

**Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT);  
DECT Packet Radio Service (DPRS) Test Case Library (TCL);  
Part 9: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) - Network  
(NWK) layer - Fixed radio Termination (FT)**

---



---

**Reference**

---

DEN/DECT-040047-9 (dlq9001c.PDF)

---

**Keywords**

---

ATS, data, DECT, DPRS, network, ATS-GR file,  
ATS-MP file, testing**ETSI**

---

**Postal address**

---

F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

---

**Office address**

---

650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis  
Valbonne - FRANCE  
Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16  
Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C  
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la  
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

---

**Internet**

---

secretariat@etsi.fr  
Individual copies of this ETSI deliverable  
can be downloaded from  
<http://www.etsi.org>  
If you find errors in the present document, send your  
comment to: editor@etsi.fr

---

**Important notice**

This ETSI deliverable may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference should be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

---

**Copyright Notification**

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission.  
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 1999.  
All rights reserved.

# Contents

Intellectual Property Rights .....	5
Foreword .....	5
1 Scope .....	6
2 References .....	6
3 Definitions and abbreviations .....	7
3.1 Definitions .....	7
3.2 Abbreviations .....	7
4 Abstract Test Method (ATM) .....	8
5 Untestable Test Purposes (TP) .....	9
6 ATS Conventions .....	9
6.1 Naming conventions .....	9
6.1.1 Declarations part .....	9
6.1.1.1 Test suite type and structured type definitions .....	9
6.1.1.2 Test suite operations definitions .....	10
6.1.1.3 Test suite parameter declarations .....	10
6.1.1.4 Test case selection expression definitions .....	10
6.1.1.5 Test suite constant declarations .....	10
6.1.1.6 Test suite variable declarations .....	10
6.1.1.7 Test case variable declarations .....	10
6.1.1.8 PCO declarations .....	11
6.1.1.9 Timer declarations .....	11
6.1.1.10 ASP type definitions .....	11
6.1.1.11 PDU type definitions .....	11
6.1.1.12 Alias definitions .....	11
6.1.2 Constraints part .....	12
6.1.3 Dynamic part .....	14
6.1.3.1 Test Case (TC) identifier .....	14
6.1.3.2 Test step identifier .....	14
6.1.3.3 Default identifier .....	14
6.1.3.4 Label identifier .....	15
6.1.3.5 ATS abbreviations .....	15
6.2 Implementation conventions .....	15
6.2.1 Declaration part .....	15
6.2.2 Constraint part .....	16
6.2.3 Dynamic part .....	16
<b>Annex A (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS) .....</b>	<b>17</b>
A.1 The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR) .....	17
A.2 The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP) .....	17
<b>Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma for DPRS NWK FT .....</b>	<b>18</b>
B.1 Identification summary .....	18
B.2 ATS summary .....	18
B.3 Test laboratory .....	18
B.4 Client identification .....	19
B.5 SUT .....	19
B.6 Protocol layer information .....	19
B.6.1 Protocol identification .....	19

B.6.2	IUT information .....	20
B.6.2.1	General configuration .....	20
<b>Annex C (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) Proforma for DPRS NWK FT .....</b>		<b>26</b>
C.1	Identification summary .....	26
C.1.1	Protocol conformance test report.....	26
C.1.2	IUT identification .....	26
C.1.3	Testing environment.....	26
C.1.4	Limits and reservation .....	27
C.1.5	Comments.....	27
C.2	IUT Conformance status .....	27
C.3	Static conformance summary .....	27
C.4	Dynamic conformance summary.....	28
C.5	Static conformance review report .....	28
C.6	Test campaign report.....	29
C.7	Observations.....	31
	Bibliography .....	32
	History .....	33

---

## Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in SR 000 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<http://www.etsi.org/ipr>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

---

## Foreword

This European Standard (Telecommunications series) has been produced by ETSI Project Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT), and is now submitted for the Public Enquiry phase of the ETSI standards Two-step Approval Procedure.

The present document is part 9 of a multi-part EN covering the Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); DECT Packet Radio Service (DPRS) Test Case Library (TCL), as identified below:

- Part 1: "Test Suite Structure (TSS) and Test Purposes (TP) - Medium Access Control (MAC) layer";
- Part 2: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) - Medium Access Control (MAC) layer - Portable radio Termination (PT)";
- Part 3: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) - Medium Access Control (MAC) layer - Fixed radio Termination (FT)";
- Part 4: "Test Suite Structure (TSS) and Test Purposes (TP) - Data Link Control (DLC) layer";
- Part 5: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) - Data Link Control (DLC) layer - Portable radio Termination (PT)";
- Part 6: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) - Data Link Control (DLC) layer - Fixed radio Termination (FT)";
- Part 7: "Test Suite Structure (TSS) and Test Purposes (TP) - Network (NWK) layer";
- Part 8: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) - Network (NWK) layer - Portable radio Termination (PT)";
- Part 9: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) - Network (NWK) layer - Fixed radio Termination (FT)".**

<b>Proposed national transposition dates</b>	
Date of latest announcement of this EN (doa):	3 months after ETSI publication
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this EN (dop/e):	6 months after doa
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	6 months after doa

---

# 1 Scope

The present document contains the Abstract Test Suite (ATS) specification to test the DECT Packet Radio Service (DPRS) Network (NWK) layer at the Fixed radio Termination (FT).

