Draft EN 301 145-2 V1.1.1 (1998-02)

European Standard (Telecommunications series)

Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN);
Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol;
Teleaction teleservice;
Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance
Statement (PICS) proforma specification



European Telecommunications Standards Institute

Reference

DEN/SPS-05106-2 (aloi0ico.PDF)

Keywords

DSS1, ISDN, PICS, teleaction, teleservice

ETSI Secretariat

Postal address

F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Office address

650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis Valbonne - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16 Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

X.400

c= fr; a=atlas; p=etsi; s=secretariat

Internet

secretariat@etsi.fr http://www.etsi.fr

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

Contents

Intell	lectual Property Rights	5
Forev	word	5
1	Scope	6
2	Normative references	6
3 3.1	Definitions and abbreviations	
3.2	Abbreviations	7
4	Conformance	8
Anne	ex A (normative): PICS proforma	9
A.1	Instructions for completing the PICS proforma	9
A.1.1		
A.1.2		
A.1.3	1	9
A.1.4	Symbols, abbreviations and terms	10
A.2	Identification of the implementation	10
A.2.1		
A.2.2	1 ' '	
A.2.3		
A.2.4		
A.2.5		
A.3	PICS/System Conformance Statement (SCS)	
A.4	Identification of the protocol	
A.5	Global statement of conformance	
А.Э	Global statement of comormance	12
A.6	Roles	13
A.7	EUT	13
A.7.1	Major capabilities	
A.7.2	2 Subsidiary capabilities	14
A.7.3	Protocol data units	14
A.7.3.	3.1 Messages Received (MR)	14
A.7.3.	Messages transmitted (MT)	14
A.7.4	Protocol data unit parameters	
A.7.4.	Information Elements Received (IER)	
A.7.4.	Information Elements Transmitted (IET)	
A.7.5		
A.7.6	5 Timers	16
A.7.7	7 Call states	16
A.8	SPT	17
A.8.1		
A.8.2	v 1	
A.8.3	· 1	
A.8.3.		
A.8.3.	·	
A.8.4	•	
A.8.4.		
A.8.4.		
A.8.5		
A.8.6	ı e	
A.8.7		20

A.9 I	MF	21
A.9.1	Major capabilities	21
A.9.2	Subsidiary capabilities	21
A.9.3	Protocol Data Units	22
A.9.3.1	MR by the TMF	22
A.9.3.2	MT by the TMF	22
A.9.4	Protocol Data Unit parameters	22
A.9.4.1	IER	22
A.9.4.2	IET	23
A.9.5	Protocol Data Unit parameters coding	23
A.9.6	Timers	24
A.9.7	Call states	24
Annex	B (normative): Requirements list	25
	B (normative): Requirements list	
B.1 U	Jser	25
B.1 U B.1.1	Jser	25 25
B.1 U	Jser	
B.1 U B.1.1 B.1.1.1 B.1.1.2	Jser	
B.1 U B.1.1 B.1.1.1 B.1.1.2	Jser	
B.1 U B.1.1 B.1.1.1 B.1.1.2 B.2 N	Jser	25 25 25 25 26 26
B.1 U B.1.1 B.1.1.1 B.1.1.2 B.2 N B.2.1	Jser	25 25 25 25 26 26

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETR 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available **free of charge** from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (http://www.etsi.fr/ipr).

Pursuant to the ETSI Interim IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETR 314 (or the updates on http://www.etsi.fr/ipr) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This European Standard (Telecommunications series) has been produced ETSI Technical Committee the Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS) Technical Committee of the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) and is now submitted for the Public Enquiry phase of the ETSI standards Two-step Approval Procedure.

The present document is part 2 of a multi-part standard covering the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol specification for the Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) teleaction teleservice, as described below:

Part 1: "Protocol specification";

Part 2: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification".

To evaluate conformance of a particular implementation, it is necessary to have a statement of which capabilities and options have been implemented for a given Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) protocol. Such a statement is called a Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS).

Proposed national transposition dates				
Date of latest announcement of this EN (doa):	3 months after ETSI publication			
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this EN (dop/e):	6 months after doa			
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	6 months after doa			

1 Scope

This second part of EN 301 145 is applicable to the stage three of the Teleaction teleservice for the pan-European Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) as provided by European public telecommunications operators at the T reference point or coincident S and T reference point (as defined in ITU-T Recommendation I.411 [5]) by means of the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol. Stage three identifies the protocol procedures and switching functions needed to support a telecommunications service (see CCITT Recommendation I.130 [4]).

