceValueType
rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double</an
notation:sourceValueType>
                        <annotation:sourceKey</pre>
rdf:datatype="http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#reference">./la.con.loc.Po
intSystem.long</annotation:sourceKey>
                    </ngsi-ld:Value>
                </ngsi-ld:hasValue>
                <ngsi-ld:hasValue>
                    <ngsi-ld:Value rdf:about="http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#value_1">
```

19



For ease of understanding, the mappings can be visualized in Figure 6.3.4-1.

| parking: ParkingSpot |
|---|
| "/la.con.Dimensions.length" |
| "meter"^^xsd:string annotation:targetValue "meter"^^xsd:string annotation:reference annotation "./la.con.Dimensions width"^^annotation:reference annotation "./la.con.Joc.PointSystem.lat" "./la.con.loc.PointSystem.log" "./la.con.loc.PointSystem.log" |
| Figure Legends: ngsi-ld: Entity ngsi-ld: Property ngsi-ld: Value ngsi-ld: observedAt ngsi-ld: hasProperty |

Figure 6.3.4-1: Mapping Example Visual Representation

Here different mappings are worth noticing. The RDF resources such as "http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#name", "http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#width", etc. do not involve "annotation:targetValue" property. This is because the property "annotation:sourceKey" can be used to locate the value for that key in the *<contentInstance>* resource. The RDF resource "http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#location" is an example of complex value mapping using two instances of ngsi-ld:Value namely: "http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#value_1" and "http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#value_2".

These are defined as the attribute value structure in the oneM2M resource is not aligned with the target structure in the NGSI-LD for its respective Property. Therefore the value cannot be implicitly mapped using "annotation:sourceKey" only. For each of these instances, "annotation:targetValue" has also been used which specifies the address of the value to the positions in the array for the respective NGSI-LD Property. The RDF resource

"http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#unit" shows another usage of "annotation:targetValue". As the unit value defined in source (oneM2M resource) and target (NGSI-LD Entity instance) are not the same, the mapping defines a "annotation:sourceValue" as a condition for the respective "annotation:targetValue". In case of multiple conditions, instances of "ngsi-ld:Value" can be defined, for each condition. These instances will be linked with the RDF resource "http://www.citydatahub.kr/parking#unit" using the property "ngsi-ld:hasValue".

The translated NGSI-LD Entity is shown as below:

```
"@context": [
   "http://uri.etsi.org/ngsi-ld/core-context.jsonld",
   "http://cityhub.kr/ngsi-ld/parking.jsonld'
1.
"id": "urn:datahub:ParkingSpot: spot_001",
"type": "ParkingSpot"
"createdAt": "2018-11-15T20:10:00,000+09:00"
"modifiedAt": "2018-11-15T20:10:00,000+09:00",
"name": {
   "type": "Property",
   "value": "spot_001"
},
"location": {
   "type": "GeoProperty",
   "value": {
      "type": "Point",
      "coordinates": [
         127.1293735,
         37.4114423
      ]
   }
},
"address": {
    "type": "Property",
   "value": {
      "addressCountry": "KR",
      "addressRegion": "Gyeonggi-do",
      "addressLocality": "Seongnam-si",
      "streetAddress": "8th Seungin-ro",
      "addressTown": "Yatap-dong"
   }
},
"category": {
   "type": "Property",
   "value": [
      "forDisabled"
   1
},
"width": {
   "type": "Property",
   "value": 2.5
}
"length": {
    "type": "Property",
    "value": 5.1
},
"status": {
   "type": "Property",
   "value": "free",
   "observedAt": "2018-11-15T20:09:55,000+09:00"
},
"refParkingLot": {
   "type": "Property",
   "value": "urn:ngsi-ld:OffStreetParking:5038"
}
```

6.3.5 Translation Guidelines

For better explanation of the translation guidelines, the parking spot example in clause 6.3.4 has been used. The guidelines are defined as follows:

- 1) If the rdf:datatype is annotation:reference, it means that the value is referring to an address, from where the actual value can be retrieved.
