

ETSI TS 102 778-3 V1.1.1 (2009-07)

Technical Specification

Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); PDF Advanced Electronic Signature Profiles; Part 3: PAdES Enhanced - PAdES-BES and PAdES-EPES Profiles



Reference

DTS/ESI-000072-3

Keywords

e-commerce, electronic signature, security

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org>

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:

http://portal.etsi.org/chaicor/ETSI_support.asp

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission.
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2009.
All rights reserved.

DECT[™], **PLUGTESTS**[™], **UMTS**[™], **TIPHON**[™], the TIPHON logo and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.

3GPP[™] is a Trade Mark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

LTE[™] is a Trade Mark of ETSI currently being registered

for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

GSM[®] and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights	4
Foreword.....	4
Introduction	4
1 Scope	5
2 References	5
2.1 Normative references	5
2.2 Informative references.....	6
3 Definitions and abbreviations.....	6
3.1 Definitions	6
3.2 Abbreviations	7
4 PAdES-BES and PAdES-EPES Profiles	7
4.1 Introduction	7
4.2 General Requirements	7
4.3 SignerInfo.....	8
4.4 Mandatory Attributes	8
4.4.1 content-type Attribute	8
4.4.2 message-digest Attribute.....	8
4.4.3 Signing Certificate Reference Attribute.....	8
4.5 Attributes Optional in CADES.....	8
4.5.1 signature-policy-identifier Attribute	9
4.5.2 signature-time-stamp Attribute	9
4.5.3 signing-time Attribute.....	9
4.5.4 counter-signature Attribute	9
4.5.5 content-reference Attribute	9
4.5.6 content-identifier Attribute	9
4.5.7 content-hints Attribute	9
4.5.8 commitment-type-indication Attribute	9
4.5.9 signer-location Attribute	10
4.5.10 signer-attributes Attribute	10
4.5.11 content-time-stamp Attribute.....	10
4.6 Signature Validation.....	10
4.6.1 Signing Certificate Reference Validation	10
4.6.2 Document Digest	10
4.6.3 Certificate Path Validation.....	10
4.6.4 Revocation Checking.....	11
History	12

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<http://webapp.etsi.org/IPR/home.asp>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI).

The present document is part 3 of a multi-part deliverable. Full details of the entire series can be found in part 1 [3].

Introduction

Electronic documents are a major part of a modern companies business. Trust in this way of doing business is essential for the success and continued development of electronic business. It is, therefore, important that companies using electronic documents have suitable security controls and mechanisms in place to protect their documents and to ensure trust and confidence with their business practices. In this respect the electronic signature is an important security component that can be used to protect information and provide trust in electronic business.

The present document is intended to cover electronic signatures for electronic documents, this includes evidence as to its validity even if the signer or verifying party later attempts to deny (i.e. repudiates; see ISO/IEC 10181-4 [i.1]) the validity of the signature.

Thus, the present document can be used for any document encoded in a portable document format (PDF) produced by an individual and a company, and exchanged between companies, between an individual and a governmental body, etc. The present document is independent of any environment; it can be applied to any environment, e.g. smart cards, GSM SIM cards, special programs for electronic signatures, etc.

The European Directive on a community framework for Electronic Signatures defines an electronic signature as: "Data in electronic form which is attached to or logically associated with other electronic data and which serves as a method of authentication".

The formats defined in the present document, are able to support advanced electronic signatures as defined in the Directive.

ISO 32000-1 [1] specifies a digital form for representing documents called the Portable Document Format (PDF) that enables users to exchange and view electronic documents easily and reliably, independent of the environment in which they were created or the environment in which they are viewed or printed.

ISO 32000-1 [1] identifies the ways in which an electronic signature, in the form of a digital signature, may be incorporated into a PDF document to authenticate the identity of the user and validate integrity of the document's content. These signatures are based on the same CMS (RFC 3852 [4]) technology and techniques as TS 101 733 [2] (CADES), but with some restrictions as specified in the present document (e.g. parallel signatures not supported).

This part specifies digital signatures in PDF to provide Advanced Electronic Signature equivalent to the CADES-BES, CADES-EPES and CADES-T forms.

1 Scope

The present document profiles the use of PDF Signatures specified in ISO 32000-1 [1] with an alternative signature encoding to support signature formats equivalent to the signature forms CAdES-BES, CAdES-EPES and CAdES-T as specified in TS 101 733 [2].

