ETSI EN 301 003-6 V1.2.1 (2000-10)

European Standard (Telecommunications series)

**Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN);
Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol;
Connection characteristics;
Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner;
Part 6: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification for the network**



Reference
REN/SPAN-05242-6

Keywords
ATM, ATS, B-ISDN, broadband, DSS2, ISDN, network, PIXIT, UNI

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (http://www.etsi.org/ipr).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This European Standard (Telecommunications series) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Services and Protocols for Advanced Networks (SPAN).

The present document is part 6 of a multi-part standard covering the Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B -ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner, as described below:

Part 1: "Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.1 [12] (1996), modified]";

Part 2: "Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification";

Part 3: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the user";

Part 4: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification for the user";

Part 5: "Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the network";

Part 6: "Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT) proforma specification for the network".

National transposition dates	
Date of adoption of this EN:	29 September 2000
Date of latest announcement of this EN (doa):	31 December 2000
Date of latest publication of new National Standard or endorsement of this EN (dop/e):	30 June 2001
Date of withdrawal of any conflicting National Standard (dow):	30 June 2001

1 Scope

The present document specifies the network Abstract Test Suite (ATS) for the T_B reference point or coincident S_B and T_B reference point (as defined in ITU-T Recommendation I.413 [11]) of implementations conforming to the standards for the signalling user-network layer 3 specification for Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner of the Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol for the pan-European Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN), EN 301 003-1 [1].

A further part of the present document specifies the Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) related to this ATS and partial PIXIT proforma. Other parts specify the TSS&TP and the ATS and partial PIXIT proforma for the User side of the T_B reference point or coincident S_B and T_B reference point of implementations conforming to EN 301 003-1 [1].

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies.

[1] ETSI EN 301 003-1 (V1.1): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.1 (1996), modified]".

[2] ETSI EN 301 003-2 (V1.1): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner; Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification".

[3] ETSI EN 301 003-5 (V1.1): "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; Connection characteristics; Peak cell rate modification by the connection owner; Part 5: Test Suite Structure and Test Purposes (TSS&TP) specification for the network".

[4] ETSI EN 300 443-1: "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; B-ISDN user-network interface layer 3 specification for basic call/bearer control; Part 1: Protocol specification [ITU-T Recommendation Q.2931 (1995), modified]".

[5] ETSI EN 300 443-2: "Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network (B-ISDN); Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two (DSS2) protocol; B-ISDN user-network interface layer 3 specification for basic call/bearer control; Part 2: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma specification".

[6] ISO/IEC 9646-1 (1994): "Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 1: General concepts".

[7] ISO/IEC 9646-2 (1994): "Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 2: Abstract Test Suite specification".

[8] ISO/IEC 9646-3 (1998): "Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 3: The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN)".

[9] ISO/IEC 9646-4 (1994): "Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 4: Test realization".

[10] ISO/IEC 9646-5 (1994): "Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 5: Requirements on test laboratories and clients for the conformance assessment process".

[11] ITU-T Recommendation I.413 (1993): "B-ISDN user-network interface".

[12] ITU-T Recommendation Q.2963.1 (1996): "Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. 2 - Connection modification: peak cell rate modification by the connection owner".

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the following definitions apply, in addition to those given in EN 301 003-1 [1] and EN 300 443-1 [4].

3.1.1 Definitions related to conformance testing

abstract test case: refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

Abstract Test Method (ATM): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

Abstract Test Suite (ATS): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

Implementation Under Test (IUT): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

System Under Test (SUT): see ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

Upper Tester (UT): see ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

lower tester: refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

PICS proforma: refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

PIXIT proforma: refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

Test Purpose (TP): refer to ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

Point of Control and Observation (PCO): see ISO/IEC 9646-1 [6]

3.1.2 Definitions related to EN 301 003-1

user: DSS2 protocol entity at the User side of the user-network interface where a T_B reference point or coincident S_B and T_B reference point applies

user (S_B/T_B): DSS2 protocol entity at the User side of the user-network interface where a coincident S_B and T_B reference point applies

user (T_B): DSS2 protocol entity at the User side of the user-network interface where a T_B reference point applies (user is a private ISDN)