 - 1.1) If the value is enclosed with "{ }", then that part of value should be considered as reference. The other part outside "{ }" will be treated as a value.
- 2) The tag <ngsi-ld:Entity> defines all the mapping information related to an Entity.



Figure 6.3.5-1: Example illustration for Guidelines 1-3

- 4) The tag <ngsi-ld:Property> defines the mapping related to the NGSI-LD Property.
 - 4.1) This will be used to define "type": "Property" in NGSI-LD data.
 - 4.2) "rdf:about" defines the id of the NGSI-LD Property. Since in NGSI-LD, the labels is only used, therefore the prefix (URI part before #) will be stripped.
- 5) annotation:sourceKey defines the information related to the Source Key in the Key-Value pairs in oneM2M resource.
- 6) When there is no other information given under the tag <ngsi-ld:Property>, then the value of the Source Key will be used as is for the target NGSI-LD data.



Figure 6.3.5-2: Example illustration for Guidelines 4-6

- 7) The tag <ngsi-ld:GeoProperty> defines the mapping related to the NGSI-LD GeoProperty. Therefore, its format representation should be known implicitly.
 - 7.1) This will be used to define "type": "Property" in NGSI-LD.
 - 7.2) The tag <annotation:targetValueType> is used to define specific NGSI-LD type for the target value in NGSI-LD.
- 8) Here, since the Value in Source has different structure than the one in Target, <ngsi-ld:Value> tag is required to specify their mapping features.
 - 8.1) The tag <annotation:sourceValue> defines mapping for the values in oneM2M resource, however for the reference, the respective key will be specified.
 - 8.2) The tag <annotation:targetValue> having rdf:datatype "annotation:reference", indicates the address where the translated value (in this case: 50.378546923) should be placed.



Figure 6.3.5-3: Example illustration for Guidelines 7-8

- 9) To represent the mapping for "Property of Property" concept, a subsequent tag <ngsi-ld:hasProperty> will be defined.
 - 9.1) This will be used to define "type": "Property" in NGSI-LD.
- 10) Here, both the tags <annotation:sourceValue> and <annotation:targetValue> are defined, even though the value ("m") is available in the oneM2M resource and could have been referenced.
 - 10.1) The reason of defining both tags is to put condition: check if the source value is equal to the one specified (in this case "m"), then the target value should be placed accordingly (in this case "meter").
 - 10.2) In case if the source has any other value (for e.g. "cm"), target value would not be generated.



Figure 6.3.5-4: Example illustration for Guidelines 9-10

6.4 Semantic Interworking Procedures

6.4.1 NGSI-LD entity creation

This clause defines the semantic interworking procedures using existing oneM2M standard interfaces including semantic discovery.



Figure 6.4.1-1: Semantic Interworking Procedures (1/2)

Step 1) After creating annotations as *<semanticDescriptor>* resources in oneM2M platform, a semantic proxy application for interworking performs the oneM2M semantic discovery to find NGSI-LD Entity corresponding resources. The semantic discovery includes the specific SPARQL query:

```
PREFIX annotation: <http://www.citydatahub.kr/ontologies/2021/1/sm_annotation#>
PREFIX ngsi-ld: <http://uri.etsi.org/ngsi-ld/>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
SELECT DISTINCT ?entity
WHERE {
    ?entity rdf:type ngsi-ld:Entity.
    ?entity annotation:hasDomainType ?entityType
```

Step 2) By the assumption in clause 6.3.1, when a resource representing NGSI-LD Entity is discovered, the descendant resources would represent NGSI-LD Attributes (i.e. Property or Relationship). The semantic discovery sample result shows that sub-resources of the "base/sem_iwk/spot_0001" would comprise an NGSI-LD Entity instance.

```
"m2m:uril": [
"base/sem_iwk/spot_001"
]
```

Steps 3) - 4): The proxy discovers all child <container> resources of the one representing NGSI-LD Entity.

Step 5) Retrieve all *<container>* resources from steps 2) and 4), also retrieves all *<latest>* resources of the *<container>* resources.