The PAdES-BES profile supports basic CMS (RFC 3852 [4]) signature features as specified TS 102 778-2 [8] with the additional protection against signing certificate substitution.

The PAdES-EPES profile extends the PAdES-BES profile to include signature policies.

Both profiles, PAdES-BES and PAdES-EPES allow the inclusion of a signature time stamp creating a signature similar to the CAdES-T form.

The present document does not repeat the base requirements of the referenced standards, but instead aims to disambiguate between the techniques used in the different referenced standards. These profiles are intended to be used by a signer.

The present document is part of a series of profiles for advanced electronic signature formats applied to PDF documents. General information the series of profiles is specified in TS 102 778-1 [3].

The requirements specified in the present document take precedence over those specified in ISO 32000-1 [1] and TS 101 733 [2].

2 References

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific.

- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- Non-specific reference may be made only to a complete document or a part thereof and only in the following cases:
 - if it is accepted that it will be possible to use all future changes of the referenced document for the purposes of the referring document;
 - for informative references.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at <http://docbox.etsi.org/Reference>.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

2.1 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of the present document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For non-specific references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

[1] ISO 32000-1: "Document management - Portable document format - Part 1: PDF 1.7".

NOTE: Available at http://www.adobe.com/devnet/acrobat/pdfs/PDF32000_2008.pdf.

[2] ETSI TS 101 733: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); CMS Advanced Electronic Signatures (CAdES)".

[3] ETSI TS 102 778-1: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); PDF Advanced Electronic Signature Profiles; Part 1: PAdES Overview - a framework document for PAdES".

- [4] IETF RFC 3852 (2004): "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)".
- [5] IETF RFC 5280: "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile".
- [6] IETF RFC 2560 (1999): "X.509 Internet Public Key Infrastructure Online Certificate Status Protocol - OCSP".
- [7] IETF RFC 5035 (2007): "Enhanced Security Services (ESS) Update: Adding CertID Algorithm Agility".
- [8] ETSI TS 102 778-2: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); PDF Advanced Electronic Signature Profiles; Part 2: PAdES Basic - Profile based on ISO 32000-1".
- [9] ETSI TS 102 778-4: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); PDF Advanced Electronic Signature Profiles; Part 4: PAdES Long Term - PAdES LTV Profile".
- [10] IETF RFC 3280: "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile".

2.2 Informative references

The following referenced documents are not essential to the use of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

- [i.1] ISO/IEC 10181-4: "Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Security frameworks for open systems: Non-repudiation framework".

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in [1], [2] and the following apply:

conforming signature handler: software application, or part of a software application, that knows how to perform digital signature operations (e.g. signing and/or verifying) in conformance with ISO 32000-1 [1] and the requirements of the appropriate profile

PDF signature: binary data object based on the CMS (RFC 3852 [4]) or related syntax containing a digital signature placed within a PDF document structure as specified in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8 with other information about the signature applied when it was first created

signature dictionary: PDF data structure, of type dictionary, as described in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.1, table 252 that contains all the information about the Digital Signature

signer: entity that creates an electronic signature

verifier: entity that validates an electronic signature

The present document makes use of certain keywords to signify requirements. Below follows their definitions:

may: means that a course of action is permissible within a profile

shall: means that the definition is an absolute requirement of a profile

NOTE: It has to strictly be followed in order to conform to the present document.

should: means that among several possibilities one is recommended, in a profile, as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required

NOTE: Implementers may know valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore this recommendation, but the full implications must be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in ISO 32000-1 [1], TS 101 733 [2] and the following apply:

CAdES	CMS Advanced Electronic Signature
CMS	Cryptographic Message Syntax

NOTE: As specified in RFC 3852 [4].

CRL	Certificate Revocation List
EPES	Explicit Policy-based Electronic Signature
LTV	Long Term Validation
OCSP	Online Certificate Status Protocol
PAdES	PDF Advanced Electronic Signature
PAdES-BES	PAdES Basic Electronic Signature
PAdES-EPES	PAdES Explicit Policy Electronic Signature
PDF	Portable Document Format
PKCS	Public Key Cryptography Standard
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SIM	Subscriber Identity Module

4 PAdES-BES and PAdES-EPES Profiles

4.1 Introduction

This set of profiles describe the creation and verification of signatures in PDF documents that have similar features as described in CAdES (TS 101 733 [2]) by the signature forms CAdES-BES, CAdES-EPES and CAdES-T.

