

ETSI EN 301 486-2 V1.1.1 (2001-09)

European Standard (Telecommunications series)

**Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN);
Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol;
Connection characteristics;
ATM traffic descriptor modification with negotiation
by the connection owner;
Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance
Statement (PICS) proforma specification**



Reference

DEN/SPAN-130202-2

KeywordsATM, B-ISDN, broadband, DSS2, layer 3, PICS,
UNI**ETSI**

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org>

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at <http://www.etsi.org/tb/status/>

If you find errors in the present document, send your comment to:

editor@etsi.fr

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission.
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2001.
All rights reserved.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights	5
Foreword.....	5
1 Scope.....	6
2 References.....	6
3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations	7
3.1 Definitions	7
3.2 Symbols.....	7
3.3 Abbreviations.....	7
4 Conformance	8
Annex A (normative): PICS proforma for EN 301 486-1.....	9
A.1 Guidance for completing the PICS proforma.....	9
A.1.1 Purpose and structure	9
A.1.2 Abbreviations and conventions	9
A.1.3 Instructions for completing the PICS proforma	10
A.1.4 The PICS proforma tables.....	10
A.1.4.1 Correspondence to physical interface.....	10
A.1.4.2 Structure of the tables.....	11
A.1.4.3 Support for received PDU parameters.....	11
A.2 Identification of the implementation.....	11
A.2.1 Date of the statement.....	11
A.2.2 Implementation Under Test (IUT) identification	11
A.2.3 System Under Test (SUT) identification	12
A.2.4 Product supplier	12
A.2.5 Client.....	12
A.2.6 PICS contact person	13
A.3 Identification of the protocol to which this PICS proforma applies	13
A.4 Global statement of conformance.....	14
A.5 Roles	14
A.6 Major capabilities	14
A.7 User Role	15
A.7.1 Initiating User	15
A.7.1.1 Messages received	15
A.7.1.2 Messages transmitted.....	15
A.7.2 Addressed User	15
A.7.2.1 Messages received	15
A.7.2.2 Messages transmitted.....	16
A.8 Network Role	16
A.8.1 Terminating Entity	16
A.8.1.1 Originating Network Side.....	16
A.8.1.1.1 Messages received	16
A.8.1.1.2 Messages transmitted.....	16
A.8.1.2 Terminating Network Side	17
A.8.1.2.1 Messages received	17
A.8.1.2.2 Messages transmitted.....	17
A.9 Requesting entity message Parameters	17
A.9.1 Requesting entity message Parameters received	18
A.9.2 Requesting entity message Parameters transmitted	18

A.10 Responding entity message Parameters	19
A.10.1 Responding entity message Parameters received	19
A.10.2 Responding entity message Parameters transmitted	20
A.11 Timers	20
Annex B (informative): Bibliography.....	21
History	22

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<http://www.etsi.org/ipr>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This European Standard (Telecommunications series) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Services and Protocols for Advanced Networks (SPAN).

The present document is part 2 of a multi-part deliverable covering the Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; ATM traffic descriptor modification with negotiation by the connection owner, as identified below:

- Part 1: "Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.3, modified]";
- Part 2: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification";**
- Part 3: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the user";
- Part 4: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification for the user";
- Part 5: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the network";
- Part 6: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification for the network".

To evaluate conformance of a particular implementation, it is necessary to have a statement of which capabilities and options have been implemented for a given Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) protocol. Such a statement is called a Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS).

National transposition dates	
Date of adoption of this EN:	31 August 2001
Date of latest announcement of this EN (doa):	30 November 2001
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this EN (dop/e):	31 May 2002
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	31 May 2002

1 Scope

The present document provides the Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma for the ATM traffic descriptor modification with negotiation by the connection owner for the Broadband-Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN). This is done by means of the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol as specified in EN 301 486-1 [2] in compliance with the relevant requirements and in accordance with the relevant guidance given in ISO/IEC 9646-7 [4].

