ETSI TR 103 061-6 V1.1.1 (2015-09)



Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Testing; Conformance test specifications for ITS Security; Part 6: Validation report Reference DTR/ITS-0050022

Keywords

ITS, OTE, testing

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from: <u>http://www.etsi.org/standards-search</u>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services: <u>https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx</u>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI. The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2015. All rights reserved.

DECT[™], PLUGTESTS[™], UMTS[™] and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**[™] and LTE[™] are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Contents

tellectual Property Rights	5
oreword	5
Iodal verbs terminology	5
troduction	5
Scope	7
References 1 Normative references 2 Informative references	7 7 7
Abbreviations	8
Validation report 1 Validation level	8
 Source code evaluation TTCN-3 version 	.8 .8
 2.2 TTCN-3 tools used for compilation 3 Validation Process 	.8 .9
3.1 Test Platforms 3.2 SUTs 3.3 Validation Status	9 .9 0
4 Feedback to standardization process	1
4.1 Base standard issues	1
4.3 Test specification issues	2
istory1	4

List of tables

Table 1: TTCN-3 tools used for compilation	. 9
Table 2: Validation test platform components	. 9
Table 3: SUTs used for validation	. 9
Table 4: Test case validation status	. 9

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (http://ipr.etsi.org).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS).

The present document is part 6 of a multi-part deliverable covering Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Testing; Conformance test specifications for ITS Security, as identified below:

- Part 1: "Conformance test specifications for Co-operative Awareness Messages (CAM); CAM validation report";
- Part 2: "Conformance test specifications for Decentralized Environmental Notification basic service Messages (DENM); DENM validation report";
- Part 3: "Conformance test specifications for Geographical addressing and forwarding for point-to-point and point-to-multipoint communications; GeoNetworking validation report";
- Part 4: "Conformance test specification for GeoNetworking Basic Transport Protocol (BTP); GeoNetworking BTP validation report";
- Part 5: "IPv6 over GeoNetworking validation report";

Part 6: "Validation report".

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the ETSI Drafting Rules (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Introduction

In response to EC mandate M/453 [i.6], ETSI Technical Committee ITS has standardized base and test specifications for ITS protocols. In a next step a prototype TTCN-3 test system was built and validated. The present document and its related ETSI TR 103 099 [i.3] (Architecture of Conformance Validation Framework), describe the validation and design of the prototype TTCN-3 test system.

The action described in the present document has supported the implementation of ITS standards by:

- Making available validated and standardized test specifications and thus enabling the application of reliable certification schemes.
- Executing conformance validation framework against real Implementations Under Test (IUTs) from industry and thus providing these companies with a conformance assessment of their implementations. During the lifetime of this action, the conformance validation framework was as well provided at ITS Cooperative Mobility Services Interoperability events.

• Releasing all software as open source and thus allowing industry to build and run their own conformance validation framework.

1 Scope

The present document is the validation report of the ITS Security conformance tests defined in ETSI TS 103 096-3 [i.2] derived from ETSI TS 103 097 (V1.2.1) [i.1]. It provides statistics of executed and validated GeoNetworking conformance tests. The information provided has been produced by validation against at least two prototype implementations from industry.

2 References

2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the reference document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at http://docbox.etsi.org/Reference.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

Not applicable.

2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the reference document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

[i.1]	ETSI TS 103 097 (V1.2.1): "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Security; Security header and certificate formats".
[i.2]	ETSI TS 103 096-3 (V1.2.1): "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Testing; Conformance test specifications for ITS Security; Part 3: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (PIXIT)".
[i.3]	ETSI TR 103 099 (V1.2.1): "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Architecture of conformance validation framework".
[i.4]	ETSI EG 201 015 (V1.1.1): "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); Specification of protocols and services; Validation methodology for standards using SDL; Handbook".
[i.5]	ETSI ES 201 873-1 (V4.5.1): "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; Part 1: TTCN-3 Core Language".
[i.6]	EC mandate M/453: "Standardisation mandate addressed to CEN, CENELEC and ETSI in the field of Information and Communication Technologies to support the interoperability of co-operative Systems for Intelligent Transport in the European Community".
[i.7]	ETSI TS 102 894-2: "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Users and applications requirements; Part 2: Applications and facilities layer common data dictionary".

