

Final draft **EN 301 069-1** V1.2.2 (1998-02)

---

*European Standard (Telecommunications series)*

**Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN);  
Signalling System No.7;  
ISDN User Part (ISUP);  
Application transport mechanism;  
Part 1: Protocol specification**

[ITU-T Recommendation Q.765, modified]

---



*European Telecommunications Standards Institute*



---

Reference

DEN/SPS-01042-1 (9wc90ipc.PDF)

---

Keywords

ISDN, SS7, ISUP, NNI, protocol

***ETSI Secretariat***

---

Postal address

F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

---

Office address

650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis  
Valbonne - FRANCE  
Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16  
Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C  
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la  
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

---

X.400

c= fr; a=atlas; p=etsi; s=secretariat

---

Internet

secretariat@etsi.fr  
<http://www.etsi.fr>

---

***Copyright Notification***

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission.  
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

---

## Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETR 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available **free of charge** from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<http://www.etsi.fr/ipr>).

Pursuant to the ETSI Interim IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETR 314 (or the updates on <http://www.etsi.fr/ipr>) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

---

## Foreword

This European Standard (Telecommunications series) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Signalling Protocols and Switching (SPS), and is now submitted for the voting phase of the ETSI standards Two-step Approval Procedure.

The present document is part 1 of a multi-part EN covering Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Signalling System No.7; ISDN User Part (ISUP); Application Transport Mechanism (APM), as identified below:

- Part 1: "Protocol specification";
- Part 2: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification";
- Part 3: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP)";
- Part 4: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS)";

<b>Proposed national transposition dates</b>	
Date of latest announcement of this EN (doa):	3 months after ETSI publication
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this EN (dop/e):	6 months after doa
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	6 months after doa

---

## Endorsement notice

The text of ITU-T Recommendation Q.765 was approved by ETSI as an EN with agreed modifications as given below.

NOTE 1: New or modified text is indicated using sidebars. In addition, underlining and/or strike-out are used to highlight detailed modifications where necessary.

NOTE 2: ITU-T Recommendation Q.765 had not yet been adopted by ITU-T prior to the submission for Vote of the present document. This endorsement is based upon the draft of that Recommendation which accompanies the present document, located in an archive file named 9wc90ipc.lzh.

## Clause 2, References

Replace this clause by:

---

### Normative references

References may be made to:

- a) specific versions of publications (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.), in which case, subsequent revisions to the referenced document do not apply; or
- b) all versions up to and including the identified version (identified by "up to and including" before the version identity); or
- c) all versions subsequent to and including the identified version (identified by "onwards" following the version identity); or
- d) publications without mention of a specific version, in which case the latest version applies.

A non-specific reference to an ETS shall also be taken to refer to later versions published as an EN with the same number.

- [1] EN 300 356-1 (V3.1): "Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN); Signalling System No. 7; ISDN User Part (ISUP) version 3 for the international interface; Part 1: Basic services [ITU-T Recommendations Q.761 to Q.764 (1997), modified]".
- [2] ITU-T Recommendation Q.1400 (1993): "Architecture framework for the development of signalling and OA&M protocols using OSI concepts".

### Throughout the text of ITU-T Recommendation Q.765

Replace references as shown below.

Reference in ITU-T Recommendation Q.765	Modified reference
ITU-T Recommendation Q.761 [1]	EN 300 356-1 [1]
ITU-T Recommendation Q.762 [2]	EN 300 356-1 [1]
ITU-T Recommendation Q.763 [3]	EN 300 356-1 [1]
ITU-T Recommendation Q.764 [4]	EN 300 356-1 [1]
Annex T	EN 300 356-1 [1]

### Annex T (temporary), Changes to ITU-T Recommendations Q.761, Q.762, Q.763 and Q.764

Not supported, see EN 300 356-1 [1].

### Appendix I, Example sequence diagrams of APM segmentation

Appendix I has the status of an informative annex.

## Temporary Annex A (normative): Modifications to ITU-T Recommendation Q.765

This annex contains the proposed changes to the text of the ITU-T Recommendation Q.765 as agreed by TC SPS in the meeting which addressed the national comments received following PE9748. The complete text of this annex will be contributed to the ITU-T resolution 1 procedure for approval of Q.765. Once this procedure is finished, it is intended that the annex be removed from this EN.

Since it is possible that some of the modifications below will not be included in Q.765 those that will not create any compatibility problems in the case of mismatch between Q.765 and the present document are to become a part of the main body of this EN. The modifications that do not become part of Q.765 and may result in an incompatibility when a Q.765 based service and an EN 301 069-1 based service interwork will be removed from this EN. Each of the proposals below is, therefore, marked appropriately in one of the following two ways:

- if the item is to be removed from the EN            *"remove"*
- if the item is to be included in the EN            *"keep"*

### Clause 4, Abbreviations        *keep*

Modify in the following way:

<u>ACM</u>	<u>Address Complete Message</u>
APM-user	Application Transport Mechanism <u>User Application</u>
<u>CPG</u>	<u>Call Progress Message</u>
<u>IAM</u>	<u>Initial Address Message</u>
<u>PRI</u>	<u>Pre-Release Information Message</u>

### Subclause 7.2.1, Introduction        *keep*

Add the following note just before the third bullet point:

NOTE: In the case that no such acknowledgement is received by the PIN before reception of either:

- an ACM indicating "subscriber free", or
- a CPG indicating "alerting", or
- an ANM or CON

with no APP (for the appropriate context) then if the application association for the call was essential, the call shall be release and the maintenance function shall be notified.

