
Final draft ETSI EN 302 092-4 V1.1.1 (2000-06)

European Standard (Telecommunications series)

Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN) and Broadband Private Integrated Services Network (B-PISN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) and Broadband QSIG (B-QSIG) protocols; Call control specification in separated call and bearer control environment; Part 4: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification



Reference

DEN/SPAN-05154-4

Keywords

ATS, B-ISDN, B-ISUP, B-QSIG, broadband, control, DSS2, PIXIT, protocol

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from: http://www.etsi.org

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at http://www.etsi.org/tb/status/

If you find errors in the present document, send your comment to: editor@etsi.fr

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2000. All rights reserved.

Contents

| Intelle | ectual Property Rights | 5 |
|---|--|--|
| Forev | vord | 5 |
| 1 | Scope | 6 |
| 2 | References | 6 |
| 3 3.1 3.2 | Definitions and abbreviations | 7 |
| 4 4.1 4.2 | Abstract Test Method Description of ATM used Conventions for test components and PCOs | 9 |
| 5 | Untestable test purposes | 11 |
| 6 | ATS to TP map | 11 |
| 7 | PCTR conformance | 11 |
| 8 | PIXIT conformance | 11 |
| 9 | ATS Conformance | 12 |
| Anne | x A (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma | 13 |
| | Identification summary Protocol conformance test report IUT identification Testing environment Limits and reservations Comments IUT Conformance status Static conformance summary Dynamic conformance summary Static conformance review report Test campaign report Observations | 13 13 14 14 14 15 15 |
| Anne | x B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma | 19 |
| B.1 | Identification summary | |
| B.2 | Abstract test suite summary | 19 |
| B.3 | Test laboratory | 19 |
| B.4 | Client (of the Test Laboratory) | 20 |
| B.5 | SUT | 20 |
| B.6 B.6.1 B.6.2 B.6.3 B.6.4 | Protocol information Protocol identification Release cause values Parameter Values Test management timers | 21 21 |
| | | |

Final draft ETSI EN 302 092-4 V1.1.1 (2000-06)

| Anne | C (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS) | .23 |
|--------|---|-----|
| C1 | The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR) | .23 |
| C2 | The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP) | .23 |
| Histor | 7 | .24 |

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (http://www.etsi.org/ipr).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This European Standard (Telecommunications series) has been produced in joint activity by ETSI Technical Committee Signalling Protocol and Switching (SPS) and ECMA TC32 - TG15, and is now submitted for the Voting phase of the ETSI standards Two-step Approval Procedure.

The present document is part 4 of a multi-part standard covering the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. 2 (DSS2), Broadband Inter-Exchange Signalling (B-QSIG), and Signalling System No. 7 (SS7) TSS&TP for the Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN) and Broadband Private Integrated Services Network (B-PISN) Call Control, as described below:

Part 1: "Protocol specification";

Part 2: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification";

Part 3: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification";

Part 4: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification".

| Proposed national transposition dates | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Date of latest announcement of this EN (doa): | 3 months after ETSI publication | | | |
| Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this EN (dop/e): | 6 months after doa | | | |
| Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow): | 6 months after doa | | | |

1 Scope

The present document provides the Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma for the Call Control protocol as specified in EN 302 092-1 [1].

This fourth part of EN 302 092 series is applicable to the Call Control protocol at the Q_B , S_B , T_B and co-incident S_B/T_B reference points within, between and at the access to Broadband Private Integrated Services Networks and within, between and at the access to public Broadband Integrated Services Digital Networks.

A further part of the present document specifies the Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) related to this ATS and partial PIXIT proforma.

