

Etsi Technical Report

ETR 237

November 1996

Source: ETSI TC-NA Reference: DTR/NA-002608

ICS: 33.020

Key words: Security

Security Techniques Advisory Group (STAG); Baseline security standards; Features and mechanisms

ETSI

European Telecommunications Standards Institute

ETSI Secretariat

Postal address: F-06921 Sophia Antipolis CEDEX - FRANCE

Office address: 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis - Valbonne - FRANCE

X.400: c=fr, a=atlas, p=etsi, s=secretariat - Internet: secretariat@etsi.fr

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 - Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Copyright Notification: No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

ETR 237: November	1996		

Whilst every care has been taken in the preparation and publication of this document, errors in content, typographical or otherwise, may occur. If you have comments concerning its accuracy, please write to "ETSI Editing and Committee Support Dept." at the address shown on the title page.

Contents

Fore	word			5
Intro	duction			5
1	Scope			7
2	Refere	nces		7
3	Definition	ons and abb	previations	9
	3.1	Definition	IS	9
	3.2	Abbrevia	tions	9
4				
	4.1		on	
	4.2		of security features	
		4.2.1	Authentication	
		4.2.2	Confidentiality	
		4.2.3	Integrity	
		4.2.4	Access control	
		4.2.5 4.2.6	Key management	
		4.2.6 4.2.7	Non-repudiation	
			Security management	
5			ms	
	5.1		on	
	5.2		of security mechanisms	
		5.2.1	Authentication / identification mechanisms	
		5.2.2	Confidentiality mechanisms	
		5.2.3 5.2.4	Integrity mechanisms	
		5.2.4 5.2.5	Access control mechanisms Key management mechanisms	
		5.2.5 5.2.6	Non-repudiation mechanisms	
	5.3		f description	
Anne	ex A: [Description (of security mechanisms	14
A.1		-	entification	
Α. Ι	A.1.1		cal methods	
	A.1.2		ge based methods	
	71.1.2	A.1.2.1	Authentication with passwords or PINs	
		A.1.2.2	One-time passwords	
	A.1.3		knowledge based methods	
	7	A.1.3.1	Secret key based	
		A.1.3.2	Public key based / certificate-based	17
		A.1.3.3	Public key based / identity-based	
		A.1.3.4	Public key based / zero-knowledge	
A.2	Confide	entiality / end	cryption	20
	A.2.1		ey based / stream ciphers	
	A.2.2		ey based / block ciphers	
	A.2.3		y based	
A.3	Integrit	V		23
	A.3.1		ction (message digest)	
	A.3.2		ey based / keyed hash function (MAC)	
	A.3.3		y based / digital signature	

Page 4 ETR 237: November 1996

A.4	Access	s control	26
	A.4.1	Control list based schemes	26
	A.4.2	Capability based schemes	27
	A.4.3	Label based schemes	28
	A.4.4	Context based schemes	
A.5	Kev ma	anagement	29
	A.5.1	establishment of a shared secret key	29
		A.5.1.1 Secret key based	29
		A.5.1.2 Public key based	30
	A.5.2	Distribution of public keys	
Anne	ex B: 1	The relationship of security features and mechanisms	31
Histo	ory		32

Foreword

This ETSI Technical Report (ETR) has been produced by the Network Aspects (NA) Technical Committee of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI).

ETRs are informative documents resulting from ETSI studies which are not appropriate for European Telecommunication Standard (ETS) or Interim European Telecommunication Standard (I-ETS) status. An ETR may be used to publish material which is either of an informative nature, relating to the use or the application of ETSs or I-ETSs, or which is immature and not yet suitable for formal adoption as an ETS or an I-ETS.

Introduction

The main purpose of this ETR is to assist ETSI standards groups to define and specify the security functions in their standards. The ETR therefore defines the standard security features for use in ETSI standards and outlines the mechanisms for their implementation. More specifically, guidelines for the proper selection and application of security mechanisms are given.

The ETSI Security Techniques Advisory Group (STAG) by itself does not define nor describe any standards for security services or mechanisms. The purpose of this ETR therefore is rather to list existing standards and to give evaluation criteria for their selection. For further details about the security mechanisms, the source of detailed information and in particular the source of the standard text is indicated. In case such documentation does not exist, a description of the mechanism is given in annex A of this ETR.

The ETR will be updated as new security requirements or new methods for meeting them are developed.

Page 6 ETR 237: November 1996

Blank page

1 Scope

This ETSI Technical Report (ETR) lists all security features and mechanisms that the Security Techniques Advisory Group (STAG) has evaluated and which may be used in ETSI standards. However, this ETR merely presents guidelines for the selection and application of specific security mechanisms in an annex. If more specific advice is needed, references to relevant sources of information are given. Moreover, the ETSI STAG experts are ready to assist in case of questions and problems.

In many cases, the security mechanisms are not officially standardized themselves, but are registered for use. Many of them are not published because of security considerations, but may be used in specific ETSI-applications.

Since there is considerable activity in the fields of telecommunication and cryptology, this ETR is to be revised and updated regularly.