### Subclause 8.3, Information flows related to messages received by the node        *keep*

Modify the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph as follows:

For received messages, the mapping of APM\_U\_Data, APM\_Data and APM\_Transfer (tables 4, 5 and 6) primitives is the reverse to that described in the tables for ~~outgoing~~ messages sent by the node in subclause ~~6.28.2~~.

## Subclause 9.1, Table 14/Q.765 - Primitives between ISUP ASE and SACF *keep*

Replace note of table 14 by:

NOTE: Primitive flow from SACF to ISUP ASE - ←

Primitive flow from ISUP ASE to SACF - →

## Subclause 10.2.1, Normal Procedures - Sending *keep*

Replace the sentence "How this is determined is implementation specific" by:

APM segmentation procedures shall only apply if it is not possible to transfer all parameters in the IAM, ACM, CPG, CON, ANM or PRI message and SGM, if simple segmentation procedures are used, because the 272 octet MTP limit would be exceeded.

## Subclause 10.2.4, Segmentation *keep*

Modify the 2nd paragraph as follows:

The initial segment for each context must be transported in the first message and this initial segment size may be of zero length. The first ~~segment message~~ may be an ~~ISUP call control~~ IAM, ACM, CPG, CON, ANM or PRI message (when available) and this procedure ensures that the reassembling node is able to associate the APM information with the ~~call control~~ first ISUP message. A maximum of one ~~call control~~ such message can be associated with the transport of segmented application data. Any additional segments will be included in the APP within subsequent APM message(s). In order to associate all the reassembled application data with the ~~call control~~ first ISUP message the AP is informed when reassembly procedures are invoked by sending the More\_APP\_Info indication primitive. On completion of reassembly for all contexts for which initial segments were received in the first message, the End\_APP\_Info indication primitive is sent.

## Subclause 10.2.4, Segmentation *keep*

Insert the word "empty" in the 2nd sentence of the 3rd paragraph as follows:

To avoid this situation occurring, the PIN shall not send subsequent segments to an IAM message until a first backward message is received containing an empty APP, which implicitly indicates that a path has been successfully routed through the network to the PAN.

## Subclause 10.2.4, Segmentation *keep*

Modify the 3rd paragraph as follows:

There would be a possibility that user information segments could be lost when sent forward during call setup if sent before the receipt of a first backwards message. To avoid this situation occurring, the PIN shall not send subsequent segments to an IAM message until a ~~first~~ backward message is received containing an APP, which implicitly indicates that a path has been successfully routed through the network to the PAN. The PAN initiates the backwards acknowledgement indication only in the case that an IAM is received containing an APP which indicates that segmentation has occurred.

## Subclause 10.2.4, Segmentation *keep*

Add the following paragraph at the end of subclause 10.2.4:

For segmented application data associated with an ACM, CPG, CON, ANM or PRI message, this message shall be sent first, followed by the SGM message (when ISUP Simple segmentation applies to remaining information in the ISUP

message), and then followed by the APM messages containing subsequent segments. In case a PRI message is sent, the REL message shall be sent following the APM message containing the last segment.

### Subclause 10.2.4.1, Procedures for segmentation *keep*

Replace each occurrence of "APM Segmentation indicator" by "Sequence Indicator".

### Subclause 10.2.4.1, Procedures for segmentation *keep*

Replace each occurrence of "Number of Segments Remaining" by "APM Segmentation indicator".

### Subclause 10.2.4.1, Procedures for segmentation *keep*

Modify item d) as follows:

d) Once the first segment has been transmitted, then all remaining segments of that Application Information shall be sent except in the case that the first segment is sent in an IAM in which case the reception of an empty APP (~~empty~~) is awaited prior to the sending of subsequent segments.

### Subclause 10.2.4.2, Procedures for re-assembly *keep*

Replace each occurrence of "Number of Segments Remaining" or "number of segments remaining" by "APM Segmentation indicator".

### Subclause 11.2.2, Signalling Congestion *keep*

Replace "REMOTE-STATUS primitive" by "Remote\_Status primitive".

### Subclause 13.1.2, Unidentified Context Handling (PIN) *keep*

Modify the 2nd bullet item as follows:

- if the node is not a "pass-on" node for this Context Identifier, then this Context Identifier will be used to identify the APM-user to which the APM\_Error indication primitive will be sent from the UCEH ASE. The APM\_Error indication primitive will indicate that the reason for the error was that the peer APM-user was not present at the PAN. If the APM-user indicated by the Context Identifier carried by the Application Transport Notification Information does not exist, then no APM\_Error primitive will be sent and the Application Transport Notification Information will be discarded.

### Subclause 13.4.1, Normal Procedures - Remote error handling *keep*

Modify the 3rd paragraph as follows:

If this is not to behave as a "pass-on" node then the Context Identifier within the received Notification parameter (clause 14) is used to determine the APM-user that should be notified. If the APM-user indicated by the Context Identifier does not exist, then the Application Transport Notification Information will be discarded. If the APM-user indicated by the Context Identifier does exist, an APM\_Error indication primitive is sent to the APM-user via SACF indicating the Reason for the error. The maintenance function will be notified.

### Clause 14, Application Transport Notification Information *keep*

Modify the first sentence as follows:

The Application Transport Notification~~This~~ information is carried within the Encapsulated Application Information field of the Application Transport Parameter (APP), when the context identifier value is set to "UCEH ASE".

## Subclause 13.1.2, Undefined Context Handling (PIN) *remove*

Modify as follows:

When an APP is received with Context Identifier "Unidentified Context and Error Handling ASE", the contents are passed to the UCEH ASE in the same manner as any other APM-user. The Context Identifier(s) carried by the Application Transport Notification Information contained within the Encapsulated application information field of the APP will be checked by the UCEH ASE:

- if the node is a "pass-on" node for ~~this~~ a Context Identifier, the associated information received in the APM\_U\_Data primitive is passed-on unchanged within the Send\_APM\_Transit indication primitive to the APM ASE which will then send an APM\_Transit indication primitive to the AP;
- if the node is not a "pass-on" node for ~~this~~ a Context Identifier, then this Context Identifier will be used to identify the APM-user to which the APM\_Error indication primitive will be sent from the UCEH ASE. The APM\_Error indication primitive will indicate that the reason for the error was that the peer APM-user was not present at the PAN.

## Subclause 13.4.1, Normal Procedures - Remote error handling *remove*

Modify as follows:

When the UCEH ASE received an APM\_U\_Data indication primitive, the ASE checks whether this is a "pass-on" node for ~~the each~~ context indicated in the "APM-user Context Identifier" field(s) within the Application Transport Notification information-

If this is so for any of the received Context Ids, then a new Application Transport Notification information field is constructed containing the received Context and Reason information for only those contexts for which this is a 'pass-on' node. the information received in the APM\_U\_Data primitive is passed on unchanged This information is then passed on within the Send\_APM\_Transit indication primitive.

For those contexts where this node ~~If this~~ is not to behave as a "pass-on" node then the Context Identifier(s) within the received Notification parameter (clause 14) ~~is are~~ used to determine the APM-user(s) ~~that which~~ should be notified. An APM\_Error indication primitive is sent to ~~the each~~ APM-user via SACF indicating the Reason for the error. The maintenance function will be notified.

## Subclause 13.4.2, Normal Procedures - Local error handling *remove*

Modify as follows:

When ~~an~~ one or more APM\_UCEH\_Error indication primitive(s) ~~is are~~ received by the UCEH ASE, the Application Transport Instruction Indicators of each are checked to determine the appropriate actions. If any "send notification" bit is set then ~~the a single~~ Notification (clause 14) is constructed ~~and carried in the Application Data parameter~~ indicating the context and associated reason for each APM UCEH Error indication whose ATII indicated "send notification". ~~The ASE supplies the APM user Context Identifier, and t~~The ATII is set to "release call" and "do not send notification". This is then sent to the APM ASE via the APM\_U\_Data request primitive for transport. ~~If~~ For each of the received APM UCEH Error indications indicating "release call", is set then a UCEH\_Release indication primitive is sent to the AP via SACF. The maintenance function will be notified.



## Clause 14, Application Transport Notification Information *remove*

Modify as follows:

**Application Transport Notification Information**

	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
1	Ext/1	APM-user Context Identifier						
2	Ext/1	Reason						
<u>3</u>	Ext/1	<u>APM-user Context Identifier</u>						
<u>4</u>	Ext/1	<u>Reason</u>						
		⋮						
<u>n-1</u>	Ext/1	<u>APM-user Context Identifier</u>						
<u>n</u>	Ext/1	<u>Reason</u>						

NOTE: n is even and greater than or equal to 2.

a) Extension indicators

- 0 further octet exists
- 1 last octet

b) *APM-user Context Identifier*

- 0 No Information
- 1-127 Refer to "Application Context Identifier" field in the Application Transport Parameter (reference [3])

c) *Reason*

- 0 No Information
- 1 Unidentified Context
- 2 Reassembly Error
- 3-127 Spare

## Clause 14, Application Transport Notification Information *keep*

Delete the note.

## Appendix I, Example sequence diagrams of APM segmentation *keep*

Reference (iv) inside Figure A.1/Q.765 should move besides the APM\_Transfer primitive which is in the backward direction ("←").

---

## History

<b>Document history</b>				
V1.1.1	August 1997	Public Enquiry	PE 9748:	1997-08-01 to 1997-11-28
V1.2.2	February 1998	Vote	V 9815:	1998-02-10 to 1998-04-10