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- · For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies.
- A non-specific reference to an ETS shall also be taken to refer to later versions published as an EN with the same number.
- [1] ETSI EN 302 092-1 (V1.1): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN) and Broadband Private Integrated Services Network (B-PISN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2), Broadband Inter-Exchange Signalling (B-QSIG), and Signalling System No. 7 (SS7); Call control in a separated call and bearer control environment; Part 1: Protocol specification".
- [2] ETSI EN 302 092-2 (V1.1): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN) and Broadband Private Integrated Services Network (B-PISN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2), Broadband Inter-Exchange Signalling (B-QSIG), and Signalling System No. 7 (SS7); Call control in a separated call and bearer control environment; Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification".
- [3] ETSI EN 302 092-3 (V1.1): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN) and Broadband Private Integrated Services Network (B-PISN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2), Broadband Inter-Exchange Signalling (B-QSIG), and Signalling System No. 7 (SS7); Call control in a separated call and bearer control environment; Part 3: Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification".
- [4] ISO/IEC 9646-1: "Information Technology Open Systems Interconnection Conformance testing methodology and framework, Part 1: General concepts".
- [5] ISO/IEC 9646-2: "Information Technology Open Systems Interconnection -Conformance testing methodology and framework, Part 2: Abstract Test Suite Specification".
- [6] ISO/IEC 9646-3: "Information Technology Open Systems Interconnection -Conformance testing methodology and framework, Part 3: The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation".
- [7] ISO/IEC 9646-4: "Information Technology Open Systems Interconnection -Conformance testing methodology and framework, Part 4: Test realization".
- [8] ISO/IEC 9646-5: "Information Technology Open Systems Interconnection -Conformance testing methodology and framework; Part 5: Requirements on test laboratories and clients for the conformance assessment process".

- [9] Void.
- [10] ETSI EN 302 092-4: "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN) and Broadband Private Integrated Services Network (B-PISN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) and Broadband QSIG (B-QSIG) protocols; Call control specification in separated call and bearer control environment; Part 4: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification".

7

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the following terms and definitions apply in addition to the definitions in EN 302 092-1 [1]:

Abstract test case: refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Abstract Test Method (ATM): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Abstract Test Suite (ATS): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Implementation Under Test (IUT): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Lower tester (LT): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

PICS proforma: refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

PIXIT proforma: refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Point of Control and Observation (PCO): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

System Under Test (SUT): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Test Purpose (TP): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

Upper Tester (UT): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [4]

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

APDU Application Protocol Data Unit

ATM Abstract Test Method ATS Abstract Test Suite

DSS2 Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two
B-ISDN Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network
B-PISN Broadband Private Integrated Services Network
B-QSIG Broadband Inter-Exchange Signalling System

CC Call Control
CC0 Call Idle state
CC1 Call Initiated state

CC2 Outgoing Call Proceeding state

CC3 Call Ready state
CC4 Call Present state

CC5 Incoming Call Proceeding state
CC6 Await Call Completion state

CC7 Call Active state

CC8 Call Release Request state
CC9 Call Release Indication state
CM Co-ordination Message
CP Co-ordination Point
ExTS Executable Test Suite
IUT Implementation Under Test

LT Lower Tester

MOT Means Of Testing

MTC Main Test Component

PCO Point of Control and Observation

PDU Protocol Data Unit

PICS Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
PIXIT Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing

PTC Parallel Test Component SUT System Under Test

TCP Test Co-ordination Procedures

TP Test Purpose

TTCN Tree and Tabular Combined Notation

UT Upper Tester

4 Abstract Test Method

4.1 Description of ATM used

For CC, the protocol defines different roles that a CC entity can play: it can be an end or transit CC entity. In the first case, only one interface is used and in the second case, two interfaces are used for testing purposes.

The requirement for testing the IUT is to focus on the behaviour of the IUT at the Q_B , S_B , T_B and co-incident S_B/T_B reference points within, between and at the access to Broadband Private Integrated Services Networks and within, between and at the access to public Broadband Integrated Services Digital Networks. Thus the IUT is a call control protocol entity at a particular interface and is not the other entities and functions existing in the SUT.

It is possible to specify an ATS based on a Single party (remote) test method for such an IUT. However, it is considered that an ATS based on such an approach is of limited use as the only way to specify IUT generated PDUs is to use the "implicit send" statement. Many users of such an ATS would replace the "implicit send" statements with descriptions of the behaviour at other interfaces.

An ATS based on a multi-party test method is considered to be more useful in that it is closer to how a real test suite would be constructed. Such a test method specifies behaviour at multiple network interfaces. One very important limitation here is that tests are focussed on one particular interface. Thus the test system is made up one Main Test Component (MTC) and one or more Parallel Test Components (PTC), see figure 1.