The objective of this test specification is to provide a basis for conformance tests for DECT equipment giving a high probability of air interface inter-operability between different manufacturer's DECT equipment.

The ISO standard for the methodology of conformance testing (ISO/IEC 9646-1 [8] and ISO/IEC 9646-2 [9]) as well as the ETSI rules for conformance testing (ETS 300 406 [7]) are used as a basis for the test methodology.

Annex A provides the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) part of this ATS.

Annex B provides the Partial Protocol Implementation Extra Information for Testing (PIXIT) Proforma of this ATS.

Annex C provides the Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) Proforma of this ATS.

---

# 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies.
- A non-specific reference to an ETS shall also be taken to refer to later versions published as an EN with the same number.

- [1] EN 300 175-1: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Part 1: Overview".
- [2] EN 300 175-4: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Part 4: Data link control layer".
- [3] EN 300 175-5: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Part 5: Network layer".
- [4] EN 300 175-6: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Part 6: Identities and addressing".
- [5] EN 300 175-7: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Part 7: Security features".
- [6] EN 301 649: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); DECT Packet Radio Services (DPRS)".
- [7] ETS 300 406: "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); Protocol and profile conformance testing specifications; Standardization methodology".
- [8] ISO/IEC 9646-1 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 1: General concepts". (See also CCITT Recommendation X.290 (1991)).
- [9] ISO/IEC 9646-2 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 2: Abstract test suite specification". (See also CCITT Recommendation X.291 (1991)).

- [10] ISO/IEC 9646-3 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 3: The tree and tabular combined notation". (See also CCITT Recommendation X.292 (1992)).
- [11] ISO/IEC 9646-6 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 6: Protocol profile test specification".
- [12] ISO/IEC 9646-7 (1991): "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 7: Implementation conformance statement".

## 3 Definitions and abbreviations

### 3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the following terms and definitions apply:

- a) the terms defined in ISO/IEC 9646-1 [8], ISO/IEC 9646-2 [9]; and
- b) the definitions in EN 300 175-1 [1], EN 300 175-5 [3], EN 300 175-6 [4] and EN 300 175-7 [5].

### 3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations defined in ISO/IEC 9646-1 [8], ISO/IEC 9646-6 [11], ISO/IEC 9646-7 [12], the abbreviations defined in EN 300 175-5 [3] apply. In particular, the following abbreviations apply:

AC	Authentication Code
AR	Access Rights
ASP	Abstract Service Primitive
ATM	Abstract Test Method
ATS	Abstract Test Suite
AU	Authentication
BI	Invalid Behaviour
BO	Inopportune Behaviour
BV	Valid Behaviour
CA	Capability tests
CC	Call Control
CH	Ciphering
DECT	Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications
DLC	Data Link Control
FP	Fixed Part
FT	Fixed radio Termination
ID	Identification
IPEI	International Portable Equipment Identity
IPUI	International Portable User Identity
IUT	Implementation Under Test
IWU	Interworking Unit
KA	Key Allocation
LO	Location
LT	Lower Tester
MAC	Medium Access Control
MM	Mobility Management
NWK	Network
PARK	Portable Access Rights Key
PCO	Point of Control and Observation
PDU	Protocol Data Unit
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
PIXIT	Protocol Implementation Extra Information for Testing

PP	Portable Part
PT	Portable radio Termination
RF	Radio Frequency
RPN	Radio Fixed Part Number
SAP	Service Access Point
SUT	System Under Test
TC	Test Case
TP	Test Purposes
TSS	Test Suite Structure
TTCN	Tree and Tabular Combined Notation
UAK	User Authentication Key
UT	Upper Tester

## 4 Abstract Test Method (ATM)

This clause describes the ATM, the Point of Control and Observation (PCO) used to test the NWK layer of the FT.




Figure 1: RS test Method embedded variant



- LT:** a Lower Tester (LT) is located in a remote DECT test system. It controls and observes the behaviour of the IUT.
- DSAP:** a unique Data Link Control (DLC) SAP is defined at the DECT interface and used to exchange service data of the NWK protocol.
- PCO:** the PCO for Network Layer testing is located on the DSAP. All test events at the PCO are specified in terms of DLC Abstract Service Primitives (ASP) and NWK Protocol Data Units (PDUs).
- Notional UT:** no explicit Upper Tester (UT) exists in the test system. However, the System Under Test (SUT) needs to carry out some UT functions to achieve some effects of test co-ordination procedures. Designing ATS, the capability of the Interworking Unit (IWU), such as PSTN, ISDN or GSM IWU might be taken into account. An example of such controls could be to provoke restarting of the IUT through the Q interface.

