



**ETR 327** 

October 1996

Source: ETSI TC-BTC

ICS: 35.120

Key words: Digital, PBX, transmission

Reference: DTR/BTC-02080

## Business TeleCommunications (BTC); Comparison of PBX transmission requirements in standards ANSI/TIA/EIA 464-B and ETS 300 439

## ETSI

European Telecommunications Standards Institute

#### **ETSI Secretariat**

**Postal address:** F-06921 Sophia Antipolis CEDEX - FRANCE **Office address:** 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis - Valbonne - FRANCE **X.400:** c=fr, a=atlas, p=etsi, s=secretariat - **Internet:** secretariat@etsi.fr

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 - Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

**Copyright Notification:** No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

Page 2 ETR 327: October 1996

Whilst every care has been taken in the preparation and publication of this document, errors in content, typographical or otherwise, may occur. If you have comments concerning its accuracy, please write to "ETSI Editing and Committee Support Dept." at the address shown on the title page.

#### Contents

Fore	word				5
Intro	duction.				5
1	Scope				7
2	Refere	ences			7
3	Abbre	viations			8
4	Refere	ence Model			9
5	Requir	rements			10
•	5 1	Loss and	l loss - related n	arameters	10
	5.1	5 1 1	Port to port	insertion loss	10
		5.1.1		Insertion loss ranges	10
			5.1.1.1	Insertion loss ranges	12
			5.1.1.2	Loss variations	12
			5.1.1.3	Digital pad disabling	13
		5.1.2	Frequency	response	13
			5.1.2.1	Comparison of both definitions of Frequency Response	13
			5.1.2.2	2w - 2w	14
			5.1.2.3	2w - 4w	14
			5.1.2.4	4w - 4w	
			5125	2w - digital	15
			5126	4w - digital	17
		512			10
		5.1.5		Comparison of both definitions of Interface Louisle	10
			5.1.3.1	Companson of both definitions of interface Levels	18
			5.1.3.2	Interface levels	19
			5.1.3.3	Level tracking: Tracking error	21
			5.1.3.4	Overload	22
			5.1.3.5	Level tracking: Overload compression	23
			5.1.3.6	Signal levels	24
		5.1.4	Hvbrid bala	nce	25
		515	Input Imped	lance	26
		516	Echo loss		27
		5.1.0	Stability loop	~	
	F 0	J.I./	Stability 105	5	
	5.Z		pairment param	eters	
		5.2.1	Noise		
			5.2.1.1	Weighted noise	28
			5.2.1.2	3 kHz flat noise	28
			5.2.1.3	Single frequency noise	29
			5.2.1.4	Spurious out of band signals	29
		5.2.2	Balance	· · ·	30
			5.2.2.1	Longitudinal to metallic balance.	
			5222	Transverse halance	31
		523	Crosstalk		32
		524	Dictortion		22
		5.2.4		Quantization distortion	
			5.2.4.1		33
		<b>-</b>	5.2.4.2	Single frequency distortion	34
		5.2.5	Delay		34
			5.2.5.1	Echo path delay	34
	5.3	Loudnes	s levels		35
		5.3.1	Acoustic ref	ference level plan	37
			5.3.1.1	ARLP Background	37
			5.312	Acoustic Reference Level Plan Description	37
			5313	ARIPLOSS Design Application for System-Specific	
			0.0.1.0	Talanhanas	20

#### Page 4 ETR 327: October 1996

5.4	Other im	pairment parameters	
	5.4.1	Inter-modulation distortion	39
	5.4.2	Group delay distortion	40
	5.4.3	Impulsive noise	40
	5.4.4	Jitter	41
	5.4.5	Gain hit	41
	5.4.6	Phase hit	41
	5.4.7	Drop out	42
istory			43

#### Foreword

This ETSI Technical Report (ETR) has been produced by the Technical Committee (TC) Business TeleCommunications (BTC) of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI).

This ETR is a Telecommunications Systems Bulletin (TSB), jointly produced by:

- Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA) Subcommittee TR-41.1, MultiLine Telecommunications Systems (MLTS), and
- ETSI TC BTC.

The document is being published as a TIA TSB and as an ETR. The document is not an industry standard and compliance to its contents is not mandatory. The document compares corresponding Private Branch eXchange (PBX) transmission requirements in TIA and ETSI standards to be considered when developing equipment or standards intended for global application.

ETSI has granted TIA permission to use copyrighted material from ETS 300 439, "Business TeleCommunications (BTC); Transmission characteristics of digital Private Branch eXchanges (PBXs)", in the TIA TSB. Likewise, TIA has granted ETSI permission to use copyrighted material from ANSI/TIA/EIA-464B-1996, "Requirements for Private Branch eXchange (PBX) Switching Equipment" in this ETR.

#### Introduction

Over the last decade, continuing telecommunications improvements have brought the continents closer together - digital signalling allows calls to be established in less than a second and digital transmission has improved voice quality. Such changes have made it convenient to call anywhere in the world without a thought being given to past difficulties in completing such calls with acceptable transmission quality.

These improvements have made it possible for multi-national companies to efficiently conduct business in a real-time environment and to be able to efficiently design and produce world-wide products. This gives impetus to international telecommunications equipment suppliers and users of such equipment to consider the differences in transmission requirements of PBX systems that are manufactured for different world marketplaces.

This ETR provides a comparison of North American and European PBX standards with the goal of creating maximum commonality for serving the global PBX marketplace, while recognizing the existence of differences in regional telecommunications environments, regulatory practices, geographic constraints, and customer expectations. Technical differences between the TIA and PBX standards are identified and rationalized. This ETR can be considered a first step for eventual harmonization on a global basis.

Blank page

#### 1 Scope

This ETSI Technical Report (ETR) compares, in an orderly manner, like transmission requirements in ANSI/TIA/EIA-464B-1996, "Requirements for Private Branch eXchange (PBX) Switching Equipment" and those in ETS 300 439, "Business TeleCommunications (BTC); Transmission characteristics of digital Private Branch eXchanges (PBXs)".

Since only the PBX transmission requirements in TIA-464B and ETS 300 439 are compared, it is necessary to examine relevant portions of the scope of each of these standards to gain an overall idea of the scope of the comparison. ETS 300 439 provides transmission requirements while ANSI/TIA/EIA-464 provides transmission requirements as well as other PBX parameters, e.g., signalling and supervision. The following table is an overview comparison of the two documents.

	TIA-464B	ETS 300 439
SCOPE	Digital PBX	Digital PBX with Test Point
TRAFFIC	3,1 kHz voice	3,1 kHz voice
CODING (at interface to	mu-law	A-law
network)		
Measurement	Port-to-Port (full-channel)	Port-to-test point (half-
		channel)
INCLUDES (interfaces):		
Analogue	Yes	Yes
Digital	Yes	Yes
Cordless	No	No (Planned for subsequent
		issue)
Loud-speaking (hands-free)	No	No
Proprietary sets	Yes	Yes
Non-transmission	Yes	No
requirements		
Test methods for compliance	No	Yes

#### 2 References

For the purposes of this ETR, the following references apply:

[1]	ITU-T Recommendation G.122 (1993): "Influence of national systems on stability and talker echo in international connections".
[2]	ITU-T Recommendation G.711 (1988): "Pulse code modulation (PCM) of voice frequencies".
[3]	ITU-T Recommendation G.712 (1992): "Transmission performance characteristics of pulse code modulation".
[4]	ITU-T Recommendation O.41 (1994): "Psophometer for use on telephone -type circuits".
[5]	ITU-T Recommendation Q.517 (1984): "Digital local and combined exchanges, Transmission characteristics".
[6]	ITU-T Recommendation Q.551 (1994) : "Transmission characteristics of digital exchanges".

#### 3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this ETR, the following abbreviations apply:

A/TO	Analogue trunk interface to analogue toll office (TO) trunk
Α/ΤΙ ΔΔΙ (Δ)	Analogue trunk interface to analogue PSTN access line
	Digital trunk interface to analogue PSTN access line
DAI	Digital trunk interface to digital PSTN access line
DID	Direct In Dialling
DISA	Direct Inward System Access
ICS	Digital line interface to ISDN Compatible Station
ISD/TT	Digital trunk interface to integrated services trunk and digital interface to non
	ISDN digital or combination tie trunk
ISPBX	Integrated services private branch exchange
IST	Digital trunk interface to integrated services trunk
K2	Analogue 2-wire trunk interface to analogue PSTN access line
KD	Digital trunk interface to digital PSTN access line
L2	Analogue 2-wire extension interface
LD	Digital line interface to ISDN Compatible Station
M2	Analogue 2-wire tie-line interface
M4	Analogue 4-wire tie-line interface
MD	Digital tie-line interface
ONS	Line interface to on-premises station
OPS	Line interface to off-premises station
S/ATT	Analogue trunk interface to analogue satellite PBX tie trunk
S/DTT	Digital trunk interface to digital satellite PBX tie trunk
TBR	Technical Basis for Regulation

#### 4 Reference Model

Each of the documents in this comparison specifies the interfaces to which the PBX can connect and make connections between. An understanding of these interfaces is integral to the proper interpretation and application of the transmission requirements being compared.

