

# ETSI EN 301 484-6 V1.1.1 (2002-02)

---

*European Standard (Telecommunications series)*

**Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN);  
Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol;  
Line Hunting (LH) supplementary service;  
Part 6: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol  
Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT)  
proforma specification for the network**

---



---

**Reference**

DEN/SPAN-130171-6

---

**Keywords**ATS, DSS1, ISDN, LH, network, PIXIT,  
supplementary service, testing**ETSI**

---

650 Route des Lucioles  
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C  
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la  
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

---

**Important notice**

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org>

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp>

If you find errors in the present document, send your comment to:

[editor@etsi.fr](mailto:editor@etsi.fr)

---

**Copyright Notification**

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission.  
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2002.  
All rights reserved.

# Contents

Intellectual Property Rights .....	5
Foreword.....	5
1 Scope .....	6
2 References .....	6
3 Definitions and abbreviations.....	7
3.1 Definitions .....	7
3.2 Abbreviations .....	7
4 Abstract Test Method (ATM).....	7
5 Untestable test purposes .....	8
6 ATS conventions .....	8
6.1 Version of TTCN used .....	8
6.2 Use of ASN.1 .....	8
6.2.1 Situations where ASN.1 is used.....	8
6.2.2 Specification of encoding rules.....	9
7 ATS to TP map.....	9
8 PCTR conformance .....	9
9 PIXIT conformance.....	10
10 ATS conformance .....	10
<b>Annex A (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma.....</b>	<b>11</b>
A.1 Identification summary.....	11
A.1.1 Protocol conformance test report.....	11
A.1.2 IUT identification.....	11
A.1.3 Testing environment.....	11
A.1.4 Limits and reservations .....	12
A.1.5 Comments.....	12
A.2 IUT conformance status .....	12
A.3 Static conformance summary .....	12
A.4 Dynamic conformance summary.....	12
A.5 Static conformance review report.....	13
A.6 Test campaign report.....	13
A.7 Observations.....	14
<b>Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma .....</b>	<b>15</b>
B.1 Identification summary.....	15
B.2 Abstract test suite summary .....	15
B.3 Test laboratory.....	15
B.4 Client (of the test laboratory) .....	16
B.5 System Under Test (SUT).....	16
B.6 Protocol information.....	17
B.6.1 Protocol identification .....	17
B.6.2 Basic call parameter values .....	17

B.6.3	Actions required by IUT.....	17
B.6.4	Timer values.....	18
B.7	Basic call PIXIT items .....	18
B.7.1	Parameter values - information element codings.....	18
<b>Annex C (normative):</b>	<b>Abstract Test Suite (ATS) .....</b>	<b>19</b>
C.1	The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR) .....	19
C.2	The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP).....	19
History .....		20

---

## Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<http://webapp.etsi.org/IPR/home.asp>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

---

## Foreword

This European Standard (Telecommunications series) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Services and Protocols for Advanced Networks (SPAN).

The present document is part 6 of a multi-part deliverable covering the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol specification for the Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) Line Hunting (LH) supplementary service, as identified below:

- Part 1: "Protocol specification";
- Part 2: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification";
- Part 3: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the user";
- Part 4: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification for the user";
- Part 5: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the network";
- Part 6: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification for the network".**

<b>National transposition dates</b>	
Date of adoption of this EN:	8 February 2002
Date of latest announcement of this EN (doa):	31 May 2002
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this EN (dop/e):	30 November 2002
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	30 November 2002

---

# 1 Scope

The present document specifies the Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma for the Network side of the T reference point or coincident S and T reference point (as defined in ITU-T Recommendation I.411 [11]) of implementations conforming to the stage three standard for the Line Hunting (LH) supplementary service for the pan-European Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) by means of the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol, see EN 301 484-1 [3].

EN 301 484-5 [5] specifies the Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) related to this ATS and partial PIXIT proforma specification. Other parts specify the TSS&TP and the ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for the User side of the T reference point or coincident S and T reference point of implementations conforming to EN 301 484-1 [3].