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

AA	Authorization Authority
ASN.1	Abstract Syntax Notation One
AT	Authorization Ticket
ATS	Abstract Test Suite
BTP	Basic Transport Protocol
CAM	Co-operative Awareness Message
CERT	Certificate testing
DENM	Decentralized Environmental Notification Messages basic service
DEPV	Destination Position Vector
EC	European Commission
ES	ETSI Standard
ITS	Intelligent Transport Systems
ITS-S	Intelligent Transport System - Station
IUT	Implementation Under Test
LS	Location Service
MAC	Media Access Control
SO	SOurce
SQN	SeQuence Number
SUT	System Under Test
TC	Test Cases
TP	Test Purposes
TR	Technical Report
TS	Technical Standard
TTCN	Testing and Test Control Notation
UT	Upper Tester
UTC	Coordinated Universal Time

4 Validation report

4.1 Validation level

Level 3 (Rigorous) abstract test suite validation has been performed, according to the validation handbook ETSI EG 201 015 [i.4]:

- the test suite has been compiled on more than one TTCN-3 tool;
- the complete suite of tests has been implemented and executed on more than one test platform;
- the complete suite of tests has been executed against SUTs from a range of different suppliers;
- the operation and output traces of all the tests have been validated.

4.2 Source code evaluation

4.2.1 TTCN-3 version

The ITS Security abstract test suite is based on ETSI ES 201 873-1 (V4.5.1) [i.5].

4.2.2 TTCN-3 tools used for compilation

The test suite has been compiled using two different TTCN-3 tools, as detailed in table 1.

		r	1	-
Supplier	Tool name	Version	Settings	Compilation result
TestingTech	TTworkbench®	1.1.18	Support for very large integers	No error,
			ASN.1-Language-Support-v1.1.4	no warning
Elvior™	TestCast T3 [™]	6.8.2		No error,
				no warning
NOTE: This info	prmation is given for the co	nvenience of use	ers of the present document and does no	t constitute an
endorsement by ETSI of these products.				

Table 1: TTCN-3 tools used for compilation

4.3 Validation Process

4.3.1 Test Platforms

The validation test platform has been built as described in conformance validation framework ETSI TR 103 099 [i.3] using the components as described in table 2.

Table 2: Validation test platform components

TTCN-3 Tool	TestingTech TTworkbench® with ASN.1 support plugin		
	The applicable software tag is:		
Test Adapter	http://forge.etsi.org/websvn/listing.php?repname=ITS.ITS&path=/tags/v1.2.1/		
-	G5 Radio hardware: Cohda Wireless™ MK2 connected via Ethernet cable		
Codec	The applicable software tag is:		
	http://forge.etsi.org/websvn/listing.php?repname=ITS.ITS&path=/tags/v1.2.1/		

4.3.2 SUTs

The SUTs listed in table 3 have been used to validate the GeoNetworking test suite.

Table 3: SUTs used for valid	ation
------------------------------	-------

Manufacturer	Product name	Version
COHDA™	ITS Security	Development
COMMSIGNIA [™]	ITS Security	Development
Hitachi [™]	ITS Security	Development
IMTECH [™]	ITS Security	Development
ITRI™	ITS Security	Development
MARBEN™	ITS Security	Development
QMIC [™]	ITS Security	Development
SIEMENS™	ITS Security	Development
TRIALOG™	ITS Security	Development
UNEX™	ITS Security	Development
NOTE: This information is given for the convenience of users of the present document		
and does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of these products.		

4.3.3 Validation Status

Table 4 shows the validation status of each test case of the GeoNetworking abstract test suite.