2 References

This ETR incorporates by dated and undated reference, provisions from other publications. These references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this ETR only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

Generic features and mechanisms:

[1]	ETR 232: "Network Aspects (NA); Security Techniques Advisory Group (STAG) Glossary of security terminology".
[2]	ETR 340: "Security Techniques Advisory Group (STAG); Guidelines for security management techniques".
[3]	ISO/IEC 10116: "Information technology - Modes of operation for an n-bit block cipher algorithm".
[4]	ISO/IEC 10118-1: "Information technology - Security techniques - Hash-functions - Part 1: General".
[5]	ISO/IEC 10118-2: "Information technology - Security techniques - Hash-functions - Part 2: Hash-functions using an n-bit block cipher algorithm".
[6]	ISO/IEC 10118-3: "Information technology - Security techniques - Hash-functions - Part 3: Dedicated hash functions".
[7]	ISO/IEC 10118-4: "Information technology - Security techniques - Hash-functions - Part 4: Hash functions using modular arithmetic".
[8]	ISO/IEC 11770-1: "Information technology - Security techniques - Key management - Part 1: Framework".
[9]	ISO/IEC 11770-2: "Information technology - Security techniques - Key management - Part 2: Mechanisms using symmetric techniques".
[10]	ISO/IEC 11770-3: "Information technology - Security techniques - Key management - Part 3: Mechanisms using asymmetric techniques".
[11]	ISO/IEC 8372: "Information processing - Modes of operation for a 64-bit block cipher algorithm".
[12]	ISO/IEC 9160: "Information processing - Data encipherment - Physical layer interoperability requirements".

[31]

ETR 237: November 1996

[40]	ISO/IEC 0504 9: "Information technology. Open Systems Interconnection. The
[13]	ISO/IEC 9594-8: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory - Part 8: Authentication framework".
[14]	ISO/IEC 9796: "Information technology - Security techniques - Digital signature scheme giving message recovery".
[15]	ISO/IEC 9797: "Information technology - Security techniques - Data integrity mechanism using a cryptographic check function employing a block cipher algorithm".
[16]	ISO/IEC 9979: "Data cryptographic techniques - Procedures for the registration of cryptographic algorithms".
[17]	ISO/IEC 10181-3: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Security frameworks in open systems - Part 3: Access control".
[18]	ISO/IEC 10181-7: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Security frameworks in open systems - Part 7: Security Audit Framework".
[19]	ISO/IEC 7498-2: "Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Basic Reference Model - Part 2: Security Architecture".
[20]	ISO/IEC 9798-1: "Information technology - Security techniques - Entity authentication - Part 1: General model".
[21]	ISO/IEC 9798-2: "Information technology - Security techniques - Entity authentication - Part 2: Mechanisms using symmetric encipherment algorithms".
[22]	ISO/IEC 9798-3: "Information technology - Security techniques - Entity authentication - Part 3: Entity authentication using a public key algorithm".
[23]	ISO/IEC 9798-4: "Information technology - Security techniques - Entity authentication - Part 4: Mechanisms using a cryptographic check function".
[24]	ISO/IEC 9798-5: "Information technology - Security techniques - Entity authentication - Part 5: Entity authentication using zero-knowledge protocols".
[25]	ISO 11568-3: "Banking - Key management (retail) - Part 3: Key life cycle for symmetric ciphers".
[26]	ISO 11568-4: "Banking - Key management (retail) - Part 4: Key management techniques for public key cryptosystems".
[27]	ISO 9564: "Banking - Personal Identification Number management and security".
Specific system related	d features and mechanisms:
[28]	ETR 086-3: "Trans European Trunked Radio (TETRA) systems; Technical requirements specification; Part 3: Security aspects".
[29]	ETS 300 175-7: "Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface Part 7: Security features".
[30]	ETS 300 506: "European digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2); Security aspects (GSM 02.09)".

ETS 300 534: "European digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2); Security related network functions (GSM 03.20)".

[32]	ETS 300 614: "European digital cellular telecommunications system (P Security management (GSM 12.03)".	hase 2);
[33]	ITU-T Recommendation H.233: "Confidentiality system for audiovisual s	ervices".
[34]	ITU-T Recommendation H.234: "Encryption key managemen	t and

authentication system for audiovisual services".

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purpose of this ETR, the following definitions apply:

security service: A service provided by a layer of communicating open systems, which ensures adequate security of the systems or of data transfers (ETR 232 [1] and ISO/IEC 7498-2 [19]).

security mechanism: The logic or algorithm that implements a particular security function in hardware and software (ETR 232 [1]).

Trusted Third Party (TTP): A security authority, or its agent, trusted by other entities with respect to security related activities. In particular, a TTP is trusted by a claimant and/or a verifier for the purposes of authentication (ETR 232 [1]).

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purpose of this ETR, the following abbreviations apply:

CBC	Cipher Block Chaining
CFB	Cipher Feedback
ECB	Electronic Code Book
IT	Information Technology
MAC	Message Authentication Code
OFB	Output Feedback
PIN	Personal Identification Number
TTP	Trusted Third Party

4 Security features

4.1 Introduction

Security features reflect the top level means in the protection against potential security threats. This subclause outlines the security features which may be used within ETSI standards. It is closely related to the corresponding parts from the security architecture of ISO/IEC 7498-2 [19], where security features are referred to as security services.

The security mechanisms, which are understood as the building blocks of the security features, are described in subclause 4.2. Table B.1 looks upon the relationship between the security features and mechanisms, i.e. it indicates which mechanisms implement a specific security feature.

4.2 Overview of security features

The following gives a list of security features which may be used within ETSI standards. Statements in italics are taken from ETR 232 [1].