Step 6) From the *<container>* resources, discover all *<semanticDescriptor>* resources that has the interested ontology URI by the ontologyRef (or) attribute.

Step 7) Retrieve the each latest *<semanticDescriptor>* resource of the *<container>* resources. Since there is no feature like *<latest>* for *<semanticDescriptor>* resources, *Result Content* (rcn) request parameter can be used to retrieve multiple child resources so creationTime (ct) can be assessed to find the latest one by the proxy application.

6.4.2 Resource synchronization

Once initial NGSI-LD entity instances(resources) creation gets done, newly updated resources on oneM2M platform side is reflected in NGSI-LD context broker. oneM2M subscription/notification is leveraged by the semantic proxy in this case.



27

Figure 6.4.2-1: Semantic Interworking Procedures (2/2)

Step 8) After retrieving all *<container>*, *<contentInstance>* and *<semanticDescriptor>* resources, which means all IoT service data and mapping rules, the proxy converts oneM2M resources into NGSI-LD Entity instances.

Step 9) The semantic interworking proxy creates NGSI-LD Entity instances.

Step 10) The semantic interworking proxy creates *<subscription>* resources to get any updates on *<container>* resources and *<contentInstance>* resources.

Step 11) The semantic interworking proxy receives notifications.

Step 12) The proxy converts the notification event to the corresponding NGSI-LD instance updates.

Step 13) The proxy sends updates requests to NGSI-LD context broker for the existing Entity instances.

7 Solution 3 - Annotation ontology based static interworking

7.1 Introduction

This solution for mapping NGSI-LD to oneM2M is summarized as follows: every NGSI-LD entity is mapped to a different *<container>* resources within the same oneM2M *<ae>* resource. For this purpose, NGSI-LD Property values or Relationship object are mapped directly to oneM2M resources of type *<contentInstance>*. The type (i.e. "Property" or "Relationship") is added as a labels in the semantic descriptor for the *<container>* resource. Each NGSI-LD entity is mapped to a top-level *<container>* resource.

This solution then exploits *<container>* resource nesting, hence using child *<container>* resources to represent Properties or Relationships, so that each NGSI-LD Entity is considered as a top-level *<container>* and be created, together with all other entities, inside the networked oneM2M *<ae>* resource where they belong.

What *<ae>* resource is used in a CSE of oneM2M system for interworking with NGSI-LD is configured in IPE internally from oneM2M system to NGSI-LD system.

What entity is used for interworking with oneM2M is configured in IPE internally from NGSI-LD system to oneM2M system.

This solution has been developed and used in FED4IoT [i.3] project.

7.2 oneM2M features

This solution uses labels attribute, one of the common attributes in all resource types. Normally in oneM2M labels attribute is used for discovery purposes like hash tag, but this solution uses for storing several meta information.

Labels attribute is a list of individual labels, and each of them is either a standalone label-key, used as a simple "tag", that can be used for example for discovery purposes when looking for particular resources that one can "tag" using that label-key or a composite element made of a label-key and a label-value, separated by a special character defined in ETSI TS 118 101 [i.1].

Also, this solution uses <semanticDescriptor> resource type to specify what ontology is used.

7.3 Resource mapping

7.3.0 Introduction

Table 7.3.0-1 specifies what labels key is used for this interworking solution.

| Table 7.3.0-1: I | labels used | in the | interworking | solution |
|------------------|-------------|--------|--------------|----------|
|------------------|-------------|--------|--------------|----------|

| labels key name | data type | limitation | multiplicity | description |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|---|
| type | String | | 01 | NGSI-LD entity type in labels of top-level < <i>container</i> > resource Property/Relationship type in lables of child < <i>container</i> > resource |

7.3.1 Resource Mapping from NGSI-LD to oneM2M

It groups all NGSI-LD Entities under one common oneM2M *<ae>* resource. This means that top-level *<container>* of the *<ae>* resource now represent NGSI-LD Entities, not Properties/Relationships. It then exploits *<container>* resource nesting, hence using child *<container>* resources to represent Properties or Relationships, so that each NGSI-LD Entity is considered as a top-level *<container>* resource and be created, together with all other entities, inside the oneM2M *<ae>* resource where they belong.