Rather than having a separate "-T" form, as in CAdES, this set of profiles incorporates the signature time-stamp attribute as optional for both PAdES-BES and PAdES-EPES profiles making the signature effectively a CAdES-T form.

Some signature attributes found in CAdES have the same or similar meaning as keys in the signature dictionary described in ISO 32000-1 [1]. The signature dictionary items should be used in preference to CAdES attributes unless specified otherwise in the present document.

4.2 General Requirements

For all profiles covered in the present document:

- Requirements for handling PDF Signatures specified in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8 apply except where overridden by the present document.
- A DER-encoded SignedData object as specified in CMS (RFC 3852 [4]) shall be included as the PDF signature in the entry with the key **Content** of the signature dictionary as described in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.1. This CMS object forms a CAdES signature described in TS 101 733 [2] as it may contain several attributes required by the rules given in the following clauses.
- The ByteRange shall cover the entire file, including the signature dictionary but excluding the PDF Signature itself.
- Requirements specified in ISO 32000-1 [1], clauses 12.8.3.2 (PKCS#1) and 12.8.3.3 (PKCS#7) signatures as used in ISO 32000-1 [1] do not apply.

- e) The signature dictionary shall contain a value of **ETSI.CAdES.detached** for the key **SubFilter**.
- f) A verifier may substitute a different signature handler, other than that specified in Filter, when verifying the signature, as long as it supports the specified SubFilter format.
- g) The signature dictionary shall not contain a **Cert** entry.
- h) Unsigned signature attributes not described in this profile may be ignored unless used in conjunction with other profiles which place requirements on the use of such attributes. The handling of unsupported signed attributes is a matter for the verifier.

NOTE 1: A signature attribute cannot be supported by an implementation of a verifier if that verifier has no specification on how to process the attribute.

NOTE 2: See TS 102 778-4 [9] for support for long term valid signatures equivalent to CAdES-C, CAdES-X, CAdES-XL and CAdES-A.

- i) A timestamp from a trusted timestamp server should be applied on the digital signature immediately after the signature is created so the timestamp specifies a time as close as possible to the time at which the document was signed.

4.3 SignerInfo

For all profiles covered in the present document only a single SignerInfo shall be present in any PDF signature.

4.4 Mandatory Attributes

As in CAdES (TS 101 733 [2]) the following attributes are mandatory for all profiles covered in the present document.

4.4.1 content-type Attribute

The `content-type` for this profile shall always have the value "id-data"

NOTE: Although it can be thought as implicit, it is a mandatory attribute in order to provide maximum compatibility with existing implementation of CAdES.

4.4.2 message-digest Attribute

The syntax of the `message-digest` attribute type of the ES shall be used as defined in CMS (see RFC 3852 [4]).

4.4.3 Signing Certificate Reference Attribute

The ESS `signing-certificate` attribute or the ESS `signing-certificate-v2` attribute as defined in clause 5.7.3 of CAdES (TS 101 733 [2]) shall be used as a signed attribute. The entry with the key **Cert** in the signature dictionary shall not be used.

NOTE: As specified in RFC 5035 [7], when the SHA-1 hash function is used, the `signing-certificate` attribute is required to be used. The `signing-certificate-v2` attribute is required to be used if any algorithm other than SHA-1 is used.

4.5 Attributes Optional in CAdES

The following attributes may be present with the signed-data depending on the profile employed. The use of these attributes shall be as defined in CAdES (see TS 101 733 [2]) qualified by the present document which takes precedence.

4.5.1 signature-policy-identifier Attribute

For the PAdES-EPES profile: a `signature-policy-identifier` attribute shall be present as a signed attribute. The rules from clause 5.8.1 in CADES (TS 101 733 [2]) shall apply. The parameters of the signature policy shall take precedence over the seed values defined in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.7.4.5.

4.5.2 signature-time-stamp Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document a `signature-time-stamp` attribute should be present as an unsigned attribute in a signature. The rules from clause 6.1 in CADES (TS 101 733 [2]) shall apply.

NOTE: These rules for this attribute are the same as described in 12.8.3.3.1 of ISO 32000-1 [1] except that specific requirements for treatment of time-stamps may be specified in the signature policy in the case of EPES being used. By providing a `signature-time-stamp` attribute a signature format functional equivalent to the form CADES-T can be created.