The DLC primitives are defined according to EN 300 175-4 [2], subclause 8.3.2 (S-SAP primitives) and EN 300 175-4 [2], subclause 8.3.3 (B-SAP primitives).

---

## 5 Untestable Test Purposes (TP)

Due to the ATMs chosen for this ATS or other restrictions, the test purposes in table 1 have been identified as being in the untestable category, and therefore have not been derived into final test case:

**Table 1: Untestable TP**

Test purpose	Reason

---

## 6 ATS Conventions

This clause describes the conventions applied to define the ATS and gives the naming conventions chosen for the different elements of the ATS.

The ATS conventions are intended to give a better understanding of the ATS but they describe also the conventions made for the development of the ATS, thus for any later maintenance purposes or further development of the ATS the conventions described in this clause shall be considered.

The ATS conventions contain two subclauses, the naming conventions and the implementation conventions. The naming conventions describe the structure of the naming of all ATS elements. The implementation conventions describe the functional structure of the ATS.

To define the ATS, the guidelines of the document ETS 300 406 [7] was considered.

### 6.1 Naming conventions

#### 6.1.1 Declarations part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS declarations part.

##### 6.1.1.1 Test suite type and structured type definitions

The test suite type and test suite structured type identifiers describe the information elements, and are written in uppercase:

EXAMPLE:     PROTOCOL\_DISCRIMINATOR simple type.  
               FILLSTRING structured type

### 6.1.1.2 Test suite operations definitions

The test suite operation identifiers are composed of substrings in lowercase letters, except for standard prefix "TSO\_". Each substring is separated by an underscore character ("\_").

EXAMPLE: TSO\_compute\_checksum.

### 6.1.1.3 Test suite parameter declarations

The test suite parameter identifiers are composed of substrings in lowercase letters, except for the standard prefix "TSP\_". Each substring is separated by an underscore character ("\_").

EXAMPLE: TSP\_window\_size.

If the test suite parameter references a Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) item, the letter "C" is added to the standard prefix.

EXAMPLE: TSPC\_pics\_item\_s23.

If the test suite parameter references a PIXIT item, the letter "X" is added to the standard prefix.

EXAMPLE: TSPX\_pixit\_item\_2.

**Exception:** If the test suite parameter represents a system parameter or value, only the name defined in the specifications is used (e.g. V\_S = send sequence variable).

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

### 6.1.1.4 Test case selection expression definitions

All selection expression names for test groups are to be preceded with the prefix "SENG\_".

All selection expression names for test cases are to be preceded with the prefix "SENC\_".

### 6.1.1.5 Test suite constant declarations

The test suite constant identifiers are composed of substrings in lowercase letters, except for the prefix "TSC\_". Each substring is separated by an underscore character ("\_").

EXAMPLE: TSC\_retry.

**Exception:** If the TSC represents a system parameter or value, only the name defined in the specifications is used (e.g. N250).

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

### 6.1.1.6 Test suite variable declarations

The test suite variable identifiers are composed of substrings in lowercase letters, except for the prefix "TSV\_". Each substring is separated by an underscore character ("\_").

EXAMPLE: TSV\_count.

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

### 6.1.1.7 Test case variable declarations

The test case variable identifiers are composed of substrings in lowercase letters, except for the prefix "TCV\_". Each substring is separated by an underscore character ("\_").

EXAMPLE: TCV\_cr\_value.

Complete names as defined in the specifications are used.

### 6.1.1.8 PCO declarations

The point of control and observation identifiers are composed of two or four capital letters, beginning with "L", as there are only LTs.

EXAMPLE: LMAC represents a PCO on MAC interface as LT in the test equipment.  
LDLC represents a PCO on DLC interface as LT in the test equipment.

### 6.1.1.9 Timer declarations

Two types of timers can be identified:

#### 1) standardized:

Those defined in the standard, e.g. T302, use exactly the same name as in the standard, beginning with a capital "T" if necessary.

As there is a tolerance margin accepted for these timers, three values are needed:

- the maximum value allowed, which will use the suffix "\_max";
- the minimum value allowed, which will use the suffix "\_min";
- the value actually implemented, with no suffix.

EXAMPLE 1: T302\_max, T302\_min, and T302.

#### 2) not standardized:

- Those not defined in the standard, i.e. for execution use, e. g. a timer waiting for a response. These timers begin with the prefix "T\_", followed by a string in lowercase letters.

EXAMPLE 2: T\_resp represents a timer for controlling the response time of the IUT.

### 6.1.1.10 ASP type definitions

The identifier of an ASP uses exactly the nearest name as the name defined in the specifications. It is written in uppercases, finishing by an underscore character ("\_"), and three capital letters indicating whether it is a request, an indication, a response or a confirmation primitive.

EXAMPLE: DL\_RELEASE\_REQ for an ASP requesting a release of the layer 2 link.

### 6.1.1.11 PDU type definitions

The identifier of a PDU is given in a string in uppercase letters, which represents the layer message.