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

ATM	Abstract Test Method
ATS	Abstract Test Suite
B-ISDN	Broadband Integrated Services Digital Network
CM	Coordination Messages
DSS2	Digital Subscriber Signalling System No. two
ExTS	Executable Test Suite
IUT	Implementation Under Test
LT	Lower Tester
MOT	Means Of Testing
MTC	Main Test Component
PCO	Point of Control and Observation
PDU	Protocol Data Unit
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
PIXIT	Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing
PTC	Parallel Test Components
SUT	System Under Test
TP	Test Purpose
TSS	Test Suite Structure
TTCN	Tree and Tabular Combined Notation
UT	Upper Tester
VCI	Virtual Channel Identifier
VPCI	Virtual Path Connection Identifier

4 Abstract Test Method (ATM)

4.1 Description of ATM used

The requirement for testing the network IUT is to focus on the behaviour of the network IUT at the user-network interface where a T_B reference point or coincident S_B and T_B reference point applies. Thus the IUT is the network DSS2 protocol entity at a particular user-network interface and is not the whole network.

It is possible to specify an ATS based on a Single party (remote) test method for such an IUT. However, it is considered that an ATS based on such an approach is of limited use as the only way to specify IUT generated PDUs is to use the "implicit send" statement. Many users of such an ATS would replace the "implicit send" statements with descriptions of the behaviour at other interfaces.

An ATS based on a multi-party test method is considered to be more useful in that it is closer to how a real test suite would be constructed. Such a test method specifies behaviour at multiple network interfaces. One very important limitation here is that tests are focussed on one particular interface. Thus the test system is made up one Main Test Component (MTC) and one or more Parallel Test Components (PTC), see figure 1.

4.2 Conventions for test components and PCOs



Figure 1: Multi-party test method

In a master/slave arrangement, the MTC is considered to be the master while the PTCs are the slaves. The "slave" testers are only an explicit description of how to deal with the remote interfaces during the testing process, i.e. "how to make the IUT send the required message".

This means, in particular, that the verdict will only be assigned from the protocol aspects observed on *the* interface under test (i.e. by the "master" tester), as it would be observed by a terminal connected to this interface. A failure in the correlation between the protocol at the different interfaces to which the different testers are connected, i.e. in the mechanism of the functional service itself, will not cause a FAIL verdict. For instance, if the IUT fails to send a message on the tested interface after another interface has received the proper stimulus, the verdict will be INCONCLUSIVE.

The MTC MTCA has two functions in this configuration. Firstly, it has the MTC function of controlling the one or more PTCs. Thus it is responsible for starting the PTCs and afterwards coordinates activities by exchanging Coordination Messages (CM) with the PTCs. Secondly it is responsible for the behaviour of the Lower Tester (LT) at PCO L0.

A combination of the remote and multi-party test methods is applied. As can be seen from figure 1, several PCOs are used. All PCOs reside at the service access points between layers 2 and 3.



Figure 2: Combination of the remote and multi-party test methods

The MTC PCO is named "L0" ("L" for Lower). The L0 PCO is used to control and observe the behaviour of the IUT and test case verdicts are assigned depending on the behaviour observed at this PCO. The PTCs PTC1, PTC2 etc. use PCOs L1, L2 etc. These PCOs are used to control and, in a limited way, observe the behaviour of the network equipment at interfaces other than the one under test. No verdicts are assigned at these PCOs.

As stated in a previous paragraph, the non-receipt of network generated messages at L0, which are stimulated by events at the L1, L2 etc., will result in INCONCLUSIVE rather than FAIL verdicts being assigned.

PTC2 is only activated in that test cases that test the procedures at the access between a root user and the IUT, when more than one party is involved in the call between the root user and the IUT. In test cases which verify that the IUT rejects invalid or unacceptable SETUP messages, no PTC is activated at all, as these rejection procedures are considered local to the access between IUT and MTC.