The present document provides the Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma for the ISDN DSS1 teleaction teleservice protocol as specified in EN 301 145-1 [1] in compliance with the relevant requirements and in accordance with the relevant guidance given in ISO/IEC 9646-7 [7].

The supplier of a protocol implementation which is claimed to conform to EN 301 145-1 [1] is required to complete a copy of the PICS proforma provided in annex A of the present document and is required to provide the information necessary to identify both the supplier and the implementation.

2 Normative references

References may be made to:

[7]

- a) specific versions of publications (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.), in which case, subsequent revisions to the referenced document do not apply; or
- b) all versions up to and including the identified version (identified by "up to and including" before the version identity); or
- c) all versions subsequent to and including the identified version (identified by "onwards" following the version identity); or
- d) publications without mention of a specific version, in which case the latest version applies.

A non-specific reference to an ETS shall also be taken to refer to later versions published as an EN with the same number.

[1]	EN 301 145-1 (V1.1): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Digital Subscriber signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol; Teleaction teleservice; Part 1: Protocol specification".
[2]	ETS 300 402-1: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. One (DSS1) protocol; Data link layer; Part 1: General aspects [ITU-T Recommendation Q.920 (1993), modified]".
[3]	ETS 300 402-2: "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. One (DSS1) protocol; Data link layer; Part 2: General protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.921 (1993), modified]".
[4]	CCITT Recommendation I.130 (1988): "Method for the characterization of telecommunication services supported by an ISDN and network capabilities of an ISDN".
[5]	ITU-T Recommendation I.411 (1993): "ISDN user-network interfaces - Reference configurations".
[6]	ISO/IEC 9646-1: "Information technology - Open systems interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 1: General concepts".

methodology and framework - Part 7: Implementation Conformance Statements".

ISO/IEC 9646-7: "Information technology - Open systems interconnection - Conformance testing

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the following definitions apply, in addition to those given in EN 301 145-1 [1].

Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS): A statement made by the supplier of an Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) implementation or system, stating which capabilities have been implemented for a given OSI protocol (see ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]).

PICS proforma: A document, in the form of a questionnaire, designed by the protocol specifier or conformance test suite specifier, which, when completed for an OSI implementation or system, becomes the PICS (see ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]).

static conformance review: A review of the extent to which the static conformance requirements are met by the IUT, accomplished by comparing the PICS with the static conformance requirements expressed in the relevant standard(s) (see ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]).

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

AND Boolean "and"

C Conditional requirement (to be observed if the relevant conditions apply)

DSS1 Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one

EUT Equipment Under Test

IERInformation Elements ReceivedIETInformation Elements TransmittedISDNIntegrated Services Digital Network

IUT Implementation Under Test

M Mandatory requirement (to be observed in all cases)

MC Major Capabilities
MR Message Received
MT Message Transmitted

N/A Not applicable, not supported or the conditions for status are not met

No not supported NOT Boolean "not"

Option (may be selected to suit the implementation, provided that any requirements applicable to

the option are observed)

O.n Options, but support required for either at least one or only one of the options in the group labelled

with the same numeral "n"

OR Boolean "or"

OSI Open Systems Interconnection

P Parameters

PDU Protocol Data Unit

PICS Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement

R Roles

RL Requirements List SC Subsidiary Capabilities

SCS System Conformance Statement
SPT Service Provider Terminal

SUT System Under Test

TMF Teleaction Management Function

Yes supported

4 Conformance

A PICS proforma which conforms to this PICS proforma specification shall be technically equivalent to annex A, and shall preserve the numbering and ordering of the items in annex A.

A PICS which conforms to this PICS proforma specification shall:

- a) describe an implementation which claims to conform to EN 301 145-1 [1];
- b) be a conforming ICS proforma which has been completed in accordance with the instructions for completion given in clause A.1;
- c) include the information necessary to uniquely identify both the supplier and the implementation.

Annex A (normative): PICS proforma

Notwithstanding the provisions of the copyright clause related to the text of the present document, ETSI grants that users of the present document may freely reproduce the PICS proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purposes and may further publish the completed PICS.

A.1 Instructions for completing the PICS proforma

A.1.1 Identification of the implementation

Identification of the Implementation Under Test (IUT) and the system in which it resides (the System Under Test (SUT)) should be filled in so as to provide as much detail as possible regarding version numbers and configuration options.

The product supplier information and client information should both be filled in if they are different.

A person who can answer queries regarding information supplied in the PICS should be named as the contact person.