Figure 1, Representative PBX Network Connections, presents a simplified model of the PBX interfaces in terms of the ETSI interface designations. The correspondence to TIA interface designations is shown in the figure. Note that there is no ETSI designation for an Off-Premise Station interface; thus the TIA designation is used for that interface.



Figure 1: Representative PBX Network Connections

#### 5 Requirements

On the following pages, the corresponding requirements in each document are referenced and then compared side-by-side. This is followed by an analysis of the comparison where applicable. Additional sheets provide tables, figures, and background explanation for specific requirements.

#### 5.1 Loss and loss - related parameters

#### 5.1.1 Port to port insertion loss

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.2.1 Insertion loss criteria for ISPBX	3.1.4 Transmission loss
5.2.4 ISPBX loss ranges	5.2.1 Nominal transmission loss (analogue
Tables 12, 13 ISPBX loss plan	interfaces)
	6.2 Nominal transmission loss (digital interfaces)
	8.2 Transmission loss between interfaces
	Annex A, subclause A.4.2, Measurements
The insertion loss is specified as port-to-port loss between PBX interfaces and Loss Ranges. Requirements are formatted in a loss plan matrix; each matrix cell defines the nominal insertion loss in dB for both directions of transmission. Attached table 1 gives the loss for connections involving lines and private network trunks; table 2 gives the loss for connections between access lines to the PSTN.	Nominal transmission Loss NL is defined as the difference between relative input level $L_i$ of one port and the relative output level Lo of the other port in a connection, including the loss SL of an digital gain- or loss- pad. NL = $L_i - L_0 + SL$ where $L_i$ and $L_0$ are in dBr referenced to 0 dBr. The values for Li and $L_0$ must be stated by the supplier. Annexes E1 through E4 provide - for information only - values used earlier in different countries
Conditions: Measured at 1 004 Hz (nominal) with both source and measuring instruments at 600 $\Omega$ impedance.	Conditions: Measured at 1 020 Hz (nominal) with a test level of -10 dBm0 (annex A, subclause A. 4.2).

Analysis: In TIA, the port-to-port-loss is specified, but the allocation of loss between input and output ports is left to the manufacturer's discretion. In ETSI, neither the port-to-port loss nor the allocation of loss is specified. However, the port-to-port-loss must be stated by the supplier on the basis of input/output levels. These losses are in many cases subject to national requirements, which differ from country to country on the signal levels at the point of connection to the PSTN while maintaining a harmonized requirement at the point of connection to the ISDN. To address PBX features such as forwarding of inward dial calls (DID) and external access to corporate networks (DISA), TIA specifies a loss plan between access lines. No comparable plan exists in the ETSI standards.

#### Table 1: TIA-464B Loss Plan for Lines and Private Network Trunks

		10	١S	0	PS	IC	S	Þ	VTT		DA & IS	L ST	ISD	/TT	S/A	TT	S/D	тт
		$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$		$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$
ONS	$\rightarrow$	6		3		3		3			3		3		3		3	
	$\leftarrow$		6		3		6			3		6		9		3		3
OPS	$\rightarrow$	3		0		-3		2			3		0		2		2	
	$\leftarrow$		3		0		0			2		6		6		2		2
ICS	$\rightarrow$	6		0		0		0			0		0		0		0	
	$\leftarrow$		3		-3		0			-3		0		0		-3		-3
A/TT	$\rightarrow$	3		2		-3		0			0		-3		0		0	
	$\leftarrow$		3		2		0			0		3		3		0		0
DAL	$\rightarrow$	6		6		0		3			0		0		6		6	
& IST	$\leftarrow$		3		3		0			0		0		0		0		0
ISD/TT	$\rightarrow$	9		6		0		3			0		0		6		6	
	$\leftarrow$		3		0		0			-3		0		0		0		0
S/ATT	$\rightarrow$	3	0	2	0	-3	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	← `	2	3	2	2	2	0	0		0	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0
S/DTT	$\rightarrow$	3	0	Ζ	2	-3	0	0		0	U	6	0	6	0	0	0	0
	$\leftarrow$	0	3	0	2	-6	0	0/2	>	0	-3	0	-3/0	0	0	0	0	0
AAL(A)		Ŭ	0	Ū	0	Ũ	0	0/2	-	0/2	0	2	0,0	2/6	Ũ	0	Ũ	0
	$\leftarrow$	3	0	0	0	-3	-3	2		0/2	0	3	0/-3	3/0	0	0	0	0
AAL(D)		U	0	U	0	Ū	0	2		2	* No	ote	0, 0	6/2	U	0	U	0
	$\leftarrow$	6	3	3	0	0	0	0		Z	-3	3	-3	0/3	3	0	3	0
A/TO		0	e	0	2		2	0		0	0	2	5	2	0	2	0	2
(Values	← in dB	B)	0		3		ა			U		3		3		3		3

NOTE: IST connections only, not DAL

#### Table 2: TIA-464B Loss Plan for Inter-Access Line Connections

		AAL(A)		AAL(D)		DAL	
		$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$
-	$\rightarrow$	0		0		-3	
AAL(A)			•				•
$\leftarrow$			0		0		3
_	÷	0		0/3		-3/0	
AAL(D)							
$\leftarrow$			0		0/3		3/6
_	÷	3		3/6		0	
DAL							
$\leftarrow$			-3		-3/0		0

#### 5.1.1.1 Insertion loss ranges

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.2.4 ISPBX Loss Ranges	Requirements not given
Station to Station	
ONS-ONS: 4,5 dB to 7,5 dB	
ONS-OPS: 2,0 dB to 4,0 dB	
OPS-OPS: 0,0 dB to 0,5 dB	
Station to Trunk Average loss between nominal and nominal plus 0,5 dB	
Trunk to Trunk Average loss between nominal and nominal plus 0,5 dB	

**Analysis:** The TIA desired nominal values are shown in table 1, however a PBX may have a declared nominal value within the TIA range quoted above. The tolerance in subclause 5.1.2.1 is applied to the declared nominal value. In ETSI, consequently those loss 'ranges are not given, since the loss is left to the manufacturer's discretion or subject to national requirements. For loss variations in ETSI see subclause 5.1.1.2.

#### 5.1.1.2 Loss variations

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.2.5 Loss Variation	<ul> <li>5.2.1 Nominal Transmission Loss (all analogue interfaces)</li> <li>6.2 Nominal Transmission Loss (all digital interfaces)</li> </ul>
Station-Station	Loss variation is the difference between actual
Mandatory: ±1,0 dB	measured and the nominal input/output
Desirable: ±0,3 dB	transmission loss as stated by the supplier. This
Station-Trunk	difference can be interpreted as the permitted
Mandatory: ±0,7 dB	tolerance due to design tolerances, cabling and
Desirable: ±0,4 dB	adjustment increments. The values shall lie in the
Trunk-Trunk	range:
Mandatory: ±0,7 dB	- 0,35 to +0,35 dB for analogue interfaces
Desirable: ±0,4 dB	- 0,15 to +0,15 dB for digital interfaces
Conditions:	Conditions:
To be met on 95 % of connections in each category.	The values are referred to input- or output Half-
ONS-ONS, ONS-OPS, OPS-OPS connections	Connections Losses only and not to Port-to-Port
treated as separate categories.	connections.

**Analysis:** Combining ETSI input and output tolerances, the path tolerance requirements for stationstation are equivalent; the TIA requirements are tighter for station-trunk and trunk-trunk. However, depending on allocation between input and output ports, meeting TIA connection requirements does not guarantee meeting ETSI half-channel requirements.

#### 5.1.1.3 Digital pad disabling

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.2.6 Digital Pad Disabling	<ul><li>3.1.7.1 Bit Integrity</li><li>4 Compliance Principles</li></ul>
Digital pads for loss implementation shall be disabled on digital data calls	Digital processing devices must be disabled to provide bit integrity when needed. If digital pads are part of the loss adjustment of input- and output half channels, they must be rendered inoperative during all transmission measurements, with the exception of the parameters Nominal Transmission Loss and Loss Tolerances.

**Analysis:** There is no issue in TIA-464B about the influence of digital pads on transmission parameters such as level tracking, quantizing distortion, output level, overload compression etc. With respect to the above mentioned parameters, the ETSI Standard is mainly based on ITU-T G.712 [3] Recommendations, which provides parameter limits, assuming no digital loss or gain pads. To avoid the calculation and creation of new, extended limits for the standard, all digital signal processing devices including digital pads should be switched inoperative. This procedure was agreed upon since, usually, the influence on transmission quality of those devices is known and can be tolerated in practice.

#### 5.1.2 Frequency response

#### 5.1.2.1 Comparison of both definitions of Frequency Response

In both standards, the frequency response is defined as the difference between the actual loss at any frequency and the actual loss at the reference frequency 1 004 Hz (TIA) or 1 020 Hz (ETSI). With respect to the given frequency masks, positive values indicate more loss and vice versa. The loss at the reference frequency is assumed to be 0 dB.