5 Untestable test purposes

There are no untestable test purposes associated with this ATS.

6 ATS to TP map

The identifiers used for the TPs (see EN 301 003-5 [3]) are reused as test case names. Thus there is a straightforward one-to-one mapping.

7 PCTR conformance

A test laboratory, when requested by a client to produce a PCTR, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-5 [10], to produce a PCTR conformant with the PCTR template given in annex B of ISO/IEC 9646-4 [9].

Furthermore, a test laboratory, offering testing for the ATS specification contained in annex C, when requested by a client to produce a PCTR, is required to produce a PCTR conformant with the PCTR proforma contained in annex A of the present document.

A PCTR which conforms to this PCTR proforma specification shall preserve the content and ordering of the clauses contained in annex A. Clause A.6 of the PCTR may contain additional columns. If included, these shall be placed to the right of the existing columns. Text in italics may be retained by the test laboratory.

8 PIXIT conformance

A test realizer, producing an executable test suite for the Abstract Test Suite (ATS) specification contained in annex C, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-4 [9], to produce an augmented partial PIXIT proforma conformant with this partial PIXIT proforma specification.

An augmented partial PIXIT proforma which conforms to this partial PIXIT proforma specification shall, as a minimum, have contents which are technically equivalent to annex B. The augmented partial PIXIT proforma may contain additional questions that need to be answered in order to prepare the Means Of Testing (MOT) for a particular Implementation Under Test (IUT).

A test laboratory, offering testing for the ATS specification contained in annex C, is required, as specified in ISO/IEC 9646-5 [10], to further augment the augmented partial PIXIT proforma to produce a PIXIT proforma conformant with this partial PIXIT proforma specification.

A PIXIT proforma which conforms to this partial PIXIT proforma specification shall, as a minimum, have contents which are technically equivalent to annex B. The PIXIT proforma may contain additional questions that need to be answered in order to prepare the test laboratory for a particular IUT.

9 ATS Conformance

The test realizer, producing a Means Of Testing (MOT) and Executable Test Suite (ExTS) for this Abstract Test Suite (ATS) specification, shall comply with the requirements of ISO/IEC 9646-4 [9]. In particular, these concern the realization of an Executable Test Suite (ExTS) based on each ATS. The test realizer shall provide a statement of conformance of the MOT to this ATS specification.

An ExTS which conforms to this ATS specification shall contain test groups and test cases which are technically equivalent to those contained in the ATS in annex C. All sequences of test events comprising an abstract test case shall be capable of being realized in the executable test case. Any further checking which the test system might be capable of performing is outside the scope of this ATS specification and shall not contribute to the verdict assignment for each test case.

Test laboratories running conformance test services using this ATS shall comply with ISO/IEC 9646-5 [10].

A test laboratory which claims to conform to this ATS specification shall use an MOT which conforms to this ATS.

Annex A (normative): Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR) proforma

A.1 Identification summary

A.1.1 Protocol conformance test report

PCTR number:	
PCTR Date:	
Corresponding SCTR number:	
Corresponding SCTR date:	
Test Laboratory identification:	
Test Laboratory Manager:	
Signature:	

A.1.2 IUT identification

Name:	
Version:	
Protocol specification:	EN 301 003-1
PICS:	
Previous PCTRs (if any)	

A.1.3 Testing environment

PIXIT Reference number:	
ATS Specification:	EN 301 003-6
Abstract Test Method:	Multi-party test method (see ISO/IEC 9646-2)
Means of Testing identification:	
Dates of testing:	
Conformance Log reference(s):	
Retention Date for Log reference(s):	

A.1.4 Limits and reservations

Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or to the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

A.1.5 Comments

Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties.

.....

.....

.....

.....

A.2 IUT Conformance status

This IUT has/has not been shown by conformance assessment to be non-conforming to the specified protocol specification.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this IUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements (as specified in clause A.3 of this report) and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause A.6) strike the words "has or", otherwise strike the words "or has not".

A.3 Static conformance summary

The PICS for this IUT is/is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.