---

# 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies.

- [1] ETSI EN 300 196-1: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Generic functional protocol for the support of supplementary services; Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol; Part 1: Protocol specification".
- [2] ETSI EN 300 403-1: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol; Signalling network layer for circuit-mode basic call control; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.931 (1993), modified]".
- [3] ETSI EN 301 484-1: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Line Hunting (LH) supplementary service; Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1); Part 1: Protocol specification".
- [4] ETSI EN 301 484-2: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Line Hunting (LH) supplementary service; Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1); Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification".
- [5] ETSI EN 301 484-5: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1); Line Hunting (LH) supplementary service; Part 5: Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the network".
- [6] ISO/IEC 9646-1: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 1: General concepts".
- [7] ISO/IEC 9646-2: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 2: Abstract test suite specification".
- [8] ISO/IEC 9646-3: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 3: The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN)".
- [9] ISO/IEC 9646-4: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 4: Test realization".
- [10] ISO/IEC 9646-5: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 5: Requirements on test laboratories and clients for the conformance assessment process".
- [11] ITU-T Recommendation I.411: "ISDN user-network interfaces - Reference configurations".

- [12] ITU-T Recommendation X.209: "Specification of Basic Encoding Rules for Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1)".

## 3 Definitions and abbreviations

### 3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the following terms and definitions apply:

**Abstract Test Suite (ATS):** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**Implementation Under Test (IUT):** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**Lower Tester (LT):** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**Point of Control and Observation (PCO):** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS):** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**PICS proforma:** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT):** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**PIXIT proforma:** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**System Under Test (SUT):** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

**Upper Tester (UT):** See ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6].

### 3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

ATM	Abstract Test Method
ATS	Abstract Test Suite
BER	Basic Encoding Rules
ExTS	Executable Test Suite
IUT	Implementation Under Test
LH	Line Hunting
LT	Lower Tester
MOT	Means Of Testing
PCO	Point of Control and Observation
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
PIXIT	Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing
SUT	System Under Test
TP	Test Purpose
TTCN	Tree and Tabular Combined Notation
UT	Upper Tester

## 4 Abstract Test Method (ATM)

The requirement for testing the network IUT is to focus on the behaviour of the network IUT at the user-network interface where a T reference point or coincident S and T reference point applies. Thus the IUT is the network DSS1 protocol entity at a particular user-network interface and is not the whole network.

In practice the behaviour at a single user-network interface does not occur in isolation, but depends on the activity at other user-network interfaces. Therefore a multi-party test method is used.

The general configuration used is shown in figure 1. In this ATS the PTCs act as slaves to the MTC; all active behaviour at the PTCs is initiated by CMs sent by the MTC and all verdicts are assigned by the MTC (using information sent in CMs by the PTCs where appropriate). Not all components are used in every test case.




Figure 1: Multi-party test method

---

## 5 Untestable test purposes

There are no untestable test purposes associated with this ATS.

---

## 6 ATS conventions

### 6.1 Version of TTCN used

The version of TTCN used is that defined in ISO/IEC 9646-3 [8].

### 6.2 Use of ASN.1

#### 6.2.1 Situations where ASN.1 is used

ASN.1 has been used for three major reasons. First, types defined in ASN.1 can model problems that "pure" TTCN cannot. For instance, data structures modelling ordered or unordered sequences of data are preferably defined in ASN.1. Second, ASN.1 provides a better restriction mechanism for type definitions by using sub-type definitions. Third, it is necessary to use ASN.1 to reproduce the type definitions for remote operation components as specified in the base standards.

The possibility to use TTCN and ASN.1 in combination is used, i.e. referring to an ASN.1 type from a TTCN type.



## 6.2.2 Specification of encoding rules

There is a variation in the encoding rules applied to ASN.1 types and constraints specified in this ATS and therefore a mechanism is needed to differentiate the encoding rules. However the mechanism specified in ISO/IEC 9646-3 [8] does not facilitate definition of the encoding rules as needed for this ATS. A solution is therefore used which is broadly in the spirit of ISO/IEC 9646-3 [8] in which comment fields have been used as a means of encoding rules.