Table 4: Test case validation status

ATS Reference	Verdict	Log analysis	Validated
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_MSG_01_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_MSG_04_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_MSG_04_02_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_MSG_05_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_02_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_05_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_05_02_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes

ATS Reference	Verdict	Log analysis	Validated
TP SEC ITSS SND CAM 06 01 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CAM 07a 01 TI	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CAM 08 01 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_09_01_BV	PASS	Ves	Ves
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_10_01_BV	PASS	Ves	Ves
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_10_01_BV	PASS	Vee	Vee
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_II_UI_BV	PASS	Yes	Yee
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_CAM_12_01_BV	PASS	res	res
IP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_14_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
IP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CAM_16_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_DENM_02_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_DENM_03_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_DENM_04_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_DENM_05_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_DENM_05_02_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_DENM_05_03_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_DENM_05_04_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND DENM 05 05 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND DENM 05 06 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND DENM 06 01 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND DENIM 08 01 BV	PASS	Ves	Ves
TD SEC ITSS SND DENIM 40.04 DV		Vac	Vaa
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_DENMI_10_01_BV	PASS	res	res
IP_SEC_ITSS_SND_GENMSG_02_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_GENMSG_03_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_GENMSG_04_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_GENMSG_05_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_GENMSG_05_02_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_GENMSG_05_03_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND GENMSG 05 04 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND GENMSG 05 05 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND GENMSG 05 06 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND GENMSG 06 01 BV	PASS	Ves	Ves
TD_SEC_ITSS_SND_GENNISG_00_01_DV	DASS	Vee	Vee
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_GENNISG_07_01_BV	PASS DASS	Vee	Vee
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_CERT_01_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yee
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_CERI_UI_UZ_BV	PASS	res	res
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_CERT_02_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_CER1_04_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_04_02_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_05_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_05_02_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_06_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CERT 06 02 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CERT 06 03 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CERT 06 04 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CERT 07 01 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CERT 08 01 BV	PASS	Yee	Yee
TD SEC ITSS SND CEDT 00 01 DV		Vac	Voo
TD SEC ITSS SND OFDT 00 02 DV		Vee	Vec
	PASS	Vee	Tes
1F_3EU_1133_3ND_UEK1_AA_U1_U1_BV	PASS	res	res
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_CERT_AA_02_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
IP_SEC_IISS_SND_CERT_AA_04_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
IP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_AA_05_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_AA_06_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_AA_08_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
<pre>FP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_AT_01_01_BV</pre>	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_SND_CERT_AT_02_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CERT AT 03 01 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CERT AT 04 01 BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP SEC ITSS SND CERT AT 05 01 BV	PASS	Yee	Yes
TD SEC ITSS SND CEDT AT 07 01 BV		Vec	Vec
TD SEC ITSS SND OFDT AT 00 04 DV	FA00	Vac	Vac
	FASS	res Vee	Tes Vac
1P_3EC_1133_3ND_CERT_AT_09_01_BV	PASS	res	res
IP_SEC_IISS_RCV_CAM_01_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
IP_SEC_IISS_RCV_CAM_01_02_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes

ATS Reference	Verdict	Log	Validated
		analysis	
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_01_03_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_02_01_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_02_02_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_04_01_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_04_02_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_04_03_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_04_04_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_04_06_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_04_08_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_04_09_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_07_01_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_09_02_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_09_03_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_09_04_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_09_05_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_09_06_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_10_01_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_10_02_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_11_01_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_11_02_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_12_01_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_CAM_12_02_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_01_01_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_01_02_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_01_03_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_01_04_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_01_05_BV	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_07_01_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_08_01_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_08_02_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_08_03_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes
TP_SEC_ITSS_RCV_DENM_08_04_BO	PASS	Yes	Yes

4.4 Feedback to standardization process

4.4.1 Base standard issues

The following issues have been reported to the ETSI TC ITS WG5 on ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1]:

- Wrong description of considering the signer info field in the signature of CAMs. The certificate profile in ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], clause 7.4 lists the elements that are part of the signature. It is written that the length field of the signer_info is part of the signature. However, in the latest version there is only one signer_info contained in the certificate structure (ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], clause 6.1). Delete the comment "vector including its length" behind signer_info in ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], clause 7.4.
- Wrong description of considering the public key algorithm in the signature of CAMs. There is an error in ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], table 6 because the right column shows that the "PublicKeyAlgorithm algorithm" of the Signature is covered by the signature. Correct ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], table 6 and make clear that the PublicKeyAlgorithm is not part of the signature.
- Incomplete description of creating hash for signature of secured messages. In ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], clause 5.6 it is written in the first bullet that "the length of the trailer_fields field of the SecuredMessage shall be included in the hash." in order to create the signature. In the CAM profile in ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], clause 7.1 it is written that "The length of the variable-length vector trailer_fields and the type of the signature trailer field shall be considered by the signature hash". In ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], clause 5.6 it should be mentioned that "the type of the signature trailer field shall be considered by the signature field shall be added to the hash in order to have a consistent description".

4.4.2 New features

During validation the following topics for new features were discussed and reported to TC ITS:

- Security link between CertID and relevant IDs such as MAC address, Facility Station ID needs to be defined CERT ID is master. From there all other IDs are derived.
 Which bytes are changed and how?
 MAC ID: use global unique bit set to 1 to indicate that all 5 bytes and 6 bits are modifiable by pseudonym change.
- Add example how to define time. ETSI TS 102 894-2 [i.7], clause A.82 gives an example how to define time conversion between UTC and ITSTimestamp.
- Do we need certificates in CAM each second? The certificate can be received much faster using the certificate request mechanism than unattended sending. All devices send either CAM or beacon, so all devices are capable to request the certificate if they do not have one. To reduce the rate a limitation could be added that certificates should not be sent more often than once per second.
- Request of unrecognized AA certificate create high channel load when all receivers reply with a certificate chain.

As specified in the CAM profile of ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], clause 7.1 "If the ITS-S finds a HashedId3 of its own, currently used authorization authority in that list, it shall include a signer_info field of type certificate_chain". This leads to high channel load if several ITS-Ss send in their next CAM a certificate chain instead of their certificate or digest. In addition, an attacker can easily misuse this feature to create a DoS attack in its single-hop communication range.

- ITS-S should stop requesting an unrecognized AA certificate if the issuer of the AA certificate is untrusted In ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1] it is not specified whether an ITS-S should repeat or stop sending request of unrecognized AA certificate if it does not trust the issuer of the AA certificate. For example, the ITS-Ss belong to different root domains and do not trust each other.
- ITS-S should accept request of unrecognized AA certificate from untrusted sender. In ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1] it is not specified whether an ITS-S should accept a request of unrecognized certificate from a sender if the certificate chain of the requester is not complete or trusted. This is not critical if the AT certificate is requested because every ITS-S that has a new unknown sender in its communication range should add its own certificate to the signer info. However, if the AA certificate is requested the ITS-S has to accept the request even if the message and the signer certificate chain cannot be verified. Add in the CAM profile of ETSI TS 103 097 [i.1], clause 7.1 a statement that "a receiver shall respond to a request of unrecognized AA certificate even if the certificate chain of the requester cannot be verified".

4.4.3 Test specification issues

The following problems have been fixed in ETSI TS 103 096-3 [i.2]:

- Wrong parameters in the different test configurations
- Issues with Upper Tester primitives
- Wrong bit order of messages
- Adjustments in TTCN-3 altsteps required
- TTCN-3 template corrections

4.4.4 Typical SUT issues

Issues found in SUT implementations have been signalled directly to the concerned manufacturers, including detailed explanations and test logs.

The following SUT problems have been often encountered during GeoNetworking test suite validation:

- UT endianess issue
- IUT does not start SQN to 0
- IUT does not increment SQN by 1
- IUT's LS buffer can hold only 1 packet
- UtInitialize should flush Neighbour table and buffers
- IUT does not update DEPV
- UtIndication not implemented

History

Document history		
V1.1.1	September 2015	Publication