4.2 Conventions for test components and PCOs




Figure 1: Multi-party test method

In a master/slave arrangement, the MTC is considered to be the master while the PTCs are the slaves. The "slave" testers are only an explicit description of how to deal with the remote interfaces during the testing process, i.e. "how to make the IUT send the required message".

This means, in particular, that the verdict will only be assigned from the protocol aspects observed on *the* interface under test (i.e. by the "master" tester), as it would be observed by a terminal connected to this interface. A failure in the correlation between the protocol at the different interfaces to which the different testers are connected, i.e. in the mechanism of the functional service itself, will not cause a FAIL verdict. For instance, if the IUT fails to send a message on the tested interface after another interface has received the proper stimulus, the verdict will be INCONCLUSIVE.

The MTC MTCA has two functions in this configuration. Firstly, it has the MTC function of controlling the one or more PTCs. Thus it is responsible for starting the PTCs and afterwards co-ordinates activities by exchanging Co-ordination Messages (CM) with the PTCs. Secondly it is responsible for the behaviour of the Lower Tester (LT) at PCO L0.

A combination of the remote and multi-party test methods is applied. As can be seen from figure 1, several PCOs are used.

| i | Tester-MTC | _ | S | UT | , | Tester-PTC |
|--------------------|------------|---|---------------|------------------------|---|------------|
| | | | | Prenegotiation | | |
| | | | | TUI | | |
| | | C | Co-ordination | Call Control | | |
| Layer 3 | LT | | | ROSE | | LT |
| | | | function | GFT-Control | | |
| | | | | Transport mechanism | | |
| | PCO | | | | | PCO |
| Layer 2 Layer 1 | SAAL | | SA | AAL | | SAAL |
| | | 1 | Service | provider | | |

Figure 2: Combination of the remote and multi-party test methods

The MTC PCO is named "L0" ("L" for Lower). The L0 PCO is used to control and observe the behaviour of the IUT and test case verdicts are assigned depending on the behaviour observed at this PCO. The PTC PTC1 uses PCOs L1 and O. These PCOs are used to control and, in a limited way, observe the behaviour of the network equipment at interface other than the one under test. No verdicts are assigned at this PCO. The L1 PCO is only used for transit CC entity.

ISO/IEC 9646-2 [5] allows the informal expression of Test Co-ordination Procedures (TCP) between the System Under Test (SUT) upper layer(s) and the Lower Tester (LT). In the ATS contained in annex C, TCP is achieved by use of a second "informal" PCO, called "O" (for Operator). This PCO is used to specify control but not observation above the IUT and consequently, events at this PCO are never used to generate test case verdicts. The use of this O PCO is regarded as a preferred alternative to the use of the implicit send event, in that it allows the ATS to specify in a clear and meaningful way what actions are required to be performed on the IUT. As an alternative of L1, the O PCO is used for an end CC entity.

As stated in a previous paragraph, the non-receipt of network generated messages at L0, which are stimulated by events at the L1, will result in INCONCLUSIVE rather than FAIL verdicts being assigned.

In test cases which verify that the IUT rejects invalid or unacceptable APDUs, no PTC is activated at all, as these procedures are considered local to the access between IUT and MTC.

5 Untestable test purposes

There are no untestable test purposes associated with this ATS.

6 ATS to TP map

The identifiers used for the TPs are reused as test case names. Thus there is a straightforward one-to-one mapping.

7 PCTR conformance

A test laboratory, when requested by a client to produce a PCTR, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-5 [8], to produce a PCTR conformant with the PCTR template given in annex B of ISO/IEC 9646-5 [8].

Furthermore, a test laboratory, offering testing for the ATS specification contained in annex C, when requested by a client to produce a PCTR, is required to produce a PCTR conformant with the PCTR proforma contained in annex A of the present document.

A PCTR which conforms to this PCTR proforma specification shall preserve the content and ordering of the clauses contained in annex A. Clause A.6 of the PCTR may contain additional columns. If included, these shall be placed to the right of the existing columns. Text in italics may be retained by the test laboratory.

8 PIXIT conformance

A test realizer, producing an executable test suite for the Abstract Test Suite (ATS) specification contained in annex C, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-4 [7], to produce an augmented partial PIXIT proforma conformant with this partial PIXIT proforma specification.