ETR 237: November 1996

4.2.1 Authentication

Authentication may provide for authentication of the communicating parties and/or the source of data. Accordingly, two different authentication features can be distinguished:

- peer entity authentication: the corroboration that a peer entity in an association is the one claimed:
- data origin authentication: the corroboration that the source of data received is as claimed.

4.2.2 Confidentiality

Data confidentiality provides that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized individuals, entities or processes.

4.2.3 Integrity

Data integrity provides for the property that data has not been altered or destroyed in an unauthorized manner.

4.2.4 Access control

Access control provides for the prevention of unauthorized use of a resource, including the prevention of use of a resource in an unauthorized manner.

4.2.5 Key management

Key management provides for the generation, storage, distribution, deletion, archiving and application of keys in accordance with a security policy.

4.2.6 Non-repudiation

Non-repudiation provides for a proof of the sending or delivery of data by communicating Information Technology (IT) assemblies which prevents subsequent false denials by a user of transmission or receipt, respectively, of such data or its contents.

4.2.7 Security management

This topic will be dealt with in another ETSI NA-STAG document.

5 Security mechanisms

5.1 Introduction

According to ETR 232 [1] a security mechanism is the logic or algorithm that implements a particular security function in hardware and software.

By security mechanism we understand methods to achieve certain security features. It may thus be seen as an intermediate building block of a security feature. In general, there will be different variants to implement such a specific mechanism (e.g. block ciphers, stream ciphers for encryption).

5.2 Overview of security mechanisms

Subsequently a list of security mechanisms is given which may be used within ETSI standards. The way individual security mechanisms are subdivided, e.g. authentication, follows whenever possible the structure of the relevant standards. Other classifications according to different criteria are certainly possible.

The distinguishing features listed for the individual mechanisms give some more detailed information which is considered to be relevant for a specific instance of the mechanism.

Page 11 ETR 237: November 1996

5.2.1 Authentication / identification mechanisms

Biometrical methods.

Knowledge based methods:

- password/Personal Identification Number (PIN);
- one-time password.

Distinguishing features:

- password/PIN is encrypted/not encrypted for transmission.

Proof of knowledge based methods (challenge-response):

- secret key based;
- public key based:
 - certificate-based;
 - identity-based;
 - zero-knowledge.

Distinguishing features:

- 1-2-3 path mechanism;
- with unilateral/mutual authentication;
- explicit/implicit authentication;
- with/without involvement of a TTP.

5.2.2 Confidentiality mechanisms

Encryption:

- secret key based:
 - stream cipher;
 - block cipher;
- public key based.

Distinguishing features:

- different modes for block ciphers: Electronic Code Book (ECB), Cipher Block Chaining (CBC), Cipher Feedback (CFB), Output Feedback (OFB);
- with/without need for synchronization;
- with/without error propagation;
- with/without extension of message length.

Page 12

ETR 237: November 1996

5.2.3 Integrity mechanisms

Hash function (message digest):

- secret key based:
 - keyed hash function (cryptographic check value, Message Authentication Code (MAC)).
- public key based:
 - digital signature.

Distinguishing features:

- digital signature with/without message recovery;
- probabilistic/deterministic signature schemes;
- signature scheme with/ without pre-computation;
- certificate/identity-based signature schemes.

5.2.4 Access control mechanisms

Control list based schemes.

Capability based schemes.

Label based schemes.

Context based schemes.

5.2.5 Key management mechanisms

Establishment of a shared secret key:

- secret key based;
- public key based.

Distinguishing features:

- key agreement/key transport/key translation;
- 1-2-3 path mechanism;
- with/without key confirmation;
- with/without forward secrecy;
- with/without involvement of a TTP;
- with/without authentication.

Distribution of a public key.

Page 13 ETR 237: November 1996

5.2.6 Non-repudiation mechanisms

The current status of the relevant documents on non-repudiation mechanisms is such that a detailed description of the mechanisms seems premature.

5.3 Format of description

Each of the mechanisms is described with respect to the following criteria:

overview Gives a short description of the principles and functionality of the

mechanism. For more details, the reader is referred to the

documents indicated at the end of each description.

used for Describes the (main) application areas of the mechanism.

management characteristics Describes the security management functions necessary for the

use of the mechanism (key management etc.).

limitations Lists the limits and constraints of the mechanism in terms of:

technical limitations;

commercial limitations (patents, licensing, etc.);

legal limitations/constraints.

implementation characteristics Describes advantages/disadvantages of (existing/future)

implementations of the mechanism in terms of complexity, power

requirements, electrical environment, etc.

further documentation Lists the original documentation about the mechanism as far as

publicly available.

Page 14

ETR 237: November 1996

Annex A: Description of security mechanisms

A.1 Authentication / identification

A.1.1 Biometrical methods

Overview	Measurement of biological properties (fingerprint, eye retina, voice etc.) of the
	person to be authenticated.
Used for	Authentication of persons.
Management	All biometrical methods rely on the comparison between the actual and a stored pattern. The stored pattern needs to be accessible either from a database, or the person needs to carry it along with him/her (e.g. on a chip card).
Limitations	Technical: generally fairly high cost for the measuring and processing equipment. Legal/commercial: none so far.
	Social: reluctance of people against eye measurement for fear of eye damage.
Implementation	Hardware: none.
	Software: none.

Documentation

1) Benjamin Miller: Vital signs of identity. IEEE Spectrum 2(1994), p. 22 - 30. (Good overview of

state of the art).