The System Conformance Statement (SCS) as defined in ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6] is a document supplied by the client or product supplier that summarizes which OSI International Standards, ITU-T (CCITT) Recommendations, ETSs or other standards are implemented and to which conformance is claimed. The PICS/SCS subclause should describe the relationship of the PICS to the SCS.

A.1.2 Global statement of conformance

If the answer to the statement in this subclause is "Yes", all subsequent subclauses should be completed to facilitate selection of test cases for optional functions.

If the answer to the statement in this subclause is "No", all subsequent subclauses should be completed, and all non-supported mandatory capabilities should be identified and explained. Explanations may be entered in the comments field at the bottom of each table or on attached sheets of paper.

A.1.3 Explanation of PICS proforma subclauses

The PICS proforma contains a Roles clause and thereafter is presented in two parts (for user and network) with the following subclauses, as required:

- major capabilities;
- subsidiary capabilities;
- protocol data unit support;
- protocol data unit parameters;
- timers;
- call states.

The User clause shall only be completed for user implementations (including private network implementations) while the Network clause shall only be completed for network implementations. The Roles subclause shall be completed for all implementations.

The relationship between this PICS proforma and other related PICS proforma (e.g. the basic call PICS proforma) is expressed in the Requirements List (RL) contained in annex B. This provides the additional restrictions placed on the related proforma (different conditions, different status, etc.).

A.1.4 Symbols, abbreviations and terms

The PICS proforma contained in this annex is comprized of information in tabular form in accordance with the guidelines presented in ISO/IEC 9646-7 [7].

The reference column contained in the tables gives reference to the appropriate part(s) of EN 301 145-1 [1] describing the particular item. Note, however, that a reference merely indicates the place where the core of a description of an item can be found. Any additional information contained in EN 301 145-1 [1] has to be taken into account when making a statement about the conformance of that particular item.

The following common notations, defined in ISO/IEC 9646-7 [7], are used for the status column:

M mandatory
O optional
N/A not applicable

O.<integer> for mutually exclusive or selectable options from a set

The following common notations, defined in ISO/IEC 9646-7 [7], are used for the support column:

Y for supported/implemented

N for not supported/not implemented

A.2 Identification of the implementation

A.2.1 IUT name:	Implementation Under Test (IUT) identification
IUT version:	
A.2.2 SUT name:	System Under Test (SUT) identification
Hardware con	nfiguration:
Operating sys	stem:

A.2.3 Product supplier

Name:		
Address:		
Telephone number:		
Facsimile number:		
Additional information:		
A.2.4 Client		
Address:		
Telephone number:	 	
Facsimile number:	 	
Additional information:		

A.2.5 PICS contact person Name: Address: Telephone number: Facsimile number: Additional information: PICS/System Conformance Statement (SCS) Provide the relationship of the PICS with the SCS for the system: Identification of the protocol A.4 This PICS proforma applies to the following standard: EN 301 145-1 (V1.1): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Teleaction teleservice; Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. one (DSS1) protocol; Part 1: Protocol specification". Global statement of conformance **A.5** The implementation described in this PICS meets all the mandatory requirements of the referenced standard? [] Yes

[] No

NOTE: Answering "No" to this question indicates non-conformance to the protocol specification. Non-supported mandatory capabilities are to be identified in the PICS, with an explanation of why the implementation is non-conforming. Explanations may be entered in the comments field at the bottom of each table or on attached pages.

In the tabulations which follow, all references are to subclauses of EN 301 145-1 [1] unless another numbered reference is explicitly indicated.

A.6 Roles

Table A.1: Type of implementation

Item	Major role:	Conditions for	Status	Reference	Support
	Does the implementation	status			
	Type of implementation				
R 1	not used?				
R 2.1	not used?				
R 2.2	not used?				
R 3.1	support requirements at S/T reference point?		O.1		[]Yes []No
R 3.2	support requirements at T reference point?		0.1		[]Yes []No
R 4.1	perform as an EUT?		0.2	10.1.1.1, 10.2	[]Yes []No []N/A
₹ 4.2	perform as an SPT?		0.2	10.1.1.2, 10.2	[]Yes []No []N/A
₹ 4.3	perform as an TMF?		0.2	10, 14	[]Yes []No []N/A
D.1	Support of at least one of these options is require	d.	•	•	
0.2	Support of one and only one of these options is re	equired.			
Comments:					

A.7 EUT

The tables provided in this clause need only to be completed for implementations, where item R 4.1 in table A.1 is supported.