Suppose there is the following NGSI-LD Entity, that comprises three Properties, where @context is omitted:

```
"id": "urn:ngsi-ld:Sensor:AparcamientoBicis:180",
"type": "Sensor",
"description": {
    "type": "Property",
    "value": "Santo%20Domingo"
},
"location": {
    "type": "GeoProperty",
    "value": "{\"type\":\"Point\", \"coordinates\":[37.987769,-1.129766]}"
},
"freeSpots": {
    "type": "Property",
    "value": "16"
}
```

oneM2M is extremely flexible in the structuring of data sources. Nonetheless, different resource types come with different levels of overhead when creating them. For instance, at creation time, Application Entities need to explicitly register themselves to the higher-level resource that coordinates them, i.e. the Common Services Entity (CSE). This is because Application Entities in oneM2M capture the concept of data producers (and consumers), rather than the concept of the produced data items. Furthermore, each AE can be the originator of a request to be granted a set of access operations (such as CREATE, RETRIEVE, UPDATE, DELETE, DISCOVER and NOTIFY), giving birth to a complex system of access control policies for those Application Entities that are going to operate on certain *<container>* resources. Table 7.3.1-1 is the guidelines for mapping between NGSI-LD and oneM2M.

| NGSI-LD | oneM2M |
|------------------------------------|---|
| entity | top-level <container> resource</container> |
| entity id | resourceID of top-level <container> resource</container> |
| entity type | labels of top-level <container> resource</container> |
| property/relationship | child <container> resource</container> |
| property/relationship name | resourceName of child < <i>container</i> > resource |
| property/relationship type | labels of child <container> resource</container> |
| property value/relationship object | <contentinstance> of the child <container> resource</container></contentinstance> |
| sub-property/sub-relationship | grand child < <i>container</i> > resource (< <i>container</i> > resource nested within child < <i>container</i> > resource) |

Table 7.3.1-1: Guidelines for mapping between NGSI-LD and oneM2M

29

Concerning values of the NGSI-LD properties, they are always going to be mapped to oneM2M resources of type

< *ContentInstance*>. < *ContentInstance*> resources make no assumptions regarding their content, and they behave as blackboxes, so that not much is done on their content, except retrieving it. Mostly the content is base64-encoded. This is the reason why the idea is to put the whole property into the *<contentInstance*> resource, including types, so that it can be unboxed in a straightforward way, without loosing any information, for example whenever there is a need to reverse map from oneM2M back to NGSI-LD. Furthermore, the type is mapped onto the labels of *<container*> resource, in order to enable discovery by means of queries/filters on the labels' values. Similarly,

oneM2M <contentInstances> do not express meta-information about the instance's units or geographic position, essentially treating the data as opaque. If the system (or data producer) wants to specify complex metadata in oneM2M resources, then the *<semanticDescriptor>* resource type is available. It enables attaching RDF triples to the resources, and the oneM2M specification describes how to build queries and semantic filters to discover

resources based on *<semanticDescriptor>* resources. The ontologyRef attribute of *<semanticDescriptor>* is used for specifying what ontology is used as the basis for the semantic content of *<semanticDescriptor>*.

In the example that follows, it is shown how to exploit *<semanticDescriptor>* of the child *<container>* resource to capture the fact that the property of the original NGSI-LD entity concerns its geographic location. As the example NGSI-LD entity presented above, it contains information coming from the Murcia bike parking place, and apply the mapping guidelines to it:

- an Application Entity is created and registered to the CSE of the Silo broker. The AE resource name may be equal to the vThingID, e.g. "BikeParking". The AE is the contact point for retrieving information about all bike parking places of Murcia. This strategy avoids creating a huge number of AE resources.
- "id":"AparcamientoBicis:180" (which is a specific parking place) → becomes a top-level <*container*> within the AE (i.e. every NGSI-LD Entity is mapped to a different top-level <*container*> resource).
- "type": "Sensor" \rightarrow becomes a "labels" of the top-level *<container*> resource.