A.1.2 Knowledge based methods

A.1.2.1 Authentication with passwords or PINs

Overview	User is authenticated by entry of password (or a number in the case of a PIN),
	which is transmitted and/or stored either in encrypted or plain form. Encrypted
	transmission/storage cannot be tapped and hence is more secure than plain text,
	but also more expensive.
Used for	Authentication of persons.
Management	Initial password/PIN entry is a critical phase to be managed between system operator and user using a first password/PIN being known to both. Password/PIN change shall be possible and may even be enforced. Easily guessed passwords/PINs shall be rejected automatically.
Limitations	Technical: generally very low cost for equipment and software. Legal/commercial: none so far.
	Social: passwords/PINs should not be written down, but should neither be easily guessed: dilemma which frequently leads to rejection.
Implementation	Hardware: keyboard (numerical or alphanumeric) and storage medium or transmission link needed.
	Software: if password is not encrypted, only centralized software necessary.

Documentation

1) ISO/IEC 9564 [27].

2) FIPS PUB 112 National Institute of Standards and Technology. FIPS PUB 112: Password usage.

A.1.2.2 One-time passwords

Overview	One-time passwords avoid the necessity of password encryption, since they are
	used exactly once and never again to avoid replay attacks. Frequently these are
	used in conjunction with normal passwords and mostly for authentication over
	unprotected transmission channels.
Used for	Authentication of persons.
Management	One-time passwords are distributed by a trusted entity in the form of lists. This
	distribution needs extra security measures.
Limitations	Technical: generally very low cost for equipment and software.
	Legal/commercial: none so far.
	Social: none, apart from being relatively tedious.
Implementation	Hardware: keyboard (numerical or alphanumeric) and storage medium or
	transmission link needed.
	Software: since password is not encrypted, only centralized software necessary.

Page 16

ETR 237: November 1996

A.1.3 Proof of knowledge based methods

A.1.3.1 Secret key based

Overview	Proof of knowledge based methods do not authenticate the user, but an intelligent device he is using. One-pass authentication:
	The basic principle is to use the secret key as input for a transformation (e.g. one-way function, encryption) of one/several time variant parameters such as a time stamp, sequence number or random number. Multi-pass authentication:
	The basic principle is to send a random pattern to the intelligent device which transforms it into another pattern according to a rule known to both sides, and transfers it back to the originator: challenge-response.
Used for	Authentication of persons and/or devices (e.g. mobile telecommunication equipment) over unsecured channels, where eavesdropping/intrusion is a concern.
Management	There shall be an initial communication between challenging and responding device to initialize the rule for transformation. The secret authentication data is held by the claimant as well as by verifier and has to be kept strictly confidential. Disclosure of authentication data requires its replacement.
Limitations	Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: none so far. Standards in negotiation.
	Social: none so far, except for multitude of (credit-like) cards.
Implementation	Hardware: chip card, chip card reader and transmission link needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.
	Depending of the particular implementation a common trusted time reference is required and/or a (true) random source to provide for challenge patterns. If sequence numbers are used both claimant and verifier need to maintain records of used/valid sequence numbers. Special procedures are needed to reset/restart counters after system failures.

- ISO/IEC 9798-1 [20].
- ISO/IEC 9798-2 [21].
- ISO/IEC 9798-4 [23].
- 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) ISO/IEC 9594-8 [13].
- ETS 300 534 [31].

A.1.3.2 Public key based / certificate-based

O	Depart of transistation bearing weathered and not enthanticate the coor but an intelligent
Overview	Proof of knowledge based methods do not authenticate the user, but an intelligent
	device he is using.
	One-pass authentication:
	The basic principle is to use the secret key as input for a transformation (e.g. one-
	way function, encryption) of one/several time variant parameters such as a time
	stamp, sequence number or random number.
	Multi-pass authentication:
	The basic principle is to send a random pattern to the intelligent device which
	transforms it into another pattern according to a rule known to both sides, and
	transfers it back to the originator: challenge-response.
Used for	Authentication of persons and/or devices (e.g. mobile telecommunication
	equipment) over unsecured channels, where eavesdropping/intrusion is a concern.
Management	The verifier needs to have access to or be in possession of a valid public key of the
	claimant.
	The secret authentication data, private key, is held only by the claimant and has to
	be kept strictly confidential.
	The public key need not be kept confidential. However, the authenticity of the
	public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party.
	Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires
	replacement of certificates.
	Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation
	of the certificate.
Limitations	Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and
	storage capacity. The requirements are much harder than for comparable secret
	key based methods.
	Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent
	technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of
	public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing.
	public key tooliniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing.
	Social: none so far, except for multitude of (credit-like) cards.
Implementation	Hardware: chip card, chip card reader and transmission link needed.
implementation	i lardware. Grip card, Grip card reader and transmission link needed.
	Software: (standardized) software on both sides.
	Software. (Standardized) Software on both sides.
	Depending of the particular implementation a common trusted time reference is
	required and/or a (true) random source to provide for challenge patterns.
	If sequence numbers are used both claimant and verifier need to maintain records
	of used/valid sequence numbers. Special procedures are needed to reset/restart
	counters after system failures.

- 1) 2) 3)
- ISO/IEC 9798-1 [20]. ISO/IEC 9798-3 [22]. ISO/IEC 9594-8 [13].

A.1.3.3 Public key based / identity-based

Overview	Proof of knowledge based methods do not authenticate the user, but an intelligent device he is using. One-pass authentication: The basic principle is to use the secret key as input for a transformation (e.g. one-way function, encryption) of one/several time variant parameters such as a time stamp, sequence number or random number. Multi-pass authentication: The basic principle is to send a random pattern to the intelligent device which transforms it into another pattern according to a rule known to both sides, and
Used for	transfers it back to the originator: challenge-response. Authentication of persons and/or devices (e.g. mobile telecommunication equipment) over unsecured channels, where eavesdropping/intrusion is a concern.
Management	The secret authentication data, private key, is held only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. The public key may be recovered from the identity of the claimant alone or together with an additional parameter. No certificates are needed to guarantee for the authenticity of the public key. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to link identifier and public key in a non-forgeable way, requires replacement of all private keys based on this secret. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement.
Limitations	Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. The requirements are much harder than for comparable secret key based methods. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Social: none so far, except for multitude of (credit-like) cards.
Implementation	Hardware: chip card, chip card reader and transmission link needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides. Depending of the particular implementation a common trusted time reference is required and/or a (true) random source to provide for challenge patterns. If sequence numbers are used both claimant and verifier need to maintain records of used/valid sequence numbers. Special procedures are needed to reset/restart counters after system failures.

- ISO/IEC 9798-1 [20]. ISO/IEC 9798-3 [22]. ISO/IEC 9594-8 [13].
- 1) 2) 3)

Public key based / zero-knowledge A.1.3.4

Overview	Proof of knowledge based methods do not authenticate the user, but an intelligent device he is using. Zero-knowledge methods guarantee that the verifier in an authentication protocol run gains no bit of information he did not already have before the run (he cannot abuse the claimant as an oracle). In general a zero-knowledge authentication protocol consists of three passes: - claimant sends commitment; - verifier sends challenge; - claimant sends response.
	Other variants are possible.
Used for	Authentication of persons and/or devices (e.g. mobile telecommunication equipment) over unsecured channels, where eavesdropping/intrusion is a concern. Especially well suited for situations where authentication is required but no secret key exchanged.
Management	The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant. The secret authentication data, private key, is held only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. Both, certificate and identity-based mechanisms exist (see above).
Limitations	Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. The requirements are much harder than for comparable secret key based methods. Legal/commercial: most zero-knowledge techniques are covered by patents. The
	technical constraints are less stringent than for other public key methods. Smart card implementations exist. Social: none so far, except for multitude of (credit-like) cards.
Implementation	Hardware: chip card, chip card reader and transmission link needed.
mpiementation	Software: (standardized) software on both sides.
	A (true) random source to provide for the commitment and the challenge patterns is required.

- ISO/IEC 9798-1 [20]. ISO/IEC 9798-5 [24]. 1)
- 2)

Page 20

ETR 237: November 1996

Confidentiality / encryption **A.2**

A.2.1 Secret key based / stream ciphers

Overview	Symmetric encryption method based on a single secret key, operating on a one-bit level.
	Synchronous stream ciphers require perfect time synchronization between sender and receiver but show no error propagation. Self-synchronizing stream ciphers require no synchronization but show error
	propagation.
Used for	Encryption of all kind of data, in particular for contiguous files or messages and for (digital) speech encryption.
Management	As both the encryption and the decryption party need the same secret key, this key shall be transmitted beforehand using either symmetric or asymmetric encryption methods, or by another medium deemed secure (courier, etc.).
Limitations	Technical: generally low processing power required, very high throughput reachable (> 1 Gbit/s).
	Legal/commercial: there are numerous algorithms in use today, most of them proprietary or secret, some of them public.
Implementation	Hardware: chips available either as dedicated hardware (1 Mbit/s up to > 1 Gbit/s) or single-chip processors.
	Software: >100 kbit/s on current general purpose processors, up to 1 Mbit/s on signal processors.
	Stream ciphers may be based on block ciphers run in OFB mode or CFB mode.

Documentation

ISO/IEC 9979 [16]

Register of cryptographic algorithms. Modes of operation for a 64-bit block cipher algorithm. Modes of operation for an n-bit block cipher algorithm. 1) 2) 3) ISO/IEC 8372 [11]

ISO/IEC 10116 [3]

A.2.2 Secret key based / block ciphers

Overview	Symmetric encryption method based on a single secret key, operating on n-bit blocks. Different modes of operation: ECB mode, CFC mode, CFB mode or OFB mode.
Used for	Encryption of all kind of data.
	Caution has to be taken as soon as the data to be encrypted has fairly regular or repetitive structure (only in ECB mode).
Management	As both the encryption and the decryption party need the same secret key, this key shall be transmitted beforehand using either symmetric or asymmetric encryption methods, or by another medium deemed secure (courier etc.).
Limitations	Technical: generally low processing power required, high throughput reachable. Depending of the chosen mode error propagation may be of concern. Loss of block borders (e.g. bit slip) requires re-synchronization. For certain modes padding may be necessary.
	Legal/commercial: there are numerous algorithms in use today, most of them proprietary or secret, some of them public (DES, IDEA, FEAL).
Implementation	Hardware: chips available either as dedicated hardware (1 Mbit/s up to 1 Gbit/s) or single-chip processors.
	Software: >100 kbit/s on current general purpose processors, up to 1 Mbit/s on signal processors.

Documentation

- ISO/IEC 9979 [16]. 1)
- ISO/IEC 8372 [11]. ISO/IEC 10116 [3].
- 2)
- FIPS PUB 46 4)

National Bureau of Standards: Data Encryption Standard.

A.2.3 Public key based

Overview	Asymmetric encryption methods are based on a pair (public/secret) of keys. The public key of an entity A can be used to encrypt messages intended for A. In contrast to symmetric encryption systems, the use of the secret key does not provide for confidentiality.
Used for	Encryption of small messages, mostly for key exchange.
Management	Public key encryption requires in general a set of publicly known security parameters and a set of secret security parameters. Examples: RSA, see reference 1) below. EIGamal, see reference 2) below.
Limitations	Technical: requires much computing power. Legal/commercial: nearly all known algorithms are patented by PKP in US, partially even world-wide.
Implementation	Hardware: today up to few 100 kbit/s, with key length of 512 bits. Software: 1 kbit/s on current general purpose processors, up to few 10 kbit/s on signal processors.

Documentation

PKCS#1 RSA Data Security Inc., PKCS#1: RSA Encryption Standard, Version 1.4, June 1)

T. ElGamal, "A public key cryptosystem and a signature scheme based on discrete logarithms", IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory, 31, 1985.

A. Menezes, "Elliptic Curve Public Key Cryptosystems", Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993. 2) **ElGamal**

3) Elliptic Curves

A.3 Integrity

Hash function (message digest) A.3.1

Overview	Requirements for a strong hash function: - one-way function mapping an arbitrary message on a message of a fixed length (e.g. 128 bits); - collision-resistant; - calculation does not require any secret information and can be done very
	efficiently.
Used for	Protection of integrity. Building block for digital signatures.
Management	The application of a hash function requires in general the knowledge of the padding rules used and possibly a initializing value.
Limitations	Technical: generally low processing power and storage capacity required. Legal/commercial: few known hash functions are patented.
Implementation	Hardware: today up to 100 Mbit/s.
	Software: up to 10 Mbit/s on current general purpose processors. Implementations on smart cards available.

- ISO/IEC 10118-1 [4].
- 1) 2) 3)
- ISO/IEC 10118-2 [5]. ISO/IEC 10118-3 [6]. ISO/IEC 10118-4 [7]. 4)
- 5) FIPS PUB 180 Secure Hash Standard.

Secret key based / keyed hash function (MAC) A.3.2

Overview	Based on a secret key known to both the sending and receiving entity. Requirements:
	 maps an arbitrary message on a message of a fixed length (e.g. 32, 64 bits); calculation very efficient;
	- MAC of a message not computable without knowledge of the secret key. In general a MAC is not suitable to be used as digital signature.
	in general a MAC is not suitable to be used as digital signature.
	Block ciphers running in CBC- or CFB-mode may be used as keyed hash functions.
Used for	Protection of integrity and authenticity.
	Building block for authentication mechanisms.
Management	As both the sending and the receiving party need the same secret key, this key needs to be transmitted beforehand using either symmetric or asymmetric encryption methods, or by another medium deemed secure (courier etc.).
Limitations	Technical: generally low processing power required, high throughput reachable.
	Legal/commercial: numerous block cipher algorithms in use today. Most of them proprietary or secret, some of them public.
Implementation	Hardware: chips available either as dedicated hardware (1 Mbit/s up to 1 Gbit/s) or single-chip processors.
	Software: >100 kbit/s on current general purpose processors, up to 1 Mbit/s on signal processors.

- 1) 2) 3) 4)

- ISO/IEC 9797 [15]. ISO/IEC 9979 [16]. ISO/IEC 8372 [11]. ISO/IEC 10116 [3].

A.3.3 Public key based / digital signature

signature schemes. Used for Protection of integrity and authenticity. Building block for authentication schemes. Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms.		
- signature (or part of it) and message to be signed are identical; 2 schemes without message recovery: - signature has to be appended to the message. Performance figures for generation/verification vary considerably for different signature schemes. Protection of integrity and authenticity. Building block for authentication schemes. Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms. Management The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.	Overview	
2 schemes without message recovery:		
- signature has to be appended to the message. Performance figures for generation/verification vary considerably for different signature schemes. Protection of integrity and authenticity. Building block for authentication schemes. Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms. Management The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Fortunation is changing. Implementation signature fraction vary considerable processing power and storage capacity. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
Performance figures for generation/verification vary considerably for different signature schemes. Protection of integrity and authenticity. Building block for authentication schemes. Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms. Management The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
Used for Protection of integrity and authenticity. Building block for authentication schemes. Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms. The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		- signature has to be appended to the message.
Protection of integrity and authenticity. Building block for authentication schemes. Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms. The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		Performance figures for generation/verification vary considerably for different
Building block for authentication schemes. Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms. The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		C
Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms. The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.	Used for	
The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Fractional public services and public services are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Fractional public services and public services are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public services are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public services are patented. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		Building block for authentication schemes.
verification of the signature. The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the chosen cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		Building block for non-repudiation mechanisms.
The secret key to carry out the signature transformation, is hold only by the claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.	Management	The verifier needs to have available a valid public key of the claimant for the verification of the signature.
claimant and has to be kept strictly confidential. The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
The public key need not be kept confidential. However, depending of the choser cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
cryptosystem, the authenticity of the public key has to be guaranteed by a certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
certificate signed by a trusted party. Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
Disclosure of secret used by the trusted party to sign certificates requires replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
replacement of certificates. Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Implementation Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
Disclosure of secret authentication data requires its replacement and/or revocation of the certificate. Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
of the certificate. Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
Limitations Technical: claimant and verifier needs to have considerable processing power and storage capacity. Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		·
Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.	Limitations	
Legal/commercial: many public key techniques are patented. The stringent technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Software: (standardized) software on both sides.	Lillitations	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		Storage capacity.
technical constraints (in particular on smart cards) have so far prevented the use of public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		l egal/commercial: many public key techniques are natented. The stringent
public key techniques on a wide scale. However, the situation is changing. Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		
Implementation Hardware: chip card, chip card reader needed. Software: (standardized) software on both sides.		, ,
Software: (standardized) software on both sides.	Implementation	
	implementation	maruware. Chip Caru, Chip Caru reader needed.
Depending of the particular implementation a (true) random source is required.		Software: (standardized) software on both sides.
		Depending of the particular implementation a (true) random source is required.