A.7.1 Major capabilities

Table A.2: Major capabilities

Item	Major capability:	Conditions for	Status	Reference	Support
	Does the implementation support	status			
	General capabilities				
MC 1	semipermanent B channel physical interface?		0.3	7.2	[]Yes []No
MC 2	D channel physical interface?		0.3	7.2	[]Yes []No
MC 3	semipermanent link activation?		0.4	5.1	[]Yes []No
MC 4	on demand fixed TEI link activation?		0.4	5.2	[]Yes []No
MC 5	polling procedures?		M	14.2.2	[]Yes []No
MC 6	alarm reporting?		M	14.2.3	[]Yes []No
MC 7	alarm clearing?		M	14.2.4	[]Yes[]No
O 3: Support	t of at least one of these ontions is required				

O.3: Support of at least one of these options is required.

Comments:

O.4: Support of at least one of these options is required.

A.7.2 Subsidiary capabilities

Table A.3: Subsidiary capabilities

	es the implementation support	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
	oring of undefined information elements eived?		М	10.3	[]Yes []No
SC 2 disc	carding of unrecognized messages?		M	10.3	[]Yes []No

A.7.3 Protocol data units

A.7.3.1 Messages Received (MR)

Table A.4: Messages received by the EUT

Item	Message Does the implementation support receipt of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MR 1	LOOP REQUEST?		M	8.1.2.1	[]Yes[]No
MR 2	LOOP RESPONSE?		N/A	8.1.2.2	[]N/A
MR 3	REPORT?		М	8.1.2.3	[]Yes []No

A.7.3.2 Messages transmitted (MT)

Table A.5: Messages Transmitted by the EUT

MT 2 LOOP RESPONSE? M 8.1.2.2 []Ye
MT 2 LOOP RESPONSE? M 8.1.2.2 []Ye
MT 3 REPORT? M 8.1.2.3 []Ye
Comments:

A.7.4 Protocol data unit parameters

A.7.4.1 Information Elements Received (IER)

Table A.6: Information elements in LOOP REQUEST received by the EUT

Item	Information element Does the implementation support receipt of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference (subclause)	Support
Mr1-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
Mr1-IE2	Loop originator information element?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
Mr1-IE3	Loop destination information element?		M	8.1.3.3	[]Yes []No
Mr1-IE4	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
Mr1-IE5	Test data information element?		0	8.1.3.7	[]Yes []No
Comment	S:	•	-		

Table A.7: Information elements in REPORT received by the EUT

Item	Information element Does the implementation support receipt of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference (subclause)	Support
Mr2-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
Mr2-IE2	Report type?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
Mr2-IE3	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
Mr2-IE4	Terminal data information element?		0	8.1.3.8	[]Yes []No
Comment	s [.]				

A.7.4.2 Information Elements Transmitted (IET)

Table A.8: Information elements in LOOP RESPONSE transmitted by the EUT

Item	Information element Does the implementation support transmission of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference (subclause)	Support
Mt1-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
Mt1-IE2	Loop originator information element?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
Mt1-IE3	Loop destination information element?		M	8.1.3.3	[]Yes []No
Mt1-IE4	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
Mt1-IE5	Test data information element?		0	8.1.3.7	[]Yes []No
Comment	s:				

Table A.9: Information elements in REPORT transmitted by the EUT

Item	Information element Does the implementation support transmission of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference (subclause)	Support
Mt2-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
Mt2-IE2	Report type?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
Mt2-IE3	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
Mt2-IE4	Terminal data information element?		0	8.1.3.8	[]Yes []No
Comment	S:				

A.7.5 Protocol data unit parameters coding

Table A.10: Loop originator information element

Item	Does the implementation support Loop originator information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	PH?		N/A	64	[]Yes []No
P 2	TMF?		M	96	[]Yes []No

Table A.11: Loop destination information element

Item	Does the implementation support Loop destination information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	TE1?	R 3.1 NOT R 3.1	O.5 N/A	1	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 2	TA?		O.5 N/A	2	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 3	NT2?	R 3.2 NOT R 3.2	O.6	4	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 4	PTN?	R 3.2 NOT R 3.2	O.6 N/A	8	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 5	LAPD termination?		M	15	[]Yes []No
P 6	FH CRF-S?		N/A	128	[] N/A
P 7	FH CRF-P?		N/A	129	[] N/A
O.5 O.6	Support of at least one of these options is re Support of at least one of these options is re				