EXAMPLE 1: DISCONNECT for the DISCONNECT layer 3 message.

Where the message is a composite word, an underscore character ("\_") appears in the string.

EXAMPLE 2: RELEASE\_COMPLETE is the RELEASE COMPLETE layer 3 message.

### 6.1.1.12 Alias definitions

These are used to make the sending and receiving of PDUs within ASPs more understandable when writing the dynamic part of the test suite. This is done by giving the ASP an alias. The alias name indicates the PDU carried by the ASP and whether it is sent or received by the tester.

Alias definitions are not used in the test suite.

## 6.1.2 Constraints part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS constraints part.

Constraint identifiers commence with uppercase. The remaining part of the Id name is written in lowercase.

Identifier names of elements concerning the same subject have equivalent names in the declaration and the constraint part:

Declaration Part: CC\_SETUP;  
Constraint Part: Cc\_setup.

The name of the modified constraint describes the particularity of the modified constraint:

EXAMPLE: Cc\_setup\_mand\_only (modified Cc\_setup with only the mandatory Information Elements).

If formal parameter lists are used, the variable names are written in lowercase. The variable name is the same as the name of the element it is representing.

Structured type constraints declarations are divided into:

- receive constraints:

the receive constraints are noted down as "name\_rx\*". The receive constraints are subdivided into:

- receive base constraints:

they are noted down as "name\_rx\_base";

- receive special constraints:

they are noted down as "name\_rx\_<extension>", where <extension> is a descriptive name (e.g. "Signal\_rx\_alerting\_on");

- transmit constraints:

the transmit constraints are noted down as "name\_tx\_<extension>", where <extension> is a descriptive name. (e.g. "Signal\_tx\_alerting\_off");

If a certain structured type constraint is valid for both receiving and transmitting, because it contains no wildcards, and the receiving constraint should exactly match, the constraint will be noted down as:

- "<structured\_type\_name>\_extention" Example: "Portable\_id\_ipui".

PDU Constraints Declarations are divided into:

- receive constraints:
  - the receive constraints are noted down as "name\_rx\*". The receive constraints are subdivided into:
    - receive base constraints:
      - they are noted down as "name\_rx\_base". They constrain all allowed values, and for the optional fields, the "IF\_PRESENT" keyword is added;
    - receive special constraints:
      - they are noted down as "name\_rx0n", where n is a sequence number;
- transmit constraints:
  - the transmit constraints are noted down as "name\_tx", where n is a sequence number. They can be subdivided into:
    - transmit base constraints:
      - they are noted down as "name\_tx\_base". They constrain all mandatory fields to all allowed values in the standard, and they constrain all optional fields to "OMIT";
    - transmit special constraints:
      - they are noted down as "name\_tx0n" where n is a sequence number. They shall not contain any wildcards.

Derived constraints shall not be more than 1 level deep. They shall only be derived directly from the base constraint.

### 6.1.3 Dynamic part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS dynamic part.

#### 6.1.3.1 Test Case (TC) identifier

The identifier of a TC is built according to table 2.

**Table 2: TC naming convention**

Identifier:	DTC_FT_<fm>_<x>_<s>_<nn>		
<fm> =	functional module	CC MM LC IS CL	Call Control Mobility Management Link Control Entity Call Independent Supplementary Services Connectionless Message Service
x =	Type of testing	CA BV BO TI	Capability Tests Valid Behaviour Tests Inopportune Behaviour Tests Timer expiry and counter mismatch tests
s =	Test subgroup	OC CI CR SC RS SN MP HP SR ID AU LO AR KA CH LE LR	Empty if no subgroup Outgoing Call establishment Call Information Call Release Service Change Call Related Supplementary services Service Negotiation Multi-purposes tests Handover procedures Suspend and Resume procedures Identification Authentication Location Access Rights Key Allocation Ciphering Connection oriented Link Establishment Connection oriented Link Release
<nn> =	sequential number	(01-99)	Test Purpose Number

#### 6.1.3.2 Test step identifier

The test step identifier is built with a string of lowercase letters led by a string of capital letter and joined by an underscore character. The first string indicates the main function of the test step; e.g. PR for preamble, PO for postamble, LTS for local tree name and STP for general step. The second string indicates the meaning of the step.

EXAMPLES: PR\_name;  
PO\_name;  
LTS\_name;  
STP\_name.

#### 6.1.3.3 Default identifier

The Default identifiers begin with the prefix "DF\_", followed by a string in lowercase letters.