For ASN.1 used in this ATS, two variations of encoding rules are used. One is the commonly known Basic Encoding Rules (BER) as specified in ITU-T Recommendation X.209 [12]. In the second case the encoding is according to ISDN, i.e. the ASN.1 data types are a representation of structures contained within the ISDN specification (basic call, Generic functional protocol or individual supplementary service). For example, if octets of an information element are specified in ASN.1 as a SEQUENCE then this should be encoded in an Executable Test Suite (ExTS) as any other ISDN information element specified using tabular TTCN. This ISDN encoding variation is the default encoding rule for this ATS. This means that all ASN.1 constraint tables are encoded using ISDN (non-BER) encoding unless stated otherwise. BER encoding should never be applied to an ASN.1 constraint where BER encoding has not been specified.

For BER encoding, an indication is given in the comments field of the table header. For this ATS such indications appear in the ASN.1 type constraint declaration tables only. In the first line of the table header comment field, the notation "ASN1\_Encoding: *BER*" is used.

Note that within BER, there are a number of variations for the encoding of lengths of fields. According to EN 300 196-1 [1], an IUT should be able to interpret all length forms within BER for received PDUs. When sending PDUs containing BER encoding, EN 300 196-1 [1] gives guidelines but makes no restrictions on the length forms within BER which an IUT may apply.

In this particular ATS all ASN.1 type constraints which are of type "Component" are to be encoded using BER.

**Table 1: ASN.1 type constraint declaration showing use of encoding variation**

ASN.1 Type Constraint Declaration	
<b>Constraint Name</b>	:CancelWithdrawLHG_Inv_S1 (inv: InvokeIDType)
<b>ASN.1 Type</b>	: Component
<b>Derivation Path</b>	:
<b>Comments</b>	: ASN1_Encoding: BER Send component: CancelWithdrawLHG invoke component
Description	
cancelWithdrawLHG_components cancelWithdrawLHG_InvokeComp { invokeID        inv, operation_value globalValue { TSC_IHOID 2 } }	
<b>Detailed comments:</b>	

---

## 7 ATS to TP map

The identifiers used for the TPs are reused as test case names. Thus there is a straightforward one-to-one mapping.

---

## 8 PCTR conformance

A test laboratory, when requested by a client to produce a PCTR, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-5 [10], to produce a PCTR conformant with the PCTR template given in annex B of ISO/IEC 9646-5 [10].

Furthermore, a test laboratory, offering testing for the ATS specification contained in annex C, when requested by a client to produce a PCTR, is required to produce a PCTR conformant with the PCTR proforma contained in annex A of the present document.

A PCTR which conforms to this PCTR proforma specification shall preserve the content and ordering of the clauses contained in annex A. Clause A.6 of the PCTR may contain additional columns. If included, these shall be placed to the right of the existing columns. Text in italics may be retained by the test laboratory.

---

## 9 PIXIT conformance

A test realizer, producing an executable test suite for the ATS specification contained in annex C, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-4 [9], to produce an augmented partial PIXIT proforma conformant with this partial PIXIT proforma specification.

An augmented partial PIXIT proforma which conforms to this partial PIXIT proforma specification shall, as a minimum, have contents which are technically equivalent to annex B. The augmented partial PIXIT proforma may contain additional questions that need to be answered in order to prepare the Means Of Testing (MOT) for a particular IUT.

A test laboratory, offering testing for the ATS specification contained in annex C, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-5 [10], to further augment the augmented partial PIXIT proforma to produce a PIXIT proforma conformant with this partial PIXIT proforma specification.

A PIXIT proforma which conforms to this partial PIXIT proforma specification shall, as a minimum, have contents which are technically equivalent to annex B. The PIXIT proforma may contain additional questions that need to be answered in order to prepare the test laboratory for a particular IUT.

---

## 10 ATS conformance

The test realizer, producing MOT and ExTS for this ATS specification, shall comply with the requirements of ISO/IEC 9646-4 [9]. In particular, these concern the realization of an ExTS based on each ATS. The test realizer shall provide a statement of conformance of the MOT to this ATS specification.

An ExTS which conforms to this ATS specification shall contain test groups and test cases which are technically equivalent to those contained in the ATS in annex C. All sequences of test events comprising an abstract test case shall be capable of being realized in the executable test case. Any further checking which the test system might be capable of performing is outside the scope of this ATS specification and shall not contribute to the verdict assignment for each test case.

Test laboratories running conformance test services using this ATS shall comply with ISO/IEC 9646-5 [10]. A test laboratory which claims to conform to this ATS specification shall use an MOT which conforms to this ATS.