Table A.12: Diagnostic information element

Item	Does the implementation support Diagnostic information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	Loop successful?		M	0	[]Yes []No
P 2	DLCI not registered?		M	1	[]Yes []No
P 3	Loop destination unreachable?		M	2	[]Yes []No
P 4	Transmission path unavailable due to network element failure?		M	3	[]Yes []No
P 5	Transmission path unavailable due to customer interface/premises failure?		M	4	[]Yes []No

Table A.13: Report type information element

Item	Does the implementation support Report type information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	Alarm event?		M	0	[]Yes []No
P 2	Alarm cleared?		M	1	[]Yes[]No
P 3	Broadcast request?		N/A	2	[] N/A
P 4	Broadcast confirm?		N/A	3	[] N/A
P 5	Broadcast denied - functionality not implemented?		N/A	4	[] N/A

A.7.6 Timers

No items requiring response.

A.7.7 Call states

No items requiring response.

A.8 SPT

The tables provided in this clause only need to be completed for implementations, where item R 4.2 in table A.1 is supported.

A.8.1 Major capabilities

Table A.14: Major capabilities

Item	Major capability:	Conditions for	Status	Reference	Support
	Does the implementation support	status		(subclause)	
	General capabilities				
MC 1	semipermanent B channel physical interface?		0.7	7.2	[]Yes []No
MC 2	D channel physical interface?		0.7	7.2	[]Yes []No
MC 3	semipermanent link activation?		0.8	5.1	[]Yes []No
MC 4	on demand fixed TEI link activation?		0.8	5.2	[]Yes []No
MC 5	polling procedures?		M	14.2.2	[]Yes []No
MC 6	alarm reporting?		M	14.2.3	[]Yes []No
MC 7	alarm clearing?		M	14.2.4	[]Yes []No
MC 8	broadcast functions?		0	14.3	[]Yes []No
			N/A		[] N/A

O.8: Support of at least one of these options is required

Comments:

A.8.2 Subsidiary capabilities

Table A.15: Subsidiary capabilities

	Does the implementation support	status		(subclause)	Support
	discarding of EUT originated REPORT messages which do not contain a valid DLCI?		0	10.3	[]Yes []No
SC 2	ignoring of undefined information elements received?		М	10.3	[]Yes []No
SC 3	discarding of unrecognized messages?		M	10.3	[]Yes []No

A.8.3 Protocol Data Units (PDU)

A.8.3.1 MR by the SPT

Indicating support for an item in table A.16 states that the implementation has the ability to recognize the message listed in that item. Support for the receipt of a particular type of PDU means support for recognizing and acting upon all valid instances of that PDU type, including all valid PDU parameters, to the extent required by only EN 301 145-1 [1].

Table A.16: Messages received by the SPT

Item	Message Does the implementation support receipt of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MR 1	LOOP REQUEST?		M N/A	8.1.2.1	[]Yes [] No [] N/A
MR 2	LOOP RESPONSE?		N/A	8.1.2.2	[] N/A
MR 3	REPORT?		M	8.1.2.3	[]Yes []No
Commen	ts:				

A.8.3.2 MT by the SPT

Indicating support for an item in table A.17 states that the implementation has the ability to transmit the message listed in that item.

Table A.17: Messages transmitted by the SPT

Item	Message Does the implementation support transmission of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference (subclause)	Support
MT 1	LOOP REQUEST?		N/A	8.1.2.1	[] N/A
MT 2	LOOP RESPONSE?		M	8.1.2.2	[]Yes[]No
MT 3	REPORT?		М	8.1.2.3	[]Yes []No
Commen	ts:	•	•	•	

A.8.4 Protocol data unit parameters

A.8.4.1 IER

Table A.18: Information elements in LOOP REQUEST received by the SPT

Item	Information element Does the implementation support receipt of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference (subclause)	Support
MR1-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
MR1-IE2	Loop originator information element?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
MR1-IE3	Loop destination information element?		M	8.1.3.3	[]Yes []No
MR1-IE4	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
MR1-IE5	Test data information element?		0	8.1.3.7	[]Yes []No

Comments:

Table A.19: Information elements in REPORT received by the SPT

Item	Information element	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
	Does the implementation support			(subclause)	
	receipt of				
MR2-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
MR2-IE2	Report type?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
MR2-IE3	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
MR2-IE4	DLCI information element?	R3.1	0	8.1.3.4	[]Yes []No
		NOT R3.1	N/A		[] N/A
MR2-IE5	Terminal data information element?		0	8.1.3.8	[]Yes []No
Comments	•		•		

A.8.4.2 IET

Table A.20: Information elements in LOOP RESPONSE transmitted by the SPT

Item	Information element Does the implementation support transmission of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference (subclause)	Support
MT1-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
MT1-IE2	Loop originator information element?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
MT1-IE3	Loop destination information element?		M	8.1.3.3	[]Yes []No
MT1-IE4	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
MT1-IE5	Test data information element?		0	8.1.3.7	[]Yes []No
Comments	::				

Table A.21: Information elements in REPORT transmitted by the SPT

Information element	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
Does the implementation support			(subclause)	
transmission of				
Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
Report type?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
Terminal data information element?		0	8.1.3.8	[]Yes []No
	Does the implementation support transmission of Message type information element? Report type? Diagnostic information element?	Does the implementation support transmission of Message type information element? Report type? Diagnostic information element? Terminal data information element?	Does the implementation support transmission of Message type information element? Report type? Diagnostic information element? M Terminal data information element?	Does the implementation support transmission of Message type information element? Report type? Diagnostic information element? Terminal data information element? Musclause) 8.1.3.1 8.1.3.2 Musclause) Musclause) 8.1.3.1 8.1.3.2 Musclause) Musclause) 8.1.3.1 Report type? Musclause) Musclause) 8.1.3.1 Report type? Musclause) 8.1.3.2 Diagnostic information element? Ouspite the properties of the proper

Comments:

A.8.5 PDU parameters coding

Table A.22: Loop originator information element

Item	Does the implementation support Loop originator information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	PH?		N/A	64	[]Yes []No
P 2	TMF?		M	96	[]Yes []No

Table A.23: Loop destination information element

Item	Does the implementation support Loop destination information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	TE1?	R 3.1 NOT R3.1	O.9 N/A	1	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 2	TA?	R3.1 NOT R3.1	O.9 N/A	2	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 3	NT2?	R 3.2 NOT R 3.2	O.10 N/A	4	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 4	PTN?	R 3.2 NOT R 3.2	O.10 N/A	8	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 5	LAPD termination?		М	15	[]Yes []No
P 6	FH CRF-S?		N/A	128	[] N/A
P 7	FH CRF-P?		N/A	129	[] N/A
O.9: O.10:	Support of at least one of these options is requested. Support of at least one of these options is requested.				

Table A.24: Diagnostic information element

Item	Does the implementation support Diagnostic information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	Loop successful?		M	0	[]Yes []No
P 2	DLCI not registered?		M	1	[]Yes []No
P 3	Loop destination unreachable?		M	2	[]Yes []No
P 4	Transmission path unavailable due to network element failure?		М	3	[]Yes[]No
P 5	Transmission path unavailable due to customer interface/premises failure?		М	4	[]Yes []No

Table A.25: Report type information element

Item	Does the implementation support Report type information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	Alarm event?		M	0	[]Yes []No
P 2	Alarm cleared?		M	1	[]Yes []No
P 3	Broadcast request?	MC8 NOT MC8	M N/A	2	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 4	Broadcast confirm?	MC8 NOT MC8	M N/A	3	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 5	Broadcast denied - functionality not implemented?	MC8 NOT MC8	M N/A	4	[]Yes []No [] N/A

A.8.6 Timers

No items requiring response.

A.8.7 Call states

No items requiring response.

A.9 TMF

The tables provided in this clause need only to be completed for implementations, where item R 4.3 in table A.1 is supported.

A.9.1 Major capabilities

Table A.26: Major capabilities - TMF

Item	Major capability:	Conditions for	Status	Reference	Support
	Does the implementation support	status			
	General capabilities				
MC 1	semipermanent B channel physical interace?		O.11	7.2	[]Yes []No
MC 2	D channel physical interface?		O.11	7.2	[]Yes []No
MC 3	semipermanent link activation?		0.12	5.1	[]Yes []No
MC 4	on demand fixed TEI link activation?		O.12	5.2	[]Yes []No
MC 5	polling procedures?		M	14.2.2	[]Yes[]No
MC 6	alarm reporting?		M	14.2.3	[]Yes []No
MC 7	alarm clearing?		M	14.2.4	[]Yes []No
MC 8	broadcast functions?		0	14.3	[]Yes []No

O.11: Support of at least one of these options is required.

Comments:

A.9.2 Subsidiary capabilities

Table A.27: Subsidiary capabilities - TMF

Item	Subsidiary capability: Does the implementation support	Conditions for status	Status	Reference (subclause)	Support
SC 1	user plane protocol between SPT and EUT?		0	6.2	[]Yes []No
SC 2	initiation of loopback procedure on activated datalinks?		O.13	14.2.1	[]Yes []No
Sc 3	initiation of loopback procedure on deactivated datalinks?		O.13	14.2.1	[]Yes []No
SC 4	independent routing of loopback requests for deactivated links over different physical interfaces?	SC3 NOT SC3	O N/A	14.2.1	[]Yes []No []N/A
SC 5	delay of datalink disconnection after clearing of last call to SPT?	SC1 NOT SC1	O N/A	10.2.2	[]Yes []No []N/A
SC 6	ignoring of undefined information elements received?		М	10.3	[]Yes []No
SC 7	discarding of unrecognized messages?		M	10.3	[]Yes []No
SC 8	immediate transfer of REPORT messages to SPT?		O.14	14.2.3.1	[]Yes []No
SC 9	delayed transfer of REPORT messages to SPT?		O.14	14.2.3.1	[]Yes []No
0.13 0.14	Support of at least one of these options is required. Support of at least one of these options is required.				

Comments:

O.12: Support of at least one of these options is required.

A.9.3 Protocol Data Units

A.9.3.1 MR by the TMF

Indicating support for an item in table A.28 states that the implementation has the ability to recognize the message listed in that item. Support for the receipt of a particular type of PDU means support for recognizing and acting upon all valid instances of that PDU type, including all valid PDU parameters, to the extent required by EN 301 145-1 [1].

Table A.28: Messages received by the TMF

	Does the implementation support receipt of		(subclause)	
MR 1	LOOP REQUEST?	N/A	8.1.2.1	[] N/A
MR 2	LOOP RESPONSE?	M	8.1.2.2	[]Yes []No
MR 3	REPORT?	М	8.1.2.3	[]Yes []No

A.9.3.2 MT by the TMF

Indicating support for an item in table A.29 states that the implementation has the ability to transmit the message listed in that item.

Table A.29: Messages transmitted by the TMF

Item	Message	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support			
	Does the implementation support			(subclause)				
	transmission of							
MT 1	LOOP REQUEST?		M	8.1.2.1	[]Yes []No			
MT 2	LOOP RESPONSE?		N/A	8.1.2.2	[] N/A			
MT 3	REPORT?		M	8.1.2.3	[]Yes []No			
Commen	Comments:							

A.9.4 Protocol Data Unit parameters

A.9.4.1 IER

Table A.30: Information elements in LOOP RESPONSE received by the TMF

Item	Information element	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
	Does the implementation support				
	receipt of				
MR1-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
MR1-IE2	Loop originator information element?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
MR1-IE3	Loop destination information element?		M	8.1.3.3	[]Yes []No
MR1-IE4	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
MR1-IE5	Test data information element?		0	8.1.3.7	[]Yes []No
0 1					

Comments:

Table A.31: Information elements in REPORT received by the TMF

Information element Does the implementation support	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
receipt of				
Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
Report type?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
Terminal data information element?		0	8.1.3.8	[]Yes []No
): ::	·			
	Does the implementation support receipt of Message type information element? Report type? Diagnostic information element? Terminal data information element?	Does the implementation support receipt of Message type information element? Report type? Diagnostic information element? Terminal data information element?	Does the implementation support receipt of Message type information element? Report type? M Diagnostic information element? M Terminal data information element?	Does the implementation support receipt of Message type information element? M 8.1.3.1 Report type? M 8.1.3.2 Diagnostic information element? M 8.1.3.5 Terminal data information element? O 8.1.3.8

A.9.4.2 IET

Table A.32: Information elements in LOOP REQUEST transmitted by the TMF

Item	Information element Does the implementation support	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
	transmission of				
MT1-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
MT1-IE2	Loop originator information element?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
MT1-IE3	Loop destination information element?		M	8.1.3.3	[]Yes []No
MT1-IE4	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
MT1-IE5	Test data information element?		0	8.1.3.7	[]Yes []No
Comments	S:				

Table A.33: Information elements in REPORT transmitted by the TMF

Item	Information element Does the implementation support transmission of	Conditions for status	Status	Reference	Support
MT2-IE1	Message type information element?		M	8.1.3.1	[]Yes []No
MT2-IE2	Report type?		M	8.1.3.2	[]Yes []No
MT2-IE3	Diagnostic information element?		M	8.1.3.5	[]Yes []No
MT2-IE4	DLCI information element?		0	8.1.3.4	[]Yes []No
MT2-IE5	Terminal data information element?		0	8.1.3.8	[]Yes []No
Comment	s:				