- "freeSpots" property → spawns a child *<container>* resource. It becomes name of the child *<container>* resource.
- "type": "Property" of "freeSpots" \rightarrow becomes labels of the "freeSpots" child *<container>* resource.
- "value": "16" \rightarrow spawns a <*contentInstance*> of the "freeSpots" child <*container*> resource.
- "location" \rightarrow another child *<container>* resource.
- it is inferred that "location" refers to a geographic position, hence a semantic descriptor of the "location" child <*container>* resource is created. RDF triples are inserted stating the fact that the data of the <*container>* resource concerns geographic positions or geometries.
- "description" \rightarrow another child *<container>* resource.

Also, the other values become content value of *<contentInstance>* resources associated with their respective child *<container>* resources. It has to be noted that the whole JSON block of the "location" Property, shown below, becomes the content of *<contentInstance>*, so that no information is lost. This holds true for all properties/relationships in the original NGSI-LD Entity.

```
"type": "GeoProperty",
"value": "{\"type\":\"Point\", \"coordinates\":[37.987769,-1.129766]}"
}
```

Basically, this approach can be summarized as: every Property/Relationship of the NGSI-LD Entity becomes a child resource of *<container>*; the values become content of *<contentInstance>* resources, and the Entity itself becomes the top-level *<container>* resource.

It is possible to do query and discovery, by exploiting the fact that the names are copied to the labels. Whenever the mapping algorithm is able to infer complex data types, semantic descriptors can additionally be created.

Figure 7.3.1-1 (copied from [i.3], "libres" means "freeSpots" and "descripcion" means "description") represents that mapping as resource tree, having one AE for all bikeparkings and assuming that the CSE has name "Murcia", i.e. the tenant identifier. *<container>* resources in the same level could allow to query all the bikeparkings at once. This perspective is interesting from the Murcia city point of view. However, it is not possible to *a priori* exclude that bikeparking managers may prefer to have an alternative with one AE per bikeparking.





7.3.2 Resource Mapping from oneM2M to NGSI-LD

Here a "smart camera" use-case is used (real-life example from camera located in the city of Grasse) in order to briefly present the strategy for mapping from NGSI-LD to oneM2M and the reverse mapping, i.e. from oneM2M to NGSI-LD. The scenario is that of a sensitive site monitored by a smart camera, which may be able to detect events of wild deposits and provide the location, the car plate number and the type of waste illegally deposited.

The NGSI-LD data model is the starting point for mapping NGSI-LD to oneM2M. Figure 7.3.2-1 models this example in NGSI-LD.



Figure 7.3.2-1: Grasse Use Case Example modelled in NGSI-LD

Below, the NGSI-LD entities of Site and Smart Camera. The @context is omitted.

```
"id": "urn:ngsi-ld:Site:01",
"type": "Site",
"location": {
    "type": "GeoProperty",
    "value": {
          "type": "Point",
          "coordinates": [-8.5, 4.2]
     }
},
"name": {
     "type": "Property",
"value": "Sophia"
},
"illegalDeposit": {
    "` "Proper
     "type": "Property",
     "location": {
    "type": "GeoProperty",
          "value": {
               "type": "Point",
               "coordinates": [-8.5, 3.9]
          }
     },
     "registrationPlate": {
          "type": "Property",
"value": "bp169"
     },
     "wasteType": {
          "type": "Property",
          "value": "ORD"
     }.
     "detectedBy": {
          "type": "Relationship",
          "object": "urn:ngsi-ld:SmartCamera:X01"
     }
}
```

```
"id": "urn:ngsi-ld:SmartCamera:X01",
"type": "SmartCamera",
"location": {
    "type": "GeoProperty",
    "value": {
        "type": "Point",
        "coordinates": [-7.5, 2.2]
    }
}
```

31



32

The starting point for mapping oneM2M to NGSI-LD is the oneM2M resource tree, which is detailed in Figure 7.3.2-2.