4.5.3 signing-time Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document the `signing-time` attribute shall not be used.

NOTE: The time of signing can be indicated by the value of the **M** entry in the signature dictionary.

4.5.4 counter-signature Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document the `counter-signature` attribute shall not be used.

4.5.5 content-reference Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document the `content-reference` attribute shall not be used.

NOTE: The PDF format provides its own means to refer between different signature objects that can be used instead.

4.5.6 content-identifier Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document the `content-identifier` attribute shall not be used.

NOTE: The PDF format provides its own means to refer between different signature objects that can be used instead.

4.5.7 content-hints Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document the `content-hints` attribute shall not be used.

4.5.8 commitment-type-indication Attribute

For the PAdES-EPES profile: The `commitment-type-indication` attribute may be present. The key **Reason** shall not be present in the signature dictionary.

For the PAdES-BES profile the `commitment-type-indication` attribute shall not be present.

NOTE: `commitment-type-indication` can be used to select different sub-options with the signature policy in the case of EPES. Otherwise the signature dictionary item **Reason** is used in preference, in line with the general policy adopted in this profile of using security attributes in preference to CADES attributes.

4.5.9 signer-location Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document the `signer-location` attribute shall not be present.

NOTE: The location can be indicated by the value of the **Location** entry in the signature dictionary.

4.5.10 signer-attributes Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document the `signer-attributes` attribute may be present. If present this shall be used as defined in CADES clause 5.11.3, TS 101 733 [2].

Attribute certificates shall not be included as described in ISO 32000-1 [1], section 12.8.3.3.1 [1].

NOTE: This avoids redundant information being included in the signature.

4.5.11 content-time-stamp Attribute

For all profiles covered in the present document the `content-time-stamp` attribute may be present. If the `content-time-stamp` attribute is present it shall be used in the same way as defined in CADES, clause 5.11.4, TS 101 733 [2].

4.6 Signature Validation

For all profiles covered in the present document when the user opens a signed document or requests verification of the signature(s) present in the PDF, a conforming signature handler shall perform the following steps to verify them.

NOTE: This profile on its own is intended to be used for validation in the short-term, that is before used certificates are likely to expire or being revoked. To achieve long-term validation this profile is to be used in conjunction with the LTV profile specified in TS 102 778-4 [9]. If this profile is used in conjunction with the LTV profile then requirements specified in TS 102 778-4 [9] take precedence.

4.6.1 Signing Certificate Reference Validation

A verifier shall compare the hash value of signer's certificate, with the hash value given in the `signing-certificate` attribute or the ESS `signing-certificate-v2` attribute. If none of the hash values match the value in the attribute, the verifier should return an incomplete validation response. The validation rules found in RFC 5035 [7] clause 2 and clause 8 apply.

4.6.2 Document Digest

The verifier shall check that the document digest matches that in the signature as specified in ISO 32000-1 [1], clause 12.8.1

4.6.3 Certificate Path Validation

The verifier shall validate the path of certificates used to verify the binding between the subject distinguished name and subject public key as specified in RFC 3280 [10], clause 6. The signature may be verified against a time other than the current time if all validation information (e.g. certificates and revocation information) is known to have existed at that time (e.g. using LTV Profiles as specified in TS 102 778-4 [9]). If no trusted indication of signing time is available then the verifier's current time shall be used.

NOTE: The claimed signing time specified by the signature dictionary value with the key **M** is not a trusted indication of the signing time.

The revocation status shall be checked as specified in clause 4.6.4.

4.6.4 Revocation Checking

A conforming signature handler shall use either (or both) of the following methods to check the revocation status:

- Certificate Revocation List (CRL) RFC 5280 [5] is one common method that public key infrastructures use. With CRL, the certificate is checked against a list of revoked certificates. In addition to the certificate issue date and the issuing entities, the list specifies revoked certificates as well as the reasons for revocation. Each list also contains a proposed date for the next release.
- Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) RFC 2560 [6] defines a protocol for obtaining the revocation status of a given certificate from a server.

NOTE: If the certificate was revoked subsequent to the assumed signing time a warning may be passed to the user to indicate certificate was valid at the assumed signing time but subsequently revoked at the time indicated in revocation time.

History

Document history		
V1.1.1	July 2009	Publication