## Annex A (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of the present document, ETSI grants that users of the present document may freely reproduce the PCTR proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PCTR.

### A.1 Identification summary

#### A.1.1 Protocol conformance test report

PCTR number:	
PCTR date:	
Corresponding SCTR number:	
Corresponding SCTR date:	
Test laboratory identification:	
Test laboratory manager:	
Signature:	

#### A.1.2 IUT identification

Name:	
Version:	
Protocol specification:	EN 301 484-1
PICS:	
Previous PCTRs (if any):	

#### A.1.3 Testing environment

PIXIT Reference number:	
ATS Specification:	EN 301 484-6
Abstract Test Method:	Remote test method (see ISO/IEC 9646-2)
Means of Testing identification:	
Dates of testing:	
Conformance log reference(s):	
Retention date for log reference(s):	

## A.1.4 Limits and reservations

*Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or to the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report.*

.....

.....

.....

.....

## A.1.5 Comments

*Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties.*

.....

.....

.....

.....

---

## A.2 IUT conformance status

This IUT has/has not been shown by conformance assessment to be non-conforming to the specified protocol specification.

*Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this IUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements (as specified in clause A.3 of the present document) and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause A.6) strike the word "has", otherwise strike the words "has not".*

---

## A.3 Static conformance summary

The PICS for this IUT is/is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.

*Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.*

---

## A.4 Dynamic conformance summary

The test campaign did/did not reveal errors in the IUT.

*Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause A.6 of the present document) strike the word "did", otherwise strike the words "did not".*

Summary of the results of groups of tests:

.....

.....

.....

.....

## A.5 Static conformance review report

If clause A.3 indicates non-conformance, this clause itemizes the mismatches between the PICS and the static conformance requirements of the specified protocol specification.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

## A.6 Test campaign report

ATS reference	Selected? (Y/N)	Run? (Y/N)	Verdict	Observations
LH_U01_001				
LH_U01_002				
LH_U01_003				
LH_U01_004				
LH_U01_005				
LH_U01_006				
LH_U01_007				
LH_U02_001				
LH_U02_002				
LH_U02_003				
LH_U02_004				
LH_U02_005				
LH_U03_001				
LH_U03_002				
LH_U03_003				
LH_U03_004				
LH_U04_001				
LH_U04_002				
LH_U05_001				

---

## A.7 Observations

*Additional information relevant to the technical content of the PCTR is given here.*

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

---

## Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of the present document, ETSI grants that users of the present document may freely reproduce the partial PIXIT proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PIXIT.

---

### B.1 Identification summary

PIXIT number:

.....

Test laboratory name:

.....

Date of issue:

.....

Issued to:

.....

---

### B.2 Abstract test suite summary

Protocol specification: EN 301 484-1

ATS specification: EN 301 484-6

Abstract test method: Remote test method (see ISO/IEC 9646-2)

---

### B.3 Test laboratory

Test laboratory identification:

.....

Accreditation status of the test service:

.....

Accreditation reference:

.....

Test laboratory manager:

.....

Test laboratory contact:

.....

Means of testing:

.....

Test laboratory instructions for completion:

.....

---

## B.4 Client (of the test laboratory)

Client identification:

.....

Client test manager:

.....

Client contact:

.....

Test facilities required:

.....

---

## B.5 System Under Test (SUT)

Name:

.....

Version:

.....

SCS reference:

.....

Machine configuration:

.....

Operating system identification:

.....

IUT identification:

.....

PICS (all layers):

.....

.....

Limitations of the SUT:

.....



Environmental conditions:

.....

## B.6 Protocol information

### B.6.1 Protocol identification

Specification reference: EN 301 484-1.

Protocol version: V1.1.1

PICS reference: EN 301 484-2

NOTE: The PICS reference should reference a completed PICS which is conformant with the PICS proforma contained in EN 301 484-2.