Figure 7.3.2-2: oneM2M resource tree of the Grasse Use Case Example

(-7.5, 2.2)

The <semanticDescriptor>, part of the oneM2M standard specification, enables attaching semantic description via RDF triples to the resource. These added triples are exploited for mapping oneM2M to NGSI-LD. For this purpose, this clause will focus on the impact of the semantic description on the mapping of oneM2M to NGSI-LD according to 2 main categories:

- 1) Mapping oneM2M to NGSI-LD allowing to choose the reference ontology; and
- 2) Mapping oneM2M to NGSI-LD with a specific standardized reference ontology (e.g. NGSI-LD and SAREF).

Mapping oneM2M to NGSI-LD allowing for user to choose the reference ontology: In this case it is supposed that all *<ae>* resources, *<container>* resources and child *<container>* resources have an URI pointing to their semantic description. These URIs are directly added within the @context file, as a preliminary necessary step towards achieving a well-formed mapping. Table 7.3.2-1 summarizes the further steps of the mapping strategy from oneM2M to NGSI-LD.

| Table 7.3.2-1: First steps towards mapping onewized to NGSI-LI |
|--|
|--|

| oneM2M | NGSI-LD |
|---|---|
| top-level < <i>container</i> > resource | Entity |
| <ae> resource</ae> | Entity type |
| resourceID of top-level <container> resource</container> | Entity Id = "urn:ngsi-Id:" + AE + ":" + resource ID |
| resourceName of child < container > resource | Property name |
| <contentinstance> of the child <container> resource</container></contentinstance> | Property value |

Applying this strategy in our use case example, three main problems appear:

1) Properties (location, registrationPlate, wasteType) of the Property "illegalDeposit" are all mapped to a unique value as follows:



Properties of Property and Relationships of Property are not supported using this strategy.

- Relationships following this mapping strategy are not detectable, all child *<container>* resources of the resource tree are seen as NGSI-LD properties and *<contentInstance>* resource of the child *<container>* resources as property values.
- 3) The child *<container>* resource "smartCameraX01" has to be mapped to a new NGSI-LD entity not to a property.

Mapping oneM2M to NGSI-LD with a specific reference ontology (NGSI-LD and SAREF): In order to produce a correct mapping strategy, there is a need to refer to some known ontologies and critically to SAREF and NGSI-LD ontologies. Referring these ontologies in the semantic descriptor and adding new generic rules will allow a successful oneM2M to NGSI-LD mapping strategy.

In the following, the previously detected issues are solved by adapting the semantic description and referring NGSI-LD and SAREF ontologies.

• Rules for detecting Properties of Property

If a child *<container>* resource is described as an RDF class or NGSI-LD Entity that have properties as domain, then the assumption that this child *<container>* resource will be mapped as an NGSI-LD property, its semantic properties as NGSI-LD properties of the property and content values of the *<contentInstance>* resources as values of the properties, can directly be used.

The same strategy may be applied for detecting NGSI-LD Relationships of Property, especially when the following rules for detecting NGSI-LD Relationships is applied.

• Rules for detecting Relationships

Each desired Relationship in the oneM2M resource tree has to be defined and specified as a NGSI-LD Relationship in the semantic descriptor by referencing the URI of the NGSI-LD relationship " https://uri.etsi.org/ngsi-ld/Relationship".

The Relationship concept is defined and introduced by the NGSI-LD standard, and detecting it in the oneM2M resource tree or even in the semantic description is hard task if the NGSI-LD URI is not referenced. When an NGSI-LD Relationship is detected, its value will be added to the "object" field and a new Entity will be created. Properties of this newly created Entity are not known and have to be brought in. That is why a child *<container>* resource with the properties of the Smart camera was added.

• Rules for detecting new Entities

Each saref:device is mapped to a new NGSI-LD Entity where its type is the labels of the child *<container>* resource, the Id is the resourceID of the child *<container>* resource. *<contentInstance>* resources of the child *<container>* resource are mapped as properties of the new Entity.

The SAREF ontology was mentioned in the previous clause as a solution for detecting new entities in the oneM2M resource tree and thus mapping all SAREF properties of the semantic description to NGSI-LD properties. As mentioned before, Relationships have to be declared, in order for them to be detectable and thus mapped to NGSI-LD Relationship correctly.