A.4 Dynamic conformance summary

The test campaign did/did not reveal errors in the IUT.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in clause A.6 of this report) strike the words "did or", otherwise strike the words "or did not".

Summary of the results of groups of tests:

.....

.....

.....

.....

A.5 Static conformance review report

If clause A.3 indicates non-conformance, this clause itemizes the mismatches between the PICS and the static conformance requirements of the specified protocol specification.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

A.6 Test campaign report

ATS Reference	Selected ? (Y/N)	Run ? (Y/N)	Verdict	Observations
Signalling procedures at the coincident S_B/T_B and at the T_B reference points				
MODN_01_01				
MODN_01_02				
MODN_01_03				
MODN_01_04				
MODN_01_05				
MODN_01_06				
MODN_01_07				
MODN_01_08				
MODN_01_09				
MODN_01_10				
MODN_01_11				
MODN_01_12				
MODN_02_01				
MODN_02_02				
MODN_02_03				
MODN_02_04				
MODN_02_05				
MODN_02_06				
MODN_02_07				
MODN_02_08				
MODN_02_09				
MODN_02_10				
MODN_02_11				
MODN_02_12				
MODN_02_13				
MODN_02_14				
MODN_02_15				
MODN_02_16				
MODN_02_17				
MODN_02_18				
MODN_02_19				
MODN_02_20				
MODN_02_21				
MODN_02_22				
MODN_02_23				
MODN_02_24				
MODN_02_25				
MODN_02_26				
MODN_02_27				
MODN_02_28				
MODN_02_29				
MODN_02_30				
MODN_02_31				
MODN_02_32				
MODN_02_33				
MODN_02_34				
MODN_02_35				
MODN_02_36				
MODN_02_37				
MODN_02_38				
MODN_02_39				
MODN_02_40				
MODN_02_41				
MODN_02_42				
MODN_02_43				
MODN_02_44				
MODN_02_45				
MODN_02_46				

MODN_02_47				
ATS Reference	**Selected ? (Y/N)**	**Run ? (Y/N)**	**Verdict**	**Observations**
Signalling procedures at the coincident S_B/T_B and at the T_B reference points				
MODN_03_01				
MODN_04_01				
MODN_04_02				
MODN_04_03				
MODN_04_04				
MODN_04_05				
MODN_04_06				
MODN_04_07				
MODN_04_08				
MODN_05_01				
MODN_05_02				
MODN_05_03				
MODN_05_04				
MODN_05_05				
MODN_05_06				
MODN_05_07				
MODN_05_08				
MODN_05_09				
MODN_05_10				
MODN_05_11				
MODN_05_12				
MODN_05_13				
MODN_05_14				
MODN_05_15				
MODN_05_16				
MODN_05_17				
MODN_05_18				
MODN_05_19				
MODN_05_20				
MODN_05_21				
MODN_05_22				
MODN_05_23				
MODN_05_24				
MODN_05_25				
MODN_05_26				
MODN_05_27				
MODN_05_28				
MODN_05_29				
MODN_05_30				
MODN_05_31				
MODN_05_32				
MODN_05_33				
MODN_05_34				
MODN_05_35				
MODN_05_36				
MODN_05_37				
MODN_05_38				
MODN_05_39				
MODN_05_40				
MODN_05_41				
MODN_05_42				
MODN_05_43				
MODN_05_44				

A.7 Observations

Additional information relevant to the technical content of the PCTR are given here.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Annex B (normative): Partial PIXIT proforma

B.1 Identification summary

PIXIT Number:

.....

Test Laboratory Name:

.....

Date of Issue:

.....

Issued to:

.....

B.2 Abstract test suite summary

Protocol Specification: EN 301 003-1

ATS Specification: EN 301 003-6

Abstract Test Method: Multi-party test method (see ISO/IEC 9646-2)

B.3 Test laboratory

Test Laboratory Identification:

.....

Accreditation status of the test service:

.....

Accreditation reference:

.....

Test Laboratory Manager:

.....

Test Laboratory contact:

.....

Means of Testing:

.....