The supplier of a protocol implementation which is claimed to conform to EN 301 486-1 [2] is required to complete a copy of the PICS proforma provided in annex A of the present document and is required to provide the information necessary to identify the supplier and the implementation.

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies.

- [1] ETSI EN 300 443-1: "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; B-ISDN user-network interface layer 3 specification for basic call/bearer control; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2931 (1995), modified]".
- [2] ETSI EN 301 486-1 (V1.1.3): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; ATM traffic descriptor modification with negotiation by the connection owner; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.3, modified]".
- [3] ISO/IEC 9646-1: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 1: General concepts".
- [4] ISO/IEC 9646-7: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 7: Implementation Conformance Statements".
- [5] ETSI EN 301 003-2 (V1.1.3): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner; Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification".
- [6] ETSI EN 301 276-1: "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; Modification procedures for sustainable cell rate parameters; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.2 (1997), modified]".

3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in EN 301 486-1 [2] and the following apply:

Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS): statement made by the supplier of an Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) implementation or system, stating which capabilities have been implemented for a given OSI protocol (see ISO/IEC 9646-1)

PICS proforma: document, in the form of a questionnaire, designed by the protocol specifier or conformance test suite specifier, which, when completed for an OSI implementation or system becomes the PICS (see ISO/IEC 9646-1)

static conformance review: review of the extent to which the static conformance requirements are met by the IUT, accomplished by comparing the PICS with the static conformance requirements expressed in the relevant standard(s) (see ISO/IEC 9646-1)

3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the following symbols apply:

C	Conditional requirement (to be observed if the relevant conditions apply)
M	Mandatory requirement (to be observed in all cases)
N/A	Not applicable, not supported or the conditions for status are not meet
No	not supported
O	Option (may be selected to suit the implementation, provided that any requirements applicable to the option are observed)
Yes	supported

3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

ATD	ATM Traffic Descriptor
ATM	Asynchronous Transfer Mode
B-ISDN	Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network
DSS2	Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two
IER	Parameters Received
IET	Parameters Transmitted
IUT	Implementation Under Test
MC	Major Capabilities
MR	Messages Received
OSI	Open Systems Interconnection
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
R	Role
SUT	System Under Test

4 Conformance

A PICS proforma that conforms to this PICS proforma specification shall be technically equivalent to annex A, and shall preserve the numbering and ordering of the items in annex A.

A PICS proforma that conforms to this PICS proforma specification shall:

- describe an implementation which conforms to EN 301 486-1 [2];
- be a conforming PICS proforma, which has been completed in accordance with the instructions for completion given in clause A.1; and
- include the information necessary to uniquely identify both the supplier and the implementation.

Annex A (normative): PICS proforma for EN 301 486-1

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of the present document, ETSI grants that users of the present document may freely reproduce the PICS proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PICS.
--

A.1 Guidance for completing the PICS proforma

A.1.1 Purpose and structure

The purpose of this PICS proforma is to provide a mechanism whereby a supplier of an implementation of the requirements defined in EN 301 486-1 may provide information in a standardized manner.

The PICS proforma is subdivided into clauses as follows:

- guidance for completing the various parts of the PICS proforma;
- identification of the implementation;
- identification of the protocol to which this PICS proforma applies;
- global statement of conformance;
- questions to determine roles;
- questions for the user role; and
- questions for the network role.

A.1.2 Abbreviations and conventions

The PICS proforma contained in this annex is comprised of information in tabular form in accordance with the guidelines presented in ISO/IEC 9646-7.

Item column

The item column contains a unique reference (a mnemonic plus a number) for each item within the PICS proforma. Items are not always numbered sequentially.

Item description column

The item description contains a brief summary of the static requirement for which a support answer is required.

Conditions for status column

The conditions for status column contains a specification, if appropriate, of the predicate upon which a conditional status is based.