7.4 Procedures for Interworking from NGSI-LD to oneM2M

7.4.1 Pre-configuration

There is nothing to configure before the procedure.

7.4.2 IPE initialization

| one Plat | M2M form | IPE | NGSI-LD Context Broker |
|--------------------|---|----------|---|
| | 2. Discovery of all sub- <container> and <semanticdescriptc - GET /(context-path)/<ae>/?fu=1&ty=3,24</ae></semanticdescriptc </container> | or> | 1. Retrieve entities for interworking entity representations |
| * Iterate for miss | List of resource identifiers ing <container> resources 3. create <container> re</container></container> | esource | |
| | Resource representations 4. create <contentinstance> I</contentinstance> | resource | |
| | | | |
| * Iterate for miss | ing <semanticdescriptor> resources 5. create <semanticdescriptor> r Resource representations</semanticdescriptor></semanticdescriptor> | resource | |
| | | | 6. Subscribe entities for interworking |
| | | 4 | entity representations |

Figure 7.4.2-1: Procedures for IPE initialization

Step 1. Retrieve entities for interworking from NGSI-LD context broker. IPE already has knowledge for entities for interworking.

Step 2. Discover all child *<container>* resources and *<semanticDescriptor>* resources for target *<ae>* resource.

Step 3. If there is no resource for entity or attribute of entity, IPE creates *<container>* resource for the entity or the attribute of the entity as specified in clause 7.3.1.

Step 4. If IPE creates *<container>* resource in step 3., IPE also creates *<contentInstance>* resource under the *<container>* resource as specified in clause 7.3.1.

Step 5. If there is missing *<semanticDescriptor>* resource, IPE creates *<semanticDescriptor>* resource according to clause 7.3.1.

Step 6. IPE subscribes the entities for interworking.



35

7.4.3 Resource synchronization

Figure 7.4.3-1: Procedures for Interworking Data

Step 1. IPE receives a notification requests per subscription.

Step 2. Check if notification is sent due to the *<subscription>* resource created during IPE initialization. If not, not processed further.

Step 3. If entity or attribute in the resource is newly created, create *<container>* resource as specified in clause 7.3.1.

Step 4. If *<container>* resource is created in step 3., create *<contentInstance>* resource as specified in clause 7.3.1.

Step 5. If entity or attribute in the resource is updated, create *<contentInstance>* resource according to clause 7.3.1.

7.5 Procedures for Interworking from oneM2M to NGSI-LD

7.5.1 Pre-configuration

A NGSI-LD system user configures *<semanticDescriptor>* resource for oneM2M resources that need interworking to NGSI-LD.

7.5.2 IPE initialization

| one Platf | /2M form | IPE | NGSI-LD Context Broker |
|--------------------|---|---|---------------------------|
| | 1. Retrieve <ae> resource - GET /{context-path}/<ae></ae></ae> | | |
| 1. | <ae> resource representations</ae> | | |
| | Discovery of all sub-<container> and <semanticdescript -="" <ae="" get="" {context-path}="">/?fu=1&ty=3,24</semanticdescript></container> | r> | |
| | List of resource identifiers | | |
| * Iterate for reso | urce in step 2 response 3. Retrieve res | ources | |
| | Resource representations | | |
| | | * If type of the resource is <container> 4. Save resourceName</container> | |
| | | * If type of the resource is <semanticdescriptor> 5. Store Mapping Rule in <semanticdescriptor></semanticdescriptor></semanticdescriptor> | · |
| · | | | |
| * Iterate for the | resource in step 3 response if type of the resource is <container> 6. Retrieve latest <contentin< td=""><td>tance></td><td></td></contentin<></container> | tance> | |
| | Resource representations 8. create <subscription></subscription> | 7. Save value of content attribute | |
| | • | | |

36

Figure 7.5.2-1: Procedures for IPE initialization

Step 1. Retrieve *<ae>* resource. This solution assumes that IPE already has knowledge what *<ae>* resources are need interworking.