Test Laboratory instructions for Completion:

.....

B.4 Client (of the Test Laboratory)

Client Identification:

.....

Client Test manager:

.....

Client contact:

.....

Test Facilities required:

.....

B.5 SUT

Name:

.....

Version:

.....

SCS Reference:

.....

Machine configuration:

.....

Operating System Identification:

.....

IUT Identification:

.....

PICS (all layers):

.....

.....

Limitations of the SUT:

.....

Environnemental Conditions:

.....

B.6 Protocol information

B.6.1 Protocol identification

Specification reference: EN 301 003-1

Protocol Version:

PICS Reference:

.....

NOTE: The PICS Reference should reference a completed PICS which is conformant with the PICS proforma contained in EN 300 443-2 and EN 301 003-2.

B.6.2 Configuration to be tested

Table B.1: Configuration to be tested

Item	Configuration Is the access to be tested ...	Supported Y/N
1.1	releasing layer 2 after entering the Null link state N0?	

B.6.3 Test management timers

Table B.2: Timer values

Item	Timer Give a value for the timer that is used ...	Value (in seconds)
3.1	a user side value for T313 (default value 4 seconds).	
3.2	to wait for the IUT to respond to a stimulus sent by the tester (TAC).	
3.3	to control that the IUT does not respond to a stimulus sent by the tester (TNOAC).	
3.4	to wait for the test operator to perform an implicit send action (TWAIT).	
NOTE: The IUT provider may fill in a value range rather than a fixed value for the test management timers. During test execution the test laboratory will choose specific values for the timers dependant on the means of testing used. These specific values may even be beyond the range given by the IUT provider, if this is necessary for achieving satisfactory test results.		

B.6.4 Parameter Values

Table B.3: Parameter values

Item	Parameter values Give ...	Value
4.1	a coding of a Bearer capability information element, which the IUT is compatible with, for the purpose of accepting incoming calls.	
4.2	a coding of the Type of number and the Addressing/Numbering plan identification fields of the Called party number information elements to be sent to the IUT.	
4.3	a coding of the number digits of the access related to the PTC1.	
4.4	a coding of the number digits of the access related to the MTC.	
4.5	a coding of the ATM traffic descriptor (octet 5 onwards) to be sent to the IUT at call establishment.	
4.6	a coding of the ATM traffic descriptor (octet 5 onwards) to be sent to the IUT at modification request.	
4.7	a coding of an incompatible ATM traffic descriptor (octet 5 onwards) to be sent to the IUT at modification request.	
4.8	a value for the preferred VPCI.	
4.9	a value for the preferred VCI.	
4.10	a value for an unrecognized information element identifier.	

Annex C (normative): Abstract Test Suite (ATS)

This ATS has been produced using the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) according to ISO/IEC 9646-3 [8].

The ATS was developed on a separate TTCN software tool and therefore the TTCN tables are not completely referenced in the table of contents. The ATS itself contains a test suite overview part which provides additional information and references.

C.1 The TTCN Graphical form (TTCN.GR)

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in an Adobe Portable Document Format™ file (003_6_2.PDF contained in archive en_30100306v010201p0.ZIP) which accompanies the present document.

C.2 The TTCN Machine Processable form (TTCN.MP)

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII file (003_6_2.MP contained in archive en_30100306v010201p0.ZIP) which accompanies the present document.

NOTE: Where an ETSI Abstract Test Suite (in TTCN) is published in both .GR and .MP format these two forms shall be considered equivalent. In the event that there appears to be syntactical or semantic differences between the two then the problem shall be resolved and the erroneous format (whichever it is) shall be corrected.

Bibliography

The following material, though not specifically referenced in the body of the present document (or not publicly available), gives supporting information.

ETSI ETS 300 406: "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); Protocol and profile conformance testing specifications; Standardization methodology".

History

Document history		
V1.1.3	November 1999	Publication
V1.2.1	May 2000	One-step Approval Procedure OAP 20000929: 2000-05-31 to 2000-09-29
V1.2.1	October 2000	Publication