Status column

The following notations, defined in ISO/IEC 9646-7, are used for the status column:

I	Irrelevant or out-of-scope - this capability is outside the scope of the EN to which this PICS proforma applies and is not subject to conformance testing in this context.
M	Mandatory - the capability is required to be supported.
N/A	Not Applicable - in the given context, it is impossible to use the capability. No answer in the support column is required.
O	Optional - the capability may be supported or not.
O.i	qualified optional - for mutually exclusive or selectable options from a set. "i" is an integer that identifies a unique group of related optional items and the logic of their selection, defined below the table.
X	eXcluded or prohibited - there is a requirement not to use this capability in a given context.

NOTE: To support a capability means that the capability is implemented in conformance to EN 300 443-1.

Reference column

Except where explicitly stated, the reference column refers to the appropriate text of ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.3 as modified by EN 301 486-1 describing the particular item. Note, however, that a reference indicates only the location of the most essential information about an item. All additional requirements contained in EN 300 443-1 have also to be taken into account when making a statement about the conformance of that particular item.

Support column

The following notation, defined in ISO/IEC 9646-7, is used for the support column:

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Tick "Yes" if item is supported, tick "No" if item is not supported.
<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Tick "N/A" if the item is "not applicable".

Prerequisite line

A prerequisite line takes the form: Prerequisite: <predicate>.

A prerequisite line after a clause heading or table title indicates that the whole clause or the whole table is not required to be completed if the predicate is FALSE.

A.1.3 Instructions for completing the PICS proforma

The supplier of the implementation shall complete the PICS proforma. For each row in each PICS proforma table the supplier shall enter an explicit answer (i.e., by ticking the appropriate "Yes", "No", or "N/A" in each of the support column boxes provided. Where a support column box is left blank, or where it is marked "N/A" without any tickbox, no answer is required.

If necessary, the supplier may enter additional comments at the end of each table, or separately.

More detailed instructions may be found at the beginning of each clause of the proforma.

A.1.4 The PICS proforma tables

A.1.4.1 Correspondence to physical interface

The "implementation" (IUT) about which the PICS proforma asks questions corresponds to a layer 3 implementation on top of ONE physical interface. If the SUT implements more than one configuration, then a layer 3 PICS shall be created for each type of interface (and for each configuration of each interface) provided by the SUT.

A.1.4.2 Structure of the tables

The supplier shall provide answers to the questions concerning the major roles of the IUT (table A.1). The supplier shall then provide answers to the questions relating to the capabilities of the IUT in one of the major roles as appropriate. Apart from the initial questions to determine roles, the major roles of the IUT; the user role (R 2.1) and the network role (R 2.2), are treated completely separately in the PICS proforma. It is only necessary to complete the questions for the supported role.

A.1.4.3 Support for received PDU parameters

In the PDU parameter tables, the PICS proforma asks questions about the Parameters (Parameters) supported in messages (PDUs) received by the IUT. This clause explains, in the context of EN 301 486-1, what "to support a received PDU parameter" means.

The requirement that an IUT is able to parse a Parameter in a received message is already implied by claiming support for the receipt of that received message. This means that "to support a received PDU parameter" implies more.

Parameters in a received message are regarded as either transparent or non-transparent.

A non-transparent Parameter is one that causes the protocol control entity to vary its behaviour in accordance with the content of the Parameter. To support a non-transparent Parameter means an IUT can process the received parameter and behave according to the procedures described in EN 301 486-1.

A Parameter is transparent if the actions taken according to its contents are not detectable in the subsequent behaviour of the protocol (i.e. EN 301 486-1 does not specify the behaviour). To support a transparent Parameter means an IUT can receive the Parameter concerned and pass it to an appropriate processing entity; the Parameter is not discarded by the protocol control entity. Non-support of a transparent Parameter means the IUT discards it.

Transparent parameters are marked by a "(T)" in the PDU parameter tables.

A.2 Identification of the implementation

Identification of the Implementation Under Test (IUT) and the system in which it resides (the System Under Test (SUT)) should be filled in to provide as much detail as possible regarding version numbers and configuration options.

The product supplier and client information should both be filled in if they are different.

A person who can answer queries regarding information supplied in the PICS should be named as the contact person.

A.2.1 Date of the statement

.....

A.2.2 Implementation Under Test (IUT) identification

IUT name:

.....