Step 2. Retrieve list of resource identifier for child <container> resources and child <semanticDescriptor> resources.

Step 3. Retrieve resource in step 2. response.

Step 4. If type of the resource is <container> in step 3. response, IPE saves resourceName of the resource.

Step 5. If type of the resource is *<semanticDescriptor>* in step 3. response, IPE saves mapping rule defined in *<semanticDescriptor>* resource.

Step 6. Retrieve latest <contentInstance> if the resource is <container> resource type in step 3. response.

Step 7. Save value of content attribute.

Step 8. Create <*subscription>* resources if the resource is <*container>* resource type in step 3. response.

7.5.3 Resource synchronization



Figure 7.5.3-1: Procedures for Interworking Data

Step 1. IPE receives a notification requests per subscription.

Step 2. Check if notification is sent due to the *<subscription>* resource created during IPE initialization. If not, not processed further.

Step 3. If there is *<semanticDescriptor>* for the resource in the notification, apply mapping rule as specified in clause 7.3.2.

Step 4. Merge NGSI-LD Entity instance with the updated one(s) from step 3. as specified in clause 7.3.2.

Step 5. Provide updates to the NGSI-LD Entity instance via Batch Entity Creation of Update (Upsert) interface.

8 Conclusions and future considerations

The present document introduces three candidate interworking solutions for data synchronization between oneM2M system and NGSI-LD system. It is not intended to mandate any of these solutions, these are illustrated as they have been developed and adapted in several projects as proof-of-concept.

Since the scope of the present document is limited to data synchronization, further study is needed for other interworking scenarios such as resource discovery from one to the other, data provision or consumption from one to the other.

| | Label based dynamic interworking | Mapping ontology based dynamic interworking | Annotation ontology based static interworking |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Interworking direction | oneM2M to NGSI-LD | oneM2M to NGSI-LD | oneM2M to NGSI-LD, NGSI-LD to oneM2M |
| Synchronization method | Subscription/Notification | Subscription/Notification | Subscription/Notification |
| oneM2M version compatibility | Since Rel-1 | Since Rel-2 | Since Rel-2 |

| Table 8-1: Con | nparison of the | e data synchro | onization soluti | ions |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------|
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------|

| | Label based dynamic interworking | Mapping ontology based dynamic interworking | Annotation ontology based static interworking |
|--|--|--|--|
| Applicability of oneM2M resource types | <container>, <contentinstance>, <flexcontainer>, <flexcontainerinstance>, <timeseries>, <timeseriesinstance></timeseriesinstance></timeseries></flexcontainerinstance></flexcontainer></contentinstance></container> | <container>, <contentinstance>, <flexcontainer>, <flexcontainerinstance>, <timeseries>, <timeseriesinstance></timeseriesinstance></timeseries></flexcontainerinstance></flexcontainer></contentinstance></container> | <container>, <contentinstance></contentinstance></container> |
| Granularity of oneM2M attribute mapping | An attribute or an element of an attribute | An attribute or an element of an attribute | An attribute or an element of an attribute |
| Flexibility of resource mapping rules | Dynamic mapping based on mapping rule in labels | Dynamic mapping based on mapping rule in semantic descriptor | Static mapping |
| Mapping rules location | labels attribute | <semanticdescriptor> resource</semanticdescriptor> | labels attribute, <semanticdescriptor> resource</semanticdescriptor> |
| Semantic Ontology | n/a | A new ontology for oneM2M and NGSI-LD resource mapping to store mapping rules in <semanticdescriptor> resources.</semanticdescriptor> | NGSI-LD and SAREF to annotate the oneM2M resource. |
| Dependency of oneM2M resource tree structure | Independent. Any resources, even in different sub-trees, can compose an NGSI-LD Entity. | Dependent. A set of resources in the same tree compose an NGSI-LD Entity. | Dependent. A set of resources in the same tree compose an NGSI-LD Entity. |

History

| Document history | | | |
|------------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| V1.1.1 | December 2022 | Publication | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

39