- 1) ISO/IEC 9796 [14].
- 2) ISO/IEC /WD Digital signature with appendix Part 1: General. Model.
- 3) ISO/IEC /WD Digital signature with appendix Part 2: Identity-based mechanisms.
 4) ISO/IEC /WD Digital signature with appendix Part 3: Certificate-based mechanisms.
- 5) FIPS Pub Digital Signature Standard.

Page 26 ETR 237: November 1996

A.4 Access control

A.4.1 **Control list based schemes**

Overview	The initiator requesting access has an identity which is provided to the access control decision function (which makes the decision to grant or deny the requested access). The targets (to which access is requested) are characterized with a set of pairs (initiator identity, allowed/denied operation type). Based on this information and an appropriate access control policy, the access control decision function grants or denies the requested access. The identity of the initiator can be an individual, group or role identity. Different variations of this basic scheme are possible, e.g. including context information, or handling groups of targets instead of individual targets.
Used for	Access of an initiator to a target. This scheme is appropriate, when there are few initiators or groups of initiators.
Management	Management of the information can be handled more easily on a per-target basis than on a per-initiator basis, e.g. access rights to a target or group of targets can be revoked easily, but revocation of access rights of an individual or a group of individuals is more complex. Therefore, the scheme is not appropriate when the population of individuals or groups of individuals changes frequently. However, dynamic changes in the population of the targets can be handled easily.
Limitations	Technical: none. Legal/commercial: the information on the access rights is stored somewhere near the targets, especially not at the side of the initiators. This means, that in the case of human initiators, the respective laws concerning the use and storage of human user related information have to be respected. Social: none.
Implementation	Hardware: none.
	Software: none.

Documentation

1) ISO/IEC 10181-3 [17].

Capability based schemes A.4.2

Overview	The initiator requesting access provides the access control decision function (which makes the decision to grant or deny the requested access) with a list of allowed operations on an identified set of targets (this list is called a capability). This information has usually to be signed by an appropriate authority. A variation of this basic scheme is the use of a capability without specified operations, allowing all kind of access to the initiator, if access is granted at all. In another variant, the
	authority issuing the capability is only allowed to grant limited access rights. It then also has to be checked, if these limits are not exceeded.
Used for	Access of an initiator to a target. This scheme is appropriate, when there are few targets or groups of targets and many users or groups of users, being in different domains.
Management	Management of the information can be handled more easy on a per-initiator basis than on a per-target basis, e.g. access rights of a individual or a group of individuals can be revoked easily, but revocation of access rights to a target or a group of targets is more complex. Therefore, the scheme is not appropriate when the population of targets or groups of targets changes frequently. However, dynamic changes in the population of the initiators can be handled easily.
Limitations	Technical: none. Legal/commercial: the information on the access rights is stored at the side of the initiators. Therefore, in the case of human initiators, they have more control over the use of these data than in the case of the control list scheme. Social: none.
Implementation	Hardware: capabilities of human users are usually stored on.
	Software: none.

Documentation
1) ISO/IEC 10181-3 [17].

Page 28 ETR 237: November 1996

A.4.3 Label based schemes

Overview	Security labels can be assigned to initiators and targets and to data which pass between systems. Control of data flow within one security domain can be achieved. Also, this scheme can be used to provide access control between domains. The allowed operations are not explicitly bound to the initiator or the target, but are instead defined as part of the access control policy. If the initiator is a human user, the label bound to him/her is often called a clearance, and the label bound to the target is called classification.
Used for	Access of an initiator to a target. This scheme is appropriate, when there are many users accessing many targets, and only a coarse granularity of access control is required.
Management	The following mechanisms can be used for the input to the access control decision function (which makes the decision to grant or deny the requested access) to derive the clearance of the initiator: - use of access control certificates or tokens (giving the clearance of the initiator); - use of authentication and look up (the clearance of the initiator is looked up with the help of an authenticated initiator identity); - use of a labelled channel (the clearance of the initiator is implied from the used channel); - use of labelled data (the clearance of the initiator is implied from the security labels of the operands of the access request).
Limitations	Technical: none. Legal/commercial: none. Social: none.
Implementation	Hardware: none. Software: none.

Documentation
1) ISO/IEC 10181-3 [17].