An augmented partial PIXIT proforma which conforms to this partial PIXIT proforma specification shall, as a minimum, have contents which are technically equivalent to annex B. The augmented partial PIXIT proforma may contain additional questions that need to be answered in order to prepare the Means Of Testing (MOT) for a particular Implementation Under Test (IUT).

A test laboratory, offering testing for the ATS specification contained in annex C, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-5 [8], to further augment the augmented partial PIXIT proforma to produce a PIXIT proforma conformant with this partial PIXIT proforma specification.

A PIXIT proforma which conforms to this partial PIXIT proforma specification shall, as a minimum, have contents which are technically equivalent to annex B. The PIXIT proforma may contain additional questions that need to be answered in order to prepare the test laboratory for a particular IUT.

9 ATS Conformance

The test realizer, producing a Means Of Testing (MOT) and Executable Test Suite (ExTS) for this Abstract Test Suite (ATS) specification, shall comply with the requirements of ISO/IEC 9646-4 [7]. In particular, these concern the realization of an Executable Test Suite (ExTS) based on each ATS. The test realizer shall provide a statement of conformance of the MOT to this ATS specification.

An ExTS which conforms to this ATS specification shall contain test groups and test cases which are technically equivalent to those contained in the ATS in annex C. All sequences of test events comprising an abstract test case shall be capable of being realized in the executable test case. Any further checking which the test system might be capable of performing is outside the scope of this ATS specification and shall not contribute to the verdict assignment for each test case.

Test laboratories running conformance test services using this ATS shall comply with ISO/IEC 9646-5 [8].

A test laboratory which claims to conform to this ATS specification shall use an MOT which conforms to this ATS.

Annex A (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of the present document, ETSI grants that users of the present document may freely reproduce the PCTR proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PCTR.

A.1 Identification summary

A.1.1 Protocol conformance test report

| PCTR number: | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| PCTR Date: | |
| Corresponding SCTR number: | |
| Corresponding SCTR date: | |
| Test Laboratory identification: | |
| Test Laboratory Manager: | |
| Signature: | |

A.1.2 IUT identification

| Name: | |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Version: | |
| Protocol specification: | EN 302 092-1 |
| PICS: | EN 302 092-2 |
| Previous PCTRs (if any) | |

A.1.3 Testing environment

| PIXIT Reference number: | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| ATS Specification: | EN 302 092-4 |
| Abstract Test Method: | Remote test method (see ISO/IEC 9646-2) |
| Means of Testing identification: | |
| Dates of testing: | |
| Conformance Log reference(s): | |
| Retention Date for Log reference(s): | |

A.1.4 Limits and reservations

| Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or to the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| A.1.5 Comments Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

A.2 IUT Conformance status

This IUT has or has not been shown by conformance assessment to be non-conforming to the specified protocol specification.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this IUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements (as specified in clause A.3 of this report) and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause A.6) strike the words "has or", otherwise strike the words "or has not".

A.3 Static conformance summary

The PICS for this IUT is or is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.

A.4 Dynamic conformance summary

| The test campaig | gn did or did not reveal errors in the IUT. | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | trike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause A.6 of this eport) strike the words "did or", otherwise strike the words "or did not". | | | | |
| Summary of the | results of groups of tests: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| A.5 S | Static conformance review report | | | | |
| • | icates non-conformance, this subclause itemizes the mismatches between the PICS and the static quirements of the specified protocol specification. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