.....

IUT version:

.....

A.2.3 System Under Test (SUT) identification

SUT name:

.....
.....

Hardware configuration:

.....
.....
.....

Operating system:

.....
.....

A.2.4 Product supplier

Name:

.....

Address:

.....
.....
.....

Telephone number:

.....

Facsimile number:

.....

Additional information:

.....
.....
.....

A.2.5 Client

Name:

.....

Address:

.....
.....
.....

Telephone number:

.....

Facsimile number:

.....

Additional information:

.....

.....

.....

A.2.6 PICS contact person

Name:

.....

Address:

.....

.....

.....

Telephone number:

.....

Facsimile number:

.....

Additional information:

.....

.....

.....

A.3 Identification of the protocol to which this PICS proforma applies

This PICS proforma applies to the following standard:

EN 301 486-1 (V1.1.3): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; ATM traffic descriptor modification with negotiation by the connection owner; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.3, modified]".

A.4 Global statement of conformance

Are all mandatory capabilities implemented? (Yes/No)

NOTE: Answering "No" to this question indicates non-conformance to the protocol specification. Non-supported mandatory capabilities are to be identified in the PICS, with an explanation of why the implementation is non-conforming. Explanations may be entered in the comments field at the bottom of each table or on attached pages.

A.5 Roles

Table A.1: Roles

Item	Major role: Does the implementation support...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
R.1.1	the user role?		O.1		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
R.1.2	the network role?		O.1		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
R.2.1	the role of the modification requesting entity?	R.1.2	M	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
R.2.2	the role of the modification responding entity?	R.1.2	M	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
R.3.1	the role of the transit entity?	R.1.2	O.2	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
R.3.2	the role of the originating network side?	R.1.2	O.2	6	
R.3.3	the role of the destination network side?	R.1.2	O.2	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
R.4.1	the role of the initiating user?	R.1.1	O.3	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
R.4.2	the role of the addressed user?	R.1.1	O.3	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
O.1	support of one and only one of these options is required.				
O.2	support of at least one of these options is required.				
O.3	support of one and only one of these options is required.				
Comments:					

A.6 Major capabilities

Table A.2: Major capabilities

Item	Major capability: Does the implementation ...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MC.1	support modification of traffic Parameters in the backward direction?	R.1.1 R.1.2	O.4 M	5.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MC.2	support modification of traffic Parameters in the forward direction?	R.1.1 R.1.2	O.4 M	5.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MC.3	support negotiation of sets of traffic Parameters in the ATD using an Alternative ATM traffic descriptor?	R.1.1 R.1.2	O.5 M	5.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MC.4	support negotiation of individual traffic Parameters in the ATD using a minimum acceptable ATM traffic descriptor?	R.1.1 R.1.2	O.5 M	5.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
O.4	support of at least one of these options is required.				
O.5	support of at least one of these options is required.				
Comments:					

A.7 User Role

A.7.1 Initiating User

A.7.1.1 Messages received

Table A.3: Messages received

Item	Messages received: Does the implementation support the interpretation of...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MRuI.1	MODIFY ACKNOWLEDGE?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRuI.2	MODIFY REJECT?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments:

A.7.1.2 Messages transmitted

NOTE: MTuI stands for Message Transmitted user Initiating.

Table A.4: Messages transmitted

Item	Messages transmitted: Does the implementation support the inclusion of...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MTuI.1	MODIFY REQUEST?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MTuI.2	CONNECTION AVAILABLE?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments:

A.7.2 Addressed User

A.7.2.1 Messages received

NOTE: MRuA stands for Message Received user Addressed.

Table A.5: Messages received

Item	Messages transmitted: Does the implementation support the inclusion of...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MRuA.1	MODIFY REQUEST?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRuA.2	CONNECTION AVAILABLE?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments:

A.7.2.2 Messages transmitted

NOTE: MTuA stands for Message Transmitted user Addressed.