By definition, loss is the logarithmic ratio between two values of power. For TIA-464B requirements, measurements of frequency response are performed with all analog ports terminated with 600  $\Omega$  (section 5.2 of TIA-464B). Since this termination is independent of frequency, the measured voltage response across the port interface is identical with the power response. In contrast, for ETSI requirements, the ports are terminated with their nominal impedance, which is capacitive complex. i.e., frequency-dependent. Therefore, ETSI defines the frequency response Loss Distortion with Frequency, (subclause 3.1.5 of ETS 300 439) as the logarithmic ratio between the actual measured voltage at the reference frequency (1 020 Hz) and the voltage at any other frequency. This definition is in accordance with ITU-T Recommendations Q.551, section 1.2.5 [6].

#### Page 14 ETR 327: October 1996

#### 5.1.2.2 2w - 2w

	TIA	A - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.4.1 (1)	Frequency I and Station	Response (Station-Station - 2W Trunk)	No comparable requirement
Figure 42a	ISPBX Freq	juency Response	
U	Requiremer	nts, 2-wire to 2-Wire	
Response	Table (break	points):	ETSI does not have specifications analogue-to-
Freq.	Min.	Max.	analogue port connections.
<u>(Hz)</u>	<u>(dB)</u>	<u>(dB)</u>	An approximation to the 2w-2w port loss distortion with frequency limits can be made by taking two
60	+20		times the 2-wire half-channel limits (subclause
200	0,0	+5	5.1.2.5 of this document).
300	-0,5	+1	
3 000	-0,5	+1	
3 200	-0,5	+1,5	
3 400	0,0	+3	
Conditions	:		
Relative to	loss measur	ed at 1 004 Hz (nominal);	
"+" values	indicate more	e loss, "-" values indicate	
less loss th	nan measureo	d at 1 004 Hz. For each	
connection	category, 95	5 % of connections shall	
meet the a	bove require	ments.	

**Analysis:** Comparing the TIA template to the approximation for ETSI suggested above, TIA is more stringent than ETSI, except for the maximum relative loss in the range of 600 Hz to 2 400 Hz.

#### 5.1.2.3 2w - 4w

	TIA	A - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.4.1 (2) Frequency Response (Station-4W Trunk and 2W Trunk - 4W Trunk) Figure 42b ISPBX Frequency Response. Requirements, 2-wire to 4-Wire			No comparable requirement
Response Freq. (Hz) 60 200 300 3 000 3 200 3 400 * in 4w-2w Conditions Relative to "+" values less loss th	Table (break Min. (dB) +20 * 0,0 -0,4 -0,4 -0,4 0,0 direction: +10 : loss measur indicate more an measure	points): Max. (dB)  +4 +0,65 +0,65 +1,5 +3 6 dB ed at 1 004 Hz (nominal); e loss, "-" values indicate d at 1 004 Hz. For each	ETSI does not have specifications for analogue-to- analogue port connections. An approximation to the 2w-4w port loss distortion with frequency limits can be made by summing the 2-wire and 4-wire half-channel limits (subclauses 5.1.2.5 and 5.1.2.6 of this document).
connection meet the a	category, 95 bove require	% of connections shall ments.	

**Analysis:** Comparing the TIA template to the approximation for ETSI suggested above, TIA is more stringent than ETSI except for the maximum relative loss in the ranges of 600 to 2 000 Hz and approximately 3 350 to 3 400 Hz.

5.1.2.4 4w - 4w

	TI	A - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.4.1 (3)	Frequency Trunk)	Response (4W Trunk - 4W	No comparable requirement
Figure 42c	: ISPBX Frec	uency Response	
_	Requiremen	nts, 4-wire to 4-Wire	
Response	Table (break	points):	ETSI does not have specifications for analogue-to-
Freq.	Min.	Max.	analogue port connections. An approximation to the
<u>(Hz)</u>	<u>(dB)</u>	<u>(dB)</u>	4w-4w port loss distortion with frequency limits can be made by taking two times the 4-wire half-channel
60	+16		limits (subclause 5.1.2.6 of this document).
200	0,0	+3	
300	-0,3	+0,3	
3 000	-0,3	+0,3	
3 200	-0,3	+1,5	
3 400	0,0	+3	
Conditions	:		
Relative to loss measured at 1 004 Hz (nominal);			
"+" values indicate more loss, "-" values indicate			
less loss than measured at 1 004 Hz. For each			
connectior	n category, 95	5 % of connections shall	
meet the a	bove require	ments.	

**Analysis:** Comparing the TIA template to the approximation for ETSI suggested above, TIA is more stringent than ETSI, except for the maximum relative loss in the range of approximately 3 300 to 3 400 Hz.

	TL	A - 464B				ETS	300 43	39
5.4.1 (4)	Frequency	Response (Station-Digital	I	3.1.5	De	efinition of	f Loss D	Distortion
	and 2W Tru	unk - Digital)		5.2.3	Va	ariation of	gain wi	ith frequency
Figure 42c	I ISPBX Free	quency Response			(a	nalogue h	alf con	nections)
	Requireme	nts, 2-wire to Digital		Figur	es 6, 7 Lo	ss Distor	tion with	h frequency (input
					ar	d output	connec	tions)
Template:	Figure 2			K2, L	.2, M2, K4	, and M4	Interfac	ces:
Response	Table (break	(points):		Tem	olate: Figu	ire 2		
Freq.	Min.	Max.		Freq		Min.		Max.
<u>(Hz)</u>	<u>(dB)</u>	<u>(dB)</u>		<u>(Hz)</u>		<u>(dB)</u>		<u>(dB)*</u>
						inp	outp	
60	+20							
200	0,0	+3 *			<200	0	-0,3	
300	-0,25	+0,5		200	300	-0,3	-0,3	
3 000	-0,25	+0,5		300	400	-0,3	-0,3	+1
3 200	-0,25	+0,75		400	600	-0,3	-0,3	+0,75
3 400	0,0	+1,5		600	2 000	-0,3	-0,3	+0,35
				2 000	02 400	-0,3	-0,3	+0,45
* For analo	og-to-digital c	conversion. The max. valu	ie at	2 400	03 000	-0,3	-0,3	+0,7
200 Hz is ·	+2 dB for dig	ital-to-analog conversion		3 000	03 400	-0,3	-0,3	+1,7
				3 400	03600	-0,3	-0,3	
Conditions:				>3 60	00	0	0	
Relative to loss measured at 1 004 Hz (nominal);								
"+" values indicate more loss, "-" values indicate			* san	ne for inpu	ut and out	put		
less loss than measured at 1 004 Hz. For each			-					
connectior	category, 9	5 % of connections shall		Conc	Conditions: Referenced to 1 020 Hz. Preferred input			
meet the a	bove require	ments.		level	: -10 dBm	0.		

#### Page 16 ETR 327: October 1996

Analysis: TIA requirements are tighter than ETSI with the exception of maximum loss relative to 1 004 Hz in the frequency range 600-2 400 Hz. Though not shown in the template, TIA requirements extend beyond 4 000 Hz with minimum loss, relative to 1 004 Hz, of 28 (D-A) or 32 (A-D) dB at 4 600 Hz and beyond. In ETSI, requirements for the frequency response are only related to the speech band from 200 - 3 600 Hz. Signals above 3,6 kHz, which may cause interference (harm) to the public network are considered as "Access Requirements", and contained in TBRs. For additional information see also "Comparison of both definitions of Frequency Response" in subclause 5.1.2 of this document.



Figure 2: 2-wire to digital frequency response

TIA - 464B			ETS 300 439
5.4.1 (5)	Frequency Digital)	Response (4W Trunk -	Same as subclause 5.1.2.5 of this document
Figure 42e	Requireme	quency Response nts, 4-wire to Digital	
Template:	Figure 3		Same as subclause 5.1.2.5 of this document Template: Figure 3
Response	Table (break	(points):	
Freq. <u>(Hz)</u>	Min. <u>(dB)</u>	Max. <u>(dB)</u>	
60 200 300 3 000 3 200 3 400	+16 0,0 -0,15 -0,15 -0,15 0,0	 +2 * +0,15 +0,15 +0,75 +1,5	
* For analog-to-digital conversion. The max. value at 200 Hz is +1 dB for digital-to-analog conversion			
Conditions Relative to (nominal); values indi 1 004 Hz. I connection	: loss measur "+" values in cate less los For each con is shall meet	red at 1 004 Hz dicate more loss, "-" s than measured at inection category, 95 % of the above requirements.	

 Analysis: TIA requirements are tighter than ETSI with the exception of maximum loss relative to 1 004 Hz in the approximate frequency range 3 300-3 400 Hz. Though not shown in the template, TIA requirements extend beyond 4 000 Hz with minimum loss, relative to 1 004 Hz, of 28 (D-A) or 32 (A-D) dB at 4 600 Hz and beyond. For additional information see also "Comparison of both definitions of Frequency Response" in subclause 5.1.2.1 of this document.