A.4.4 **Context based schemes**

Overview	Contextual information (e.g. time, location) is used for access control. This scheme can be used together with other schemes or as an independent scheme. For the access control, context control lists are used. In a context control list, the contextual conditions (e.g. time, route) are given together with the allowed operations. The contextual information itself (valid for a specific access request) is obtained from the context where the access request is performed. A variant is a scheme where the first qualifying entry in the context control list determines the search.
Used for	Access of an initiator to a target. This scheme can be used to enforce rules that apply to all initiators.
Management	
Limitations	Technical: none.
	Legal/commercial: none.
	Social: none.
Implementation	Hardware: none.
	Software: none.

Documentation

ISO/IEC 10181-3 [17].

Key management A.5

A.5.1 establishment of a shared secret key

A.5.1.1 Secret key based

Overview	Two basic mechanisms for the establishment of a shared secret key between two entities may be distinguished: 1) without key centre:
	 entities share a common secret key beforehand. Depending on whether one of the communicating parties controls the key or not key agreement and key transport mechanisms may be discerned; with key centre:
	 each entity shares a common secret key with the key centre but not among themselves. Key centre acts as TTP to either generate keying material or translate keying material sent by one of the entities.
Used for	Secret key may be used to provide for:
	 subsequent confidential communication; integrity an authenticity of subsequently exchanged messages.
Management	The cryptographic keys used subsequently to establish shared secret keys usually progress through a series of states referred to as key life cycle. This transitions between the different stages require specific management actions (see ISO/IEC 11770-1 [8]).
Limitations	Secret key based cryptosystems will always require a mutual trust between the entities sharing a common secret key. This precondition may limit the functionality of the cryptosystem (e.g. digital signature).
Implementation	None.

- ISO/IEC 11770-1 [8]. ISO/IEC 11770-2 [9]. 1)
- 2)
- 3) ISO/IEC 11568-3 [25].

A.5.1.2 Public key based

•	Establishment of a decision because the destablishment of the control of the cont
Overview	Establishment of a shared secret key between two entities may be achieved by:
	1) key agreement:
	- the secret key is the result of the execution of a protocol between the two
	entities, neither of them can predetermine its value;
	2) key transport:
	- the secret key is chosen by one entity and transferred to the other entity
	protected by asymmetric techniques.
Used for	Secret key may be used to provide for:
	- subsequent confidential communication;
	- integrity an authenticity of subsequently exchanged messages.
Management	The cryptographic keys used subsequently to establish shared secret keys usually
	progress through a series of states referred to as key life cycle. This transitions
	between the different stages require specific management actions
	(see ISO/IEC 11770-1/CD [8]).
Limitations	With public key based cryptosystems each secret keys is held by only one entity
	and need not be shared with any other entity. The counterpart of the secret key is
	the so-called public key of which only an authentic copy need be made available to
	parties wishing to communicate.
Implementation	None.

Documentation

- ISO/IEC 11770-1/CD [8]. ISO/IEC 11770-3/CD [10]. ISO/IEC 11568-4 [26]. 1) 2) 3)

Distribution of public keys A.5.2

Overview	Distribution of authentic public keys over an insecure channel can be achieved by making use of certificates. Two basic distribution mechanisms can be distinguished: - without a TTP; - involving a TTP (e.g. certification authority).				
Used for	Distribution of authentic public keys is a basic requirement for many applications and allows the subsequent use of these keys for purposes such as authentication, establishment of a shared secret key, etc.				
Management	The use of certificates requires the availability of an authentic copy of the public key of the certification authority. The distribution of this public key requires an authenticated channel. Certificates usually progress through a series of states referred to as certificate life cycle. The transitions between the different stages require specific management actions (see ISO/IEC 11770-3 [10] and ISO/IEC 9594-8 [13]).				
Limitations	The use of certificates to provide for authenticity of public keys assumes that the entity issuing the certificates is trusted by all parties.				
Implementation	None.				

- ISO/IEC 11770-1 [8]. ISO/IEC 11770-3 [10]. ISO/IEC 9594-8 [13]. 1) 2) 3)

Page 31

ETR 237: November 1996

Annex B: The relationship of security features and mechanisms

In ISO/IEC 7498-2 [19] a table showing the mapping of security features on security mechanisms is given. The security features considered there are more refined (e.g. four different confidentiality services) than the ones considered in the present ETR. We do not exactly follow this approach here, but instead base the mapping on the features described in clause 4 of this ETR. This is more in line with currently available documents on security frameworks and security mechanisms.

It should also be mentioned that some of the security mechanisms mentioned in ISO/IEC 7498-2 [19], e.g. traffic padding and routeing control, are not considered here.

Table B.1: Mapping of security features on security mechanisms

Mechanisms / features	Authentication	Encryption	Access control	Integrity	Non- repudiation	Key management
Authentication	Υ	Υ				
Confidentiality		Y				
Access control			Y			
Integrity	Y	Y		Y		
Non-repudiation	Y			Y	Υ	
Key management		Υ				Υ

NOTE 1: Security audit is not included in the above table, since no single specific security mechanism can be used to provide this feature. Audit mechanisms may be characterized as procedures based on a number of management and operational approaches, cf. ISO/IEC 10181-7 [18].

NOTE 2: Security management will be dealt with in ETR 340 [2].

Page 32 ETR 237: November 1996

History

Document history			
November 1996	First Edition		

ISBN 2-7437-1146-9 Dépôt légal : Novembre 1996