### 6.1.3.4 Label identifier

All verdict assignments are labelled. To allow an exact identification in which table the verdict was assigned. The identifiers in the label column is built according to table 3:

**Table 3: Naming convention for verdict assignment identifier**

Identifier:	<Table><nn>		
	<Table> = type of table	TB	Test Body
		DF	DeFault
		PO	POstamble
		PR	PReamble
		TS	TestStep
	<nn> = sequential number	(00-99)	Label number

### 6.1.3.5 ATS abbreviations

These abbreviations are used to shorten identifier names:

ack	acknowledgement
addr	address
algo	algorithm
auth	authentication
cau	cause
cc	call control
cfm	confirm
est	establish
ext	extension
id	identification
ind	indication
info	information
max	maximum
mety	message type
min	minimum
mod	modified
par	parameter
pd	protocol discriminator
req	request
rsp	response

## 6.2 Implementation conventions

### 6.2.1 Declaration part

The comment line of single element TTCN tables (e.g. test suite constants) is used to give a reference where the format and content of the element is described in the relevant protocol specifications. Any particularity of the element format or content is described in the comment line.

The comment line in the header of multi element TTCN tables (e.g. ASPs) is used to reference to the protocol specification.

The detailed comments are used to describe any particularity of the table.

In the ASP and PDU declarations, the comments column is used to identify if an element is mandatory or optional:

- M: mandatory;
- O: optional.

In the ASP and PDU declarations the comments column is further used to give information about the element value, in particular if the element contains a fixed spare value.

In tables where structure types are used the information element and the relevant structured type have always the same name, that allows to have the same structure as in the protocol standards is used to document the relation between information elements in a table and their specific description in an other clause of the protocol standard.

The following conventions apply to identifier names in the Structured Type definitions part:

- bits of bit sequences having a fixed value, meant to fill up the octet, are called fn, where n stands for the octet number;
- extension flags, will be called extn, where n stands for the octet number.

### 6.2.2 Constraint part

The ASP and PDUs are defined in a way that all relevant element are parametrized. That improves the transparency of the constraints in the dynamic part, as all values which are relevant for the test are always present.

Generally no modified constraints are used, this allows an easier reuse and adaptation of constraints if they are reused in other test specifications.

The Comment line of a constraint always contains a reference to the relevant protocol standard.

The detailed comments footer is used to describe any particularity of the table.

### 6.2.3 Dynamic part

All events which are defined as a conformance requirements by the TP, cause a preliminary verdict PASS if the requirement is met.

All invalid events are handled in the default tree. Only FAIL verdicts can be assigned in the default tree.

The preamble, the test body and the postamble have different defaults, which allows a specific verdict handling, e.g. only INCONC verdicts are assigned in the preamble.

All verdict assignments are labelled. According to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [10], annex E, clause E.2, labels should be written to the conformance log. This allows, for example, to identify were the test failed. To allow an exact identification of the table in which the verdict was assigned, the convention described in subclause 6.1.3.4 is applied.

The labels of the same type are numbered sequentially if they are in the same TC, test step or default.

TP which are listed in the untestable TP list, or which reference to an other TP, e.g. BV TP which were already defined as CA TP, are not considered in the ATS, thus these TC identifiers are missing in the ATS and the numbering of the TCs is not always continues.



---

## Annex A (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS)

This ATS has been produced using the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) according to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [10].

The ATS was developed on a separate TTCN software tool and therefore the TTCN tables are not completely referenced in the table of contents. The ATS itself contains a test suite overview part which provides additional information and references.

---

### A.1 The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR)

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in an Adobe Portable Document Format™ file (14690901.PDF contained in archive dlq9001c.ZIP) which accompanies the present document.

---

### A.2 The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP)

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII file (14690901.MP contained in archive dlq9001c.ZIP) which accompanies the present document.

---

## Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma for DPRS NWK FT

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of the present document, ETSI grants that users of the present document may freely reproduce the PIXIT proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PIXIT.

The PIXIT Proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6 [11]. Any additional information needed can be found in the present document.

---

### B.1 Identification summary

**Table B.1**

PIXIT Number:	
Test Laboratory Name:	
Date of Issue:	
Issued to:	

---

### B.2 ATS summary

**Table B.4**

Protocol Specification:	EN 301 649 [6]
Protocol to be tested:	
ATS Specification:	EN 301 469-9
Abstract Test Method:	EN 301 469-9, clause 4

---

### B.3 Test laboratory

**Table B.5**

Test Laboratory Identification:	
Test Laboratory Manager:	
Means of Testing:	
SAP Address:	

---

## B.4 Client identification

Table B.6

Client Identification:	
Client Test manager:	
Test Facilities required:	

---

## B.5 SUT

Table B.7

Name:	
Version:	
SCS Number:	
Machine configuration:	
Operating System Identification:	
IUT Identification:	
PICS Reference for IUT:	
Limitations of the SUT:	
Environmental Conditions:	

---

## B.6 Protocol layer information

### B.6.1 Protocol identification

Table B.8

Name:	DECT - NWK layer EN 301 649 [6]
Version:	
PICS References:	