Figure 3: 4-wire to digital frequency response

#### 5.1.3 Levels

#### 5.1.3.1 Comparison of both definitions of Interface Levels

The understanding and use of interface levels differs between TIA and ETSI standards. The main purpose of output interface levels in TIA-464B is to provide a reference point for other requirements and their measurement (TIA-464B section 5.1.4.3). The output level OL is referred to 0 dBm (TIA-464B section 5.3.1.1) and furthermore corresponds to the Digital MilliWatt DMW as defined in TIA-464B section 5.3.1.2 and based on ITU-T Recommendation G.711 [2] section 5. If a port is designated to have an OL of 0 dB, the meaning is, that a Standard Digital MilliWatt signal internal to the PABX results in an output analogue sine wave signal with a power of 1 mW (TIA-464B section 5.3.1.3). The Overload requirements in subclause 5.4.2 of ETS 300 439 must be considered here as well. Overload should occur for a sine wave signal whose average power is greater than +3,17 dBm at a 0 dB interface.

Combining these issues the definition of interface level in TIA can be expressed as follows:

The output level at an interface is designated 0 dB, if an internal digital signal, corresponding to the Digital MilliWatt, is resulting in a power of 1 mW at the terminating impedance of 600  $\Omega$ . Overload occurs at a level which, for mu-law coding, is +3,17 dB above the Digital MilliWatt for mu-law coding.

In ETSI, interface levels are expressed with the term "Relative Level". The values are given as relative input level  $L_i$  and relative output level  $L_o$  at each analogue or digital interface. The purpose of this parameter must be seen in several ways. Primarily the relative level is directly related to the nominal loss NL of an input or output connection, which is defined as an unidirectional path between the interface and the Test Point. These relations are:

$$NL_i = L_i$$
  $NL_o = -L_o$ 

Relative levels are used also in network planning in different applications. The relative output level at an interface may give an indication about the absolute signal power at this point, since signal levels are often expressed as a value in dBm0 (e.g. - 15 dBm0 for test signals) which is understood as the absolute signal power at a 0 dBr point. The relative input level is used to control an overloading during encoding by signal sources in practical use. The relative levels are defined at a frequency of 1 020 Hz and corresponding to the Digital MilliWatt and an analogue signal power of 1 mW as in TIA and based on ITU Recommendation G.711 [2].

The definition of a relative level in ETSI is referred to a termination with a frequency-dependant complex impedance. The nominal value of those impedances at the reference frequency 1 020 Hz may differ from 600  $\Omega$ , therefore the reference voltage is not necessarily 0,775 V. The definition can be given as follows:

The output level at an interface is designated 0 dBr, if an internal digital signal, corresponding to the Digital MilliWatt, is resulting in an analogue signal of 1 020 Hz with an apparent power of 1 mW at the terminating complex impedance. Overload occurs at a level which, for A-law coding, is + 3,14 dB above 1 mW (ITU-T Recommendation G.711 [2]). The definition of relative input levels is similar.

More detailed information about the concept and use of relative levels is given in the annexes C and D of ETS 300 439.

	TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439		
5,3 5.3.1	Interface Levels for ISPBXs Reference Signal Power Levels	3.1.3	Relative Levels (definition for test point and analogue interfaces)	
5.1.4.3 Table 14	Level Translation Output Interface Reference Signal	6.2	Transmission Loss (Relative Levels of digital Interfaces)	
	Levels	Annex E	Tables E1-E4: values for relative input and output levels (informative only)	
Reference output levels (OL) are listed in attached table 3 for each interface when connected to the indicated interface port class. Purpose of designating OLs is to provide a reference point for other requirements in TIA-464B, written with respect to a signal power of 0 dBm at output interface; Such requirements need to be translated when the OL differs due to port loss/gain arrangements. For Nominal Acoustic Reference Values see section 5.3 of this document.		The adjust left to the r subclause	tment of relative input and output levels is manufacturer's discretion. (See also 5.1.1 of this document).	
Conditions	: at 1 004 Hz (nominal) with both source	Conditions	s: at 1.020 Hz (nominal) with a test level of -	
and measured	uring instruments at 600 $\Omega$ impedance.	10 dBm0 (	annex A, subclause A. 4.2)	

#### 5.1.3.2 Interface levels

Analysis: The TIA output levels (there is no table for input levels) do, to some extent correspond to the  $L_0$  values in ETSI but with two important differences.

- 1) For any specific interface, they are, in most instances, a function of the port to which connected.
- 2) These levels are not prescribed as PBX functional requirements; their purpose is to be reference values for testing.

#### Page 20 ETR 327: October 1996

For additional information see also "Comparison of both definitions of interface levels" in subclause 5.1.3.1 of this document.

Interface Port Class	Connected to Interface Port Class	Output Level (OL) (dB)
01035		
ONS	All other ports	-3
OPS	All other ports	0
ICS	ONS, ICS	0
ICS	OPS	+3
ICS	A/TT	+3
ICS	IST, ISD/TT	0
ICS	S/ATT, S/DTT	+3
ICS	AAL(A)	+3
ICS	AAL(D), A/TO	0
A/TT	ONS	0
A/TT	OPS	-2
A/TT	Any tie trunk	0
A/TT	AAL(A)	0 (note 2)
A/TT	AAL(D0)	-2
A/TT	A/TO	0
IST	ONS, ICS	0
IST	OPS	-3
IST	Any tie trunk	0
IST	AAL(A), AAL(D)	0
IST	A/TO	+3
ISD/TT	ONS, OPS	0
ISD/TT	A/TT	+3
ISD/TT	Any tie trunk except A/TT	0
ISD/TT	AAL(A)	+3 (note 2)
ISD/TT	A/TO	+3
ISD/TT	AAL(D)	0
S/ATT, S/DTT	OPS	-2
S/ATT, S/DTT	All other ports	0
AAL(A) (note 1)	ONS	+3
AAL(A)	All other ports	0
AAL(D)	Any port	0
A/TO	ONS, OPS	-3
A/TO	A/TT, ISD/TT	0
A/TO	S/ATT S/DTT	-3

#### Table 3: TIA-464B Table Of Output Levels

NOTE 1: ONS-AAL(A) connections shall have zero loss. To realize zero loss, the ports at each interface must be at the same level. To accomplish this, either the ONS-AAL(A) connection must shift to zero OL or the AAL(A) interface levels must change for ONS vs OPS connections as shown here.

NOTE 2: This value is predicated on using the low-loss option of the ISPBX port-to-port losses.

TIA - 464B				ETS 300 439
5.4.4 Tracking E	rror		5.2.2	Variation of gain with input level
Figure 43 Overload C	Compression			(Analogue Half Connections)
			Figure 5	Variation of gain with input level
(1) Analogue to Analog	jue Connectio	n	Mask: Figu	ire 4 below
Mask: Figure 4 below				
Input signal (dBm)	Tracking Er	ror (dB)		
	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>		
0 to -37	±0,5	±0,25		
-37 to -50	±1,0	±0,5		
<ul> <li>(2) Analogue to Digital Analogue Connections Input signal (dBm)</li> <li>0 to -37</li> <li>-37 to -50</li> </ul>	Connections Tracking Er <u>Max.</u> ±0,25 ±0,5	and Digital to rror (dB) <u>Avg.</u> ±0,125 ±0,25		
Conditions: For all PBX connections in each connection category the tracking error shall lie within the TIA mask of figure 4 below			Conditions With a sine range of in variation, re within the I	: e-wave test signal at 1 020 Hz over the put levels shown in figure 4, the gain elative to the gain at - 10 dBm0 shall lie imits shown in figure 4 below.

#### 5.1.3.3 Level tracking: Tracking error

- **Analysis:** ETSI requirements cover a wider range of input levels than TIA; however, where the ranges overlap, TIA is tighter except for the input range of -37 to -40 dBm0.
- **Remark:** TIA does not define Tracking Error with respect to a reference input level. If the limits must be interpreted as absolute total loss deviations, in contrast to the deviation referred to the loss at a specific input level (0 dBm or -10 dBm), then ETSI and TIA requirements are not comparable.

Page 22 ETR 327: October 1996



Figure 4: Level tracking: Tracking error

#### 5.1.3.4 Overload

TIA - 464B		ETS 300 439			
5.4.2 Overload of ISPBXs Table 16 Overload Levels at IS	PBX Interfaces	5.2.2 Figure 5	Variation of gain with input level Variation of gain with input level		
Overload is that analogue signal v power is 3 dB greater than that of after decoding results from the ec MilliWatt.	whose average the signal which uivalent of a digital	There is no direct comparable requirement for the overload point in ETSI. However, the mask for level tracking (see subclause 5.1.3.3 of this document) with a test signal level up to +3 dBm0 can be interpreted as a control for the overload point. The			
Requirement:		level tracking mask, is valid for output and input half connections.			
Interface Overload Level (dBm)			-		
ONS 3					
OPS 3					
S/ATT 3					
A/TT 0					
AAL(A) 0					
A/TO 0					

**Analysis:** Overload limits in ETSI are related to the L<sub>i</sub> and Lo parameters. Consequently, these limits would be country-specific as well as port specific. In TIA the overload point is only specified for the output as an absolute level +3 dB above the port specific output level OL:

 $OL = L_i + 3$ 

 $OL = L_0 + 3$ 

#### 5.1.3.5 Level tracking: Overload compression

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.4.3 Overload Compression Figure 43 Overload Compression	No comparable requirement
Overload Compression requirements are shown in Figure 5 below	
Conditions: To be met on 95 % of station-station, station-trunk, and trunk-trunk connections	
Deviation is relative to 1 kHz	

#### Analysis: None



Figure 5: Level tracking: Overload compression

#### 5.1.3.6 Signal levels

	TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.9 Signal Lev	vels	No comparable requirement
The PBX shall of	comply with FCC Part 68, Section	
68.308, for the	following signal level limitations:	
(1) In-band Sig	nal Power Limits	
(a) Inte	ernal Signal Sources Not Intended	
for	Network Control Signalling	
(b) Inte	ernal Signal Sources Intended	
Prir	marily for Network Control Signalling.	
(c) Thr	ough Transmission.	
(d) Idle	State Circuit Stability for Tie	
Tru	nks.	
(e) Met	tallic Signal Power at Frequencies in	
the	range 3 995 to 4 005 Hz.	
(f) Lon	ngitudinal Voltage in the 100-to	
4 00	00 Hz Frequency Range.	
(2) Out-of-band	I Signal Voltage Limits	
(a) Met	tallic Voltage.	
(b) Lon	ngitudinal Voltage.	
Conditions:		
The above sign	al limitations apply to:	
(1) Analogue	Access Lines with analogue ISPBX	
(ground st	tart, loop start, DID).	
(2) OPS Line	S.	
(3) Analogue	Tie Trunks.	

**Analysis:** Comparison Not applicable. In Europe the signal levels are considered as parameters, which may cause harm to the (public) network. Since ETSI, as a general rule, is specifying those parameters in separate "Access Requirements", these requirements are no more part of this standard. They are contained in TBRs or, (mainly in case of analogue access) part of national regulation.

A comparison between these regulations and FCC Part 68 is outside the scope of this document.

#### 5.1.4 Hybrid balance

TIA - 464B					ETS 300 439
5.5.1 Table 18	Hybri ISPB	d Balance Requirements X Minimum Hybrid Balance	3.1.6.1	Term (defin	inal Balance Return Loss (TBRL) ition)
	Requ	irements	5.9.1	Term wire a	inal Balance Return Loss (for 2- analogue interfaces)
			Figure 12	Limits	s for TBRL
All Ports	Temp	plate figure 6 below	Template:	Figure	6 below
Freq. Rang	ge (Hz)	Hybrid Balance (dB)	Freq. Rang	ge (Hz)	TBRL (dB)
500 - 2 500	Ď ĺ ĺ	> 22	500 - 2 500	) í	> 20
200 - 500		equal to or greater than the	300 - 500		equal to or greater than the
		values located on a straight line			values located on a straight line
		22 dB at 500 Hz			20 dB at 500 Hz
2 500 - 3 400		equal to or greater than the	2 500 - 3 4	00	equal to or greater than the
		values located on a straight line			values located on a straight line
		intersecting 22 dB at 2 500 Hz			intersecting 20 dB at 2 500 Hz
		and 17 dB at 3 400 Hz			and 16 dB at 3 400 Hz
Lines plotted on a log/linear scale			Lines plotted on a log/linear scale		
Conditions:			Conditions:		
Measurement procedure outlined in section 5.5.2.			Test Procedure outlined in annex A (A.4.3.2).		
Test config	guratio	ns shown in figures 45 (full channel			
method) ar	nd 46 (	halt channel method).			

**Analysis:** For all ports, the TIA requirement is more stringent than the ETSI requirement.



Figure 6: Hybrid balance

#### 5.1.5 Input Impedance

		TIA - 464B			ETS 300 439	
5.5.3	Input	Impedance Requirements	5.1	Nomi	nal Value	
Table 19	ISPB	X Input Impedance Requirements	Figure 4	Minin nomir	num value of return loss against the nal PABX impedance	
All Ports	Tem	plate: Figure 7 below	Impedance	2		
			Nominal P	BX Imp	edance:	
Freq. Rang	ge (Hz	<u>) Mandatory Z-in (dB)</u>	2-wire port	s:	270 Ω + (750 Ω    150 nF)	
500 - 2 500	C	> 22	4-wire port	s:	600 Ω	
200 - 500		equal to or greater than the				
		values located on a straight line	Return Los	s: Tem	plate: Figure 7 below	
		intersecting 14 dB at 200 Hz and	Freq. Rang	ge (Hz)	TBRL (dB)	
		22 dB at 500 Hz	500 - 2 000	C	> 18	
2 500 - 3 4	00	equal to or greater than the	300 - 500		equal to or greater than the	
		values located on a straight line			values located on a straight line	
		Intersecting 22 dB at 2 500 Hz			intersecting 14 dB at 300 Hz and	
		and 14 dB at 3 400 Hz			18 dB at 500 Hz	
Conditions	_		2 000 - 3 4	00	equal to or greater than the	
	: an 05	0/ of each connection type			values located on a straight line	
To be met	011 95				Intersecting 18 dB at 2 000 Hz	
Reference	Imp. I	S 600 12; IOF OPS, CO TRUNK & DID			and 14 dB at 3 400 Hz	
trunks, 600	) \2//2.	16 µF is acceptable. Optionally,	Conditions	Conditions:		
ONS refere	npedance may be a three-element	Measured	Measured against the nominal PABX impedance			
network elt	iner in	at used for hybrid balance or the	$(270 \Omega + (73))$	$(2/0 \Omega + (/50 \Omega \parallel 150 \text{ nF});$ however, country specific		
EISINOMI	nai inp	but Impedance network		PABX input impedance values given in annex A.		
	juratio	ns given in figures 47 to 50 for	Lest Procedure for return loss outlined in annex A			
∠-wire & 4-	wire p	ORS.	(A.4.3.1).			

**Analysis:** ETSI requirements are less stringent than TIA for all frequencies. Measurement conditions differ; TIA connections measured through PBX to 4-wire port with 600  $\Omega$  termination. ETSI connections measured half-channel, with open loop inside the PABX to avoid signal reflections.



Figure 7: Input Impedance return loss

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
Annex D: Loss Definitions.	3.1.6.3 Echo Loss.
The Echo Return Loss is defined as the weighted average of the Return Loss (or Transhybrid Loss) values over the frequency range from 400 to 3 400 Hz. The formula and the weighting factors are given: This definition is informative only.	The Echo Loss is defined as the semi-loop loss averaged with 1/f power weighting over the telephone band. Reference is made to ITU-T Recommendation G.122 [1], paragraph 4 with the corresponding weighting algorithm. ETSI is giving only the definition of Echo Loss, no numerical requirements.
Conditions: For calculation of Echo Loss sub-multiples of 8 kHz should be avoided. A table with 16 frequencies, following this conversion should be used.	Conditions: Calculation of Echo Loss is based on the values of semi-loop loss in the band 300 to 3 400 Hz, using the given formula or the trapezoidal rule given in G.122.

# **Analysis:** In both cases, TIA and ETSI the Echo Loss is defined as a weighted average of the Return Loss or Trans-hybrid Loss of all equipment forming an echo source. This is resulting in one single value of Echo Loss to be used mainly for planning purposes. The weighting algorithm are not comparable.

#### 5.1.7 Stability loss

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439	
No comparable requirements.	3.1.6.2 Stability Loss (Definition).	
	5.9.2 Stability Loss (K2, L2, M2 inte	rfaces).
	8.5.1 Stability Loss of interfaces con	nnected
	to a KD interface.	
	8.5.2 Stability Loss of interfaces con	nnected
	to a M4 or MD interfaces.	
	Annex A, A.4.3.2.	
	The Stability Loss is defined as the Loss b	etween
	the PBX test-points (3.1.6.2) of a half conr	nection to
	a L2 or M2I interface with worst case term	inating
	conditions simulated by a short circuit and	adjusted
	for the relative input and output levels of th	iese 2-
	wire interfaces (annex A, 4.3.2).	
	The values of the Stability Loss should be	stated by
Conditions:	the supplier, in the frequency range betwe	en 200
For calculation of Echo Loss submultiples of 8 kHz	and 3 600 Hz (5.9.2 and 8.5.1). For conne	ctions with
should be avoided. A table with 16 frequencies,	a KD interface the Stability Loss shall be a	t least
following this conversion should be used.	6 dB (8.5.2).	

**Analysis:** ETSI is referred to ITU-T Recommendation G.122 [1], taking into account, that a private network, connected digitally to a public network, may provide the total Stability Loss of the entire path across the public network (5.9.2, note 3 of ETS 300 439).