Table A.6: Messages transmitted

Item	Messages received: Does the implementation support the interpretation of...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MTuA.1	MODIFY ACKNOWLEDGE?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MtuA.2	MODIFY REJECT?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.8 Network Role

A.8.1 Terminating Entity

A.8.1.1 Originating Network Side

A.8.1.1.1 Messages received

NOTE: MRnTR stands for Message Received Terminating Requesting entity.

Table A.7: Messages received

Item	Messages received: Does the implementation support the interpretation of...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MRnTR.1	MODIFY REQUEST?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRnTR.2	CONNECTION AVAILABLE?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.8.1.1.2 Messages transmitted

NOTE: MTnTR stands for Message Transmitted Terminating Requesting entity.

Table A.8: Messages transmitted

Item	Messages transmitted: Does the implementation support the inclusion of...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MTnTT.1	MODIFY ACKNOWLEDGE?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MTnTT.2	MODIFY REJECT?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.8.1.2 Terminating Network Side

A.8.1.2.1 Messages received

NOTE: MRnTA stands for Message Received network Terminating Network.

Table A.9: Messages received

Item	Messages received: Does the implementation support the interpretation of...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MRnTN.1	MODIFY ACKNOWLEDGE?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRnTN.2	MODIFY REJECT?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.8.1.2.2 Messages transmitted

NOTE: MTnTA stands for Message Transmitted network Terminating Network.

Table A.10: Messages transmitted

Item	Messages transmitted: Does the implementation support the inclusion of...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MTnTA.1	MODIFY REQUEST?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MTnTA.2	CONNECTION AVAILABLE?		M	8.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.9 Requesting entity message Parameters

The tables in this clause ask questions related to the support of message parameters in messages received and transmitted by the IUT.

NOTE 1: Message Length and Message Type do not appear in the PICS corresponding to ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.1 and ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.2 (respectively EN 301 003-2 and EN 301 276-2); those two parameters appear as mandatory in the three ITU-T Recommendations. The latest ETSI versions of EN 301 003-1 and EN 301 276-1 refers to ITU-T Recommendation dates which are now outdated. The PICS drafted here is using the latest versions of ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.1, ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.2 and ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.3.

The purpose of this note is to draw your attention to the fact that there will be some discrepancies between the parameters in the different PICS corresponding to different levels/dates of the ITU-T Recommendations and that there may be justification to create work items and to add some STF resource to align the PICS and the test suites with those new Recommendations.

NOTE 2: Connection Available appears now in ITU-T Recommendation Q.2931.

NOTE 3: The Transit capability has been voluntarily skipped; transit can be considered as the inverted combination of receiving and sending entities.

NOTE 4: MA stands for Modify Acknowledge; MR stands for Modify Request; MRJ stands for Modify Reject; CA stands for Connection Available.

A.9.1 Requesting entity message Parameters received

Table A.11: Modify Acknowledge message Parameters received

Item	Modify Acknowledge message Parameters: Does the implementation support the...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MA-IER.1	Protocol Discriminator?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MA-IER.2	Call reference?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MA-IER.3	Message Type?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MA-IER.4	Message length		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MA-IER.5	Notification indicator		O	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MA-IER.6	Broadband report type		O	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MA-IER.7	ATM Traffic descriptor		O	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

Table A.12: Modify Reject message Parameters received

Item	Modify Acknowledge message Parameters: Does the implementation support the...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MRJ-IER.1	Protocol Discriminator?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRJ-IER.2	Call reference?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRJ-IER.3	Message Type?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRJ-IER.4	Message length		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRJ-IER.5	Notification indicator		O	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRJ-IER.6	Cause		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.9.2 Requesting entity message Parameters transmitted

Indicating support for an item in the tables in this clause states that the implementation has the ability to generate, and to transmit in the specified message, the Parameters listed. Such support does not necessarily mean that the indicated Parameter is included in every instance of the transmitted message.