## B.6.2 IUT information

### B.6.2.1 General configuration

**Table B.9: General configuration**

Item	Parameter	Parameter type	Explanation and EN reference	Value
1	TSPX_mmproc_aupt_ccstate	CCSTATE_TYPE (INTEGER 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 19)	Indicates the FT cc state, the authentication of PT testcases shall be tested in. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
2	TSPX_mmproc_auus_ccstate	CCSTATE_TYPE (INTEGER 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 19)	Indicates the FT cc state, the User authentication test cases shall be tested in. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
3	TSPX_mmproc_cift_ccstate	CCSTATE_TYPE (INTEGER 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 19)	Indicates the FT cc state, the FT init. ciphering test cases shall be tested in. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
4	TSPX_mmproc_idpt_ccstate	CCSTATE_TYPE (INTEGER 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 19)	Indicates the FT cc state, the Identification of PT test cases shall be tested in. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
5	TSPX_mmproc_loup_ccstate	CCSTATE_TYPE (INTEGER 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 19)	Indicates the FT cc state, the location update test cases shall be tested in. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
6	TSPX_mmproc_keal_ccstate	CCSTATE_TYPE (INTEGER 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 19)	Indicates the FT cc state, the key allocation test cases shall be tested in. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
7	TSPX_mmproc_arte_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE (INTEGER 0.. 10)	Indicates the way of invoking the access rights terminate proc. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
8	TSPX_mmproc_aupt_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE (INTEGER 0.. 10)	Indicates the way of invoking the authentication of PT proc. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
9	TSPX_mmproc_auus_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE (INTEGER 0.. 10)	Indicates the way of invoking the authentication of user proc. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
10	TSPX_mmproc_cift_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE (INTEGER 0.. 10)	Indicates the way of invoking the FT initiated ciphering proc. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
11	TSPX_mmproc_idpt_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE (INTEGER 0.. 10)	Indicates the way of invoking the identification of PT proc. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
12	TSPX_mmproc_loup_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE (INTEGER 0.. 10)	Indicates the way of invoking the location update proc. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
13	TSPX_mmproc_keal_invoke	MMPROC_TYPE (INTEGER 0.. 10)	Indicates the way of invoking the key allocation proc. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 13.5	
14	TSPX_nr_of_digits_in_cpn	CPN_LENGTH_TYPE	This parameter is related to parameter TSPX_called_party_number. It specifies the actual number of digits present in the cpn.	
15	TSPX_access_rights_uak	BOOLEAN	TRUE if IUT supports Obtain of access rights procedure with Auth_Key_Type = 1 (UAK)	
16	TSPX_set_bit_a38	BOOLEAN	Can "higher layer capabilities" bit a38 be set dynamically on the IUT by the test operator.	
17	TSPX_lce_02	INTEGER	Value of Timer <LCE_02> in milliseconds	
18	TSPX_mmproc_arte_revoke	MMPROC_TYPE	Indicates the way of revoking the accessrights of a PT.	
19	TSPX_some_digits	DECT_1_255	To give a few digits to be used with the tests for dialing pause, go to DTMF, etc...	

Item	Parameter	Parameter type	Explanation and EN reference	Value
20	TSPX_some_digits_length	OCT_1	To identify how many digits are provided in TSPX_some_digits	
21	TSPX_basic_digits	OCT_1	To give the order of the basic digits to be used as to have to use all from 0123456789*#	
22	TSPX_change_f02	BOOLEAN	TRUE if the IUT waits for more than one dialling digit to be received before it changes the F-02 state.	

Table B.10: Addresses

Item	Address name	Parameter type	Explanation and EN reference	Value
1	TSPX_decimal_ac_value	OCT_4 (OCTETSTRING [2])	Value of Authentication Code (AC) to be used. The AC will be entered as maximal 8 decimal digits. The AC to bitstring mapping will be done with operator TSO_cinft_convert_ac_to_bitstring.	
2	TSPX_complete_fixed_id_ari_value	FIXED_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8..72])	Value of fixed_id to be used in case of ARI. Ref. EN 300 175-5 [3], subclause 7.7.18	
3	TSPX_complete_fixed_id_ari_rpn_value	FIXED_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8..72])	Value of fixed_id to be used in case of ARI + RPN, 40 bits long including fill bits. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 7.7.18	
4	TSPX_dlei_value	DATA_LINK_ENDPOINT_IDENTIFIER (INTEGER)	Value of data link endpoint identifier to be used in the test system (local test system matter)	
5	TSPX_ipei_value	PORT_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8..104])	Value of International Portable Equipment Identity (IPEI) (IPUI-N) to be expected from the IUT (before subscription), 40 bits value is required including fill bits. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 7.7.30	
6	TSPX_ipui_value	PORT_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8..104])	Value of International Portable User Identity (IPUI) to be used by the PT (LT) (after subscription). The 4 first bits represent the type of IPUI. The following bits are the IPUI coded in BCD or in binary depending on the type. Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 7.7.30	
7	TSPX_location_area_level	BIT_6 (BITSTRING [4])	The location area level that is going to be used Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 7.7.25	
8	TSPX_complete_fixed_id_park_value	FIXED_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8..72])	Value of fixed_id to be used in case of Portable Access Rights Key (PARK) Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 7.7.18	
9	TSPX_tpui_value	PORT_ID_VALUE_TYPE (BITSTRING[8..104])	Value of tpui to be used by the PT(LT). 20 bits value is required., Ref. EN 300 175, subclause 7.7.30	
10	TSPX_decimal_upi_value	OCT_4 (OCTETSTRING [2])	Value of UPI to be used. The UPI will be entered as maximal 8 decimal digits. The UPI to bitstring mapping will be done with operation TSO_cinft_convert_upi_to_bitstring.	
11	TSPX_park_length_indicator	INTEGER	The number of significant bits of the PARK value(PLI).(specified in TSPX_complete_fixed_id_park_value)	
12	TSPX_ari_length_indicator	INTEGER	The number of significant bits of the ARI value. (specified in TSPX_complete_fixed_id_ari_value)	
13	TSPX_called_party_number	OCT_1_14	The called party number to be dialled by the PT (LT) in order to get connection to the network. For practical reasons, the number is limited to 14 digits,	
14	TSPX_emergency_cpn	OCT_1_14	The emergency called party number to be dialled by the PT (LT) in order to get connection to the network. For practical reasons, the number is limited to 14 digits,	