#### Page 28 ETR 327: October 1996

#### 5.2 Voice impairment parameters

#### 5.2.1 Noise

#### 5.2.1.1 Weighted noise

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439		
5.6.1.1 C-Message Weighted Noise Table 21 ISPBX C-Message Weighted Noise Requirements	<ul><li>5.4.1 Weighted Noise of Interfaces K2, M2 and M4</li><li>5.4.2 Weighted Noise of Interfaces with feeding bridge</li></ul>		
ConnectionMean (desirable)95 % (mandatory) (dBrnC)analogue-to-analogue $\leq 16$ $\leq 20$ analogue-to-digital $\leq 15$ $\leq 19$ digital-to-analogue $\leq 9$ $\leq 13$	K2, M2 and M4 interfaces (dBm0p) input connection with < -65.2 signalling on speech wires input connection with < -67.0 signalling on separate wires		
	output connection with < -67.0 signalling on speech wires output connection with < -70,0 signalling on separate wires		
Conditions: For ISPBXs, values apply regardless of the interface transmission level, except for AAL(A) which may exceed values by up to 3 dB if there is gain in the circuit. Test arrangement shown in figure 51	Interfaces with feeding bridge. Limit for weighted noise is calculated for every input and output connection depending on the relative levels. Values are expressed in dBmp. Conditions: Measurement Method: Annex A (A 4.5.1)		

## Analysis: These requirements are not directly comparable because of different weightings and reference values. However, with respect to the reference the following conversion can be used:

#### Value in dBrnC - 90 = Value in dBmp

According to annex A to ITU-T Recommendation O.41 [4] the different readings due to the weighting filters can be neglected (0,5 dB for white noise), therefore the above conversion can be used in all practical applications. Furthermore comparison is difficult, since ETSI specifies noise limits for input and output connections in contrast to port-to-port connections in TIA also follows ITU-T Recommendations, taking into account, that noise is a combination of noise sources, each of which may be influenced by the adjustment of relative levels or may be level independent. Consequently, for interfaces with feeding bridge (L2 and some M-interfaces), the noise limits may differ for every interface.

#### 5.2.1.2 3 kHz flat noise

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.6.1.2 3 kHz Flat Noise for ISPBXs	5.4.3 Unweighted Noise of Interfaces K2, M2, L2, K4 and M4
50 % (dBrn) 95 % (dBrn) 3 kHz flat weighted noise $\leq 35 \leq 39$ Conditions: For interface transmission levels other than 0 dB, the requirement should be shifted by a value that corresponds to the difference between the transmission level at that interface and 0 dB.	There are no requirements for unweighted noise.

Analysis: Not specified by ETSI, so comparison is not pertinent.

#### 5.2.1.3 Single frequency noise

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
No comparable requirements.	5.4.5 Single Frequency Noise for interface K2, L2, M2 and M4.
	The level of any "unwanted" single frequency (in particular the sampling frequency and its multiples), measured selectively with a bandwidth of 80 Hz from 4 kHz to 72 kHz shall not exceed -50 dBm0 at the interface of an output connection.
	Conditions: No test signals are inserted into the half connection during measurements.

**Analysis:** The term "unwanted" refers to self generated noise (5.4.5, note) as it may occur by the sampling frequency itself or by other noise source (e.g. dc - dc power supply) in correlation with the sampling process. Comparison with TIA not possible.

#### 5.2.1.4 Spurious out of band signals

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.4.1 Frequency Response	5.8 Spurious out of band signals received at the K2, L2, M2 and M4 output interface.
Although not directly comparable, limits for signals above 4 kHz (out of band) are contained in the frequency response masks in section 5.4.1. For connection between analogue interfaces in the range from 4 000 Hz to 4 600 Hz the minimum loss, relative to the loss at 1 004 Hz should follow the equation: -32*(SIN[ $\pi$ *(4 000-F)/1 200]+28) In the range of 4 600 Hz to 12 000 Hz, the minimum loss, relative to the loss at 1 004 Hz is 60 dB. For analogue-to-digital and digital-to analogue connections, the minimum relative loss in the range 4 000 Hz to 4 600 Hz is described by the following equations, respectively: -18*(SIN[ $\pi$ *(4 000-F)/1 200]-7/9) A-to-D -14*(SIN[ $\pi$ *(4 000-F)/1 200]-7/9) A-to-D In the range of 4 600 Hz to 12 000 Hz, the minimum loss, relative to the loss at 1 004 Hz is 32 dB, A-to-D D and 28 dB, D-toA.	There are no requirements with respect to received out of band signals at the output of all types of analogue interfaces. Those requirements are subject to access requirements (TBRs) and therefore not part of this standard.
Conditions: See also section 5.1.2 of this document.	

**Analysis:** There are no directly comparable requirements for out of band signals received at the output ports of a connection. However, values for minimum relative loss in the range from 4 kHz to 12 kHz in the frequency response masks of TIA 464-B, section 5.4.1 can be interpreted as limits for out of band signals.

#### Page 30 ETR 327: October 1996

#### 5.2.2 Balance

#### 5.2.2.1 Longitudinal to metallic balance

	TIA - 464	łB	ETS 300 439
5.6.2.1Longitudinal-to-Metallic BalanceTable 22ISPBX Longitudinal-to-Metallic Balance		etallic Balance al-to-Metallic Balance	Requirements not given
R Figure 52 Lo	equirements ongitudinal Balar	nce Limits	
Frequency ( <u>Hertz)</u>	Minimum Balance <u>(dB)</u>	Average Balance (dB)	
200 - 1 000 1 000 - 3 000	58 58 to 53	63 63 to 58	
Conditions: TIA considers a performance immunity aga longitudinal vo applies to CO battery (DID) shows a "desi	s longitudinal- to e parameter for inst the conversi oltage into unwa o trunk loop/grou trunks and OPS irable" region for	- metallic balance as measuring product ion of disturbing nted metallic noise. It nd start, reverse lines. Figure 52	

Analysis: Comparison not applicable. However, from previous ETSI standards (I-ETS 300 004), the LCL requirement was about 18 dB less stringent than the TIA requirement. In Europe the longitudinal - to - metallic balance is considered as a parameter, which may cause harm to the (public) network. Since ETSI, as a general rule, specifies those parameters in separate "Access Requirements", these requirements are not part of this standard. They are contained in TBRs or, (mainly in case of analogue access) part of national regulation. Also high LCL may indirectly be required to meet the immunity requirements called for under the European EMC Directive.

#### 5.2.2.2 Transverse balance

	TI	A - 464B		ETS 300 439		
5.6.2.2	.2 Transverse Balance			Requirements not given		
Table 23	Transverse	Balance Rec	quirements			
Interface	State	Frequency Range <u>(Hertz)</u>	Minimum Balance <u>(dB)</u>	See also subclause 5.2.2.1 of this document		
CO Trunk	On-Hook	200 - 1 000	≥60			
Loop Start		1 000 - 4 00	00 ≥60			
Off-hook		200 - 4 000	≥40			
CO Trunk Ground Sta	Off-hook art	200 - 4 000	≥40			
Reverse						
Battery (DID)	Off-hook	200 - 4 000	≥40			
OPS Line	Off-hook	200 - 4 000	≥40			
NOTE: the	se are regula	atory requiren	nents			
Conditions: The TIA requirements are taken directly from the FCC Part 68 technical requirements to protect the network from harm caused by the conversion of metallic signals into longitudinal signals that could cause excessive noise in other pairs of a multi-pair cable. The requirement defines ten measurement conditions, together with a transverse balance test circuit and termination schematics.						

**Analysis:** Comparison not applicable. However, from previous ETSI standards (I-ETS 300 004), the LCTL requirement was nearly identical to the TIA requirement in the off-hook case.

#### Page 32 ETR 327: October 1996

#### 5.2.3 Crosstalk

	TIA - 464B		ETS 300 439			
5.6.3 Crosstalk			5.5 Crosstalk			
Between any established connection and any other connection	Between any established connection and at least 95 % of all other connections (mandatony)	Between any established connection and at least 95 % of all other connections (desirable)	Connection Type(dBm0)Input (FEXT), all Interf. $<$ -73Input (NEXT), M4, 4w-2w $<$ -73Input (NEXT), L2, K2 $<$ -73 *)Output (FEXT), M4, 4w-2w $<$ -73Output (FEXT), L2, K2 $<$ -73 *)Output (FEXT), L2, K2 $<$ -73 *)Output (NEXT), L2, K2 $<$ -73 *)Output (NEXT), L2, all M int. $<$ -73Output (NEXT), between K2 $<$ -66			
<u>(dB)</u> ≥ 70	( <u>dB)</u> ≥ 75	(desirable) (dB) ≥ 80	*) Values specified in dBm NEXT: Near End Crosstalk FEXT: Far End Crosstalk			
Conditions: Full channel tests for every combination of through connection, for all interface categories over the 200 to 3 200 Hz frequency band. Test circuit shown in figure 57.			Conditions: Measurement details are in annex A (A.5.4) Requirements measured as "Crosstalk-Level" for an input signal of 1 020 Hz with a level of 0 dBm0.			

**Analysis:** TIA does not specify the input level, but when the ETSI input signal level is applied the TIA requirement is about 3 to 5 dB more stringent than TIA measurement conditions, if liberally interpreted, requires a near-infinite number of test. The ETSI requirement is more detailed but the test conditions more practical.

#### 5.2.4 Distortion

#### 5.2.4.1 Quantization distortion

TIA - 464B				ETS 300 439			
5.6.4Quantization DistortionTable 24ISPBX Quantization Distortion Requirements			5.6 Total Distortion including Quantizing Distortion Figure. 8 - 11Limits for signal-to-total distortion ratio (various interfaces/conditions)				
Input Signal Level (dBm) Analogue-to-A 0 to -30 -40 -45 Digital-to-Ana Connections 0 to -30 -40 -45	Input/Output Level Ratio (mandatory) Analogue Connection $\geq 33$ $\geq 27$ $\geq 22$ logue and Analogue-to $\geq 35$ $\geq 29$ $\geq 25$	Input/Output Level Ratio (desirable) ≥ 37 ≥ 31 ≥ 26 o-Digital  	Input Level <u>dBm0</u> 0 -20 -30 -40 -45 A : B : For in calcul	For In wires For In wires terface ated o	Minimum Distortior Input- or A 35,0 35,0 29,0 24,0 terfaces v terfaces v terfaces v	n Signal to Total n Ratio <u>output connection</u> B 35,0 35,0 33,8 26,5 21,5 with signalling on separate with signalling on the speech eding bridge, the curves are sis of different noise sources	
Conditions: To be met on 95 % of connections in each category. Input: 1 kHz sine wave. Output: C message weighted distortion level.				also su itions: ured w ection b ut an ir dB, is f ). The ated by	vith a sine between t nserted di orming 1 number o y the supp	5.2.1 of this document) wave signal of 1 020 Hz. A wo analogue interfaces and igital loss pad or with a pad of Quantizing Distortion Unit of QDU for a connection shall	

Analysis: Some of the ETSI requirements are virtually identical to the TIA half channel requirements, while others are less stringent. The coding schemes and weightings are different and the test methods are also different. In practice, it is not possible to measure quantization distortion alone because of the presence of other sources of distortions, such as noise. Therefore in ETSI this parameter is called "Total Distortion including Quantizing Distortion". The number of QDU in a connection through the PBX is mainly used for planning purposes.

#### 5.2.4.2 Single frequency distortion

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.6.5 Single-Frequency Distortion for ISPBXs	5.7.1 Input signals above 4,6 kHz 5.7.2 Signals below 300 Hz
≤ - 28 dBm	For an input signal in the range from 4,6 to 72 kHz with a level of - 25 dBm0 any image frequency
Conditions:	produced in the time slot of an input connection shall
For each connection category, 95% of connections	be at least 25 dB below the test level. No
single frequency in the range of 0 to 12 kHz at a	
constant 0 dBm level. Output: at any other single	Conditions:
frequency. Adjust input level if overload the point	Test method given in annex A (A.5.2.1.6).
differs from +3 dBm.	

Analysis: These requirements are not directly comparable due to the differences in input level and frequency range, but at least part of their intents are the same. Ignoring the differences, the TIA requirement is 3 dB more stringent.

#### 5.2.5 Delay

#### 5.2.5.1 Echo path delay

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.5.5Echo Path DelayTable 20Echo Path Delay for ISPBXs	<ul> <li>3.1.9.3 Mean One Way Transmission Time (Definition)</li> <li>8.4 Mean One Way Transmission Time</li> </ul>
Connection Round Trip Delay (ms)	No numeric requirement for Echo Path Delay
1. Station-Station3,02. Station-Analogue Trk3,03. An. Trk-An. Trk3,04. Station-Digital I/F2,45. An. Trk-Digital I/F2,46. Dig. I/F-Dig. I/F2,0	The mean one way transmission time in a connection between two interfaces shall be a value stated be the supplier.
Conditions: Requirement for all frequencies in range of 300 - 3 400 Hz. For each connection category, 95 % of connections shall meet the above requirements.	

Analysis: ETSI requirements have shifted from specifying mandatory upper limits to the echo path delay to complete deletion of numeric values. Subsequent to the publication of the TIA standard, similar action is taking place in TIA.

#### 5.3 Loudness levels

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439	
5.3.2Acoustic Reference LevelsTable 15Interface Acoustic Reference Values for ISPBX	7.2.2 Sending and Receiving Loudness Ratings (SLR and RLR) [for 3,1 kHz handset system specific telephones]	
Annex C. Acoustic Reference Level Plan Description and Rationale		
Nominal Acoustic Reference Values treat the PBX / line port combination as a single system for defining loudness ratings at PBX interfaces. The values are presented in table 4. Background and application of the ARLP for system loss design is given in part 4.3.1.	Sending and receiving loudness ratings (SLR and RLR) of system specific telephones shall be stated by the manufacturer.	

Analysis: Requirements are not directly comparable. The TIA requirements address the ISPBX with its terminal as a "black Box" where the requirements are given at the interface to external connections. Section 7.2.2 of ETS 300 439 addresses system specific handsets (i.e. not telephones connected to an L interface) with the SLR and RLR values referred to the PBX digital test point.

However, the requirements are related. The overall objective is that of providing satisfactory end-to-end transmission quality for nearly every call via all categories of external connection. The TIA requirements are designed to optimize the loudness levels at each such connection interface regardless of the terminal loudness values. With respect to ETSI requirements, it would be expected that the supplier-specified loudness values of a system-specific handset, together with the supplier-specified port-to-port loss for each ISPBX connection involving that handset (see subclause 4.1.1 of ETS 300 439), will be such that the resulting system interface levels are optimized for end-to-end transmission performance.

Moreover, the TIA acoustic reference level plan (ARLP) is built on the premise that the loudness characteristics of a standard, i.e. non-proprietary telephone (in the TIA market) when connected to the ISPBX, together with the TIA Loss Plan (see table 1) loss for connections between such a telephone and any external connection port, results in near-optimum loudness values at each such port. Thus, for connections between a system-specific telephone and an external port, the loss plan design objective for the ISPBX connection between that telephone and the external port is to achieve loudness levels equivalent to those resulting from a connection between a standard telephone and that port. Within the framework of ETSI requirements, then, it would be reasonable to expect a supplier to design the loss plan for connections to system-specific handsets such that the system loudness levels at each port are equivalent to those when the port is connected to an L interface.

In developing the TIA Acoustic Reference Level Plan, the following characteristics have been assumed for standard (TIA) analogue sets at a PBX line port:

TOLR: -49 dB nominal ROLR: +45 dB nominal

Using the relationships between IEEE and ITU units of SLR = TOLR +56, RLR = ROLR - 50, the ITU equivalents to the above values are:

SLR: +7 dB nominal RLR: -5 dB nominal

The ETSI analogue port (L interface) values are market-specific and will generally differ from the above TIA values.

ISPBX Interface	Port designation	TOLR (dB)		ROLR (dB)			ERL(A) (dB	
		Min.	Nom.	Max.	Min.	Nom.	Max.	
On-premises line (note 2) (from ONS port)	ONS	-48	-43	-38	46	51	56	N/A
On-premises line (note 2) (from ICS port)	ONS	-45	-40	-32	49	54	59	N/A
ISDN-compatible line	ICS	-54	-46	-38	43	51	56	N/A
Off-premises line	OPS	-51	-46	-38	43	48	53	N/A
Analogue tie trunk	A/TT	-51	-46	-38	43	48	53	18
Digital tie trunk (note 3)	ISD/TT	-51	-46	-38	49	54	59	24
Analogue CO trunk	AAL(A)	-54	-49	-41	40	45	50	12
Digital CO trunk (note 4)	AAL(D)	-51	-46	-38	43	48	53	18
Analogue TO trunk	A/TO	-48	-43	-35	46	51	56	24
Satellite tie trunk	S/ATT, S/DTT	-51	-46	-38	43	48	53	18
Integrated services trunk	IST	-51	-46	-38	46	51	56	21

#### Table 4: TIA-464B Acoustic Reference Levels (note 1)

- NOTE 1: The values in this table pertain to connections between the designated interface and an ONS or ICS port. Tolerances on ROLR and on ONS port TOLR are assumed to be  $\pm$  5,0 dB; to be compatible with the TOLR tolerance range for ISDN terminals, the maximum TOLR values in this table are extended to nominal +8 dB. For this reason, the TOLR ranges for the two ONS cases (first two rows) are dissimilar.
- NOTE 2: For an ONS interface, the ARLP requirements differ between connection to another ONS port or to an ICS port; reflecting the intent to align ICS connections to equivalent loudness on intra-PBX connections.
- NOTE 3: For connections from ICS to ISD/TT, ROLR values are +46, +51, +56 dB respectively.
- NOTE 4: The ARLP requirement for the AAL(D) interface is intended to be compatible with current PSTN operation; specifically, that on all connections to a PBX, the DEO inserts the required public network loss (e.g. 6 dB receive-side loss for connections to a digital tandem connecting trunk). This is sometimes referred to as the pre-ISDN environment. Guidelines in Loss Plan for Evolving Digital Networks. ANSI Standard T1.508 are expected to lead to a change in operation of PSTN switched such that digital connections to a PBX will be provided with no inserted loss in order to accommodate ISDN terminals with bit-transparent end-to-end connections. In this (ISDN) environment, the ARLP requirement for the AAL(D) interface will be redefined to be those for IST.