Table A.13: Modify Request message Parameters transmitted

Item	Modify Request message Parameters: Does the implementation support the...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MR-IET.1	Protocol Discriminator?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.2	Call reference?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.3	Message type?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.4	Message length?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.4	ATM Traffic descriptor?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.4	Notification indicator?		O	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.4	Alternative ATM traffic descriptor?	R.1.1 R.1.2	O.6 M (note)	8.1.1 Insert in clause 6.1 due to EN 301 486-1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.4	Minimum acceptable ATM traffic descriptor?	R.1.1 R.1.2	O.6 M (note)	8.1.1 Insert in clause 6.1 due to EN 301 486-1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
O.6: One of the Parameter, and only one, shall be included. NOTE: Only one of the Parameter, and only one, shall be included. Comments:					

Table A.14: Connection Available message Parameters transmitted

Item	Connection Available message Parameters: Does the implementation support the...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
CA-IET.1	Protocol Discriminator?		M	3.1.11/Q.2931	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.2	Call reference?		M	3.1.11/Q.2931	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.3	Message type?		M	3.1.11/Q.2931	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.4	Message length?		M	3.1.11/Q.2931	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.5	Notification indicator?		O	3.1.11/Q.2931	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.6	Broadband report type?		O	3.1.11/Q.2931	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.10 Responding entity message Parameters

A.10.1 Responding entity message Parameters received

Table A.15: Modify Request message Parameters received

Item	Modify Request message Parameters: Does the implementation support the...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MR-IET.1	Protocol Discriminator?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.2	Call reference?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.3	Message type?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.4	Message length?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.5	ATM traffic descriptor?		M	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.6	Notification indicator?		O	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.7	Alternative ATM traffic descriptor		O.7	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.8	Minimum acceptable ATM traffic descriptor		O.7	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
O.7: The capability to receive at least one of those elements shall be provided; a message containing both elements shall be rejected.					

Table A.16: Connection Available message Parameters received

Item	Connection Available message Parameters: Does the implementation support the...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
CA-IET.1	Protocol Discriminator?		M	8.1.4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.2	Call reference?		M	8.1.4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.3	Message type?		O	8.1.4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.4	Message length?		O	8.1.4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.5	Notification indicator?		O	8.1.1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
CA-IET.6	Broadband report type		O	8.1.4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.10.2 Responding entity message Parameters transmitted

Table A.17: Modify Acknowledge message Parameters transmitted

Item	Modify Acknowledge message Parameters: Does the implementation support the...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MA-IET.1	Protocol Discriminator?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MA-IET.2	Call reference?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.3	Message type?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.4	Message length?		M	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.5	Notification indicator?		O	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MA-IET.6	Broadband report type?	R3.1 R3.2	M O	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.7	ATM Traffic Descriptor?		O	8.1.2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

Table A.18: Modify Reject message Parameters transmitted

Item	Modify Reject message Parameters: Does the implementation support the...	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MRJ-IET.1	Protocol Discriminator?		M	8.1.3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRJ-IET.2	Call reference?		M	8.1.3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.3	Message type?		M	8.1.3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MR-IET.4	Message length?		M	8.1.3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRJ-IET.5	Notification indicator?		O	8.1.3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MRJ-IET.6	Cause?		M	8.1.3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Comments:					

A.11 Timers

Clause A.9 of EN 301 003-2 applies.

Annex B (informative): Bibliography

ETSI EN 301 276-2: "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; Modification procedures for sustainable cell rate parameters; Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification".

ETSI EN 301 003-1: "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.1 (1996), modified]".

ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.1 (1999): "Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. 2 - Connection modification: Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner".

ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.2 (1997): "Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. 2 - Connection modification: Modification procedures for sustainable cell rate parameters".

ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.3 (1998): "Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. 2 - Connection modification: ATM traffic descriptor modification with negotiation by the connection owner".

ITU-T Recommendation Q.2931: "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN) - Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. 2 (DSS 2) - User-Network Interface (UNI) - Layer 3 specification for basic call/connection control".

History

Document history		
V1.1.1	January 2001	Public Enquiry PE 20010601: 2001-01-31 to 2001-06-01
V1.1.1	July 2001	Vote V 20010831: 2001-07-02 to 2001-08-31
V1.1.1	September 2001	Publication