A.9.5 Protocol Data Unit parameters coding

Table A.34: Loop originator information element

	Does the implementation support Loop originator information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	PH?		N/A	64	N/A
P 2	TMF?		M	96	[]Yes []No

Table A.35: Loop destination information element

Item	Does the implementation support Loop destination information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	TE1?		M	1	[]Yes []No
P 2	TA?		M	2	[]Yes []No
P 3	NT2?		M	4	[]Yes[]No
P 4	PTN?		M	8	[]Yes []No
P 5	LAPD termination?		M	15	[]Yes []No
P 6	FH CRF-S?		M	128	[]Yes []No
P 7	FH CRF-P?		M	129	[]Yes []No

Table A.36: Diagnostic information element

Item	Does the implementation support Diagnostic information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	Loop successful?		M	0	[]Yes []No
P 2	DLCI not registered?		M	1	[]Yes []No
P 3	Loop destination unreachable?		M	2	[]Yes []No
P 4	Transmission path unavailable due to network element failure?		М	3	[]Yes []No
P 5	Transmission path unavailable due to customer interface/premises failure?		М	4	[]Yes []No

Table A.37: Report type information element

Item	Does the implementation support Report type information element parameters and values	Conditions for status	Status	Values	Support
P 1	Alarm event?		M	0	[]Yes []No
P 2	Alarm cleared?		M	1	[]Yes []No
P 3	Broadcast request?	MC8 NOT MC8	M N/A	2	[]Yes []No [] N/A
P 4	Broadcast confirm?	MC8 NOT MC8	M N/A	3	[]Yes []No []N/A
P 5	Broadcast denied - functionality not implemented?	MC8 NOT MC8	M N/A	4	[]Yes []No [] N/A

A.9.6 Timers

No items requiring response.

A.9.7 Call states

No items requiring response.

Annex B (normative): Requirements list

This annex repeats in the form of a requirements list some items of the basic call data link layer service PICS proforma required for support of EN 301 145-1 [1]. No support column is provided as the answers are to be entered in the relevant base PICS proforma.

In the tables which follow in this annex, the status of the base PICS proforma is indicated as "C" (conditional) or "O" (optional). The "C" status is used where the base PICS proforma contains a number of interdependent items which need not be repeated in the present document. "O" indicates that the item in the base PICS proforma is dependent on one or more other items, at least one of which has an optional status. The exact interdependency is fully specified in the base PICS proforma specification.

B.1 User

B.1.1 Requirements on items used in the basic call data link layer PICS

All references are to EN 301 145-1 [1] unless otherwise stated.

B.1.1.1 Major capabilities

Table B.1: Major capabilities - user

	Major capability: does the IUT support	Status base	Teleaction service conditions for status	Teleaction service status	Reference
MCu 2.2	the unacknowledged information transfer service in a point-to-point data link (using a TEI value other than 127)?		MC 5 OR MC 6 OR MC 7 OR MC 8		14, [3] 5.2, 5.2.1
MCu 3.1.2	the non-automatic TEI assignment procedures?	С	MC3 OR MC4	М	5, [3] 5.3.2

B.1.1.2 Address field variables

Table B.2: SAPI values supported - user

Item	Does the IUT support the	Status base	Teleaction service conditions for status	Teleaction service status	Reference
SAPu 2	SAPI value 12?	0		M	5, [2] 3.3.3

B.2 Network

B.2.1 Requirements on items used in the basic call data link layer PICS

All references are to EN 301 145 [1] unless otherwise stated.

B.2.1.1 Major capabilities

Table B.3: Major capabilities - network

ltem	Major capability: does the IUT support	Status base	Teleaction service conditions for status	Teleaction service status	Reference
MCn 2.2	the unacknowledged information transfer service in a point-to-point data link (using a TEI value other than 127)?	0	MCn 5,6,7,8	М	14, [3] 5.2, 5.2.1

B.2.1.2 Address field variables

Table B.4: SAPI values supported - network

Item	Does the IUT support the	Status base	Teleaction service conditions for status	Teleaction service status	Reference
SAPn 2	SAPI value 12?	0		M	5, [2] 3.3.3

History

		Document history		
V1.1.1	February 1998	Public Enquiry	PE 9824:	1998-02-13 to 1998-06-12