A.6 Test campaign report

| ATS Reference | Selected ? (Y/N) | Run ? (Y/N) | Verdict | Observations |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|
| CC_111_01 | | | | |
| CC_111_02 | | | | |
| CC_112_01 | | | | |
| CC_112_02 | | | | |
| CC_112_03 | | | | |
| CC_112_04 | | | | |
| CC_112_05 | | | | |
| CC_112_06 | | | | |
| CC_112_07 | | | | |
| CC_112_08 | | | | |
| CC_112_09 | | | | |
| CC_112_10 | | | | |
| CC_112_11 | | | | |
| CC_112_12 | | | | |
| CC_112_13 | | | | |
| CC_112_14 | | | | |
| CC_112_15 | | | | |
| CC_112_16 | | | | |
| CC_113_01 | | | | |
| CC_113_02 | | | | |
| CC_121_01 | | | | |
| CC_121_02 | | | | |
| CC_121_03 | | | | |
| CC_121_04 | | | | |
| CC_121_05 | | | | |
| CC_121_06 | | | | |
| CC_121_07 | | | | |
| CC_121_08 | | | | |
| CC_121_09 | | | | |
| CC_121_10 | | | | |
| CC_121_11 | | | | |
| CC_121_12 | | | | |
| CC_121_13 | | | | |
| CC_121_14 | | | | |
| CC_121_15 | | | | |
| CC_121_16 | | | | |
| CC_121_17 | | | | |
| CC_121_18 | | | | |
| CC_121_19 | | | | |
| CC_121_20 | | | | |
| CC_121_21 | | | | |
| CC_121_22 | | | | |
| CC_121_23 | <u> </u> | | | - |
| CC_121_24 | <u> </u> | | | - |
| CC_121_25 | <u> </u> | | | - |
| CC_121_26 | | | | |
| CC_121_27 | | | | |
| CC_121_28 | <u> </u> | | | |
| CC_121_29 | <u> </u> | | | |
| CC_121_30 | <u> </u> | | | |
| CC_121_31 | | | | |
| CC_121_32 | | | | |
| CC_121_33 | | | | |
| CC_122_01 | | | | |
| CC_122_02 | | | | |
| CC_122_03 | | | | |
| CC_122_04 | | | | |
| CC_122_05 | | | | |
| CC_122_06 | | | | |
| CC_122_07 | <u> </u> | | | |

| ATS Reference | Selected ? (Y/N) | Run ? (Y/N) | Verdict | Observations |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|
| CC_122_08 | | · · · | | |
| CC_122_09 | | | | |
| CC_122_10 | | | | |
| CC_122_11 | | | | |
| CC_122_12 | | | | |
| CC_122_13 | | | | |
| CC_122_14 | | | | |
| CC_122_15 | | | | |
| CC_122_16 | | | | |
| CC_122_16 CC_211_01 | | | | |
| CC_211_01 CC_211_02 | | | | |
| CC_211_02 CC_211_03 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CC_211_04 | | | | |
| CC_211_05 | | | | |
| CC_211_06 | | | | |
| CC_211_07 | | | | |
| CC_211_08 | | | ļ | |
| CC_222_01 | | | | |
| CC_222_02 | | | | |
| CC_222_03 | | | | |
| CC_222_04 | | | | |
| CC_222_05 | | | | |
| CC_311_01 | | | | |
| CC_311_02 | | | | |
| CC_311_03 | | | | |
| CC_311_04 | | | | |
| CC_311_05 | | | | |
| CC_311_06a | | | | |
| CC_311_06b | | | | |
| CC_311_07 | | | | |
| CC_311_08 | | | | |
| CC_311_09 | | | | |
| CC_311_10 | | | | |
| CC_311_11 | | | | |
| CC_311_12 | | | | |
| CC_311_13 | | | | |
| CC_311_14 | | | | |
| CC_311_15a | | | | |
| CC_311_15b | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CC_312_01 CC_321_01 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CC_321_02 | | | | |
| CC_321_03 | | | | |
| CC_321_04 | | | - | |
| CC_321_05 | | | | |
| CC_321_06a | | | ļ | |
| CC_321_06b | | | | |
| CC_322_01a | | | | |
| CC_322_01b | | | | |
| CC_322_03a | | | | |
| CC_322_03b | | | | |
| CC_322_04a | | | | |
| CC_322_04b | | | | |
| CC_322_05a | | | | |
| CC_322_05b | | | | |
| CC_322_06a | | | | |
| CC_322_06b | | | | |
| CC_322_07a | | | | |
| CC_322_07b | | | | |
| CC_322_076 CC_322_08a | | | | |
| CC_322_08b | | | | |
| OO_322_00D | | | 1 | |

| A.7 | Observations |
|------------|---|
| Additional | information relevant to the technical content of the PCTR are given here. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of the present document, ETSI grants that users of the present document may freely reproduce the PIXIT proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PIXIT.