Item	Address name	Parameter type	Explanation and EN reference	Value
15	TSPX_calling_party_number	DECT_1_14	Calling party number which IUT is expected to include in incoming call to tester. For practical reasons the number is limited to 14 digits.	

Table B.11: Implicit send events

Item	PIXIT (see note)	Related implicit send message (PDU)	Indication how the implicit send event can be invoked
1	TSPX_invoke_access_term_req	To invoke the FT initiated terminate access rights procedure. A dl_data_indication is to be expected, containing an ACCESS_RIGHTS_TERM_REQUEST message. Expected Constraint: Access_rights_term_req_rx_base	
2	TSPX_invoke_pt_authentication	To invoke the FT initiated PT authentication procedure. A dl_data_indication is to be expected, containing an AUTH_REQUEST message. Expected Constraint: Auth_request_rx01	
3	TSPX_invoke_user_authentication	To invoke the FT initiated user authentication procedure. A dl_data_indication is to be expected, containing an AUTH_REQUEST message with UPI. Expected Constraint: Auth_request_rx03	
4	TSPX_invoke_pt_auth_with_zap	To invoke the FT initiated PT authentication procedure. A dl_data_indication is to be expected, containing an AUTH_REQUEST message. The AUTH_REQ message shall contain the <<auth_type>>.i.e. with ZAP_increment bit set to 1. Expected Constraint: Auth_request_rx02	
5	TSPX_invoke_ft_init_ciphering_off	To invoke the FT to initiate ciphering off. A dl_data_ind is expected, containing a CIPHER-REQUEST PDU. Expected Constraint: Cipher_request_rx02	
6	TSPX_invoke_ft_init_ciphering_on	A dl_data_ind is expected, containing a CIPHER-REQUEST PDU. Expected Constraint: Cipher_request_rx01	
7	TSPX_invoke_incoming_call	To invoke the IUT to initiate a normal incoming call setup, while in state F-00. Precondition: Timer T_USER_INVOKE is started. It will be cancelled when the link is established. Expected Constraint: Lce_request_page_rx01	
8	TSPX_invoke_identity_req	To invoke the FT to initiate identity request. A dl_data_ind is expected, containing a IDENTITY-REQUEST PDU. Expected Constraint: Identity_request_rx_base	
9	TSPX_invoke_normal_release	To invoke the IUT to go on hook, thus initiating a normal release, while in any cc state. A dl_data_indication is to be expected, containing a CC_RELEASE message. Expected Constraint: Cc_release_rx_base This indicates as well whether the IUT supports invocation of normal release.	



Item	PIXIT (see note)	Related implicit send message (PDU)	Indication how the implicit send event can be invoked
10	TSPX_invoke_key_allocate	To invoke a key allocation procedure initiated by the FT side. Expected Constraint: Allocation_type_rx_dsaa	
11	TSPX_invoke_location_update	To initiate the FT initiated location update procedure. A dl_data_indication is to be expected, containing an MM_INFO_SUGGEST message. Expected Constraint: Mm_info_suggest_rx_base	
12	TSPX_invoke_partial_release	To invoke the IUT to initiate a partial release. A precondition to the execution of this test step is, that a link exists and a CC transaction is in progress/active between the It and the iut. Expected Constraint: Cc_release_rx_base	
13	TSPX__invoke_call_answering	To invoke the IUT to answer the call by sending a CC-CONNECT message. Expected constraint: Cc_connect_rx_base	
NOTE: The PIXIT names are related to the test steps where the Implicit send events are handled, e.g. the PIXIT TSPX_invoke_abnormal_release is related to the test step STP_invoke_abnormal_release.			

## Annex C (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) Proforma for DPRS NWK FT

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of the present document, ETSI grants that users of the present document may freely reproduce the PCTR proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PCTR.

The PCTR proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6 [11]. Any additional information needed can be found in the present document.