### B.6.2 Basic call parameter values

Table B.1: Parameter values

Item	Question	Supported? (Y/N)	Allowed values	Value
1.1	Does the IUT support Basic Access?		N/A	N/A
1.2	What length of Call Reference is used?		1, 2	
1.3	Preferred B-channel for use in testing (Primary rate only) for MTC.		1..30	
1.4	Preferred B-channel for use in testing (Primary rate only) for PTC.		1..30	
1.5	Does the IUT send RESTART after re-establishment of multiple frame operation?		N/A	N/A

### B.6.3 Actions required by IUT

Table B.2: Actions required to stimulate IUT to send messages

Item	Action: What actions, if possible, have to be taken to configure the IUT ...	Supported? (Y/N)	Stimulus (action taken)
2.1	the access has not been defined as a member of any hunt group.		
2.2	the hunt group withdrawal option having not been subscribed to with the value "available" for the access.		
2.3	if the hunt group withdrawal not being supported by the IUT.		
2.4	the activation of the LH service is precluded by a supplementary service interaction procedure.		
2.5	the LH service not being available.		
2.6	no free access is available or all accesses have been withdrawn from the hunt group.		
2.7	Layer 2 initialization shall take place at the start of each test case.		

## B.6.4 Timer values

**Table B.3: Timer values**

Item	Timer duration	Supported? (Y/N)	Allowed values	Value
3.1	Wait for the test operator to perform an implicit send action (TWAIT).			
3.2	Wait for the IUT to respond to a stimulus sent by the tester (TAC).			
3.3	Control that the IUT does not respond to a stimulus sent by the tester (TNOAC).			
3.4	Wait for a RESTART message sent by the IUT (T_RESTART).			
NOTE:	The IUT provider may fill in a value range rather than a fixed value for the test management timers. During test execution the test laboratory will choose specific values for the timers dependant on the means of testing used. These specific values may even be beyond the range given by the IUT provider, if this is necessary for achieving satisfactory test results.			

## B.7 Basic call PIXIT items

### B.7.1 Parameter values - information element codings

**Table B.4: Codings of information elements**

Item	Information element: provide, if possible, ...	Supported? (Y/N)	Value
4.1	Bearer Capability		
4.1.1	A coding of a Bearer Capability information element, which the IUT is compatible with, for the purpose of accepting received SETUP messages and which may be used in SETUP messages to be transmitted.		
4.1.2	The length of the bearer capability to be received and may be transmitted.		
4.2	High layer compatibility information element.		
4.2.1	a coding of a High layer compatibility information element, which the IUT is compatible with.		
4.2.2	Length of the High layer compatibility information element, which the IUT is compatible with.		
4.3	Low layer compatibility information element.		
4.3.1	a coding of a Low layer compatibility information element, which the IUT is compatible with.		
4.3.2	Length of the Low layer compatibility information element, which the IUT is compatible with.		
4.4	Hunt group party number defined for the MTC access.		
4.4.1	Length of the hunt group party number.		
4.4.2	Octet 3 of the hunt group party number.		
4.4.3	the hunt group party number value.		
4.5	Called party number information element, which the IUT is compatible with.		
4.5.1	Length of the IUT party number.		
4.5.2	octet 3 of the called party number, type of number and numbering plan identifier.		
4.5.3	IUT party number.		

---

## Annex C (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS)

This ATS has been produced using the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) according to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [8].

The ATS was developed on a separate TTCN software tool and therefore the TTCN tables are not completely referenced in the table of contents. The ATS itself contains a test suite overview part which provides additional information and references (see also annex D).

---

### C.1 The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR)

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in an Adobe Portable Document Format™ file (LH\_N\_v001.PDF contained in archive en\_30148406v010101p0.ZIP) which accompanies the present document.

---

### C.2 The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP)

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII file (LH\_N\_v001.MP contained in archive en\_30148406v010101p0.ZIP) which accompanies the present document.

NOTE 1: The TTCN ATS contains references to EN 301 484-1 [3], EN 301 484-2 [4] and EN 300 403-1 [2].

NOTE 2: Where an ETSI Abstract Test Suite (in TTCN) is published in both .GR and .MP format these two forms shall be considered equivalent. In the event that there appears to be syntactical or semantic differences between the two then the problem shall be resolved and the erroneous format (whichever it is) shall be corrected.

---

## History

<b>Document history</b>		
V1.1.1	July 2001	Public Enquiry PE 20011116: 2001-07-18 to 2001-11-16
V1.1.1	December 2001	Vote V 20020208: 2001-12-10 to 2002-02-08
V1.1.1	February 2002	Publication