#### 5.3.1 Acoustic reference level plan

#### 5.3.1.1 ARLP Background

The extension of digital technology to terminals, together with the increased diversity of terminal characteristics and the gradual trend towards all-digital connections within the ISDN environment, indicates the need for a flexible loss/level planning approach based on specified acoustic levels at defined PBX external interfaces rather than on simple electrical losses. This approach is based on a systems view, wherein station apparatus and the PBX are considered as a whole, with the loss plan described in terms of an acoustic (user) to electrical (network) standard. The concept of defining a loss level plan in such terms is called the Acoustic Reference Level Plan (ARLP).

The ARLP, when applied to private network voice terminals, provides advantages with respect to terminal design as well as to network planning. Among these are:

- Any connection of a given class and type will have a known terminal-to-terminal acoustic loss or range of loss;
- The installation on the terminal side of the interface at which the acoustic levels are specified may be considered as a single system for design purposes, thus enabling the supplier to optimize the selection of acoustic and electrical parameters for any given set and switch that combine to achieve the specified acoustic levels at the interface;
- Standardization of systems and demonstration of compliance will be simplified;
- Networking will be made easier with respect to assessing transmission performance; for example, the impact of alternate routing strategies on end-to-end acoustic loss can be identified.

#### 5.3.1.2 Acoustic Reference Level Plan Description

The ARLP applies to voice terminal interfaces for connections between a facility and a terminating device (e.g. a station set). The basic ARLP requirements do not apply to tandem connections between trunks and access lines. However, for transmission analysis purposes, the acoustic levels from a defined ARLP interface can be extrapolated to any point along a connection.

In the PBX environment, the ARLPP defines levels at the PBX interface to tie trunks and public network access lines (PBX-CO trunks) when such facilities are connected through the PBX to station apparatus. Trunks and access lines may be analogue or digital. Public network access lines generally connect to a local exchange office but may also connect to other public network providers. Station apparatus may consist of standard telephone instruments, ISDN-compatible digital terminals, or proprietary terminals, analogue or digital. The interface for analogue terminals may utilize technologies for optimizing power consumption, e.g. constant current feed arrangements, thereby altering the traditional electro-acoustic characteristics; the use of local powering could also alter these characteristics.

Since the ARLP is defined at the facility interface, the electrical loss within the PBX for connections to station interfaces is not explicitly specified by the plan. In actual implementation, it is expected that the ARLP provisions will be met via a combination of station apparatus electro-acoustic transducer efficiencies and inserted electrical loss in the PBX. The ARLP gives the system supplier the flexibility to tailor electrical loss insertion to accommodate unique station characteristics that differ from those of standard telephone sets, and yet be in compliance with loss plan standards.

The electrical loss insertion specified in a PBX loss plan generally assures that adequate echo return losses exist at connection ends. Dissociating the electrical loss from the ARLP requirements necessitates that an explicit specification be included to ensure echo performance. The ARLP therefore, includes requirements on minimum echo return loss (ERL) as seen at the interface.

#### Page 38 ETR 327: October 1996

#### 5.3.1.3 ARLP Loss Design Application for System-Specific Telephones

System-specific telephone sets should provide electro-acoustic performance equivalent to that of standard telephone sets connected to ISPBX line ports. In the figure 8 below, parameters X and Y represent, respectively, TOLR and ROLR values (as defined by the Acoustic Reference Level Plan) at interface T. Parameters M and N represent electrical losses in the ISPBX (table A1-1) which, with the standard telephone nominal loudness levels, result in the loudness values X and Y. Parameter Q and R represent supplier-specified electrical losses which, with the system-specific set loudness values P and S, result in the values X and Y.



Figure 8: Equivalency of System-specific Sets to Standard Sets (loss design for connections between sets and interface T)

#### 5.4 Other impairment parameters

5.4.1	Inter-modulation	distortion
5.4.1	inter-inouulation	ansionation

TIA - 464B			ETS 300 439
<ul><li>5.7.1 Inter-modulation Distortion</li><li>Table 25 Inter-modulation Distortion Limits for PBXs</li></ul>			Requirements not given.
Connection Interface Categories	ConnectionDistortion LimitsInterface(dB below received level)Categories(R2)		
Up to 4.8 kb/s data	39	51	
Up to 9.6 kb/s data	46	56	
<ul> <li>9.6 kb/s data</li> <li>46 56</li> <li>Conditions:</li> <li>Intermodulation is measured using four-tone method that employs two pairs of equal-level tones transmitted at a total, composite power level of - 13 dBm. One Pair at 857 and 863 Hz, the second pair at 1 372 and 1 388 Hz. Intermodulation distortion is measured as the second-order (R2) and third-order (R3) products resulting from the application of the four tones.</li> <li>R2 is the average power level in the 503-to-537 Hz and 2 223-to-2 257 Hz frequency bands, expressed in dB below the received power level. R3 is the average power level in the 1 877-to-1 923 Hz frequency bands, expressed in dB below the received power level.</li> </ul>		using four-tone is of equal-level tones site power level of - d 863 Hz, the second itermodulation second-order (R2) resulting from the l in the 503-to-537 Hz incy bands, expressed er level. R3 is the 377-to-1 923 Hz in dB below the	

Analysis: Comparison not applicable. Inter-modulation or harmonic distortion is caused by non-linearity present in the electric-to-electric transfer function of the PBX. This form of distortion is of primary concern to the transmission of data. ITU-T G.712 [3] and Q.517 [5] Recommendations noted that the inter-modulation distortion are in practice always met if the requirements according to total distortion including quantization distortion and variation of gain with input level are met. In ETSI this requirements omitted due to these issues in the ITU-T Recommendations.

#### 5.4.2 Group delay distortion

TIA - 464B				ETS 300 439
5.7.2.2 Relat Figure 58 Relat	ive Envelope Delay ive Envelope Delay	(RED) vs frequency	5.3	Group Delay Distortion
For Station-to-Tre Relative Envelop following values:	unk and Trunk-to-T e Delay (RED) shal	runk interface the I lie below the	No re	requirements.
Frequency (Hz)	Mandatory RED in us	Desirable RED in us		
500		300		
800	375	150		
1 000	190	75		
1 150	150	75		
2 300	150	75 75		
2 500	190	75 150		
2700	375	300		
For Station-to-Station twice the RED values are permitted.				
Conditions:				
For each connection category, 95 % of connections				
shall meet the above requirements. The test signal is				
frequency of 83,3	B Hz.			

**Analysis:** In ETSI Group Delay Distortion is considered as an impairment mainly to non voice or data transmission. Since ETS 300 439 does not apply to services other than 3,1 kHz voice telephony (see clause 1), requirements for this parameter are not contained in this standard.

#### 5.4.3 Impulsive noise

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.7.4 Impulsive Noise.	5.4.4 Impulsive Noise for interface K2, L2, M2 and M4.
On 95 % or more of all connections through each connection category, the impulsive noise level shall not exceed zero counts above a threshold of 55 dBrnC in a measurement interval of 5 minutes. It is desirable not to exceed a noise level of 47 dBrnC.	No requirement for Impulsive Noise.
Conditions: Impulsive Noise limits shall be met under fully loaded busy-hour PBX traffic condition.	

**Analysis:** In ETSI, Impulsive Noise is considered as an impairment only to non-voice and data transmission through a PBX. Since ETS 400 439 does not apply to services other than 3,1 kHz voice telephony (see clause 1), requirements for Impulsive Noise are not contained in this standard.

#### 5.4.4 Jitter

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.7.5 Jitter	Requirements not given.
Jitter on a port-to-port connection shall not exceed 2 degrees within the 4-to-300 Hz frequency band.	

**Analysis:** Comparison not applicable. In Europe the jitter is considered as a parameter, which may cause harm to the (public) network. Since ETSI, as a general rule, is specifying those parameters in separate "Access Requirements", these requirements are not part of this standard. They are contained in TBRs or, part of national regulation.

#### 5.4.5 Gain hit

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.7.6 Gain Hit	Requirements not given.
No more than one gain hit per hour at a threshold level of 3 dB. If there is more than one hit in a period of 1 hour, the subsequent hour shall have zero hits.	
Conditions: A gain hit is an incidental modulation resulting in a rapid positive or negative shift of signal gain lasting for a period of at least 4 ms.	

Analysis: Comparison not applicable.

#### 5.4.6 Phase hit

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.7.7 Phase Hit	Requirements not given.
No more than one phase hit per hour exceeding a threshold of 20 degrees. If there is more than one phase hit in a period of 1 hour, the subsequent hour shall have zero hits.	
Conditions: A phase hit is an incidental modulation resulting in a rapid positive or negative shift of signal phase lasting for a period of at least 4 ms.	

Analysis: Comparison not applicable.

#### Page 42 ETR 327: October 1996

#### 5.4.7 Drop out

TIA - 464B	ETS 300 439
5.7.8 Dropout	Requirements not given.
No more than one dropout per hour exceeding a threshold of 6 dB. If there is more than one dropout in a period of 1 hour, the subsequent hour shall have zero dropouts.	
Conditions: A dropout is a negative gain hit lasting a period of at least 10 ms.	

**Analysis:** Comparison not applicable.

### History

Document history		
October 1996	First Edition	