### C.1 Identification summary

#### C.1.1 Protocol conformance test report

**Table C.1**

PCTR Number:	
PCTR Date:	
Corresponding SCTR Number:	
Corresponding SCTR Date:	
Test Laboratory Identification:	
Test Laboratory Manager:	
Signature:	

#### C.1.2 IUT identification

**Table C.2**

Name:	
Version:	
Protocol specification:	
PICS:	
Previous PCTR if any:	

#### C.1.3 Testing environment

**Table C.3**

PIXIT Number:	
ATS Specification:	
Abstract Test Method:	Remote test method, Embedded variant with no UT
Means of Testing identification:	
Date of testing:	
Conformance Log reference(s):	
Retention Date for Log reference(s):	

## C.1.4 Limits and reservation

Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

## C.1.5 Comments

Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

---

## C.2 IUT Conformance status

This IUT has or has not been shown by conformance assessment to be non conforming to the specified protocol specification.

*Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this IUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements (as specified in clause D.3 in this report) and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause D.6 in this report) strike the words "has or",. otherwise strike the words "or has not".*

---

## C.3 Static conformance summary

The PICS for this IUT is or is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.

*Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.*

---

## C.4 Dynamic conformance summary

The test campaign did or did not reveal errors in the IUT.

*Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause D.6 of this report) strike the words "did or" otherwise strike the words "or did not".*

Summary of the results of groups of test:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

---

## C.5 Static conformance review report

If clause D.3 indicates non-conformance, this subclause itemises the mismatches between the PICS and the static conformance requirements of the specified protocol specification.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

## C.6 Test campaign report

Table C.4

ATS Reference	Selected?	Run?	Verdict	Observations (Reference to any observations made in clause 7)
DTC-FT-CC-BV-OC-06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CI-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CI-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CI-05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CI-06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CI-09	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CI-10	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CR-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CR-04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CR-07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CR-08	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CR-10	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CR-50	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-CR-51	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data08	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SC-data09	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-RS-07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SN-data01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SN-data02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SN-data03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SN-data04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-MP-data01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-MP-data02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-MP-data03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-MP-data04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-HP-50	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-HP-51	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-HP-52	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-HP-53	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-HP-54	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SR-data01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SR-data02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SR-data03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SR-data04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SR-data05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SR-data06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SR-data07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BV-SR-data08	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-BO-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-TI-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-TI-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-TI-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CC-TI-data01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-ID-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-ID-data01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-ID-data02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AU-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		

ATS Reference	Selected?	Run?	Verdict	Observations (Reference to any observations made in clause 7)
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AU-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AU-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AU-04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AU-05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AU-06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-LO-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-LO-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-LO-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-LO-05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-LO-06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-LO-07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-LO-50	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-LO-51	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AR-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AR-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AR-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AR-06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AR-07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-AR-50	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-KA-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-KA-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-08	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-09	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-10	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-11	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-12	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-13	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-14	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-15	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-CH-data01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-HP-50	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-HP-51	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-HP-52	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-HP-53	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-BV-HP-54	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-TI-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-TI-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-TI-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-TI-04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-TI-05	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-TI-06	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-MM-TI-07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LE-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LE-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LE-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LE-data01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LE-data02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LE-data03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LR-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LR-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LR-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BV-LR-04	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-BI-07	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-LC-TI-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-IS-BV-50	Yes/No	Yes/No		

ATS Reference	Selected?	Run?	Verdict	Observations (Reference to any observations made in clause 7)
DTC-FT-IS-BV-51	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CL-BV-01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CL-BV-02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
DTC-FT-CL-BV-03	Yes/No	Yes/No		

---

## C.7 Observations

Additional information relevant to the technical content of the PCTR is given here.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

---

## Bibliography

The following material, though not specifically referenced in the body of the present document (or not publicly available), gives supporting information.

EN 300 175-2: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Part 2: Physical layer".

EN 300 175-3: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Part 3: Medium access control layer".

EN 300 175-8: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Common interface; Part 8: Speech coding and transmission".

ETS 300 435: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Data Services Profile (DSP); Base standard including interworking to connectionless networks (service types A and B, class 1)".

ETS 300 651: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Data Services Profile (DSP); Generic data link service (service type C, class 2)".

ETS 300 699: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Data Services Profile (DSP); Generic data link service for closed user groups (service type C, class 1)".

ETS 300 701: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Data Services Profile (DSP); Generic frame relay service with mobility (service types A and B, class 2)".

ETS 300 755: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Data Services Profile (DSP); Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS) with specific provision for facsimile services (service type F, class 2)".

ETS 300 757: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Data Services Profile (DSP); Low rate messaging service (service type E, class 2)".

EN 301 240: "Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications (DECT); Data Services Profile (DSP); Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP) interworking for internet access and general multi-protocol datagram transport".



---

## History

<b>Document history</b>			
V0.0.2	November 1999	Public Enquiry	PE 200012: 1999-11-24 to 2000-03-24