| B.1 Id | 3.1 Identification summary | |
|--------------------|--|--|
| PIXIT Number: | | |
| Test Laboratory N | lame: | |
| Date of Issue: | | |
| Issued to: | | |
| | | |
| B.2 Al | ostract test suite summary | |
| Protocol Specifica | EN 302 092-1 | |
| ATS Specification | EN 302 092-4 | |
| Abstract Test Met | hod: Remote test method (see ISO/IEC 9646-2) | |
| B.3 Te | est laboratory | |
| Test Laboratory Id | lentification: | |
| Accreditation stat | us of the test service: | |
| Accreditation refe | rence: | |
| Test Laboratory N | Ianager: | |
| Test Laboratory c | ontact: | |
| | *************************************** | |

| Means of 16 | esting: |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Test Labora | tory instructions for Completion: |
| B.4 Client Ident | Client (of the Test Laboratory) |
| Client Test | manager: |
| Client conta | ct: |
| Test Faciliti | es required: |
| B.5 Name:Version: | SUT |
| SCS Referen | nce: |
| Machine con | nfiguration: |
| Operating S | ystem Identification: |
| IUT Identifi | cation: |
| PICS (all la | yers): |
| Limitations | of the SUT: |
| Environmen | tal Conditions: |

B.6 Protocol information

B.6.1 Protocol identification

Specification reference: EN 302 092-1

Protocol Version:

PICS Reference: EN 302 092-2

B.6.2 Release cause values

Table B.1: Release cause values

| Item | Does the IUT support the sending a CallRelease Invoke APDU indicating | Supported Y/N |
|------|---|------------------|
| 1.1 | normalCallClearing? | |
| 1.2 | callDescriptionNotAccepted? | |
| 1.3 | temporaryFailure? | |

B.6.3 Parameter Values

Table B.2: Parameter values

| Item | Parameter values | Value |
|------------------|--|-------|
| | Give a value for | |
| Call description | on | |
| 2.1 | the bearer establishment address of the preceding side | |
| 2.2 | the bearer establishment address of the succeeding side | |
| 2.3 | a valid bearer ID | |
| 2.4 | the preceding side party EP address. | |
| 2.5 | the succeeding side party EP address. | |
| 2.6 | a callDescription that will not be accepted by the IUT (note) | |
| 2.7 | a callDescription that indicates an unallocated number (note) | |
| 2.8 | a callDescription that contains an incomplete address (note) | |
| Protocol disci | riminator | |
| 2.9 | protocol discriminator to be used in the message header of the | |
| | transport messages | |
| 2.10 | protocol profile to be used in the Facility information element | |
| NOTE: Th | ese fields need only be completed, if the specified coding exists. | |

B.6.4 Test management timers

Table B.3: Timer values

| Item | Timer | Value | | |
|-------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | Give a value for the timer that is used | (in seconds) | | |
| 3.1 | to wait for the IUT to respond to a stimulus sent by the tester (TAC). | | | |
| 3.2 | to control that the IUT does not respond to a stimulus sent by the tester (TNOAC). | | | |
| 3.3 | to wait for the test operator to perform an implicit send action or to wait for a PTC to react (TWAIT). | | | |
| 3.4 | as T701 (default 162 seconds) | | | |
| 3.5 | as T703 (default 3 - 15 seconds) | | | |
| 3.6 | as T708 (default 30 seconds) | | | |
| 3.7 | 7 as T710 (default 30 seconds) | | | |
| NOTE: | The IUT provider may fill in a value range rather than a fixed value for During test execution the test laboratory will choose specific values for means of testing used. These specific values may even be beyond the this is necessary for achieving satisfactory test results. | the timers dependant on the | | |

Annex C (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS)

This ATS has been produced using the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) according to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [6].

The ATS was developed on a separate TTCN software tool and therefore the TTCN tables are not completely referenced in the table of contents. The ATS itself contains a test suite overview part which provides additional information and references.

C1 The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR)

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in an Adobe Portable Document Format™ file (TD045b.PDF contained in archive en_30209204v010101v0.ZIP) which accompanies the present document.

C2 The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP)

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII file (TD044b.MP contained in archive en_30209204v010101v0.ZIP) which accompanies the present document.

History

| | | Document history | |
|--------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| V1.1.1 | December 1999 | Public Enquiry | PE 200016: 1999-12-22 to 2000-04-21 |
| V1.1.1 | June 2000 | Vote | V 20000825: 2000-06-26 to 2000-08-25 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |